

#### Prof. Dr. Timo Speith

– P&E Master's Programme –

title

subtitle

#### Masters Thesis for the Department of Philosophy

Author: author.name Email: author.email

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Field of Study:} & metadata - submission.field - of - study \\ \mbox{Matriculation Number:} & metadata - submission.matriculation - number \\ \mbox{Submission Date:} & metadata - submission.submission - date \\ \mbox{Word Count:} & metadata - submission.word - count \\ \end{array}$ 

#### **Abstract:**

abstract

### Preface

This is a Quarto book.

To learn more about Quarto books visit https://quarto.org/docs/books.

4 Preface

### Abstract

6 Abstract

## Outline(s)

8 Outline(s)

### Frontmatter

# Prefatory Apparatus: Illustrations and Terminology — Quick References

#### List of Tables

Table 1: Table name
Table 2: Table name
Table 3: Table name

#### List of Graphics & Figures

#### List of Abbreviations

esp. especially
f., ff. following
incl. including
p., pp. page(s)
MAD Mutually Assured Destruction

#### Glossary

#### Introduction

10% of Grade:

- introduces and motivates the core question or problem provides context for discussion (places issue within a larger debate or sphere of relevance) states precise thesis or position the author will argue for provides roadmap indicating structure and key content points of the essay
  - $\sim 14\%$  of text  $\sim 4200$  words
  - introduces and motivates the core question or problem
- 1.1 Motivation: Problem Statement
- 1.2 Motivation: Research Question
- provides context for discussion (places issue within a larger debate or sphere of relevance)
- 1.3 Scope: Aim & Context of the Research
- 1.4 Significance of the Research: Theory of Change
- states precise thesis or position the author will argue for
- 1.5 Thesis Statement & Position: (Aim of the Paper)
- provides roadmap indicating structure and key content points of the essay
- 1.6 Overview: Structure & Approach of the Paper (Roadmap Theory of Change)
- 1.7 Table of Contents

#### Context

#### 20% of Grade:

- $\bullet$  demonstrates understanding of all relevant core concepts  $\bullet$  explains why the question/thesis/problem is relevant in student's own words (supported by quotations)  $\bullet$  situates it within the debate/course material  $\bullet$  reconstructs selected arguments and identifies relevant assumptions  $\bullet$  describes additional relevant material that has been consulted and integrates it with the course material as well as the research question/thesis/problem
  - $\sim 29\%$  of text  $\sim 8700$  words
  - 1. successively (chunk my chunk) introduce concepts/ideas and 2. ground each with existing literature

#### **AMTAIR**

20% of Grade:

• provides critical or constructive evaluation of positions introduced • develops strong (plausible) argument in support of author's own position/thesis • argument draws on relevant course material • claim/argument demonstrates understanding of the course materials incl. key arguments and core concepts within the debate • claim/argument is original or insightful, possibly even presents an original contribution to the debate

 $\sim 29\%$  of text  $\sim 8700$  words

#### Discussion

10% of Grade:

- $\bullet$  discusses a specific objection to student's own argument  $\bullet$  provides a convincing reply that bolsters or refines the main argument  $\bullet$  relates to or extends beyond materials/arguments covered in class
  - $\sim 14\%$  of text  $\sim 4200$  words

### Conclusion

10% of Grade:

- $\bullet$  summarizes thesis and line of argument  $\bullet$  outlines possible implications  $\bullet$  notes outstanding issues / limitations of discussion  $\bullet$  points to avenues for further research  $\bullet$  overall conclusion is in line with introduction
  - $\sim 14\%$  of text  $\sim 4200$  words

### References

24 References

# Appendix A Appendices

# Appendix A Appendix A

# Appendix C Appendix B

## Appendix D

## Appendix C

# Appendix E Appendix D

TestText

## Appendix F Affidavit

## Appendix G

testtext