

COL703 Assignment - 1

B.V.N.S.Aditya (2019CS50471)

12th Sep, 2022

Contents

1 Problem 1	1
1.a Part - b	1
1.b Part - d	1
2 Problem 3	2
3 Problem 4	4
3.a Part c	4
3.b Part d	4
4 Problem 7	5
5 Problem 8	6
5.a Part b	6
5.b Part d	6

1 Problem 1

1.a Part - b

1. $\neg p$	Premise
2. $p \vee q$	Premise
3. p	Premise
4. \perp	$\neg e$ 1, 3
5. q	$\perp e$ 4
6. q	Premise
7. q	$\vee e$ 5, 6

So, $\neg p, p \vee q \vdash q$ is valid.

1.b Part - d

1. $p \wedge \neg p$	Premise
2. p	$\wedge e_1$ 1
3. $\neg p$	$\wedge e_2$ 1
4. \perp	$\neg e$ 2, 3
5. $\neg(r \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow q)$	$\perp e$ 4

So, $p \wedge \neg p \vdash \neg(r \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow q)$ is valid.

2 Problem 3

Rule for \leftrightarrow Introduction ($\leftrightarrow i$):

$$\frac{\phi \rightarrow \psi, \psi \rightarrow \phi}{\phi \leftrightarrow \psi}$$

Rule-1 for \leftrightarrow Elimination ($\leftrightarrow e_1$):

$$\frac{\phi \leftrightarrow \psi, \phi}{\psi}$$

Rule-2 for \leftrightarrow Elimination ($\leftrightarrow e_2$):

$$\frac{\phi \leftrightarrow \psi, \psi}{\phi}$$

Rule-3 for \leftrightarrow Elimination ($\leftrightarrow e_3$):

$$\frac{\phi \leftrightarrow \psi, \neg \phi}{\neg \psi}$$

Rule-4 for \leftrightarrow Elimination ($\leftrightarrow e_4$):

$$\frac{\phi \leftrightarrow \psi, \neg \psi}{\neg \phi}$$

Proof for Introduction:

1. $\phi \rightarrow \psi$	Premise
2. $\psi \rightarrow \phi$	Premise
3. $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$	$\wedge i$ 1, 2
4. $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$	Interpretation of 1

Proof for Elimination - 1:

1. $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$	Premise
2. $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$	Interpretation of 1
3. ϕ	Premise
4. $\phi \rightarrow \psi$	$\wedge e_1$ 2
5. ψ	MP 4, 3

Proof for Elimination - 2:

1. $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$	Premise
2. $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$	Interpretation of 1
3. ψ	Premise
4. $\psi \rightarrow \phi$	$\wedge e_2$ 2
5. ϕ	MP 4, 3

Proof for Elimination - 3:

1. $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$	Premise
2. $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$	Interpretation of 1
3. $\neg \phi$	Premise
4. $\psi \rightarrow \phi$	$\wedge e_2$ 2
5. $\neg \psi$	MT 4, 3

Proof for Elimination - 4:

1. $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$	Premise
2. $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$	Interpretation of 1
3. $\neg \psi$	Premise
4. $\phi \rightarrow \psi$	$\wedge e_2$ 2
5. $\neg \phi$	MT 4, 3

So, we have proofs for Introduction and Elimination using basic proof rules. So, the rules of introduction, elimination of the connective \leftrightarrow are derived rules.

3 Problem 4

3.a Part c

1. $(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$	Premise
2. $(p \rightarrow r)$	$\wedge e_1$ 1
3. $p \wedge q$	Assumption
4. p	$\wedge e$ 3
5. r	$\rightarrow e$ 2, 4
6. $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$	$\rightarrow i$ 3 - 5

So, $(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$ is valid.

3.b Part d

1. $p \rightarrow q \wedge r$	Premise
2. p	Assumption
3. $q \wedge r$	MP 1, 2
4. q	$\wedge e_1$ 3
5. $p \rightarrow q$	$\rightarrow i$ 2 - 4
6. p	Assumption
7. $q \wedge r$	MP 1, 6
8. r	$\wedge e_2$ 7
9. $p \rightarrow r$	$\rightarrow i$ 6 - 8
10. $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r)$	$\wedge i$ 5, 9

So, $p \rightarrow q \wedge r \vdash (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r)$ is valid.

4 Problem 7

Truth Table of $p \leftrightarrow q$:

p	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

Claim - 1: Any formula formed using $\{\leftrightarrow, \neg\}$ having more than 1 atom has even number of T's in its truth table

Proof:

Induction on number of connectives

Base Case: Let number of connectives = 1. Formula we can form using one connective and having more than 1 atom is $p \leftrightarrow q$. From the truth table of $p \leftrightarrow q$, we can see that it has 2 T's. So, our claim is true.

Induction Hypothesis: Let's assume that our claim is true for all formulas with number of connectives less than k.

Induction Step: Let's consider a formula F with k connectives.

F can be of form $A \leftrightarrow B$ or $\neg A$ where A, B are formulas with less than k connectives

Case - 1: $F = A \leftrightarrow B$. From Induction Hypothesis, truth table of A, B have even number of T's. Number of entries in truth table is also even (because number of atoms > 1). Let no of entries in truth tables of A, B be $2^{*k_1}, 2^{*k_2}$ respectively. Let number of T's in A = 2^{*m} . So, number of F's in A = $2^{*k_1} - 2^{*m}$. Similarly, number of T's in B = 2^{*n} . So, no of F's in B = $2^{*k_2} - 2^{*n}$. From the truth table of \leftrightarrow , we can say that F will be true only when both A, B are true or both A, B are false. So, F will be true $\min(2^{*m}, 2^{*n}) + \min(2^{*k_1} - 2^{*m}, 2^{*k_2} - 2^{*n})$ times which is even. Hence, our claim is true in this case.

Case - 2: $F = \neg A$. From Induction Hypothesis, A has even number of T's in its truth table. Let no of entries in the truth table of 2^{*k_1} and no of T's be 2^{*m} . So, no of F's in truth table of A are $2^{*k_1} - 2^{*m}$. So, no of T's in the truth table of F are $2^{*k_1} - 2^{*m}$ which is even. So, our claim is true in this case.

Hence, our claim is proved.

Claim - 2: A formula of type $A \rightarrow B$ can't be represented by using $\{\leftrightarrow, \neg\}$ and has only one atom.

Proof:

Proof by Contradiction.

As we can use only one atom, we can use only \neg connective. Let the propositional atoms be $\{p, q\}$. Let's assume that a formula F formed is equivalent to $p \rightarrow q$. Now, F has only one propositional atom, let it be p. Let v, w be defined as $v(p) = T, v(q) = T, w(p) = T, w(q) = F$. From here, $v(p \rightarrow q) \neq w(p \rightarrow q)$ but $v(p) = v(q)$. This is a contradiction. Hence, we can't represent a formula of form $p \rightarrow q$ using only one atom

The formula $p \rightarrow q$ have odd number of T's in their truth tables. And from claim-2, we need atleast 2 atoms to represent it. So, we can't represent this using $\{\leftrightarrow, \neg\}$ because of Claim - 1 (As any formula formed have even number of T's). So, $\{\leftrightarrow, \neg\}$ is not adequate.

5 Problem 8

5.a Part b

Given sequent: $\neg r \rightarrow (p \vee q), r \wedge \neg q \vdash r \rightarrow q$

p	q	r	$\neg q$	$\neg r$	$p \vee q$	$\neg r \rightarrow (p \vee q)$	$r \wedge \neg q$	$r \rightarrow q$
T	T	T	F	F	T	T	F	T
T	T	F	F	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T	F	T	T	T	F
F	T	T	F	F	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	T	T	T	F	T
F	T	F	F	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	F	F	T	T	F
F	F	F	T	T	F	F	F	T

From rows 3, 7, we can observe that for valuations $p = T/F$, $q = F$, $r = T$, the truth values of formulas on left is T and the truth value for formula on right is F. So, the given sequent is not valid.

5.b Part d

Given sequent: $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)$

p	q	r	$q \rightarrow r$	$r \rightarrow q$	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	T	T	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T

From row 3, we can observe that for valuation $p = T$, $q = F$, $r = T$, the truth values of formulas on left is T and the truth value for formula on right is F. So, the given sequent is not valid.