

1) $X \subseteq \Phi, \alpha \in \Phi$

To Prove: $X \models \alpha$ iff $X \vdash \alpha$

(\Rightarrow) If $X \models \alpha$ then $X \vdash \alpha$

From compactness theorem, $\exists Y \subseteq_{\text{fin}} X$ such that $Y \models \alpha$

Let $Y = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$

* So, $(\beta_1 \rightarrow (\beta_2 \rightarrow (\dots (\beta_n \rightarrow \alpha) \dots)))$ is valid

From completeness theorem we have

$$\vdash (\beta_1 \rightarrow (\beta_2 \rightarrow \dots (\beta_n \rightarrow \alpha) \dots))$$

Now, we apply deduction theorem 'n' times, we get

$$\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\} \vdash \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow Y \vdash \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow X \models \alpha$$

(\Leftarrow) If $X \vdash \alpha$, then $X \models \alpha$

Let Y be the set of formulas of X used in deriving α .
So, $Y \vdash \alpha, Y \subseteq_{\text{fin}} X$ (Y always exists because derivation length of α from X is finite)

~~From soundness, $Y \models \alpha$, so $X \models \alpha$~~

~~So, if $X \vdash \alpha$ then $X \models \alpha$~~

~~Hence, $X \models \alpha$ iff $X \vdash \alpha$~~

Let $Y = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$. So $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\} \vdash \alpha$

Using deduction theorem, $\vdash (\beta_1 \rightarrow (\beta_2 \rightarrow \dots (\beta_n \rightarrow \alpha) \dots))$

From soundness, we have $\models (\beta_1 \rightarrow (\beta_2 \rightarrow \dots (\beta_n \rightarrow \alpha) \dots))$

Which implies $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\} \models \alpha$

So, $Y \models \alpha$, so $X \models \alpha$

So, if $X \vdash \alpha$ then $X \models \alpha$

Hence, $X \models \alpha$ iff $X \vdash \alpha$

2. Let X be a set of formulas. X is FSS (Finitely Satisfiable Set) if every $Y \subseteq_{\text{fin}} X$ is satisfiable.

(a) let X be an arbitrary FSS. Let $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots$ be an enumeration of ϕ

We define an infinite sequence of sets X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots as below.

$$X_0 = X$$

$$\text{for } i \geq 0, X_{i+1} = \begin{cases} X_i \cup \{\alpha_i\} & \text{if } X_i \cup \{\alpha_i\} \text{ is FSS} \\ X_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

From construction, $X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \dots$ and each X_i is FSS.

Let us define $Y = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} X_i$

Claim: Y is maximal FSS

1) To prove: Y is FSS.

Let's assume that Y is not FSS. So, $\exists Z \subseteq_{\text{fin}} Y$ such that Z is not satisfiable. Let $Z = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$ which can be written as $\{\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_n}\}$. Indices here correspond to our enumeration in ϕ . So, $Z \subseteq_{\text{fin}} X_{k+1}$ where $k = \max\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$. So, Z as Z is not satisfiable, X_{k+1} is not a FSS. This is a contradiction. So, Y is FSS.

2) To prove: Y is maximal

Let's assume that Y is not maximal. So, $\exists \alpha_i \in \phi$ such that $Y \cup \{\alpha_i\}$ is FSS. α_i is considered while forming X_{i+1} . As α_i is not added, $X_i \cup \{\alpha_i\}$ is not FSS. So, $\exists Z \subseteq X_i$ such that $Z \cup \{\alpha_i\}$ is not satisfiable. We know that $X_i \subseteq Y$, so $Z \subseteq Y$. So, $Y \cup \{\alpha_i\}$ can't be FSS because $Z \cup \{\alpha_i\}$ is not satisfiable. This is a contradiction. So, Y is maximal.

Hence, Y is maximal FSS.

So, every FSS can be extended to a maximal FSS.

(b) X is a maximal FSS.

1) We prove that ~~$\{a, \neg a\}$~~ both $a, \neg a$ don't belong to X .
lets assume that both $a, \neg a$ belong to X .
 $\{a, \neg a\} \subseteq X$. ~~So~~, $\{a, \neg a\}$ is not satisfiable because
 $\neg(a \wedge \neg a) = a \vee \neg a$ is valid. So, X is not FSS. This is
a contradiction. So, ~~$\{a, \neg a\} \subseteq X$~~

Now, we show that atleast one of $a, \neg a$ is in X .
lets assume that both $a, \neg a$ don't belong to X . So,
there exists sets $B_1 \subseteq_{fin} X$, $B_2 \subseteq_{fin} X$ such that
 $B_1 \cup \{a\}$ is not satisfiable, $B_2 \cup \{\neg a\}$ is not satisfiable

let $B_1 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$ let $\hat{\beta} = \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_n$

$B_2 = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ let $\hat{\gamma} = \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_m$

So, $\neg(\hat{\beta} \wedge a)$ is ~~not~~ valid $\Rightarrow \neg\hat{\beta} \vee \neg a$ is valid —①

$\neg(\hat{\gamma} \wedge \neg a)$ is valid $\Rightarrow \neg\hat{\gamma} \vee a$ is valid —②

From ①, ② $\neg\hat{\beta} \vee \neg a \vee \neg\hat{\gamma} \vee a$ is valid

$\Rightarrow \neg\hat{\beta} \vee \neg\hat{\gamma}$ is valid ($\because \neg a \vee a$ is T)

$\Rightarrow \neg(\hat{\beta} \wedge \hat{\gamma})$ is valid

$\Rightarrow B_1 \cup B_2$ is not satisfiable

$B_1 \cup B_2 \subseteq_{fin} X$

So, ~~$B_1 \cup B_2$~~ X is not FSS.

This is a contradiction.

So, atleast ~~one~~ one of $a, \neg a$ is in X .

~~$a \in X$~~

So, $a \in X$ iff $\neg a \notin X$.

(c) X is a maximal FSS

(\Rightarrow) If $(\alpha \vee \beta) \in X$, then $\alpha \in X$ or $\beta \in X$

Contrapositive: If $\alpha \notin X$ and $\beta \notin X$ then $\alpha \vee \beta \notin X$

$\alpha \notin X \Rightarrow \neg \alpha \in X$ (from part b)

$\beta \notin X \Rightarrow \neg \beta \in X$

Assume that $\alpha \vee \beta \in X$

Consider the set $Y = \{\neg \alpha, \neg \beta, \alpha \vee \beta\} \subseteq X$

Y is not satisfiable because $\neg(\neg \alpha \wedge \neg \beta \wedge (\alpha \vee \beta))$
 $= (\alpha \vee \beta \vee \neg \alpha) \wedge (\alpha \vee \beta \vee \neg \beta)$
is valid

So, this is a contradiction

$\alpha \vee \beta \notin X$

(\Leftarrow) If $\alpha \in X$ or $\beta \in X$ then $\alpha \vee \beta \in X$

Contrapositive: If $\alpha \vee \beta \notin X$, then $\alpha \notin X$ and $\beta \notin X$

$\alpha \vee \beta \notin X \Rightarrow \neg(\alpha \vee \beta) \in X$
 $\Rightarrow \neg \alpha \wedge \neg \beta \in X$

1) If $\alpha \in X$, then consider the set $\{\alpha, \neg \alpha \wedge \neg \beta\} \subseteq X$

This set is not satisfiable because $\neg(\alpha \wedge \neg \alpha \wedge \neg \beta)$ is valid

So, $\alpha \notin X$

2) If $\beta \in X$, then consider the set $\{\beta, \neg \alpha \wedge \neg \beta\} \subseteq X$

This set is not satisfiable because $\neg(\beta \wedge \neg \alpha \wedge \neg \beta)$ is valid

So, $\beta \notin X$

So, $\alpha \notin X$ and $\beta \notin X$

So, if X is a maximal FSS, $(\alpha \vee \beta) \in X$ iff $(\alpha \in X \text{ or } \beta \in X)$

(d) To prove: Every maximal FSS x generates a \mathcal{V}_x such that for every formula α , $\mathcal{V}_x \models \alpha$ iff $\alpha \in x$.

Here, $\mathcal{V}_x = \{p \in \mathcal{P} \mid p \in x\}$

Proof by induction on structure of α .

Base Case: α is atomic proposition. From the definition of \mathcal{V}_x , $\mathcal{V}_x \models \alpha$ iff $\alpha \in x$.

Induction step:

1) α is of form $\neg \beta$:

$\mathcal{V}_x \models \neg \beta$ iff $\mathcal{V}_x \not\models \beta$ (Definition of valuation)

$\mathcal{V}_x \models \beta$ iff $\beta \in x$ (Induction Hypothesis)

$\beta \in x$ iff $\neg \beta \notin x$ (From 2(b))

So, $\mathcal{V}_x \models \neg \beta$ iff $\neg \beta \in x$

2) α is of form $\beta \vee \gamma$:

$\mathcal{V}_x \models \beta \vee \gamma$ iff $(\mathcal{V}_x \models \beta \text{ or } \mathcal{V}_x \models \gamma)$ (Definition of valuation)

$\mathcal{V}_x \models \beta \text{ or } \mathcal{V}_x \models \gamma$ iff $\beta \in x \text{ or } \gamma \in x$ (Induction Hypothesis)

$\beta \in x \text{ or } \gamma \in x$ iff $\beta \vee \gamma \in x$ (Proved in 2(c))

So, $\mathcal{V}_x \models \beta \vee \gamma$ iff $\beta \vee \gamma \in x$

So, every maximal FSS x , generates a valuation \mathcal{V}_x such that for every formula α , $\mathcal{V}_x \models \alpha$ iff $\alpha \in x$

(e) Given that X is FSS.

From Part (a), every FSS X can be extended to a maximal FSS Y .

From Part (d), every maximal FSS Y generates a valuation such that $v_Y \models \alpha$ iff $\alpha \in Y$.

As $X \subseteq Y$, we can have $v_Y \models \alpha$ iff $\alpha \in Y$.

So, we define $v_X = \{p \in P \mid p \in Y\} = v_Y$.

So, for every FSS X , there exists v_X such that $v_X \models X$.

So, any FSS X is simultaneously satisfiable.

(f) To Prove: For all x and for all α , $x \models \alpha$ iff there exists $Y \subseteq_{fin} x$ such that $Y \models \alpha$.

(\Rightarrow) If $x \models \alpha$ then there exists $Y \subseteq_{fin} x$ such that $Y \models \alpha$

We know that if $x \models \alpha$, then $x \cup \{\neg \alpha\}$ is not satisfiable.

So, let $x' = x \cup \{\neg \alpha\}$

As x' is not satisfiable, \exists a subset Y' of x' ($Y' \subseteq_{fin} x'$) which is not satisfiable

Let $Y = Y' \setminus \{\neg \alpha\}$

$Y \subseteq_{fin} x$. So, Y should be satisfiable

So, $Y \cup \{\neg \alpha\}$ is not satisfiable, $Y \models \alpha$.

So, $\exists Y \subseteq_{fin} x$ such that $Y \models \alpha$.

(\Leftarrow) If $\exists Y \subseteq_{fin} x$ such that $Y \models \alpha$, then $x \models \alpha$

This is trivially true because

If $v \models x$, then $v \models Y$, then $v \models \alpha$

So, $v \models x \Rightarrow v \models \alpha$

So, $x \models \alpha$

Hence, For all x , for all α , $x \models \alpha$ iff $\exists Y \subseteq_{fin} x$ such that $Y \models \alpha$.