

Iteration Plan Sprint 1 Feedback

by Vladimir

Firstly, the iteration plan looks good for Sprint 1 and its backlog.

I want to emphasize some aspects:

1. The team is cross-functional, so it will help us with any issues during the Sprint 1. All developers work inside every user story.
2. Development of source code and reviewing source code, writing acceptance criteria, writing acceptance tests do different developers. It's good because it increases the quality of the product. As we said before, we use the TDD method.
3. However, because we separated the development process between several developers, we could have issues with the velocity of the current sprint. So, we should watch this moment in our everyday work during the sprint.
4. For Sprint 1 we need to use Kanban board to assign tasks and follow the progress of the tasks.
5. In Sprint 1 we have some dependencies between user stories, therefore we should use the following dependencies during fulfillment the tasks for the user stories: US #1 followed by US #27, US #24 followed by US #25, and US #32 followed by US #33.
6. Look careful in US #24. It's the one user story that doesn't have "writing unit test task". Please, add this task to US #24, it's a sufficient task.
7. Task #48 in US #32 and task #58 in US #33 looks too long for one developer during this sprint. Maybe it's better to divide Task #48 in US #32 to several tasks or use pair programming for these tasks. For Task #58 in US #33 "writing unit test for connecting with audio" assigned 16 hours. however, for "writing source code for connecting with audio" assigned 0.5 hours. Looks strange. I guess it's just a mistake during editing the iteration plan.
8. After inspecting #7 of this review, look carefully at assigned hours for every developer, it's better to do the equal percent of assigned hours for every developer.