Name: Bommakanti Venkata Naga Sai Aditya Entry No: 2019 (550471

We start the game So, we can place A at any square, a) then B can place and so on

We win the game if we can place 3 A's on the board such that none of them is on the diagonal of a square containing B

we defin

So, outcome can be determined after 5 moves

PA, PB, PA, PB, PA (PA: player placing A, PB: player placing B)

We define two variables

Ais, is A is placed at (is, is) by PA in step s B is placed at (is, is) by PB in step s

We have 3-cases.

Case-1: That It is Pa's first move So, the board is empty. Then we define of as

Ø = 3 Ai, j, VB12, j2 7 A13, j3 VB14, j4 A15, j5 Y

This is because player is considered existentially, opponent is considered universally.

Let 4= A{70ij N 7biji > mij , 1 < j < 3 , |i-i'| = [j-j']}

This formula gives conditions when mij should be true Fox my to be true, it should not have A, so Tay It should not have B on its diagonal. So, abiji for (i-i)=(i-j')

Now, we have to encode the condition for winning if we place A at Mi,i 42 = (mij -> 7 ( Krist Harisa) This encodes that don't overlap with past 14 1 ARHIVEL BARRE  $|i_3-i_2| = |j_3-j_2| V$ |15-14|= 155-141)) N {- aije N-10; kk | K=1,2,3,4,5} 115-121= 155-J21 V This encodes that it a my is true, then (13, 13) should not be on diagonal to (12, 12), taking) because A is placed at (18, 18) in step3, B is placed at (i2, j2) in step 2. Similarly (i5, j5) should not be on diagonal with (i2, j2) and (i4, j4) Thus, 42 encodes winning condition! So,  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \wedge (i, j_1) = (i, j)$ W on The was time, it imposses that If a QBF-SAT solver outputs that a mij is true it implies that mij hollows the is not on diagonal of any previous B's and manif we place A at my we eventually win the game Hence  $\phi_1 = \exists A_{i_1 j_1} \forall B_{i_2 j_2} \exists A_{i_3 j_3} \forall B_{i_4 j_4} \exists A_{i_5 j_5} (\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) \wedge ((i_3 i_4))$ (ase-2: This is Ph's 2nd move i.e. 3rd step that we placed at (i,i) where where the conditions where mis is true mis should be true is not changed Ψ2 = (mi) -> - (lis, -i4 = lis-j4)) Λ{ - aikje Λ -birje | K=1,2,3,4,5 Hence  $\phi_{1} = \exists A_{i_{3}j_{3}} \forall B_{i_{4}j_{4}} \exists A_{i_{5}j_{5}} (\psi_{1} \wedge \psi_{2}) \wedge (i_{3,j_{3}}) = (i_{1,j}))$ (ase-3! This is Ph's 30d move re. 5th stepy, is same 42 = 1 { Taikin Thikik | K=1,2,3,4,5} 

Hence,  $\phi_1 = \exists A_{i_5 j_5} (\Psi_1 \land (i_5, j_5) = (i, j)) \land \Psi_2$ 

So, based on the step number, we feed the seepended corresponding formula to QBF-SAT solver which outputs the values for mij

b) In this case, opponent starts the game It is not possible to give a propositional logic formula  $\phi_2$  using only the given propositional variables.

The reason is that we can't formulate the opponent universally. But in the previous case we can consider the opponent universally.

Let's assume that we can represent oit as formula  $\phi = 34 + B_{i_1 j_1} + A_{i_2 j_2} + B_{i_3 j_3} + A_{i_4 j_4} + B_{i_5 j_5} + \Psi$ 

Now, the move of PB in Step 3 depends on the move of PA in Step 2 & There will be a limited set of moves for B in Step 3 Boot So, we can't formulate it universally. Also, these limited set of moves change based on Pa's previous moves. Hence, we can't represent this can case as QBF