# **Task 2: Logistic Regression Model Report**

## 1. Task Description

This task involved implementing a logistic regression model to predict binary outcomes (customer churn). The objectives were to load and preprocess the dataset, train a logistic regression model using scikit-learn, interpret model coefficients and odds ratios, and evaluate the model using metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and the ROC curve. Subsequently, the model was improved by addressing class imbalance using SMOTE and optimizing hyperparameters with GridSearchCV.

### 2. Datasets Used

The datasets used for this task were:

- churn-bigml-80.csv (for training the model)
- churn-bigml-20.csv (for testing the model)

These files likely represent an 80/20 split of a larger customer churn dataset.

## 3. Model Implemented

A Logistic Regression model from scikit-learn was implemented.

## 4. Features and Target

- Target Variable (y): Churn (binary: 1 for churn, 0 for no churn)
- Features (X): All other columns in the dataset, including State, Account length, Area code, International plan, Voice mail plan, Number vmail messages, Total day minutes, Total day calls, Total day charge, Total eve minutes, Total eve calls, Total eve charge, Total night minutes, Total night calls, Total night charge, Total intl minutes, Total intl charge, and Customer service calls.

## 5. Preprocessing Steps

- 1. **Loading Data:** Training and testing datasets were loaded using pandas read\_csv .
- 2. Categorical Feature Conversion:
  - International plan and Voice mail plan were converted from 'Yes'/'No' to 1/0.
  - Churn (target variable) was converted from True/False to 1/0.
- 3. **One-Hot Encoding:** The state feature was one-hot encoded using pd.get\_dummies to convert categorical state names into numerical format, with drop\_first=True to avoid multicollinearity.
- 4. **Column Alignment:** Columns in the training and testing datasets were aligned to ensure consistency after one-hot encoding.
- 5. Class Imbalance Handling (Optimization Phase): SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique) was applied to the training data to balance the number of samples in the minority class (Churn=1) and the majority class (Churn=0).

# 6. Model Training and Evaluation

### Initial Model (without SMOTE and GridSearchCV)

Training Data Size: 2666 samples
 Testing Data Size: 667 samples

#### **Evaluation Metrics:**

Accuracy: 0.8486
Precision: 0.4118
Recall: 0.1474
ROC AUC: 0.7875

#### **Confusion Matrix:**

[[552 20] [81 14]]

### Optimized Model (with SMOTE and GridSearchCV)

- Resampled Training Data Size: 4556 samples (balanced classes)
- Testing Data Size: 667 samples (original, unbalanced)

### **Best Hyperparameters Found:**

c:0.1

max\_iter: 1000solver: liblinear

#### **Evaluation Metrics:**

Accuracy: 0.7766
Precision: 0.3247
Recall: 0.5263
F1-Score: 0.4016

ROC AUC: 0.7649

#### **Confusion Matrix:**

[[468 104] [ 45 50]]

## 7. Interpretation of Results

The initial logistic regression model showed high accuracy (0.8486) but very low recall (0.1474). This indicated that while the model was good at correctly identifying non-churners, it struggled significantly to identify actual churners, leading to a high number of false negatives (81). The ROC AUC of 0.7875 suggested a reasonable ability to distinguish between classes overall.

To address the low recall, SMOTE was applied to balance the training dataset, and GridSearchCV was used to find optimal hyperparameters for the logistic regression model. The optimized model demonstrated a significant improvement in **recall**, increasing from 0.1474 to **0.5263**. This means the model is now much more effective at identifying customers who are likely to churn.

However, this improvement came with a trade-off:

- Precision decreased from 0.4118 to 0.3247, indicating an increase in false positives (customers
  predicted to churn who do not). This is evident in the confusion matrix, where false positives
  increased from 20 to 104.
- Overall Accuracy also decreased from 0.8486 to 0.7766.
- The **ROC AUC** slightly decreased from 0.7875 to 0.7649.

The **F1-Score** of 0.4016 for the optimized model (which was not explicitly calculated for the initial model but would have been lower due to very low recall) suggests a better balance between precision and recall, which is often a more appropriate metric for imbalanced classification problems like churn

prediction. Depending on the business objective (e.g., minimizing missed churners vs. minimizing false alarms), the optimized model with higher recall might be preferred.

# 8. Script Execution and Output

Below is the console output from the execution of logistic\_regression\_model.py after the modifications for optimization.

### Training dataset loaded successfully. First 5 rows:

	State	Account length	• • •	Customer service calls	Churn
0	KS	128		1	False
1	ОН	107		1	False
2	NJ	137		0	False
3	ОН	84		2	False
4	OK	75		3	False

#### [5 rows x 20 columns]

### Testing dataset loaded successfully. First 5 rows:

	State	Account length	 Customer service calls	Churn
0	LA	117	 1	False
1	IN	65	 4	True
2	NY	161	 4	True
3	SC	111	 2	False
4	HI	49	 1	False

#### [5 rows x 20 columns]

### Training Dataset Info:

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 2666 entries, 0 to 2665

Data columns (total 20 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	State	2666 non-null	object
1	Account length	2666 non-null	int64
2	Area code	2666 non-null	int64
3	International plan	2666 non-null	object
4	Voice mail plan	2666 non-null	object
5	Number vmail messages	2666 non-null	int64
6	Total day minutes	2666 non-null	float64
7	Total day calls	2666 non-null	int64
8	Total day charge	2666 non-null	float64
9	Total eve minutes	2666 non-null	float64
10	Total eve calls	2666 non-null	int64
11	Total eve charge	2666 non-null	float64
12	Total night minutes	2666 non-null	float64
13	Total night calls	2666 non-null	int64
14	Total night charge	2666 non-null	float64
15	Total intl minutes	2666 non-null	float64
16	Total intl calls	2666 non-null	int64

17 Total intl charge 2666 non-null float64

18 Customer service calls 2666 non-null int64

2666 non-null bool 19 Churn

dtypes: bool(1), float64(8), int64(8), object(3)

memory usage: 398.5+ KB

### Testing Dataset Info:

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>

RangeIndex: 667 entries, 0 to 666

Data columns (total 20 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	State	667 non-null	object
1	Account length	667 non-null	int64
2	Area code	667 non-null	int64
3	International plan	667 non-null	object
4	Voice mail plan	667 non-null	object
5	Number vmail messages	667 non-null	int64
6	Total day minutes	667 non-null	float64
7	Total day calls	667 non-null	int64
8	Total day charge	667 non-null	float64
9	Total eve minutes	667 non-null	float64
10	Total eve calls	667 non-null	int64
11	Total eve charge	667 non-null	float64
12	Total night minutes	667 non-null	float64
13	Total night calls	667 non-null	int64
14	Total night charge	667 non-null	float64
15	Total intl minutes	667 non-null	float64
16	Total intl calls	667 non-null	int64
17	Total intl charge	667 non-null	float64
18	Customer service calls	667 non-null	int64
19	Churn	667 non-null	bool
dtyp	es: bool(1), float64(8),	int64(8), objec	t(3)

memory usage: 99.8+ KB

Training features (X\_train) shape: (2666, 68)

Training target (y\_train) shape: (2666,) Testing features (X\_test) shape: (667, 68)

Testing target (y\_test) shape: (667,)

Applying SMOTE to balance the training data... Resampled training data shape: (4556, 68) Resampled training target distribution:

```
Churn
```

0 2278

1 2278

Name: count, dtype: int64

Performing GridSearchCV for hyperparameter tuning...

Best parameters found: {'C': 0.1, 'max\_iter': 1000, 'solver': 'liblinear'}

Model training complete with best parameters.

Model Coefficients and Odds Ratios (from best model):

	Feature	Coefficient	Odds Ratio	
60	State_TX	1.695473	5.449225	
42	State_MS	1.597764	4.941971	
38	State_ME	1.520830	4.576022	
43	State_MT	1.465720	4.330662	
48	State_NJ	1.412279	4.105300	
			•••	
1	Area code	-0.004339	0.995670	
12	Total night calls	-0.004388	0.995621	
10	Total eve charge	-0.004453	0.995557	
15	Total intl calls	-0.176040	0.838585	
3	Voice mail plan	-2.369322	0.093544	

[68 rows x 3 columns]
Intercept: -1.2658

Accuracy: 0.7766
Precision: 0.3247
Recall: 0.5263
F1-Score: 0.4016

Confusion Matrix:

[[468 104] [ 45 50]] ROC AUC: 0.7649

ROC curve saved as 'roc\_curve\_optimized.png'