



Recall we expect to see only  $n \ll |\mathcal{U}|$  of the keys.



- Recall we expect to see only  $n \ll |\mathcal{U}|$  of the keys.
  - $\implies$  The expected length of a chain is  $\frac{n}{m}$ .



- Recall we expect to see only  $n \ll |\mathcal{U}|$  of the keys.
  - $\implies$  The expected length of a chain is  $\frac{n}{m}$ .
- O Because  $m \approx n$  the expected chain length is constant.



- O Recall we expect to see only  $n \ll |\mathcal{U}|$  of the keys.
  - $\implies$  The expected length of a chain is  $\frac{n}{m}$ .
- O Because  $m \approx n$  the expected chain length is constant.
- O However, this argument only applies if:



- O Recall we expect to see only  $n \ll |\mathcal{U}|$  of the keys.
  - $\implies$  The expected length of a chain is  $\frac{n}{m}$ .
- O Because  $m \approx n$  the expected chain length is constant.
- O However, this argument only applies if:
  - The hash function is well-chosen.



- O Recall we expect to see only  $n \ll |\mathcal{U}|$  of the keys.
  - $\implies$  The expected length of a chain is  $\frac{n}{m}$ .
- O Because  $m \approx n$  the expected chain length is constant.
- O However, this argument only applies if:
  - The hash function is well-chosen.
  - The data encountered is non-pathological.





However, the worst-case chain length can be huge, i.e.  $\Omega(n)$  if:



- O However, the worst-case chain length can be huge, i.e.  $\Omega(n)$  if:
  - The hash function is <u>not</u> well-chosen.



- O However, the worst-case chain length can be huge, i.e.  $\Omega(n)$  if:
  - The hash function is <u>not</u> well-chosen.
  - Or, the data encountered has patterns or structural flaws.



- O However, the worst-case chain length can be huge, i.e.  $\Omega(n)$  if:
  - The hash function is <u>not</u> well-chosen.
  - Or, the data encountered has patterns or structural flaws.
- O Indeed, Denial of Service attacks often exploit such flaws.

O So for hashing to work well we must keep the chains short.

- O So for hashing to work well we must keep the chains short.
  - That is, we must try to avoid collisions.

- O So for hashing to work well we must keep the chains short.
  - That is, we must try to avoid collisions.
- O The best way to do this is via a universal hash function.

- O So for hashing to work well we must keep the chains short.
  - That is, we must try to avoid collisions.
- O The best way to do this is via a universal hash function.
- O A function h has the universal hash function property if:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(h(k) = h(\hat{k})\right) = \frac{1}{m} \quad \forall k \neq \hat{k}$$

- O So for hashing to work well we must keep the chains short.
  - That is, we must try to avoid collisions.
- O The best way to do this is via a universal hash function.
- O A function h has the universal hash function property if:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(h(k) = h(\hat{k})\right) = \frac{1}{m} \quad \forall k \neq \hat{k}$$

O This is the best we can hope for, but do universal hash functions exist?