

Sec 1

Directions (Question nos. 1 to 4): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

Montana Scalp's provocative statement about her intentions in writing *Mrs. Dalloway* has regularly been ignored by the critics, since it highlights an aspect of her literary interests very different from the traditional picture of the 'poetic' novelist concerned with examining states of reverie and vision and with following the intricate pathways of individual consciousness. She says, "I want to criticize the social system, and to show it at work, at its most intense." But Montana Scalp was a realistic as well as a poetic novelist, a satirist and social critic as well as a visionary: literary critics' cavalier dismissal of Scalp's social vision will not withstand scrutiny. In her novels, Scalp is deeply engaged by the questions of how individuals are shaped (or deformed) by their social environments, how historical forces impinge on people's lives, how class, wealth, and gender help to determine people's fates. Most of her novels are rooted in a realistically rendered social setting and in a precise historical time.

Scalp's focus on society has not been generally recognized because of her intense antipathy to propaganda in art. The pictures of reformers in her novels are usually satiric or sharply critical. Even when Scalp is fundamentally sympathetic to their causes, she portrays people anxious to reform their society and possessed of a message or program as arrogant or dishonest, unaware of how their political ideas serve their own psychological needs. Her diary notes: "... the only honest people are the artists ..." whereas "... these social reformers and philanthropists ... harbour ... discreditable desires under the disguise of loving their kind ..." Scalp detested what she called 'preaching' in fiction, too, and criticized novelist D. H. Lawrence (among others) for working by this method. Scalp's own social criticism is expressed in the language of observation rather than in direct commentary, since for her, fiction is a contemplative, not an active art. She describes phenomena and provides materials for a judgment about society and social issues; it is the reader's work to put the observations together and understand the coherent point of view behind them. As a moralist, Scalp works by indirection, subtly undermining officially accepted mores, mocking, suggesting, calling into question, rather than asserting, advocating, bearing witness: hers is the satirist's art. Scalp's literary models were acute social observers like Checkhov and Checky. As she put it in *The Common Reader*: "It is safe to say that not a single law has been framed or one stone set upon another because of anything Checky said or wrote; and yet, as we read him, we are absorbing morality at every pore." Like Checky, Scalp chose to understand as well as to judge, to know her society root and branch — a decision crucial in order to produce art rather than polemic.

Q.1 [11831809]

Which of the following could be considered the main idea of the passage?

- 1 ☐ Poetry and satire as dominant influences on the novels of Montana Scalp
- 2 ☐ A commentary on Montana Scalp's role as a critic of the Twentieth-Century Novel
- 3 ☐ Trends in contemporary reform movements as a key to understanding Montana Scalp's novels
- 4 ☐ Montana Scalp's novels being critical reflections on the individual and on society

Solution:

Correct Answer : 4

 Answer key/Solution

The topic of the passage is Scalp's novels, and the author emphasizes that the novels contain observations concerning 'how individuals are shaped (or deformed) by their social environments'. In fact, the entire first paragraph of the passage is devoted to the fact that Scalp was much more than just a poetic novelist; that she was a social visionary who tried to look critically at the individual, society and social systems.

Incorrect Answers:

1 – The passage does not support this observation.

2 – The passage is more an analysis of her work

3 – Again, this is not the core idea being discussed.

Bookmark

FeedBack

Directions (Question nos. 1 to 4): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

Montana Scalp's provocative statement about her intentions in writing *Mrs. Dalloway* has regularly been ignored by the critics, since it highlights an aspect of her literary interests very different from the traditional picture of the 'poetic' novelist concerned with examining states of reverie and vision and with following the intricate pathways of individual consciousness. She says, "I want to criticize the social system, and to show it at work, at its most intense." But Montana Scalp was a realistic as well as a poetic novelist, a satirist and social critic as well as a visionary: literary critics' cavalier dismissal of Scalp's social vision will not withstand scrutiny. In her novels, Scalp is deeply engaged by the questions of how individuals are shaped (or deformed) by their social environments, how historical forces impinge on people's lives, how class, wealth, and gender help to determine people's fates. Most of her novels are rooted in a realistically rendered social setting and in a precise historical time.

Scalp's focus on society has not been generally recognized because of her intense antipathy to propaganda in art. The pictures of reformers in her novels are usually satiric or sharply critical. Even when Scalp is fundamentally sympathetic to their causes, she portrays people anxious to reform their society and possessed of a message or program as arrogant or dishonest, unaware of how their political ideas serve their own psychological needs. Her diary notes: "... the only honest people are the artists ..." whereas "... these social reformers and philanthropists ... harbour ... discreditable desires under the disguise of loving their kind ..." Scalp detested what she called 'preaching' in fiction, too, and criticized novelist D. H. Lawrence (among others) for working by this method. Scalp's own social criticism is expressed in the language of observation rather than in direct commentary, since for her, fiction is a contemplative, not an active art. She describes phenomena and provides materials for a judgment about society and social issues; it is the reader's work to put the observations together and understand the coherent point of view behind them. As a moralist, Scalp works by indirection, subtly undermining officially accepted mores, mocking, suggesting, calling into question, rather than asserting, advocating, bearing witness: hers is the satirist's art. Scalp's literary models were acute social observers like Chekhov and Cheky. As she put it in *The Common Reader*: "It is safe to say that not a single law has been framed or one stone set upon another because of anything Cheky said or wrote; and yet, as we read him, we are absorbing morality at every pore." Like Cheky, Scalp chose to understand as well as to judge, to know her society root and branch — a decision crucial in order to produce art rather than polemic.

Q.2 [11831809]

It can be inferred from the passage that Scalp chose Checky as a literary model because she believed that:

- 1 ☐ Checky was the first English author to focus on society as a whole as well as on individual characters.
-
- 2 ☐ Checky was an honest and forthright author, whereas novelists like D. H. Lawrence did not wish to change society.
-
- 3 ☐ Checky was more concerned with understanding his society than with calling its accepted mores into question.
-
- 4 ☐ Checky's writings were greatly, if subtly, effective in having an influence on the moral attitudes of his readers.
-

Solution:

Correct Answer : 4

Correct Answer: 4

The author discusses Scalp's literary models, emphasizing Checky, in the last paragraph. The reason why Scalp chose Checky as her model is not directly stated in the passage but must be inferred from the information there. Option (4) is the correct answer. It indicates that Scalp's work as a moralist is subtle and done 'by indirection'. Scalp's statement that readers absorb morality at every pore despite the fact that no laws were changed because of Checky indicates that she believed Checky's influence to be subtle. Therefore, it is likely that it was Checky's subtle effectiveness that led Scalp to choose him as a model.

Incorrect Answers:

None of the other options are supported by the information given in the passage.

Bookmark

FeedBack

 Answer key/Solution

Directions (Question nos. 1 to 4): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

Montana Scalp's provocative statement about her intentions in writing *Mrs. Dalloway* has regularly been ignored by the critics, since it highlights an aspect of her literary interests very different from the traditional picture of the 'poetic' novelist concerned with examining states of reverie and vision and with following the intricate pathways of individual consciousness. She says, "I want to criticize the social system, and to show it at work, at its most intense." But Montana Scalp was a realistic as well as a poetic novelist, a satirist and social critic as well as a visionary: literary critics' cavalier dismissal of Scalp's social vision will not withstand scrutiny. In her novels, Scalp is deeply engaged by the questions of how individuals are shaped (or deformed) by their social environments, how historical forces impinge on people's lives, how class, wealth, and gender help to determine people's fates. Most of her novels are rooted in a realistically rendered social setting and in a precise historical time.

Scalp's focus on society has not been generally recognized because of her intense antipathy to propaganda in art. The pictures of reformers in her novels are usually satiric or sharply critical. Even when Scalp is fundamentally sympathetic to their causes, she portrays people anxious to reform their society and possessed of a message or program as arrogant or dishonest, unaware of how their political ideas serve their own psychological needs. Her diary notes: "... the only honest people are the artists ..." whereas "... these social reformers and philanthropists ... harbour ... discreditable desires under the disguise of loving their kind ..." Scalp detested what she called 'preaching' in fiction, too, and criticized novelist D. H. Lawrence (among others) for working by this method. Scalp's own social criticism is expressed in the language of observation rather than in direct commentary, since for her, fiction is a contemplative, not an active art. She describes phenomena and provides materials for a judgment about society and social issues; it is the reader's work to put the observations together and understand the coherent point of view behind them. As a moralist, Scalp works by indirection, subtly undermining officially accepted mores, mocking, suggesting, calling into question, rather than asserting, advocating, bearing witness: hers is the satirist's art. Scalp's literary models were acute social observers like Checkhov and Checky. As she put it in *The Common Reader*: "It is safe to say that not a single law has been framed or one stone set upon another because of anything Checky said or wrote; and yet, as we read him, we are absorbing morality at every pore." Like Checky, Scalp chose to understand as well as to judge, to know her society root and branch — a decision crucial in order to produce art rather than polemic.

Q.3 [11831809]

It can be inferred from the passage that the most probable reason Scalp realistically describes the social setting in the majority of her novels was that she:

1 ☐ was aware that contemporary literary critics considered the novel to be the most realistic of literary genres.

2 ☐ was interested in the effect of a person's social milieu on his or her character and actions.

3 ☐ needed to be as attentive to detail as possible in her novels in order to support the arguments she advanced in them.

4 ☐ wanted to show that a painstaking fidelity in the representation of reality did not in any way hamper the artist.

Solution:

Correct Answer : 2

Correct Answer: 2

 Answer key/Solution

The author states that Scalp's novels presented social settings realistically. The question asks why Scalp did so. Option (2) is the best answer. In the first paragraph the author states that: "In her novels, Scalp is deeply engaged by the questions of how individuals are shaped (or deformed) by their social environments, how historical forces impinge on people's lives, how class, wealth and gender help to determine people's fates." This shows that Scalp was interested in the effect of social environment on the individual.

Incorrect Answers:

None of the other options are supported by the information given in the passage.

Bookmark

FeedBack

Directions (Question nos. 1 to 4): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

Montana Scalp's provocative statement about her intentions in writing *Mrs. Dalloway* has regularly been ignored by the critics, since it highlights an aspect of her literary interests very different from the traditional picture of the 'poetic' novelist concerned with examining states of reverie and vision and with following the intricate pathways of individual consciousness. She says, "I want to criticize the social system, and to show it at work, at its most intense." But Montana Scalp was a realistic as well as a poetic novelist, a satirist and social critic as well as a visionary: literary critics' cavalier dismissal of Scalp's social vision will not withstand scrutiny. In her novels, Scalp is deeply engaged by the questions of how individuals are shaped (or deformed) by their social environments, how historical forces impinge on people's lives, how class, wealth, and gender help to determine people's fates. Most of her novels are rooted in a realistically rendered social setting and in a precise historical time.

Scalp's focus on society has not been generally recognized because of her intense antipathy to propaganda in art. The pictures of reformers in her novels are usually satiric or sharply critical. Even when Scalp is fundamentally sympathetic to their causes, she portrays people anxious to reform their society and possessed of a message or program as arrogant or dishonest, unaware of how their political ideas serve their own psychological needs. Her diary notes: "... the only honest people are the artists ..." whereas "... these social reformers and philanthropists ... harbour ... discreditable desires under the disguise of loving their kind ..." Scalp detested what she called 'preaching' in fiction, too, and criticized novelist D. H. Lawrence (among others) for working by this method. Scalp's own social criticism is expressed in the language of observation rather than in direct commentary, since for her, fiction is a contemplative, not an active art. She describes phenomena and provides materials for a judgment about society and social issues; it is the reader's work to put the observations together and understand the coherent point of view behind them. As a moralist, Scalp works by indirection, subtly undermining officially accepted mores, mocking, suggesting, calling into question, rather than asserting, advocating, bearing witness: hers is the satirist's art. Scalp's literary models were acute social observers like Chekhov and Cheky. As she put it in *The Common Reader*: "It is safe to say that not a single law has been framed or one stone set upon another because of anything Cheky said or wrote; and yet, as we read him, we are absorbing morality at every pore." Like Cheky, Scalp chose to understand as well as to judge, to know her society root and branch — a decision crucial in order to produce art rather than polemic.

Q.4 [11831809]

The passage supplies information for answering which of the following questions?

- 1 ☐ Have literary critics ignored the social criticism inherent in the work of Chekhov and Chekov?
-
- 2 ☐ Does the author believe that Scalp is solely an introspective and visionary novelist?
-
- 3 ☐ What are the social causes with which Scalp shows herself to be sympathetic in her writings?
-
- 4 ☐ Was D. H. Lawrence as concerned as Scalp was with creating realistic settings for his novels?
-

Solution:

Correct Answer : 2

Correct Answer: 2

This question asks you to determine which of the questions given can be answered using the information in the passage. To do this, you must first attempt to answer each question using only the information presented by the author. Option (2) is the correct answer as paragraph one states that: "But Montana Scalp was a realistic as well as a poetic novelist, a satirist and social critic as well as a visionary ..." The answer to the question in option (2) is therefore, 'No'.

Incorrect Answers:

None of the other questions posed can be effectively answered based on the information provided in the passage.

Bookmark

FeedBack

 Answer key/Solution

Directions (Question nos. 5 to 8): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

In the last two decades, literature of transnational studies has flourished to examine transnational movements of culture, ideas, capital, and people. Transnational studies underline processes and connections across specific state borders. State agents and institutions are understood to be important participants in shaping but not limiting the social, cultural, economic, and political linkages of people. Transnational studies enable us to theorize about the changing role and character of the state by maintaining state processes and structures within our frame of analysis, and yet not confining our field of study within the borders of any one state. The state has been a violent actor in shaping identification and exclusion in Sudan. While before the split of South Sudan from Sudan, Sudanese society was defined as multiracial, multicultural, multi-religious, and multi-linguistic, the former Sudan was formed through forcefully uniting various nations to one single state. As a consequence, the country was plagued by conflict and disintegration. Current violent political conflicts are manifestations of legacies of the past, namely histories of slavery and colonialism. In the case of South Sudan, this has led to decades of civil war and secession from the north in 2011. Additionally, the South was joined by other segments of the country in its appeal for more participation, equal sharing of power and wealth, and call for independence. The 2005 peace agreement that paved the way for secession in 2011 did not address the other problems of the country apart from the North-South conflict. Many scholars feel that if a group is marginalized by strongly negative other-definitions (that is, racist structures and practices), it may emphasize its cultural identity as a source of resistance. Together with this, the dominant group's very fear of separatism can be reinforced, prompting even more discrimination. Perhaps, secession results more from failure than the objective unfeasibility to achieve workable and fair plural-ethnic coexistence within the borders of the same state. Thus, there are only two choices, either continuous ethnic discrimination and tension or secession. Self-determination is thereby turned into a de-facto solution. This violent and exclusionary politics of identity in Sudan has created a deeply politicized South Sudanese diaspora as a product of war and displacement. Diaspora is a Greek word, derived from the verb *diaspeiro*, "scattered," which was used as early as the fifth century BC by Sophocles, Herodotus, and Thucydides, and it is no accident that the term has been applied to the situation of the descendants of Africans living on other continents. The modern use of this term focuses on the voluntary or involuntary migration of people; the preservation or the re-creation of identification with a country or a land of origin; and the existence of communities that claim their belonging to a place, sometimes regardless of their spatially flexible existence. Diaspora, therefore, has turned into a term that denotes any phenomenon of dispersal from a place; the constitution of an ethnic, national, or religious community in one or more countries; a population spread over more than one region; the places of dispersion; or any non-territorial space where interactions take place. Some scholars see this flexibility as proof of migration's diversity.

Q.5 [11831809]

All of the following statements can be inferred from the passage EXCEPT that:

-
- 1 ☐ Sudan in the 20th century accommodated different religions.
-
- 2 ☐ Transnational studies is restricted within the border of a particular state.
-
- 3 ☐ The South Sudanese population has been victims of displacement.
-
- 4 ☐ Transnational studies centre around culture.
-

Solution:

Correct Answer : 2

Correct answer: 2

 Answer key/Solution

Option (2) is the answer. In the light of the passage, option (2) is wrong. Refer to the sentence: "Transnational studies enable us to theorize about the changing role and character of the state by maintaining state processes and structures within our frame of analysis, and yet not confining our field of study within the borders of any one state. Therefore, option (2) is the answer.

Incorrect answers:

Option (1) is correct in the light of the passage. Refer to: "While before the split of South Sudan from Sudan, Sudanese society was defined as multiracial, multicultural, multi-religious, and multi-linguistic, the former Sudan was formed through forcefully uniting various nations to one single state."

Option (3) is correct in the light of the passage. Refer to: "This violent and exclusionary politics of identity in Sudan has created a deeply politicized South Sudanese diaspora as a product of war and displacement."

Option (4) is correct in the light of the first sentence of the passage. Therefore, it cannot be the answer.

Bookmark

FeedBack

Directions (Question nos. 5 to 8): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

In the last two decades, literature of transnational studies has flourished to examine transnational movements of culture, ideas, capital, and people. Transnational studies underline processes and connections across specific state borders. State agents and institutions are understood to be important participants in shaping but not limiting the social, cultural, economic, and political linkages of people. Transnational studies enable us to theorize about the changing role and character of the state by maintaining state processes and structures within our frame of analysis, and yet not confining our field of study within the borders of any one state. The state has been a violent actor in shaping identification and exclusion in Sudan. While before the split of South Sudan from Sudan, Sudanese society was defined as multiracial, multicultural, multi-religious, and multi-linguistic, the former Sudan was formed through forcefully uniting various nations to one single state. As a consequence, the country was plagued by conflict and disintegration. Current violent political conflicts are manifestations of legacies of the past, namely histories of slavery and colonialism. In the case of South Sudan, this has led to decades of civil war and secession from the north in 2011. Additionally, the South was joined by other segments of the country in its appeal for more participation, equal sharing of power and wealth, and call for independence. The 2005 peace agreement that paved the way for secession in 2011 did not address the other problems of the country apart from the North-South conflict. Many scholars feel that if a group is marginalized by strongly negative other-definitions (that is, racist structures and practices), it may emphasize its cultural identity as a source of resistance. Together with this, the dominant group's very fear of separatism can be reinforced, prompting even more discrimination. Perhaps, secession results more from failure than the objective unfeasibility to achieve workable and fair plural-ethnic coexistence within the borders of the same state. Thus, there are only two choices, either continuous ethnic discrimination and tension or secession. Self-determination is thereby turned into a de-facto solution. This violent and exclusionary politics of identity in Sudan has created a deeply politicized South Sudanese diaspora as a product of war and displacement. Diaspora is a Greek word, derived from the verb *diaspeiro*, "scattered," which was used as early as the fifth century BC by Sophocles, Herodotus, and Thucydides, and it is no accident that the term has been applied to the situation of the descendants of Africans living on other continents. The modern use of this term focuses on the voluntary or involuntary migration of people; the preservation or the re-creation of identification with a country or a land of origin; and the existence of communities that claim their belonging to a place, sometimes regardless of their spatially flexible existence. Diaspora, therefore, has turned into a term that denotes any phenomenon of dispersal from a place; the constitution of an ethnic, national, or religious community in one or more countries; a population spread over more than one region; the places of dispersion; or any non-territorial space where interactions take place. Some scholars see this flexibility as proof of migration's diversity.

Q.6 [11831809]

All of the following arguments are made in the passage EXCEPT that:

- 1 ☐ The 2005 Peace agreement was an inconclusive one.
 - 2 ☐ Servitude could be traced in Sudan's history.
 - 3 ☐ Self-determination can address ethnic tension, at least to a certain extent.
 - 4 ☐ Present incidences of violence can never be related to the historicity of a nation space.
-

Solution:

Correct Answer : 4

Correct answer: 4

 Answer key/Solution

Option 4 is wrong because "Current violent political conflicts are manifestations of legacies of the past, namely histories of slavery and colonialism." Therefore, there is a connection between present day violence and the history of a nation space.

Incorrect answers:

Option (1) is correct as per the passage. Refer to the sentence: "The 2005 peace agreement that paved the way for secession in 2011 did not address the other problems of the country apart from the North-South conflict."

Option (2) is true in the light of the passage. Refer to: "Current violent political conflicts are manifestations of legacies of the past, namely histories of slavery and colonialism." Therefore, option (2) cannot be the answer.

Option (3) is true in the light of the passage. Refer to: "Thus, there are only two choices, either continuous ethnic discrimination and tension or secession. Self-determination is thereby turned into a de-facto solution." Therefore, it is not the answer.

Bookmark

FeedBack

Directions (Question nos. 5 to 8): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

In the last two decades, literature of transnational studies has flourished to examine transnational movements of culture, ideas, capital, and people. Transnational studies underline processes and connections across specific state borders. State agents and institutions are understood to be important participants in shaping but not limiting the social, cultural, economic, and political linkages of people. Transnational studies enable us to theorize about the changing role and character of the state by maintaining state processes and structures within our frame of analysis, and yet not confining our field of study within the borders of any one state. The state has been a violent actor in shaping identification and exclusion in Sudan. While before the split of South Sudan from Sudan, Sudanese society was defined as multiracial, multicultural, multi-religious, and multi-linguistic, the former Sudan was formed through forcefully uniting various nations to one single state. As a consequence, the country was plagued by conflict and disintegration. Current violent political conflicts are manifestations of legacies of the past, namely histories of slavery and colonialism. In the case of South Sudan, this has led to decades of civil war and secession from the north in 2011. Additionally, the South was joined by other segments of the country in its appeal for more participation, equal sharing of power and wealth, and call for independence. The 2005 peace agreement that paved the way for secession in 2011 did not address the other problems of the country apart from the North-South conflict. Many scholars feel that if a group is marginalized by strongly negative other-definitions (that is, racist structures and practices), it may emphasize its cultural identity as a source of resistance. Together with this, the dominant group's very fear of separatism can be reinforced, prompting even more discrimination. Perhaps, secession results more from failure than the objective unfeasibility to achieve workable and fair plural-ethnic coexistence within the borders of the same state. Thus, there are only two choices, either continuous ethnic discrimination and tension or secession. Self-determination is thereby turned into a de-facto solution. This violent and exclusionary politics of identity in Sudan has created a deeply politicized South Sudanese diaspora as a product of war and displacement. Diaspora is a Greek word, derived from the verb *diaspeiro*, "scattered," which was used as early as the fifth century BC by Sophocles, Herodotus, and Thucydides, and it is no accident that the term has been applied to the situation of the descendants of Africans living on other continents. The modern use of this term focuses on the voluntary or involuntary migration of people; the preservation or the re-creation of identification with a country or a land of origin; and the existence of communities that claim their belonging to a place, sometimes regardless of their spatially flexible existence. Diaspora, therefore, has turned into a term that denotes any phenomenon of dispersal from a place; the constitution of an ethnic, national, or religious community in one or more countries; a population spread over more than one region; the places of dispersion; or any non-territorial space where interactions take place. Some scholars see this flexibility as proof of migration's diversity.

Q.7 [11831809]

Which one of the following, if true, would best complement the passage's findings?

-
- 1 ☐ According to Sophocles, the Africans migrated to other continents because of better trade and commerce facilities.
-
- 2 ☐ The modern day usage of the term diaspora has been primarily restricted only within the space of African migration to other continents.
-
- 3 ☐ Certain scholars opine that for a subaltern group, cultural identity becomes an agency of resistance.
-

4 ☐ It has been witnessed that the Southern Sudanese diaspora could never accept the effects of migration and cross-cultural conflict.

Solution:

Correct Answer : 3

Correct answer: 3

 Answer key/Solution

Option 3 can be inferred from the sentence, "Many scholars feel that if a group is marginalized by strongly negative other-definitions (that is, racist structures and practices), it may emphasize its cultural identity as a source of resistance." Therefore, option (3) is the answer.

Incorrect answers:

Option (1) is incorrect because it is out of scope. No such thing has been mentioned by Sophocles.

Option (2) is incorrect because the modern day usage of the term diaspora is not restricted within the African context alone.

Option (4) is incorrect because it is out of scope. Although the passage mentions that the Southern Sudanese diaspora has been affected by war and displacement, option (4) is out of scope.

Bookmark

FeedBack

Directions (Question nos. 5 to 8): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

In the last two decades, literature of transnational studies has flourished to examine transnational movements of culture, ideas, capital, and people. Transnational studies underline processes and connections across specific state borders. State agents and institutions are understood to be important participants in shaping but not limiting the social, cultural, economic, and political linkages of people. Transnational studies enable us to theorize about the changing role and character of the state by maintaining state processes and structures within our frame of analysis, and yet not confining our field of study within the borders of any one state. The state has been a violent actor in shaping identification and exclusion in Sudan. While before the split of South Sudan from Sudan, Sudanese society was defined as multiracial, multicultural, multi-religious, and multi-linguistic, the former Sudan was formed through forcefully uniting various nations to one single state. As a consequence, the country was plagued by conflict and disintegration. Current violent political conflicts are manifestations of legacies of the past, namely histories of slavery and colonialism. In the case of South Sudan, this has led to decades of civil war and secession from the north in 2011. Additionally, the South was joined by other segments of the country in its appeal for more participation, equal sharing of power and wealth, and call for independence. The 2005 peace agreement that paved the way for secession in 2011 did not address the other problems of the country apart from the North-South conflict. Many scholars feel that if a group is marginalized by strongly negative other-definitions (that is, racist structures and practices), it may emphasize its cultural identity as a source of resistance. Together with this, the dominant group's very fear of separatism can be reinforced, prompting even more discrimination. Perhaps, secession results more from failure than the objective unfeasibility to achieve workable and fair plural-ethnic coexistence within the borders of the same state. Thus, there are only two choices, either continuous ethnic discrimination and tension or secession. Self-determination is thereby turned into a de-facto solution. This violent and exclusionary politics of identity in Sudan has created a deeply politicized South Sudanese diaspora as a product of war and displacement. Diaspora is a Greek word, derived from the verb *diaspeiro*, "scattered," which was used as early as the fifth century BC by Sophocles, Herodotus, and Thucydides, and it is no accident that the term has been applied to the situation of the descendants of Africans living on other continents. The modern use of this term focuses on the voluntary or involuntary migration of people; the preservation or the re-creation of identification with a country or a land of origin; and the existence of communities that claim their belonging to a place, sometimes regardless of their spatially flexible existence. Diaspora, therefore, has turned into a term that denotes any phenomenon of dispersal from a place; the constitution of an ethnic, national, or religious community in one or more countries; a population spread over more than one region; the places of dispersion; or any non-territorial space where interactions take place. Some scholars see this flexibility as proof of migration's diversity.

Q.8 [11831809]

Following from the passage, which one of the following options may be seen as a characteristic of a diasporic community?

-
- 1 ☐ In today's age, diaspora refers only to forced migration of people.
-
- 2 ☐ Diaspora can refer to the formation of a cultural space in more than one country.
-
- 3 ☐ Diaspora, in the light of the passage, refers only to a single national space.
-
- 4 ☐ Researchers of diaspora have traditionally viewed relocation as a linear entity.
-

Solution:

Correct Answer : 2

Correct answer: 2

 Answer key/Solution

Option (2) is the correct answer in the light of the passage. Refer to: "Diaspora, therefore, has turned into a term that denotes any phenomenon of dispersal from a place; the constitution of an ethnic, national, or religious community in one or more countries."

Incorrect answers

Option (1) is wrong because "The modern use of this term focuses on the voluntary or involuntary migration of people".

Option (3) is wrong because "Diaspora, therefore, has turned into a term that denotes any phenomenon of dispersal from a place; the constitution of an ethnic, national, or religious community in one or more countries"

Option (4) is wrong because "Some scholars see this flexibility as proof of migration's diversity." Therefore, relocation can have diverse patterns.

Bookmark

FeedBack

Directions (Question nos. 9 to 12): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

The question *How should we live?* is one that many ask in a crisis, jolted out of normal patterns of life. But that question is not always a simple request for a straightforward answer, as if we could somehow read off the 'correct' answer from the world.

The presence of a humanness, or a depth, to these sorts of questions comes not just from the context in which they're asked, but also from their origin, their speaker. They are real questions for real people, and shouldn't be dismissed with a logical flourish or treated like an interesting topic for a seminar. I would laugh if I heard a computer ask *How should we live?* after beating it at chess, but I would cry to hear a wife ask her husband, on the death of their son, *How should we live?* Although the same words have been uttered, these questions have a different form: the mother's question contains a qualitative depth, a humanness that isn't there in the computer's question. We must acknowledge this if we want to find an answer to the specific question she asked with such poignancy.

The computer is a thing that cannot meaningfully ask those sorts of questions; in contrast, it's offensive to call a person a 'thing'. Only a human can ask that sort of question within this sort of context. We would hear the mother's words and say that they contain a depth that's revealing something perhaps previously hidden about herself; the computer's question isn't even said to be shallow. It seems to have nothing of that sort to reveal about itself whatsoever, like a parrot repeating the words it has been taught without the complexity of the human context that gives them their usual meaning.

The 'life' of the computer, we suppose, is either one-dimensional due to it lacking depth or, even if it has depth, it would be uncommunicable through human language, because, simply put, we and they differ so much. The humanness that provides the depth to our language is simply inaccessible to silicon chips and copper wires, and vice versa.

This depth to the human condition is part of what we mean when we speak of our humanity, spirit or soul, and anyone who wishes to question or explore this aspect of the human condition must do so in a form of language that can access and replicate its depth. We call those sorts of languages spiritual. But this way of speaking shouldn't be taken literally. It doesn't mean that spirits, souls and God exist, or that we must believe in their literal existence in order to use this sort of language.

Consider, for example, what atheists mean by 'soul' when they refute the cognitive proposition that asserts the literal existence of souls, in comparison with what I mean when I describe slavery as soul-destroying. If atheists were to argue that slavery cannot be soul-destroying because souls don't exist, then I would say that there's a meaning here that's lost on them by being overly literal. If the statement 'Slavery is soul-destroying' is forced into a purely cognitive form, then not only does it misrepresent what I mean to say, it actively prevents me from ever saying it. I want to express something that represents the depth of the sort of experience I'm having: this isn't a matter of making an implied statement about whether or not souls exist – it's not affected by the literal existence or non-existence of souls. This sort of meaning to spiritual language is found at a different dimension to where cognitivists look, irrespective of their atheism, and this is achieved through our capacity to embed a dimension of depth to the form of our language through the non-cognitive process of expressing, describing and evoking our sense of humanity within one another.

Q.9 [11831809]

Which of the following correctly states the main idea of the passage?

1 ☐ The form that a language takes reflects the complex social context of the life of a speaker, and that governs the listener's perception.

2 ☐ When considering how to answer questions such as '*How should we live?*', we should first reflect on how and by whom it is being asked.

3 ☐ *How to live?*, so often asked by us in times of crisis and despair, or love and joy, expresses and indeed defines our sense of humanity.

4 ☐ Answers to the question *how to live a good life?* depend on the degree to which speaker and his listener share a similar experience of life.

Solution:

Correct Answer : 2

Correct answer: 2

Following are the key ideas of the passage:

1. The entire passage revolves around the factors that govern the interpretation of certain questions (such as 'how should we live'), and it has laid out certain analogies, comparison and examples to assert that the humanness in the minds and emotions of humans are all that drive the interpretation of the concerning question.

2. The passage also asserts that the speaker of such questions and the underlying context both shape the way one should approach the answers to such questions.

Only option 2 captures both the key ideas.

Incorrect options:

Option 1: It is too general in its implication as it does not talk about the kind of questions the passage deals with.

Option 3: It completely misses the second key idea of the passage.

Option 4: It is too narrow in its scope as it focuses only on the question, 'how should we live'. Moreover, it mentions 'experiences of life', which lies outside the scope of the passage.

 **Answer key/Solution**

Bookmark

FeedBack

Directions (Question nos. 9 to 12): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

The question *How should we live?* is one that many ask in a crisis, jolted out of normal patterns of life. But that question is not always a simple request for a straightforward answer, as if we could somehow read off the ‘correct’ answer from the world.

The presence of a humanness, or a depth, to these sorts of questions comes not just from the context in which they’re asked, but also from their origin, their speaker. They are real questions for real people, and shouldn’t be dismissed with a logical flourish or treated like an interesting topic for a seminar. I would laugh if I heard a computer ask *How should we live?* after beating it at chess, but I would cry to hear a wife ask her husband, on the death of their son, *How should we live?* Although the same words have been uttered, these questions have a different form: the mother’s question contains a qualitative depth, a humanness that isn’t there in the computer’s question. We must acknowledge this if we want to find an answer to the specific question she asked with such poignancy.

The computer is a thing that cannot meaningfully ask those sorts of questions; in contrast, it’s offensive to call a person a ‘thing’. Only a human can ask that sort of question within this sort of context. We would hear the mother’s words and say that they contain a depth that’s revealing something perhaps previously hidden about herself; the computer’s question isn’t even said to be shallow. It seems to have nothing of that sort to reveal about itself whatsoever, like a parrot repeating the words it has been taught without the complexity of the human context that gives them their usual meaning.

The ‘life’ of the computer, we suppose, is either one-dimensional due to it lacking depth or, even if it has depth, it would be uncommunicable through human language, because, simply put, we and they differ so much. The humanness that provides the depth to our language is simply inaccessible to silicon chips and copper wires, and vice versa.

This depth to the human condition is part of what we mean when we speak of our humanity, spirit or soul, and anyone who wishes to question or explore this aspect of the human condition must do so in a form of language that can access and replicate its depth. We call those sorts of languages spiritual. But this way of speaking shouldn’t be taken literally. It doesn’t mean that spirits, souls and God exist, or that we must believe in their literal existence in order to use this sort of language.

Consider, for example, what atheists mean by ‘soul’ when they refute the cognitive proposition that asserts the literal existence of souls, in comparison with what I mean when I describe slavery as soul-destroying. If atheists were to argue that slavery cannot be soul-destroying because souls don’t exist, then I would say that there’s a meaning here that’s lost on them by being overly literal. If the statement ‘Slavery is soul-destroying’ is forced into a purely cognitive form, then not only does it misrepresent what I mean to say, it actively prevents me from ever saying it. I want to express something that represents the depth of the sort of experience I’m having: this isn’t a matter of making an implied statement about whether or not souls exist – it’s not affected by the literal existence or non-existence of souls. This sort of meaning to spiritual language is found at a different dimension to where cognitivists look, irrespective of their atheism, and this is achieved through our capacity to embed a dimension of depth to the form of our language through the non-cognitive process of expressing, describing and evoking our sense of humanity within one another.

Q.10 [11831809]

The author of the passage would agree with all of the following, EXCEPT:

-
- 1 ☐ Questions about the meaning of life and others of a similar kind can be misconstrued by those too ready to think of them as straightforward requests for an objective true answer.
-
- 2 ☐ Computers can never be intelligent, 'conscious' or 'sentient' like human beings, and human language will always be 'private'.
-
- 3 ☐ Spiritual language is necessary for the interpretation of ideas surrounding the manifestations of the depth of the human condition.
-
- 4 ☐ The speaker and the context of certain difficult questions about life play a significant role in the quest for answers to such questions.
-

Solution:

Correct Answer : 2

Correct answer: 2

Option 2: At no point of time has the author argued that computers can 'never' become sentient. The author has limited his argument to how computers are presently, instead of making any prediction or an overreaching claim about the capabilities of computers.

Incorrect options:

Option 1: It can be inferred from these lines: 'But that question is not always a simple request for a straightforward answer, as if we could somehow read off the 'correct' answer from the world...But this way of speaking shouldn't be taken literally. It doesn't mean that spirits, souls and God exist, or that we must believe in their literal existence in order to use this sort of language...'

Option 3: It can be inferred from these lines: 'This depth to the human condition is part of what we mean when we speak of our humanity, spirit or soul, and anyone who wishes to question or explore this aspect of the human condition must do so in a form of language that can access and replicate its depth. We call those sorts of languages spiritual'

Option 4: It can be inferred from these lines: 'The presence of a humanness, or a depth, to these sorts of questions comes not just from the context in which they're asked, but also from their origin, their speaker.'

 Answer key/Solution

Bookmark

FeedBack

Directions (Question nos. 9 to 12): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

The question *How should we live?* is one that many ask in a crisis, jolted out of normal patterns of life. But that question is not always a simple request for a straightforward answer, as if we could somehow read off the ‘correct’ answer from the world.

The presence of a humanness, or a depth, to these sorts of questions comes not just from the context in which they’re asked, but also from their origin, their speaker. They are real questions for real people, and shouldn’t be dismissed with a logical flourish or treated like an interesting topic for a seminar. I would laugh if I heard a computer ask *How should we live?* after beating it at chess, but I would cry to hear a wife ask her husband, on the death of their son, *How should we live?* Although the same words have been uttered, these questions have a different form: the mother’s question contains a qualitative depth, a humanness that isn’t there in the computer’s question. We must acknowledge this if we want to find an answer to the specific question she asked with such poignancy.

The computer is a thing that cannot meaningfully ask those sorts of questions; in contrast, it’s offensive to call a person a ‘thing’. Only a human can ask that sort of question within this sort of context. We would hear the mother’s words and say that they contain a depth that’s revealing something perhaps previously hidden about herself; the computer’s question isn’t even said to be shallow. It seems to have nothing of that sort to reveal about itself whatsoever, like a parrot repeating the words it has been taught without the complexity of the human context that gives them their usual meaning.

The ‘life’ of the computer, we suppose, is either one-dimensional due to it lacking depth or, even if it has depth, it would be uncommunicable through human language, because, simply put, we and they differ so much. The humanness that provides the depth to our language is simply inaccessible to silicon chips and copper wires, and vice versa.

This depth to the human condition is part of what we mean when we speak of our humanity, spirit or soul, and anyone who wishes to question or explore this aspect of the human condition must do so in a form of language that can access and replicate its depth. We call those sorts of languages spiritual. But this way of speaking shouldn’t be taken literally. It doesn’t mean that spirits, souls and God exist, or that we must believe in their literal existence in order to use this sort of language.

Consider, for example, what atheists mean by ‘soul’ when they refute the cognitive proposition that asserts the literal existence of souls, in comparison with what I mean when I describe slavery as soul-destroying. If atheists were to argue that slavery cannot be soul-destroying because souls don’t exist, then I would say that there’s a meaning here that’s lost on them by being overly literal. If the statement ‘Slavery is soul-destroying’ is forced into a purely cognitive form, then not only does it misrepresent what I mean to say, it actively prevents me from ever saying it. I want to express something that represents the depth of the sort of experience I’m having: this isn’t a matter of making an implied statement about whether or not souls exist – it’s not affected by the literal existence or non-existence of souls. This sort of meaning to spiritual language is found at a different dimension to where cognitivists look, irrespective of their atheism, and this is achieved through our capacity to embed a dimension of depth to the form of our language through the non-cognitive process of expressing, describing and evoking our sense of humanity within one another.

Q.11 [11831809]

Which sequence of the phrases below best captures the flow of principal arguments in the passage?

1 ☐ How should we live?- humanness- spiritual languages- literal fact

2 ☐ How should we live?- human condition- spiritual languages- literal fact

3 ☐ How should we live?-ghost-atheist-cognition

4 ☐ How should we live?-computer-spiritual language- literal fact

Solution:

Correct Answer : 1

Correct answer: 1

The first two paragraphs describe the factors that decide the path to the answers of questions such as 'how should we live?'. The next two paragraphs describe the role of the humanness and the 'depth' it gives to the human condition. The passage then explains the importance of the languages that are termed 'spiritual'. In the concluding paragraph, the author demonstrates what not needs to be done while seeking answers to the concerned kind of questions, i.e. literal interpretation.

Bookmark

FeedBack

 Answer key/Solution

Directions (Question nos. 9 to 12): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

The question *How should we live?* is one that many ask in a crisis, jolted out of normal patterns of life. But that question is not always a simple request for a straightforward answer, as if we could somehow read off the 'correct' answer from the world.

The presence of a humanness, or a depth, to these sorts of questions comes not just from the context in which they're asked, but also from their origin, their speaker. They are real questions for real people, and shouldn't be dismissed with a logical flourish or treated like an interesting topic for a seminar. I would laugh if I heard a computer ask *How should we live?* after beating it at chess, but I would cry to hear a wife ask her husband, on the death of their son, *How should we live?* Although the same words have been uttered, these questions have a different form: the mother's question contains a qualitative depth, a humanness that isn't there in the computer's question. We must acknowledge this if we want to find an answer to the specific question she asked with such poignancy.

The computer is a thing that cannot meaningfully ask those sorts of questions; in contrast, it's offensive to call a person a 'thing'. Only a human can ask that sort of question within this sort of context. We would hear the mother's words and say that they contain a depth that's revealing something perhaps previously hidden about herself; the computer's question isn't even said to be shallow. It seems to have nothing of that sort to reveal about itself whatsoever, like a parrot repeating the words it has been taught without the complexity of the human context that gives them their usual meaning.

The 'life' of the computer, we suppose, is either one-dimensional due to it lacking depth or, even if it has depth, it would be uncommunicable through human language, because, simply put, we and they differ so much. The humanness that provides the depth to our language is simply inaccessible to silicon chips and copper wires, and vice versa.

This depth to the human condition is part of what we mean when we speak of our humanity, spirit or soul, and anyone who wishes to question or explore this aspect of the human condition must do so in a form of language that can access and replicate its depth. We call those sorts of languages spiritual. But this way of speaking shouldn't be taken literally. It doesn't mean that spirits, souls and God exist, or that we must believe in their literal existence in order to use this sort of language.

Consider, for example, what atheists mean by 'soul' when they refute the cognitive proposition that asserts the literal existence of souls, in comparison with what I mean when I describe slavery as soul-destroying. If atheists were to argue that slavery cannot be soul-destroying because souls don't exist, then I would say that there's a meaning here that's lost on them by being overly literal. If the statement 'Slavery is soul-destroying' is forced into a purely cognitive form, then not only does it misrepresent what I mean to say, it actively prevents me from ever saying it. I want to express something that represents the depth of the sort of experience I'm having: this isn't a matter of making an implied statement about whether or not souls exist – it's not affected by the literal existence or non-existence of souls. This sort of meaning to spiritual language is found at a different dimension to where cognitivists look, irrespective of their atheism, and this is achieved through our capacity to embed a dimension of depth to the form of our language through the non-cognitive process of expressing, describing and evoking our sense of humanity within one another.

Q.12 [11831809]

Which of the followings is the point the author wishes to make through the sixth paragraph?

1 ☐ When considering how to answer the questions such as *How should we live?*, reflect if it calls for a literal interpretation or a spiritual interpretation.

2 ☐ Efforts to answer questions concerning the 'spiritual' language do not necessitate the existence of souls, God and spirits.

3 ☐ *How to live?*, and questions alike can be like a pain that requires a response that soothes as much as it resolves.

4 ☐ Interpretation of 'soul' for atheists can be words apart what soul is for the believers.

Solution:

Correct Answer : 1

Correct answer: 1

The purpose of the author in the last paragraph can be understood from the concluding lines of the second last paragraph: 'But this way of speaking shouldn't be taken literally. It doesn't mean that spirits, souls and God exist, or that we must believe in their literal existence in order to use this sort of language.'

Option 1: It is exactly what the author has demonstrated through the example given in the sixth paragraph.

Incorrect options:

Options 2 is narrow in its implication. questions surrounding soul and spirits have been considered as mere illustrations of the kind of questions spiritual language deals with. Options 3 and 4 are completely deviated from the objective of the author in presenting the example.

 Answer key/Solution

Bookmark

FeedBack

Directions (Question nos. 13 to 16): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

The response by the media and government to the two Just Stop Oil activists who threw soup at Vincent van Gogh's Sunflowers in the National Gallery in London speaks volumes. Decorating the glass protecting the painting with tomato soup (the painting itself was, as the protesters calculated, undamaged) appears to horrify some people more than the collapse of our planet, which these campaigners are seeking to prevent.

Writing for the Mail on Sunday, the home secretary, Suella Braverman, claimed: "There is widespread agreement that we need to protect our environment, but democracies reach decisions in a civilised manner." Oh yes? So what are the democratic means of contesting the government's decision to award more than 100 new licences to drill for oil and gas in the North Sea? Who gave the energy secretary, Jacob Rees-Mogg, a democratic mandate to break the government's legal commitments under the Climate Change Act by instructing his officials to extract "every cubic inch of gas"?

Who voted for the investment zones that the prime minister, Liz Truss, has decreed, which will rip down planning laws and trash protected landscapes? Or any of the major policies she has sought to impose on us, after being elected by 81,000 Conservative members – 0.12% of the UK population? By what means is the "widespread agreement" about the need for environmental protection translated into action? What is "civilised" about placing the profits of fossil fuel companies above the survival of life on Earth?

In 2018, Theresa May's government oversaw the erection of a statue of Millicent Fawcett in Parliament Square, which holds a banner saying "Courage calls to courage everywhere", because a century is a safe distance from which to celebrate radical action. Since then, the Conservatives have introduced viciously repressive laws to stifle the voice of courage. Between the Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Act that the former home secretary Priti Patel rushed through parliament, and the public order bill over which Suella Braverman presides, the government is carefully criminalising every effective means of protest in England and Wales, leaving us with nothing but authorised processions conducted in near silence and letters to our MPs, which are universally ignored by both media and legislators.

The public order bill is the kind of legislation you might expect to see in Russia, Iran or Egypt. Illegal protest is defined by the bill as acts causing "serious disruption to two or more individuals, or to an organisation". Given that the Police Act redefined "serious disruption" to include noise, this means, in effect, all meaningful protest.

For locking or glueing yourself to another protester, or to the railings or any other object, you can be sentenced to 51 weeks in prison – in other words, twice the maximum sentence for common assault. Sitting in the road, or obstructing fracking machinery, pipelines and other oil and gas infrastructure, airports or printing presses (Rupert says thanks) can get you a year. For digging a tunnel as part of a protest, you can be sent down for three years.

Q.13 [11831809]

Which one of the following scenarios, if false, could be seen as supporting the passage?

1 ☐ Millicent Fawcett would have been proud to see her getting featured in Parliament Square as a symbol of the struggle against climate terrorists.

2 ☐ Democracy considers the exclusive voice of the rich and the powerful to come to an informed decision.

3 ☐ UK is as bad as Russia when it comes to allowing demonstrations around the nation.

4 ☐ Investment zones are the surest way of decimating the green coverage of a city.

Solution:

Correct Answer : 2

Correct Answer: 2

Technically democracy gives equal rights of voting to every citizen irrespective of their social standings. Thus technically the assertion of 2 is false. However, it is clear from the passage that the lobbyists in favour of ecologically damaging developmental projects are doing so by subverting the democratic structures in place. Thus 2 supports the rage that the author promotes across the passage.

Incorrect Answer:

(1) goes beyond the scope of the passage.

(3) According to the author this is true since that is the interpretation of the Bill presented in the passage.

(4) This again is in sync with the information provided in the passage.

Bookmark

FeedBack

 Answer key/Solution

Directions (Question nos. 13 to 16): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

The response by the media and government to the two Just Stop Oil activists who threw soup at Vincent van Gogh's Sunflowers in the National Gallery in London speaks volumes. Decorating the glass protecting the painting with tomato soup (the painting itself was, as the protesters calculated, undamaged) appears to horrify some people more than the collapse of our planet, which these campaigners are seeking to prevent.

Writing for the Mail on Sunday, the home secretary, Suella Braverman, claimed: "There is widespread agreement that we need to protect our environment, but democracies reach decisions in a civilised manner." Oh yes? So what are the democratic means of contesting the government's decision to award more than 100 new licences to drill for oil and gas in the North Sea? Who gave the energy secretary, Jacob Rees-Mogg, a democratic mandate to break the government's legal commitments under the Climate Change Act by instructing his officials to extract "every cubic inch of gas"?

Who voted for the investment zones that the prime minister, Liz Truss, has decreed, which will rip down planning laws and trash protected landscapes? Or any of the major policies she has sought to impose on us, after being elected by 81,000 Conservative members – 0.12% of the UK population? By what means is the "widespread agreement" about the need for environmental protection translated into action? What is "civilised" about placing the profits of fossil fuel companies above the survival of life on Earth?

In 2018, Theresa May's government oversaw the erection of a statue of Millicent Fawcett in Parliament Square, which holds a banner saying "Courage calls to courage everywhere", because a century is a safe distance from which to celebrate radical action. Since then, the Conservatives have introduced viciously repressive laws to stifle the voice of courage. Between the Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Act that the former home secretary Priti Patel rushed through parliament, and the public order bill over which Suella Braverman presides, the government is carefully criminalising every effective means of protest in England and Wales, leaving us with nothing but authorised processions conducted in near silence and letters to our MPs, which are universally ignored by both media and legislators.

The public order bill is the kind of legislation you might expect to see in Russia, Iran or Egypt. Illegal protest is defined by the bill as acts causing "serious disruption to two or more individuals, or to an organisation". Given that the Police Act redefined "serious disruption" to include noise, this means, in effect, all meaningful protest.

For locking or glueing yourself to another protester, or to the railings or any other object, you can be sentenced to 51 weeks in prison – in other words, twice the maximum sentence for common assault. Sitting in the road, or obstructing fracking machinery, pipelines and other oil and gas infrastructure, airports or printing presses (Rupert says thanks) can get you a year. For digging a tunnel as part of a protest, you can be sent down for three years.

Q.14 [11831809]

Following from the passage, which one of the following may be seen as a characteristic of our society at large?

1 ☐ Immediate concerns trump long-term horrors.

2 ☐ Classic works of arts are valued highly in our culture.

3 ☐ Protesting people are vilified by society.

4 ☐ Democracy has fooled society into believing that politicians work according to the voting mandates.

Solution:

Correct Answer : 1

Correct Answer: 1

The passage states that, 'Decorating the glass protecting the painting with tomato soup (the painting itself was, as the protesters calculated, undamaged) appears to horrify some people more than the collapse of our planet, which these campaigners are seeking to prevent.'

This shows that society is not worried or horrified with things that will happen in the future.

Incorrect Answers:

The other options go beyond the scope of the passage.

Bookmark

FeedBack

 Answer key/Solution

Directions (Question nos. 13 to 16): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

The response by the media and government to the two Just Stop Oil activists who threw soup at Vincent van Gogh's Sunflowers in the National Gallery in London speaks volumes. Decorating the glass protecting the painting with tomato soup (the painting itself was, as the protesters calculated, undamaged) appears to horrify some people more than the collapse of our planet, which these campaigners are seeking to prevent.

Writing for the Mail on Sunday, the home secretary, Suella Braverman, claimed: "There is widespread agreement that we need to protect our environment, but democracies reach decisions in a civilised manner." Oh yes? So what are the democratic means of contesting the government's decision to award more than 100 new licences to drill for oil and gas in the North Sea? Who gave the energy secretary, Jacob Rees-Mogg, a democratic mandate to break the government's legal commitments under the Climate Change Act by instructing his officials to extract "every cubic inch of gas"?

Who voted for the investment zones that the prime minister, Liz Truss, has decreed, which will rip down planning laws and trash protected landscapes? Or any of the major policies she has sought to impose on us, after being elected by 81,000 Conservative members – 0.12% of the UK population? By what means is the "widespread agreement" about the need for environmental protection translated into action? What is "civilised" about placing the profits of fossil fuel companies above the survival of life on Earth?

In 2018, Theresa May's government oversaw the erection of a statue of Millicent Fawcett in Parliament Square, which holds a banner saying "Courage calls to courage everywhere", because a century is a safe distance from which to celebrate radical action. Since then, the Conservatives have introduced viciously repressive laws to stifle the voice of courage. Between the Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Act that the former home secretary Priti Patel rushed through parliament, and the public order bill over which Suella Braverman presides, the government is carefully criminalising every effective means of protest in England and Wales, leaving us with nothing but authorised processions conducted in near silence and letters to our MPs, which are universally ignored by both media and legislators.

The public order bill is the kind of legislation you might expect to see in Russia, Iran or Egypt. Illegal protest is defined by the bill as acts causing "serious disruption to two or more individuals, or to an organisation". Given that the Police Act redefined "serious disruption" to include noise, this means, in effect, all meaningful protest.

For locking or glueing yourself to another protester, or to the railings or any other object, you can be sentenced to 51 weeks in prison – in other words, twice the maximum sentence for common assault. Sitting in the road, or obstructing fracking machinery, pipelines and other oil and gas infrastructure, airports or printing presses (Rupert says thanks) can get you a year. For digging a tunnel as part of a protest, you can be sent down for three years.

Q.15 [11831809]

Which one of the following statements best summarises the central point of the passage?

1 ☐ Politicians have doomed our planet to uphold their consumerist voting base.

2 ☐ Politicians value vilifying the situations which give rise to resentments rather than providing concrete solutions.

3 ☐ Legitimate long-term concerns are stifled through various organs of authoritarianism.

4 ☐ Banning protestors and damaging the environment is what unites democracies and authoritarian nations.

Solution:

Correct Answer : 3

Correct Answer: 3

The passage is concerned about how the government is constantly taking decisions which have long term consequences for our dying planet. On top of that Bills are being placed which would damper and discourage future voices of protests.

Incorrect Answers:

(1) and (2) go beyond the scope of the passage.

(4) Although this is a subtle statement which the author is trying to make it is not the main thrust of the passage.

 **Answer key/Solution**

Bookmark

FeedBack

Directions (Question nos. 13 to 16): The passage below is accompanied by a set of questions. Choose the best answer to each question.

The response by the media and government to the two Just Stop Oil activists who threw soup at Vincent van Gogh's Sunflowers in the National Gallery in London speaks volumes. Decorating the glass protecting the painting with tomato soup (the painting itself was, as the protesters calculated, undamaged) appears to horrify some people more than the collapse of our planet, which these campaigners are seeking to prevent.

Writing for the Mail on Sunday, the home secretary, Suella Braverman, claimed: "There is widespread agreement that we need to protect our environment, but democracies reach decisions in a civilised manner." Oh yes? So what are the democratic means of contesting the government's decision to award more than 100 new licences to drill for oil and gas in the North Sea? Who gave the energy secretary, Jacob Rees-Mogg, a democratic mandate to break the government's legal commitments under the Climate Change Act by instructing his officials to extract "every cubic inch of gas"?

Who voted for the investment zones that the prime minister, Liz Truss, has decreed, which will rip down planning laws and trash protected landscapes? Or any of the major policies she has sought to impose on us, after being elected by 81,000 Conservative members – 0.12% of the UK population? By what means is the "widespread agreement" about the need for environmental protection translated into action? What is "civilised" about placing the profits of fossil fuel companies above the survival of life on Earth?

In 2018, Theresa May's government oversaw the erection of a statue of Millicent Fawcett in Parliament Square, which holds a banner saying "Courage calls to courage everywhere", because a century is a safe distance from which to celebrate radical action. Since then, the Conservatives have introduced viciously repressive laws to stifle the voice of courage. Between the Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Act that the former home secretary Priti Patel rushed through parliament, and the public order bill over which Suella Braverman presides, the government is carefully criminalising every effective means of protest in England and Wales, leaving us with nothing but authorised processions conducted in near silence and letters to our MPs, which are universally ignored by both media and legislators.

The public order bill is the kind of legislation you might expect to see in Russia, Iran or Egypt. Illegal protest is defined by the bill as acts causing "serious disruption to two or more individuals, or to an organisation". Given that the Police Act redefined "serious disruption" to include noise, this means, in effect, all meaningful protest.

For locking or glueing yourself to another protester, or to the railings or any other object, you can be sentenced to 51 weeks in prison – in other words, twice the maximum sentence for common assault. Sitting in the road, or obstructing fracking machinery, pipelines and other oil and gas infrastructure, airports or printing presses (Rupert says thanks) can get you a year. For digging a tunnel as part of a protest, you can be sent down for three years.

Q.16 [11831809]

Which sequence of words below best captures the narrative of the passage?

1 ☐ Eco-friendly – blatant – consumerist – democratic

2 ☐ Dying planet – underhand deals – stifle – authoritarian

3 ☐ Trashy – philistine – dying planet – authoritarian

4 ☐ Dying planet – philistine – undemocratic – protest

Solution:

Correct Answer : 2

Correct Answer: 2

The passage starts off with a protest to protect our dying planet. It then shows how politicians subvert democracy by not only conducting secretive deals but also stifling legitimate protest. Thus if we look carefully there is no difference between democracies and authoritarian states. The similarities are becoming more brazen with time.

Incorrect Answers:

They do not capture the sense of the passage properly. 3 and 4 contain the term philistine. The passage is not about taste.

Bookmark

FeedBack

 Answer key/Solution

Q.17 [11831809]

Directions for question (17): The four sentences (labeled 1, 2, 3, 4) below, when properly sequenced would yield a coherent paragraph. Decide on the proper sequencing of the order of the sentences and key in the sequence of the four numbers as your answer:

1. What it means to be Black in the South, Perry shows, is not just a question of being Black in the South; it is also a question of what it means to be Black in the United States.
2. The different Souths that many Black Americans carry with them is the central theme of a new book by Imani Perry, *South to America: A Journey Below the Mason-Dixon to Understand the Soul of a Nation*.
3. With it, Perry enters a long tradition of considering Southern identity—the South of Black freedom and of Black oppression.
4. Perry goes even further in her book: One cannot understand Black life in America, she maintains, without understanding the South, but one also cannot understand all of American life without it.

Solution:

Correct Answer : 2314

Correct answer: 2314

2 will be the opening statement. 'With it' in statement 3 indicates the book mentioned in statement 2. It will be followed by 1 as statement 1 describes the message of Perry with regards to the 'blacks in the south', which is what has been mentioned in statement 3. It will then be followed by statement 1. Statement 4 further describes what else Perry has tried presenting through her book. Thus, the correct sequence is 2314.

Bookmark

FeedBack

 Answer key/Solution

Q.18 [11831809]

Directions for question (18): The passage given below is followed by four alternate summaries. Choose the option that best captures the essence of the passage.

The Mediterranean migration crisis affects three Southern European countries that represent the main gateways into the continent for immigrants and asylum seekers: Greece, Italy, and Spain. The representation of these groups in European news media seems to have worsened, especially since 2015, the year in which the migratory crisis significantly worsened. This coverage could be affecting European citizens on emotional, cognitive, and attitudinal levels and thus should be rigorously analyzed. The present study is based on the theory of visual framing to analyze the connotative representations of immigrants and refugees spread by the reference media of Southern Europe through images, paying more attention to the negative frames in particular, which represent displaced people as a burden or threat.

-
- 1 ☐ An overview of how the articles and photographs published by the main media in Greece, Italy, and Spain were subject to content analysis.
-
- 2 ☐ How differences are identified between the media themselves and the prevalence of visual frames that represent immigrants and refugees as a burden and threat.
-
- 3 ☐ A temporal increase in the negative visual frames of immigrants and refugees in the analyzed media of Southern Europe.
-
- 4 ☐ Evolution and impact of negative visual frames of immigrants and refugees in the media of Southern Europe.
-

Solution:

Correct Answer : 4

Correct answer: 4

The paragraph describes how the displaced people are viewed negatively by the news media in Europe. The paragraph also mentions how the coverage affects European citizens. Therefore, option (4) is the most comprehensive summary.

Incorrect answers

Option (1) cannot be the answer because it is superfluous and does not address the core issue of the passage.

Option (2) is not the answer because it is partly correct. No difference between the media has been mentioned in the passage.

Option (3) is not the answer because it does not talk about the impact of the negative visual frames.

Bookmark

FeedBack

 Answer key/Solution

Q.19 [11831809]

Directions for question (19): The passage given below is followed by four summaries. Choose the option that best captures the author's position.

The Shipman story illustrates the two complementary components of statistical literacy. First is the ability to carry out statistical investigations leading to clear communication of what the data reveals. The second vital component is the ability to read about a claim based on data, while also having an idea of how to critique the numbers and a sense of which questions to ask. Statistics often give some answers, but they generally raise even more questions. This kind of statistical literacy is difficult to teach. It cannot be reduced to formulae and algorithms – it is best learned through repeated experience and mentoring, almost as an apprenticeship. It takes time and effort to learn the art of statistics.

-
- 1 ☐ Collecting and analysing data from statistical investigations is a skill that requires some skill and effort.
-
- 2 ☐ Generally, statisticians understand that their investigations may not answer all questions but they do teach a valuable lesson.
-
- 3 ☐ The two components of statistical literacy focus on the ability to carry out statistical investigations and the ability to analyse claims based on those investigations.
-
- 4 ☐ Learning statistics is not an easy task as illustrated by The Shipman story.
-

Solution:

Correct Answer : 1

The main point of the author is that statistical investigations are tricky. Collecting and analysing those data require a skill. This skill also requires time and effort.

So, option 1 is the clear answer.

Option 2 – This is outside the scope of the paragraph.

Option 3 – This is too narrow.

Option 4 – This just juxtaposes two sentences from the paragraph. It misses the main idea of the paragraph.

Bookmark

FeedBack

 Answer key/Solution

Q.20 [11831809]

Directions for question (20): Five jumbled up sentences, related to a topic, are given below. Four of them can be put together to form a coherent paragraph. Identify the odd one out and key in the number of the sentence as your answer:

1. The scene dates from the same period in 1882 when Van Gogh painted View of the Sea at Scheveningen, which was stolen by the Italian Camorra organised crime syndicate from the Van Gogh museum in Amsterdam in 2002.
2. But with the art market booming and prices for artists such as Vincent Van Gogh rocketing, experts said it was hard to predict exactly when the bidding would stop.
3. Women Mending Nets in the Dunes, which the Dutch artist painted early in his career at Scheveningen near The Hague, is expected to go for around €5m (£4.4m/US\$6m) when it is auctioned in June.
4. One of them, a portrait of the artist's childhood friend Claude Antoine Charles Favre, is expected to go for between €180,000 and €250,000
5. The first Van Gogh painting to go under the hammer in France in more than two decades has been unveiled.

Solution:

Correct Answer : 4

Correct answer – 4

Correct Order -5312

Source: <https://www.theguardian.com/artanddesign/2018/mar/29/first-van-gogh-in-20-years-to-go-under-hammer-in-paris>

 Answer key/Solution

5 opens the paragraph. 1 and 3 form a mandatory pair discussing the paintings that were to be auctioned. Sentence 4 although may seem to talk about the same event, but it talks about some other painting.

Bookmark

FeedBack

Q.21 [11831809]

Directions for question (21): The four sentences (labelled 1, 2, 3, 4) below, when properly sequenced would yield a coherent paragraph. Decide on the proper sequencing of the order of the sentences and key in the sequence of the four numbers as your answer:

1. Arundhati Roy's famous novel *The God of Small Things*, while touching on many post-colonial issues ranging from linguistic imperialism to hybridity, is a striking display of the plight of subalterns.
 2. Subaltern Studies, particularly in the field of social and cultural anthropology, has provided critical contexts that restore suppressed histories while criticizing Eurocentrism and imperialist biases.
 3. The subaltern in the novel can be grouped into three as "the inhabitants of Ayemenem", "the untouchables" and "the women".
 4. In fact, after the publication of Edward Said's *Orientalism*, the terms subaltern and Subaltern Studies have become profoundly entangled with postmodern and postcolonial cultural studies.
-

Solution:

Correct Answer : 2413

Correct answer: 2413

Sentence 2 opens the paragraph because it initiates the topic of discussion:

Subaltern Studies. Sentence 4 logically follows because it further explains the evolution of the terms subaltern and Subaltern Studies. Sentences 1 and 3 form a mandatory pair. Sentence 1 demonstrates how *The God of Small Things* is a subaltern novel. Sentence 3 discusses the plot division of the novel.

Original paragraph

Subaltern Studies, particularly in the field of social and cultural anthropology, has provided critical contexts that restore suppressed histories while criticizing Eurocentrism, imperialist biases, Enlightenment rationality, and the idea of nationalism. After the publication of Edward Said's *Orientalism*, the terms subaltern and Subaltern Studies have become profoundly entangled with postmodern and postcolonial cultural studies, underlining the need for a conscious and deconstructivist approach for reading the history in order to get at the different ways in which European forms of knowledge represented the "subaltern". Arundhati Roy's famous novel *The God of Small Things*, while touching on many post-colonial issues ranging from linguistic imperialism to hybridity, is a striking display of the plight of subalterns. The subaltern in the novel can be grouped into three as "the inhabitants of Ayemenem", "the untouchables" and "the women".

Bookmark

FeedBack

Q.22 [11831809]

Directions for question (22): The passage given below is followed by four summaries. Choose the option that best captures the author's position.

For one to be considered fluent, they must be in a position to speak or perform the language with fluency and accuracy. Some people may understand a second language well though they may face difficulties in speaking the language. Such people cannot therefore be considered proficient in a language. In addition, however much one learns a second language, they might never be in a position to use the language in the same way they use their native language. People also prejudice against a different language. They normally have judgments on a second language. Some believe that they cannot communicate fully. These intuitions normally deter people from learning or using the second language.

-
- 1 ☐ People try to learn a second language but they are discouraged by myriad factors.
-
- 2 ☐ For someone to be fluent in a second language, factors such as being judgemental or prejudiced may be hurdles.
-
- 3 ☐ Lack of fluency, inability to master a native language, and failure to communicate affect the ability of people to learn a language.
-
- 4 ☐ Some factors such as lack of fluency, accuracy, and natural prejudice deter people from trying to learn a second language.
-

Solution:

Correct Answer : 2

 Answer key/Solution

The main points of the paragraph are:

- People can be considered fluent in a second language.
- Some factors affect the ability of people to learn a second language.

So, option 2 is the correct answer.

Options 1 and 4 are wrong because they talk about factors that discourage people from 'trying to learn a second language'. The paragraph doesn't talk about trying but actually learning a second language.

Option 3 – It talks about language in general, not a second language.

Bookmark

FeedBack

Q.23 [11831809]

Directions for question (23): Five jumbled up sentences, related to a topic, are given below. Four of them can be put together to form a coherent paragraph. Identify the odd one out and key in the number of the sentence as your answer:

1. There she is, embroidered on the front of a £145 cushion. Look: she is bunting, she is necklace. She is gif, she is emoji, she is meme.
2. Her clothes were an expression of her communist politics and her indigenous heritage.
3. She is on T-shirts, candles, duvet covers; she is on the front cover of the Little Book of Feminist Saints and was a cake on The Great British Bake Off (Paul Hollywood had never heard of her, despite attending art school).
4. It feels as though Frida Kahlo is everywhere.
5. I bet anything you like she starts to appear in the baby name charts.

Solution:

Correct Answer : 2

 Answer key/Solution

Correct Answer: 2.

Correct Order- 4315

Source: https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/29/frida-kahlo-cultural-ubiquity-art-v-and-a-exhibition?CMP=Share_AndroidApp_Other

Sentence 4 opens the paragraph followed by 3 and 1 which form a mandatory pair establishing sentence 1.

Sentence 2 seems to be part of the same paragraph but it portrays a different topic altogether about her political alliance and how it got represented via her clothes.

Bookmark

FeedBack

Q.24 [11831809]

Directions for question (24):The four sentences (labelled 1, 2, 3, 4) below, when properly sequenced would yield a coherent paragraph. Decide on the proper sequencing of the order of the sentences and key in the sequence of the four numbers as your answer:


1. You can have a great idea, but even so, you will fail if you don't have the skills.
2. After all, entrepreneurship is not a walk in the park.
3. I need to know if he or she has the right profile to carry out the project to its term.
4. I need to feel the person I have in front of me.

Solution:

Correct Answer : 4321

Correct answer: 4321

4 will be the opening statement. It will be followed by 3, as 3 is a continuation of the argument presented by 4. 3 will followed by 2. 1 will come at the end as it explains 2.

 [Answer key/Solution](#)

Bookmark

FeedBack