

## SPECIFIC AIMS

The potential of real-world evidence from electronic health records (EHRs) and claims data to inform regulatory decision-making is currently being assessed (Sharma et al. [2020]). They are both important sources of electronic data that can be utilized for health services research and quality-of-care evaluations. Claims data have been utilized for research purposes for many years, but EHRs, due to their high level of detail, are gaining in popularity. While each data source has its own benefits, combining the two sources can create a synergy that is useful for research and policy applications. The ability to match EHR with insurance claims data has the potential to revolutionize the way patient care is analyzed and evaluated. EHR and claims data each provide only partial information about a patient's health journey, and integrating these sources of information provides a more comprehensive view of a patient's health status. The main purpose of claims data is to bill and pay for health services, while EHRs are designed for managing and recording patient care. However, the current process of matching EHR and claims data is time-consuming and prone to errors. Automating this process using machine learning and deep learning methods can improve the accuracy and efficiency of matching, reducing the time and effort required and improving healthcare overall (West et al. [2014]).

A study sponsored by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) examined the process and outcome of combining administrative claims data and EHRs from a state Medicaid population and an academic medical center. The study group found that despite some challenges in merging and analyzing claims and clinical data, the combination of both sources of healthcare data produced a stronger analytic resource than either source alone, and should be further evaluated and improved.

Therefore, our project will focus on matching EHRs and claims data with the following specific aims:

**Specific Aim 1: To develop a user-friendly web application using R Shiny that enables users to capture new checks, add notes, and navigate between matches.** The upcoming version of the web application is set to undergo major enhancements, particularly in its front-end, which will incorporate new and improved features to optimize the workflow and provide a better user experience. The primary goal of these updates is to identify and resolve any existing issues in the current system while introducing novel features, such as next and previous match buttons, a check capture function, a notes text box, and an error resolution function. The addition of next and previous match buttons is intended to facilitate quicker navigation between matches in the application, thus improving the user's ability to move through the data with ease. The check capture function will enable users to effortlessly capture and store checks within the web application, streamlining the record-keeping process. The notes text box feature will provide users with a handy way to better understand the data columns, enabling them to perform more comprehensive analyses. Furthermore, an error resolution function will be introduced to help users quickly resolve any issues that may arise while using the app. To develop these new features, an experimental approach will be employed, involving the incorporation of new elements into the existing R Shiny application. Overall, these enhancements aim to optimize the application's functionality, streamline the workflow, and provide a more user-friendly experience.

**Specific Aim 2: To develop a matching algorithm using machine learning and deep learning methods that can match EHRs with insurance records.** By automating the matching process, the accuracy and efficiency of matching will improve, reducing the time and effort required and improving healthcare overall. To accomplish this aim, the first step will involve cleaning and preprocessing the data to ensure its quality and suitability for the algorithm. The data will then be split into train, validate, and test sets to enable effective training and testing of the algorithm. Traditional machine learning models and deep learning models will be developed and trained to match EHRs with insurance records. Performance evaluation will be conducted using various accuracy and ROC/AUC metrics to determine the most effective model. An experimental approach will be employed to improve the performance of the algorithm. This will involve fine-tuning the models to enhance their accuracy and efficiency, as well as testing them on larger datasets to determine scalability. The development of a matching algorithm using machine learning and deep learning methods is expected to significantly enhance the accuracy and efficiency of matching EHRs with insurance records, ultimately reducing the time and effort required for the process. This will enable healthcare providers to concentrate on delivering high-quality care to their patients, thereby improving healthcare outcomes by minimizing errors and streamlining the healthcare delivery process.

## RESEARCH STRATEGY

### A. Significance

The ability to match electronic health records (EHR) with insurance claims data is an innovative approach that has the potential to revolutionize the way patient care is analyzed and evaluated. This is because EHR and claims data each provide only partial information about a patient's health journey, and integrating these sources of information provides a more comprehensive view of a patient's health status.

EHR data provides robust information on demographics, diagnoses, encounters, laboratory results, procedures, and medication orders. However, it does not reflect activity outside of the care setting, such as prescription fills, and is incomplete for patients who receive care at multiple hospitals. On the other hand, medical and prescription claims provide a continuous clinical story that represents

care across providers and inclusive of pharmacy transactions. Claims data also captures costs and assesses medication compliance, but is short on clinical detail and lacks lab values, tumor staging information, and physicians' notes.

The integration of EHR and claims data offers health administrators, researchers, and policy makers an opportunity to draw insights from the richest versions of patient health data. By using clinical data from the EHR, condition identification can be significantly improved. For example, lab result data elements can support the identification of people with chronic kidney disease even without a coded diagnosis. Similarly, vital sign data can help identify people with hypertension despite the lack of a claim-based diagnosis. There are various data elements available in the EHR that, when analyzed, can allow the identification of a condition that was either not recognized or not coded for by the physician. EHR data enables better condition identification by providing access to data elements that allow one to impute a diagnosis, even if that diagnosis was never made.

Claims-linked EHR data offers integrated evidence with a fuller representation of reality and helps researchers follow the full story of a subject's health before, during, and after their disease treatment. Studies have shown that most patients' claims data is available in a continuous 2-3 year window before their cancer diagnosis appears in the EHR, and the average overlapping time period between the EHR and insurance claims can be as high as 93% depending on the type of cancer (Unknown [2022]). Therefore, researchers who use claims-linked EHR data can track the long-term outcomes of different treatment paradigms and conduct studies of treatment sequencing, switching, and drug adherence.

The development of matching applications that integrate EHR and claims data, such as the one proposed here, will have a significant impact on the next-generation patient care system. The ability to analyze patient health status using both EHR and claims data at the patient level provides a more complete picture of patient health, enabling health care professionals to make better-informed decisions about patient care.

## B. Innovation

**User Interface** We are going to design the following key features for our shiny app.

- **Capture New Check:** The administrator can manually check the match box, and the change will be stored in our database.
- **Note Text Box:** Users will have the ability to add notes to each check, which will be stored in the system and can be easily accessed later.
- **Add Next and Previous Match Buttons:** Users will have the ability to navigate between matches using next and previous buttons, which will provide a more intuitive and efficient experience.
- **Resolve Errors:** We will investigate the error with specific subjects (id=712, etc) and implement a solution to ensure that the issue is resolved and does not occur again in the future.

**Matching Algorithm** Accurate matching between EHR and claims data is essential in this study. Traditionally, deterministic matching has been used, which employs a predefined set of matching rules to match patients across datasets. Deterministic matching can be fast and accurate when there is a high degree of overlap in the patient identifiers, but it may mismatch or generate false positives if the data is incomplete or in high-dimensions. To address this challenge, we propose the use of deep learning (DL) and natural language processing (NLP) techniques to enhance the accuracy and completeness of EHR and claims data matching.

- DL techniques are able to handle large volumes of complex data, such as EHR and claims data, which are often diverse and include various data types and formats. Moreover, deep learning models can be retrained and improve their accuracy and effectiveness over time as more data becomes available, making them a valuable tool for matching and integration of EHR and claims data in the future.
- NLP can be used to identify patterns from unstructured data such as physician notes which can then be used to link the data across different sources. For example, an NLP algorithm could be trained to recognize instances where a patient's medication is mentioned in both the EHR and claims data, even if the name or dosage of the medication is slightly different between the two sources. This can help ensure that the patient's medication history is accurately captured in both data sets.

The proposed DL and NLP techniques have the potential to improve patient care and research outcomes by enabling the analysis of richer versions of patient health status using both EHR and claims data.

## C. Research Plan

To develop a user-friendly web application, we define the following research questions:

- What is the accuracy of matching EHR and insurance claims data?
- What is the impact of matching EHR and insurance claims data on patient outcomes?
- How can we improve the accuracy of matching EHR and insurance claims data?

Then we will conduct the research in the following steps:

- **Identify the data sources:** The two main data sources for this study are EHR data and insurance claims data. We need to identify the specific sources of these data and obtain the necessary permissions to use them.
- **Determine the matching criteria:** Matching EHR and insurance claims data requires the identification of common data elements that can be used to link the two datasets. This includes patient name, date of birth, gender, and medical record number.
- **Develop a matching algorithm:** Once the matching criteria are identified, we aim to develop a matching algorithm that can efficiently and accurately link the two datasets. This may involve using deterministic or probabilistic matching methods. Deterministic matching involves exact matching of data elements, while probabilistic matching uses statistical methods to match data elements that may be similar but not exact.
- **Train the algorithm:** If a probabilistic matching algorithm is used, the algorithm needs to be trained using a subset of the data that has been manually matched. This will help the algorithm learn the patterns and relationships between the data elements.
- **Test the algorithm:** The algorithm needs to be tested on a separate subset of the data that has not been used for training. This will help identify any issues or errors in the algorithm. Validate the algorithm: The algorithm needs to be validated on a larger dataset to ensure that it is accurate and effective when applied to real-world data.
- **Evaluate the accuracy of the matching algorithm:** The accuracy of the matching algorithm needs to be evaluated to determine its effectiveness. This can be done by comparing the matched data to a gold standard dataset that has been manually verified.
- **Analyze the matched data:** Once the two datasets are successfully matched, the researcher can analyze the data to answer the research questions. This may involve exploring the relationship between the EHR and insurance claims data, identifying patterns of care, or examining the impact of matching on patient outcomes.
- **Draw conclusions and make recommendations:** The final step is to draw conclusions from the data analysis and make recommendations for improving the accuracy and effectiveness of matching EHR and insurance claims data.

### Specific Aim 1:

**Hypothesis:** Our project aims to develop a web application using R Shiny that allows users to capture new checks, add notes, and easily navigate between matches. The app will have an enhanced front-end with additional features that improve the user experience and provide a smoother workflow. Additionally, we will address any existing errors in the current system.

**Rationale:** This is what our user interface looks like now.

### STRIDE Match

**Match ID**

screenid	fall_er_date	fall_doctor_date	fall_otherfacility_date	fall_overnighthosp_date	which_fuint	item_index	fall_injurybonebreak	fa
1	5000534		12/27/2015	12/27/2015	4	1		2

  

	Match	Strideld	ServiceDate	AdmitDateTime	DischDateTime	siteuid	AdmitDxCode	DX1Code	DX2Code	DX3Code	DX4Code	DX5
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	5000534	2015-12-28			5		R07.9	I20.8			
2	<input type="checkbox"/>	5000534	2015-12-28			5		R55				
3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5000534	2015-12-28			5		S00.83XA	R60.9	M79.606		
4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5000534	2015-12-28			5		R22.0	Y99.9			
5	<input type="checkbox"/>	5000534	2015-12-28			5		Z04.3				
6	<input type="checkbox"/>	5000534	2015-12-28			5		R22.0	Y99.9			

Figure 1: Current User Interface

To get information about a particular subject, users can enter the subject ID in the left box and click the “Record” button. Our shiny app will then display basic information about the subject in the top right, and all stride records associated with the subject in the bottom right. For instance, if the user searches for subject 698, our app will display six stride records related to that subject. Each record has a “match” option, which our model uses to predict whether the record matches our needs. If a record is ticked, it means our model has predicted it to be a match. In the example shown in the figure, the subject has two stride records that match our requirements. Users can also manually tick the “match” column to mark a record as a match, and the results will be stored in our database automatically to improve the model’s performance.

However, there are some errors that occur when searching for specific subjects. For example, if we search for id=712, our shiny app cannot fetch the stride record table for this person, and thus errors are displayed directly on our front-end as follows. This may confuse our users as they may not be aware of its meaning. Therefore, we need to dive deep into our back-end and database to figure out the source for this kind of error. If the error is unavoidable, we will use an alarm or messages to make it more clear and concise for our users.

**Experimental Approach:** In order to enhance the functionality of our shiny application, we will develop several application features using the R programming language to perform a wider variety of tasks and improve the overall user experience.

# STRIDE Match

**Match ID**

	screenid	fall_er_date	fall_doctor_date	fall_otherfacility_date	fall_overnighthosp_date	which_fui
1	5003280	10/03/2016				

**Error:** Problem while computing `..1 = id %in% xys\$ms[[1]]\$xid[xys\$ms[[1]]\$yid == selected\_id]`.

Figure 2: Current User Interface (Error)

- We will be introducing a “capture new check” feature that will enable users to create a new check within the application. This feature will provide users with greater flexibility in how they use the application and will make it easier for them to track and manage their progress.
- We will add a “note text box” feature that will allow users to add notes to their work as they progress through the application. This feature will help users to stay organized and will make it easier for them to remember important details as they work.
- In addition to these features, we will be introducing “next” and “previous” match buttons that will allow users to navigate through their work more easily. This feature will be particularly useful for users who are working on larger projects and need to move quickly between different parts of the application.
- We will dive deep into the current shiny application and develop a comprehensive testing plan that includes several unit tests. These tests will be designed to identify any bugs or errors that may be present in the application, and will help us to ensure that the app is delivered to users in a bug-free state.

By using these experimental techniques to develop new features in our shiny application, we hope to improve the overall user experience and make the application more versatile and useful to users.

**Interpretation of Results:** Our designed user interface is displayed below.

## STRIDE Match

**Match ID**

	screenid	fall_er_date	fall_doctor_date	fall_otherfacility_date	fall_overnighthosp_date	which_fuint	item_index	fall_inju
1	5000534			12/27/2015	12/27/2015	4	1	

  

	Match	Strideld	ServiceDate	AdmitDateTime	DischDateTime	siteuid	AdmitDxCode	DX1Code	DX2Code	DX3Code
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	5000534	2015-12-28			5		R07.9	I20.8	
2	<input type="checkbox"/>	5000534	2015-12-28			5		R55		
3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5000534	2015-12-28			5		S00.83XA	R60.9	M79.606
4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5000534	2015-12-28			5		R22.0	Y99.9	
5	<input type="checkbox"/>	5000534	2015-12-28			5		Z04.3		
6	<input type="checkbox"/>	5000534	2015-12-28			5		R22.0	Y99.9	

**Save**

1. Users can interact with the "next" and "previous" match buttons.

2. Users can check the match box for each record.

3. There will be a “save” button to save the change of our data.

Figure 3: Designed User Interface

**Potential Problems and Alternative Approaches:** As we go through our development process, it's possible that we may encounter some issues.

- The first feature we are introducing is the “capture new check” feature. While this feature provides users with greater flexibility and the ability to track and manage their progress more easily, it also presents potential issues with data privacy and security. To address these concerns, we will need to ensure that user data is securely stored and encrypted, and that only authorized users

have access to it. Additionally, we will need to carefully consider how this feature is integrated into the overall application design and user interface, to ensure that it is easy to use.

- The second feature we are introducing is the “note text box” feature. While this feature provides users with a useful tool for staying organized and remembering important details, it may also present challenges with information overload and cluttered user interface. To address these concerns, we will need to carefully consider how notes are stored and displayed within the application, and provide users with the ability to easily manage and organize their notes as needed.
- The third feature we are introducing is the “next” and “previous” match buttons, which will allow users to navigate through their work more easily. While this feature provides users with greater convenience and efficiency, it may also present challenges with information overload and navigation complexity. To address these concerns, we will need to carefully consider how the buttons are integrated into the overall application design and user interface, and provide users with the ability to customize their navigation preferences as needed.
- Finally, our comprehensive testing plan presents its own set of potential problems and alternative approaches. While unit tests are an effective tool for identifying bugs and errors in the application, they may not capture all potential issues that users may encounter in real-world scenarios. To address this concern, we will need to supplement our unit tests and gather feedback from a diverse range of users to ensure that the application meets the needs and expectations of its target audience.

In conclusion, the four features we are introducing, along with our testing plan, present potential challenges that need to be carefully evaluated and addressed to ensure the success of our shiny application. By taking a thoughtful and strategic approach to the development and testing process, we can overcome these challenges and deliver an application that is intuitive, user-friendly, and bug-free.

### **Specific Aim 2: Develop matching algorithm.**

**Hypothesis:** Our hypothesis is that by using machine learning and deep learning methods, we can develop a match algorithm that can accurately and efficiently match EHRs with insurance

**Rationale:** Manual matching between the EHRs and insurance records is a complex and labor-intensive task that requires experienced staff. By automating the matching process, we can reduce the time and effort required to match records, while also improving the accuracy of the matching. This will help to improve the overall efficiency of the healthcare system and provide better care to patients.

We plan to randomly split our preprocessed data into three parts: train, validate and test. We will use our train data to train the model, in other words, to derive the appropriate parameters/model to fit the data. And we will tune our hyper-parameters based on observation on the performance of the validation data. Last, we test our model on the test data, which is part of our full data, but has no relation with our choice of the model/parameters. So it is the optimal choice to test our model on the test data.

**Experimental Approach:** Suppose the insurance record and EHR pairs are our observations  $X_s$ , and matching or not is the response which has only two values. So we transformed our task to a binary classification problem.

To test our hypothesis, we plan to explore two directions: traditional machine learning methods and deep learning methods. The experimental approach will involve the following steps:

- **Data cleaning and preprocessing:** We will clean and preprocess the data to get rid of outliers, contaminated records, and do feature selection if necessary.
- **Data splitting:** We will randomly split our preprocessed data into three parts: train, validate and test.
- **Data statistics:** We will collect the specific statistics of our preprocessed data, such as the distribution of the  $X_s$  and  $Y_s$  to check if the label is balanced. If not, we will further do up/down-sampling to prepare for our model.
- **Model selection:** We will do experiments on both traditional machine learning models such as logistic regression, decision tree, and random forest, and deep learning models such as neural networks. We will try various combinations of layers and models depending on the data statistics and network performance.
- **Performance evaluation:** We will use the accuracy and ROC/AUC metric to evaluate our model performance. We will also compare the performance of the traditional machine learning models and deep learning models.

**Interpretation of Results:** The accuracy and ROC/AUC metric will be used to evaluate the performance of our model. Accuracy is simply the percentage of the correct predictions, which is limited when the data is imbalanced. So we use ROC/AUC score. It tells us how efficient the model is. The higher the AUC, the better the model's performance at distinguishing between the positive and negative classes. An AUC score of 1 means the classifier can perfectly distinguish between the classes, which is, if they match or not.

**Potential Problems and Alternative Approaches:** One potential problem we foresee is how the raw data can be encoded to machine learnable features properly. Based on our preliminary research on the data, it is quite noisy mixed with null values, natural language, characters and numbers. It can be tough if the features cannot be embedded very well and it will definitely affect the model performance. To solve this challenge, we aim to find the patterns beneath the data and will try various encoding methods on it, including pre-trained embeddings although we know transfer learning is hard to do.

## References

- Manvi Sharma, Michael L Johnson, Hui Zhao, Sharon H Giordano, and Holly M Holmes. Concordance between electronic health record data and medicare part d claims data for oral anticancer drug use. *JAMA Network Open*, 3(4):e203821–e203821, 2020.
- Unknown. Abstracts of the 38th international conference on pharmacoepidemiology: Advancing pharmacoepidemiology and real-world evidence for the global community, august 26-28, 2022, copenhagen, denmark. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*, 31 Suppl 2:3–678, 2022. ISSN 1099-1557. doi: 10.1002/pds.5518. URL <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.5518>.
- Suzanne L West, William Johnson, Wendy Visscher, Marianne Kluckman, Yue Qin, and Ann Larsen. The challenges of linking health insurer claims with electronic medical records. *Health informatics journal*, 20(1):22–34, 2014.