

Algebraic Number Theory

Vinesh Ramgi

July 3, 2019

Abstract

Of

For an up to date version of this pdf, check my GitHub :)

https://github.com/vrvinny/algebraic_nt

Contents

1	Introduction/Review	4
1.1	Introduction	4
1.2	Definitions and proofs	5
2	Materials from other courses on rings, ideals and fields	7
2.1	Quotient Rings	8
2.2	1st Isomorphism Theorem for Rings	9
2.3	Maximal Ideals	9
2.4	Field extensions	10
2.5	Finding Minimal Polynomials	11
2.6	Eisenstein's Criterion	11
2.7	Roots of Unity	12
2.8	Tower Law	13
2.9	Primitive Element Theorem	14
2.10	Conjugates and Complex Field Embeddings	14
2.11	Galois Separability Lemma	14
3	Rings of Algebraic Integers	16
3.1	The Standard Representation	19
3.1.1	Properties of the Standard Representation	20
3.2	Norms and Traces	23
3.3	Properties of Norms and Traces	24
3.4	Integral Bases	24
3.5	Quadratic Fields	30
3.6	Cubic Fields	31

1 Introduction/Review

1.1 Introduction

This is the study of certain rings, numbers called algebraic integers, e.g.

- Quadratic rings $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ or $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}]$
- Cyclotomic rings $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \implies y = e^{2\pi i/n}$
- $\mathbb{Z}[z\sqrt{2}] = \{x + y^3\sqrt{2} + z^3\sqrt{z^2}\} \ x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$

Definition 1.1. A Diophantine equation is an equation of the form $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ where f is a polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}

We'll usually be interested in solution in integers (or maybe rational numbers), for example, Pell's equation- $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$, or $N(A) = n$ where $A = x + y\alpha$, $\alpha = \{\sqrt{d}, \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\}$.

In general Diophantine equations are hard, Matiyasevich's theorem shows Diophantine equations are as hard as any mathematical question. Inspite of this, there are some Diophantine equations for which we have methods for solving, e.g. *What are the integer solutions of $x^3 = y^2 + y = y(y+1)$?*

Since $y, y+1$ are both coprime and their product is a cube, both y and $y+1$ are a cube which implies $y = 0, -1$. So we have two solutions, $(0, 0), (0, -1)$. To do this we used this lemma:

Lemma 1.2. *Descent Lemma*

Let R be a ring be a unique factorisation domain. Suppose $a, b, c \in R$ and $a^n = bc$. If b, c are coprime in R then $b = u^{r^n}$, $c = vs^n$ where u, v are units in R .

Another example, $x^3 = y^2 + 1$:

Problem, $y^2 + 1$ doesn't factorise in \mathbb{Z} but it does factorise in $\mathbb{Z}[i] \implies x^3 = (y+i)(y-i)$. We want to use the Descent lemma to solve the equation.

- $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a unique factorisation domain
- Are $y+i$ and $y-i$ coprime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$?

Suppose $p \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is an irreducible common factor of $y+i, y-i$. If $p|y+i$ and $p|y-i \implies p|(y+i) - (y-i) \implies p|2i$. This means the norms also divide each other, $N(p)|N(2i) \implies N(p)|4$.

$N(p) \neq \pm 1$ because p isn't a unit, therefore, $2|N(p)|N(y+i) \implies N(y+i) = y^2 + 1 = x^3$, so $2|x^3$.

Since 2 is a prime, $2|x \implies x^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. This implies $y^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$

y	$y^2 \pmod{8}$
0	0
± 1	1
± 2	4
± 3	1
4	0

Since the equation has no solutions, $y^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ has no solutions.

So $y + i, y - i$ are coprime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

$\therefore y + 1 = uA^3$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}[i]^\times$, $A \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ with $u = \pm 1$ or $\pm i$

So in fact

$$\begin{aligned} y + i &= (r + si)^3 \quad r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &= r^3 + 3ir^2s - 3rs^2 - is^3 \\ &= r^3 - 3rs^2 + i(3r^2s - s^3) \end{aligned}$$

Organising the terms gives a new Diophantine equation:

$$1 = 3r^2s - s^3 \qquad y = r^3 - 3rs^2$$

We can solve the new equation:

$$1 = (3r^2 - s^2)s \implies s = \pm 1$$

$$\text{If } s = 1 \implies 3r^2 - 1 = 1 \nmid$$

$$\text{If } s = -1 \implies 3r^2 - 1 = -1 \implies r = 0 \quad \text{so } (r, s) = (0, -1)$$

This implies $x = 1, y = 0$ so $(1, 0)$ is the only solution in integers.

This motivates the question: which rings are unique factorisation domains? More specifically which rings of algebraic integers are unique factorisation domains?

1.2 Definitions and proofs

A ring is a set R with two operations $+$ & \times . $(R, +)$ is an abelian group with identity element 0. \times is commutative, associative and has identity 1. $x(y + z) = xy + xz \quad \forall x, y, z \in R$

An element $x \in R$ is:

- a unit if $\exists x^{-1} \in R$ such that $xx^{-1} = 1$
- reducible if $x = yz$ where y, z not units
- irreducible otherwise

For examples, in \mathbb{Z} , units are ± 1 , irreducible elements are $\pm p$ for prime numbers p .

Definition 1.3. A ring R is an integral domain if $xy = 0 \implies x = 0$ or $y = 0$

Lemma 1.4. Cancellation property: If R is an integral domain, if $x \neq 0$ then $xy = xz \implies y = z$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} xy = xz &\implies x(y - z) = 0 \text{ and since } x \neq 0 \\ &\implies y - z = 0 \\ &\implies y = z \end{aligned}$$

□

A ring R is a unique factorisation domain if:

- R is an integral domain
- If $x \in R$ and $x \neq 0$ then $x = Up_1 \dots p_r$ with $U \in R^\times$ and P_i irreducible

Suppose $p_1 \dots p_r = q_1 \dots q_s$ with p_i, q_i irreducible then $r = s$ and we can renumber so that $q_i = Up_i$ with $U \in R^\times$.

The 3^{rd} condition is equivalent to if $p \in R$ is irreducible and $p|ab$ then $p|a$ or $p|b$.

Lemma 1.5. *Descent Lemma: Let R be a UFD (Unique Factorisation Domain) and let $a, b, c \in R$ with $a^n = bc$ and b, c coprime. Then $b = ur^n$ and $c = vs^n$ with $u, v \in R^\times$*

Proof. If a is a unit then b and c are units, so the result is true.

If $a = 0$ then $b = 0$ or $c = 0$.

WLOG assume $b = 0 = 1 * 0^n$. But b and c are coprime $\implies c$ must be a unit (since it is a common factor of b and c).

In other cases $a = p_1 \dots p_r$ with p_i irreducible. So

$$\begin{aligned} b &= (\text{a unit}) * p_1^{s_1} \dots p_r^{s_r} & s_i + t_i &= n \forall i \\ c &= (\text{a unit}) * p_1^{t_1} \dots p_r^{t_r} \end{aligned}$$

But we're assuming b, c are coprime so:

\implies each s_i is either 0 or n

$\implies b = (\text{a unit}) * (\text{some } n^{th} \text{ power}) \implies c = (\text{a unit}) * (\text{some } n^{th} \text{ power})$ □

Reminder about quadratic rings

Let $d \neq 1$ be a square free integer and $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{x + y\alpha : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

$$\alpha = \alpha_d = \begin{cases} \sqrt{d} & d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{1 + \sqrt{d}}{2} & d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

If $A = x + y\sqrt{d}$ then $\bar{A} = x - y\sqrt{d}$ and $N(A) = A\bar{A} = x^2 - dy^2$.

Similarly $N(x + y\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}) = x^2 + xy + \frac{1-d}{4}y^2$.

The ring $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is norm-Euclidean if for all $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ with $B \neq 0$, $\exists Q, R \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ such that $A = QB + R$ and $|N(R)| < |N(B)|$.

Proposition 1.6. *If $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is norm-Euclidean then $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a UFD.*

Proof. (Sketch)

We'll show that if $p \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is irreducible and $p|AB$ then $p|A$ or $p|B$.

If $p \nmid A$ then $\text{hcf}(A, p) = 1 \implies 1 = HA + KP$ by the Euclidean algorithm.

$$B = \underbrace{HAB}_{\text{multiple of } p} + \underbrace{KPB}_{\text{multiple of } p} \implies p|B$$

□

Theorem 1.7. *Disappointing Theorem: $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_d]$ is norm-Euclidean in the following cases $d = 2, 3, 5, 13, -1, -2, -3, -7, -11$. Conjecturally there are infinitely many real quadratic rings which are UFD.*

2 Materials from other courses on rings, ideals and fields

Mainly from Galois Theory, Commutative Algebra and Groups and Rings. In this course,

- All rings are commutative with 1
- A field is a ring with $1 \neq 0$
- If $x \neq 0$, x is a unit

Definition 2.1. An ideal I in a ring R is a subset of R such that:

- $(I, +)$ is a subgroup of R
- $\forall x \in R, y \in I \implies xy \in I$

Examples: If $x \in R$ then we define $(x) = \{xy : y \in R\}$. This set (x) is an ideal in R .

Definition 2.2. Ideals of the form (x) are called principal ideals. (x) is the principle ideal generated by x .

$(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{x_1y_1 + \dots + x_ny_n : y_i \in R\}$ this is also an ideal.

E.g. in \mathbb{Z} $(4, 6) = (2)$ and in general $(n, m) = (\text{hcf}(n, m))$

Definition 2.3. A principal ideal domain is an integral domain such that all ideals are principal. A Noetherian ring is a ring in which ideals are finitely generated.

To show that $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subseteq I$, it is equivalent to showing $x_1, \dots, x_n \in I$

E.g. in \mathbb{Z} $(4, 6) = (2)$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (4, 6) \subseteq (2) & (2) \subseteq (4, 6) \\ 4 = 2 * 2 \in (2) & 2 = 2 * 4 + (-1) * 6 \in (4, 6) \\ 6 = 2 * 3 \in (2) & \end{array}$$

□

Definition 2.4. A principal ideal domain is an integral domain in which every ideal is principal

E.g. \mathbb{Z} is a PID

Proof. Suppose $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is an ideal.

If $I = \{0\}$ then $I = (0)$.

If $I \neq \{0\}$ choose $x \in I$ with $|x|$ as small as possible with $x \neq 0$.

Claim $I = (x)$, $x \in I \implies x \subseteq I$.

Conversely, suppose $y \in I$ with $y = qx + r$ such that $|r| < |x|$. This means that $r = y - qx \in I \implies r = 0 \implies y = qx \in (x)$ which means $I \subseteq (x)$. \square

E.g. If $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a norm-Euclidean quadratic ring then $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a PID.

Proof. Replace $|x|$ by $|N(A)|$ for $A \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. \square

E.g. If k is a field then $k[x]$ is a PID.

Proof. Replace $|x|$ by $\deg(f)$ for $f \in k[x]$. \square

2.1 Quotient Rings

Let I be an ideal in R , we'll say $x = y \in (I)$ if $x - y \in I$.

$R/I = \{\text{Congruency classes of elements of } R\}$

Lemma 2.5. If $x \equiv x' \pmod{I}$ and $y \equiv y' \pmod{I}$ then $x + y \equiv x' + y' \pmod{I}$ and $xy \equiv x'y' \pmod{I}$

This means we can make R/I into a ring.

Proof. If $x - x' \in I$ and $y - y' \in I$ then:

$$(x + y) - (x' + y') = (x - x') + (y - y') \in I$$

$$\begin{aligned} xy - x'y' &= xy - xy' + xy' - x'y' \\ &= x(y - y') + (x - x')y \in I \end{aligned}$$

\square

E.g. If $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $I = (n)$ and $x \equiv x' \pmod{I} \Leftrightarrow x \equiv x' \pmod{n} \implies R/I = \mathbb{Z}/n$

E.g. If k is a field and $f \in k[x]$ with degree d and $I = (f)$, every element of $k[x]$ is congruent to a unique polynomial of degree $< d$. (The remainder after dividing by f).

$\therefore R/I = \{a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} : a_i \in k\}$

Definition 2.6. Let R and S be rings. A ring homomorphism is a function $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ such that:

- $\phi(x + y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$
- $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$

- $\phi(1_R) = 1_S$

$\ker(\phi) = \{x \in R : \phi(x) = 0\}$ and $\ker(\phi)$ is an ideal of R (trivial to prove)
 $\text{im}(\phi) = \{\phi(x) : x \in R\}$ and $\text{im}(\phi)$ is a subring of S

2.2 1st Isomorphism Theorem for Rings

Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Then there is an isomorphism:

$$R/\ker(\phi) \cong \text{im}(\phi) \text{ by the mapping } (x \bmod \ker(\phi)) \rightarrow \phi(x)$$

2.3 Maximal Ideals

Definition 2.7. Let R be a ring. An ideal $M \subseteq R$ is maximal if:

- $M \neq R$
- If $M \subseteq I \subseteq R$ with I an ideal then $I = M$ or R

Proposition 2.8. Suppose R is a PID, an ideal (x) is maximal if and only if x is irreducible.

Proof. Algebra 4 □

Note that the difference between a field and a ring is that if $x \neq 0$ then $xx^{-1} = 1$ and $1 \neq 0$.

Proposition 2.9. In any ring R , M is maximal if and only if R/M is a field

Proof. (\implies) Assume M is maximal therefore $M \neq R$ and $1 \notin M$ and $1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{M}$.

Assume $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{M}$, let I be the ideal generated by M and x , then $I \supsetneq M$ which means $I = R$.

$$I = \{m + xy : m \in M, y \in R\} \implies 1 = m + xy \text{ and } 1 \equiv xy \pmod{M}$$

(\impliedby) Assume R/M is a field so $1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{M}$.

$\therefore 1 \notin M$ so $M \neq R$.

Suppose $M \subsetneq I$, want to show $I = R$. Choose $x \in I, x \notin M$ so $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{M}$

So $\exists y$ such that $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$ and by the definition of existence of x^{-1} , $1 \in I \implies I = R$ □

Corollary 2.10. Let k be a field and $f \in k[x]$, then $k[x]/(f)$ is a field if f is irreducible over k

Proof. f irreducible $\iff (f)$ is maximal $\iff k[x]/(f)$ is a field.

(Polynomial ring is ideal) □

2.4 Field extensions

Definition 2.11. If k and l are fields with $k \subseteq l$ then k is a subfield of l .
 l is called a field extension of k , e.g. $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$

When l is an extension of k , we can think of l as a vector space over k .

E.g. \mathbb{C} has basis $\{1, i\}$ as a vector space over \mathbb{R}

The degree of the extension $[l : k]$ is the dimension of l as a vector space over k

E.g. $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$

E.g. $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \{x + iy : x, y \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

This is an extension of \mathbb{Q} with basis $\{1, i\} \implies [\mathbb{Q}(i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$

E.g. Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be irreducible $\implies \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f) = \{a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{d-1}x^{d-1}\}$ is a field.

This is an extension of \mathbb{Q} and has degree $d = \deg(f)$.

$\{1, x, \dots, x^{d-1}\}$ is a basis, so $[\mathbb{Q}[x]/(f) : \mathbb{Q}] = d = \deg(f)$.

Notation: Let l be an extension of k and let $\alpha \in l$, then:

Definition 2.12. α is called "algebraic over k " if there exists a non-zero $f \in k[x]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Otherwise α is transcendental.

E.g. $\sqrt{2}$ is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} since it is a root of $x^2 - 2$

For any $\alpha \in l$ $k[\alpha] = \{g(\alpha) : g \in k[x]\}$, the ring generated by k and α .

$k(\alpha) = \{\frac{g(\alpha)}{h(\alpha)} : g, h \in k[x], h(\alpha) \neq 0\}$, the field generated by k and α .

Proposition 2.13. Let α be algebraic over k . Then there is a unique monic polynomial $m(x) \in k[x]$ such that:

- $m(\alpha) = 0$
- $f(\alpha) = 0 \iff m|f$

m is the only monic irreducible polynomial over k such that $m(\alpha) = 0$.

Definition 2.14. This polynomial is called the minimal polynomial of α over k

E.g. i is algebraic over \mathbb{R} with minimal polynomial $x^2 + 1$

i is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} with minimal polynomial $x^2 + 1$

i is algebraic over \mathbb{C} with minimal polynomial $x - i$

Corollary 2.15. Let α be algebraic over k , then $k[\alpha] = k(\alpha)$, i.e. $k[\alpha]$ is a field and there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} k[x]/(m) &\cong k(\alpha) \\ (g(x) \bmod m) &\mapsto g(\alpha) \\ a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} &\mapsto a_0 + a_1\alpha + \dots + a_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

where m is the minimal polynomial and has degree d .

$\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\}$ forms a basis for $k(\alpha)$ and $[k(\alpha) : k] = d = \deg(m)$

Proof. We have a homomorphism (surjective) $k[x] \rightarrow k[\alpha] \implies k[x]/(m) \cong k[\alpha]$ and $g \mapsto g(\alpha)$. (Field because m is irreducible)

Kernel = $\{g : g(\alpha) = 0\} = (m)$, therefore $k[\alpha] = k(\alpha)$ the isomorphism takes $(g(x) \bmod m)$ to $g(\alpha)$.

$\{1, x, \dots, x^{d-1}\}$ is a basis for $k[x]/(m)$

So $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\}$ is a basis for $k(\alpha)$ □

E.g. i is algebraic over \mathbb{R} with minimal polynomial $x^2 + 1$

$\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1) \cong \mathbb{R}(i) = \mathbb{C}$ with the map $a + bx \mapsto a + bi$ $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

Similarly $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2 + 1) \cong \mathbb{Q}(i)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ with the map $a + bx \mapsto a + bi$

E.g. $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{2}$ This is a root of $x^3 - 2$ and so α is algebraic (over \mathbb{Q}).

$\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^3 - 2) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with the mapping $a + bx + cx^2 \mapsto a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2$.

The degree of the extension $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$ with $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2\}$ a basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

2.5 Finding Minimal Polynomials

We need methods to check that a polynomial is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Lemma 2.16. *Gauss Lemma: Suppose $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $f = gh$ $g, h \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, then there exists $c \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that cg and $c^{-1}h$ are in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.*

Lemma 2.17. *Monic Gauss Lemma: Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be monic, if $f = gh \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ both monic then $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.*

Corollary 2.18. *If $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is monic the f is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q} \iff$ irreducible over \mathbb{Z}*

Corollary 2.19. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be monic. Let \bar{f} be the reduction of $f \bmod n$, i.e. $\bar{f} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)[x]$. If \bar{f} is irreducible then f is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} and over \mathbb{Q} . Note that n doesn't need to be prime*

2.6 Eisenstein's Criterion

Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and let p be prime. Let $f(x) = a_d x^d + \dots + a_0$, if $p \nmid a_d$ and $f(x) \equiv a_d x^d \pmod{p}$ and let $f(0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ then f is irreducible over \mathbb{Z}/p^2 and over \mathbb{Q} .

E.g. $\alpha = 10^{\frac{1}{11}} \implies \alpha^{11} = 10$.

α is a root of $x^{11} - 10$

$x^{11} - 10$ is irreducible by Eisenstein's Criterion (either with $p = 2$ or $p = 5$)

So $m_\alpha(x) = x^{11} - 10$

E.g. $\alpha = 2^{\frac{2}{3}}$

$\alpha^3 = 4$

α is a root of $m(x) = x^3 - 4$

To show that m is the minimal polynomial, we must show that m is irreducible.

$$m(x+1) = x^3 + 3x^2 + 3x - 3$$

$m(x+1)$ is irreducible by Eisenstein's criterion with $p = 3 \implies m(x)$ is irreducible.

E.g. $\alpha = 3^{\frac{2}{3}}$

$$\alpha^3 - 9 = 0$$

α is a root of $m(x) = x^3 - 9$. Note that $\deg(m) = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]$. To show that m is the minimal polynomial it's sufficient to show that $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] \geq 3$

$$\alpha = 3\beta \text{ where } \beta = 3^{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{1}{3}\alpha^2 \text{ so } \beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \implies \mathbb{Q}(\beta) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$$

β has minimal polynomial $x^3 - 3$ (by Eisenstein's criterion)

$$\therefore [\mathbb{Q}(\beta) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$$

$$\therefore m(\alpha) \text{ has degree } \geq 3$$

$$\therefore m_\alpha = x^3 - 9$$

Alternatively suppose $x^3 - 9$ factorises over \mathbb{Q} . By the Monic Gauss lemma, $x^3 - 9 = (x - a)(x^2 + bx + c)$. By comparing coefficients, $ac = 9$, which means $a = \pm 1$ or ± 3 or ± 9 and $a^3 = 9$ because a is a root. \nexists

E.g. $\alpha = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 &= 2 + 2\sqrt{6} + 3 & (\alpha^2 - 5) - 24 &= 0 \\ &= 5 + 2\sqrt{6} \end{aligned}$$

So α is a root of $m(x) = x^4 - 10x^2 + 1$

Suppose $m(x) = (x - a)(x^3 + bx^2 + cx + d)$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$

a is a root of m and a is a factor of $m(0) = 1$ so $a = \pm 1$ which is a contradiction since $m(\pm 1) = -8 \neq 0$.

The other possible factorisation is $m(x) = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + cx + d)$. Comparing coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a + c \\ -10 &= b + d + ac & c &= -a & a^2 &= 10 \pm 2 = 8 \text{ or } 12 \nexists \\ 0 &= ad + bc \\ 1 &= bd & b &= d = \pm 1 \end{aligned}$$

2.7 Roots of Unity

Let n be a positive integer. An n^{th} root of unity is a complex number ζ such that $\zeta^n = 1$. A primitive n^{th} root of unity is an n^{th} root of unity which is not a d^{th} root of unity for any $d < n$.

n^{th} root of unity: $e^{2\pi i \frac{a}{n}}$ for $a = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$

Primitive n^{th} root of unity $e^{2\pi i \frac{a}{n}}$ for $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$.

There are $\phi(n)$ primitive n^{th} roots of unity.

The n^{th} cyclotomic polynomial is $\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{\text{Primitive } n^{th} \\ \text{roots of unity}}} (x - \zeta)$

$$\deg(\Phi_n(x)) = \phi(n)$$

Lemma 2.20. For any n : $\prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x) = x^n - 1$. We can use this to calculate Φ_n .

E.g. If p prime then $\Phi_1(x)\Phi_p(x) = x^p - 1$.

$$\text{So } \Phi_p(x) = \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} = 1 + x + \dots + x^{p-1}$$

Corollary 2.21. $\Phi_n(x)$ has coefficient in \mathbb{Z} .

Remark: If ζ is a primitive n^{th} root of unity then ζ is a root of Φ_n

Theorem 2.22. Each Φ_n is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . So Φ_n is the minimal polynomial of a primitive n^{th} root of unity.

2.8 Tower Law

Theorem 2.23. Suppose $k \subseteq l \subseteq m$ be fields. Then $[m : k] = [m : l] * [l : k]$

Proof. Sketch: Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ be a basis for l as a vector space over k .

Let $\{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ be a basis for m over k .

Then $\{b_i, c_j\}$ is a basis for m over k . □

E.g. $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$

$[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ and $x^2 - 2$ is the minimal polynomial.

The minimal polynomial of $\sqrt{3}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is a factor of $x^2 - 3$ so degree is 1 or 2.

From the Tower theorem, we know $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ or 4.

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$$

$$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$$

deg 4 since
 α has
minimal
polynomial
 $x^4 - 10x^2 + 1$

By the Tower law $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}]$ is a multiple of 4.

$$\therefore [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$$

$$\therefore [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] = 2$$

$x^2 - 3$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$

Also $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] = 1$ i.e. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$

This is an example of:

2.9 Primitive Element Theorem

Theorem 2.24. Suppose k is an extension of \mathbb{Q} of finite degree then there exists $\alpha \in k$ such that $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$

Proof. Sketch: k has only finitely many subfields. Choose an $\alpha \in k$ which is not in any of the proper subfields.

$\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is not contained in a proper subfield of k but $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is a subfield of k , therefore $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = k$. \square

2.10 Conjugates and Complex Field Embeddings

Let α, β be algebraic numbers. Then α and β are conjugates if $m_\alpha = m_\beta$. They have the same minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Q} .

E.g. $\alpha = i, \beta = -i$ both have minimal polynomial $x^2 + 1$.

E.g. $\zeta = e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{100}}$ This is a conjugate of ζ^3 , both have minimal polynomial Φ_{100} .

E.g. $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ has conjugates $\pm\sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{3}$

2.11 Galois Separability Lemma

Lemma 2.25. If α is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} then $m_\alpha(x)$ has no repeated roots in \mathbb{C} , i.e. α has exactly d conjugates in \mathbb{C} where $d = \deg(m(x)) = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]$

Proof. Suppose $(x - \beta)^2 \mid m_\alpha(x)$ where m_α is the minimal polynomial of β over \mathbb{Q} .

If $(x - \beta) \mid m_\alpha$ then $\alpha - \beta \mid m'_\alpha(x)$ but m'_α has smallest degree than m_α . \nmid

\square

Definition 2.26. An algebraic number field is an extension $k \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ with $[k : \mathbb{Q}]$

By the primitive element theorem $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in k$ so $k \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(m)$ when m is the minimal polynomial of α and $\deg(m) = [k : \mathbb{Q}]$. The polynomial has exactly d roots in \mathbb{C} where $d = \deg(m)$. Call these roots α_1, α_d . Each α_i has minimal polynomial m over \mathbb{Q} .

$\therefore \mathbb{Q}[x]/(m) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$

So for each conjugate α_i of α in \mathbb{C} , there is a field homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i : k &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ k &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x]/(m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

$$a_0 + a_1\alpha + \cdots + a_{d-1}\alpha \rightarrow a_0 + a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} \rightarrow a_0 + a_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + a_{d-1}\alpha_i^{d-1}$$

$$\sigma_i(a_0 + \cdots + a_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}) = a_0 + \cdots + a_{d-1}\alpha_i^{d-1}$$

Proposition 2.27. $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ are all the field homomorphisms from k to \mathbb{C}

E.g. $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$

The conjugates of $\sqrt{2}$ in \mathbb{C} are $\pm\sqrt{2}$. $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{2}, \alpha_2 = -\sqrt{2}$

$$\sigma_1(x + y\sqrt{2}) = x + y\sqrt{2}$$

$$\sigma_2(x + y\sqrt{2}) = x - y\sqrt{2}$$

$$k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha), m(x) = x^3 - 2 \text{ and } \alpha_1 = 2^{\frac{1}{3}}, \alpha_2 = 2^{\frac{1}{3}}e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, \alpha_3 = 2^{\frac{1}{3}}e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}$$

3 Rings of Algebraic Integers

Definition 3.1. Let k be a field extension of \mathbb{Q} . $\alpha \in k$ is an algebraic number if $f(\alpha) = 0$ for some $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$.

α is an algebraic integer if $f(\alpha) = 0$ for some $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

E.g. $\sqrt{2}$ is an algebraic integer $f(x) = x^2 - 2$

E.g. $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{5}{4} &= 0 \\ \alpha^2 - \alpha - 1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So $f(x) = x^2 - x - 1$

E.g. $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ then $f(x) = x^n - 1 \implies \zeta$ is an algebraic integer.

Proposition 3.2. Suppose α is an algebraic number. Then α is an algebraic integer $\iff m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ (minimal polynomial).

E.g. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ is not an algebraic integer, it's minimal polynomial is $x^2 - \frac{1}{2}$

Proof. (\Leftarrow) if $m_\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ then α is an algebraic integer $m_\alpha(\alpha) = 0$ and $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is monic.

(\Rightarrow) Assume α is an algebraic integer $f(\alpha) = 0$, $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ monic.

$m_\alpha | f$ in $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ by definition of m_α , i.e. $f = m_\alpha^\times q$, $m_\alpha, q \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ monic.

By the Monic Gauss lemma, m_α and $q \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. □

Corollary 3.3. The algebraic integers in \mathbb{Q} are \mathbb{Z}

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. $m_\alpha(x) = x - \alpha$. $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \iff \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Main aim of this chapter: Given an algebraic number field k , what are the algebraic integers in k ?

Notation: $\mathcal{O}_k = \{\text{Algebraic integers in } k\}$.

E.g. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Z}$ If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ then $m_\alpha(x) = x - \alpha$

Proposition 3.4. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in \mathbb{Z} . Then all eigenvalues of A are algebraic integers.

Proof. The eigenvalues are roots of $Ch_A(x) = \det(xI_n - A)$ □

Notation: $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{f(\alpha) : f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}$ the ring generated by α

As a group $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is generated by $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots\}$, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots\}$

Sometimes $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is finitely generated as an additive group, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$.

E.g. $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$

Proposition 3.5. $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is finitely generated as an additive group $\iff \alpha$ is an additive integer.

Proof. (\implies) Assume $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$ with $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$

$$\alpha = a_{i1}b_1 + \dots + a_{1r}b_r \quad \text{for some } a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha b_1 &= a_{11}b_1 + \dots + a_{1r}b_r \\ &\vdots \\ \alpha b_r &= a_{r1}b_1 + \dots + a_{rr}b_r \end{aligned} \quad \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_r \end{pmatrix} = (a_{ij}) \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_r \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\alpha$$

So α is an eigenvalue of (a_{ij}) and α is an algebraic integer.

(\impliedby) Assume α is an algebraic integer $f(\alpha) = 0$ for some monic $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Let $d = \deg(f)$, claim $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\}$.

Let R be a ring, $f, g \in R[x]$ such that $g = qf + r$ for $q, r \in R[x]$ with $\deg(r) < d$

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{g(\alpha) : g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}$$

$$g = qf + r, \quad \deg(r) < d, \quad q, r \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

$$g(\alpha) = q(\alpha)f(\alpha) + r(\alpha)$$

$$g(\alpha) = r(\alpha) = a_0 + a_1\alpha + \dots + a_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}$$

$$g(\alpha) \in \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\} \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.6. Let $\alpha, \beta \in k$ be both algebraic integers, then $\alpha + \beta$, $\alpha - \beta$, $\alpha\beta$ are algebraic integers form a subring of k .

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\}$
 $\mathbb{Z}[\beta] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{1, \beta, \dots, \beta^{e-1}\}$

$$\alpha^i * \beta^j \in \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\alpha^i \beta^j : i < d, j < e\}$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\alpha^i \beta^j : i < d, j < e\}$$

So $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$ is finitely generated.

Let $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$ or $\alpha - \beta$ or $\alpha\beta$ so $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$

$\mathbb{Z}[\gamma] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta] \implies \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$ is finitely generated as an additive group.

$\implies \gamma$ is an algebraic integer. □

E.g. Let $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) = \{x + y\sqrt{5} : x, y \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. What is \mathcal{O}_k ?

Let $A = x + y\sqrt{5}$, with $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$, $y \neq 0$.

Assume $A \in \mathcal{O}_k$, $k = \mathbb{Q}(A)$ and $m_A(x)$ has degree 2.

$$(A - x)^2 - 5y^2 = 0$$

$$A^2 - 2xA - 5y^2 + x^2 = 0$$

$$\text{So } m_A(x) = X^2 - 2xX + x^2 - 5y^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} A \in \mathcal{O}_k &\iff m_A(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \\ &\iff 2x \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } x^2 - 5y^2 \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

This means the denominator of x is at most 2.

$$x = \frac{r}{2} \quad r \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ since } x^2 - 5y^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$y = \frac{s}{2} \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\frac{r^2 - 5s^2}{4} \in \mathbb{Z} \implies r^2 - 5s^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \quad (4)$$

$$r^2 \equiv s^2 \pmod{2} \quad (2)$$

$$r \equiv s \pmod{2} \quad (2)$$

$$r = s + 2t \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{r}{2} + \frac{s}{2}\sqrt{5} \\ &= \frac{s}{2} + t + \frac{s}{2}\sqrt{5} \\ &= t + s \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

So every algebraic integer in k is actually in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ where $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Conversely since $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_k$ we know $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$. $\mathcal{O}_k = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{t + s\alpha : t, s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

What is so special about the rings \mathcal{O}_k ? Why not just study $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}]$?

Proposition 3.7. *Suppose $R \subseteq k$ is a UFD subring of k . If $A = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is an algebraic integer in k with $\alpha, \beta \in R$ then $A \in R$.*

This implies $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ is not a UFD (take $A = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$).

Proof. Let $A = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ be an algebraic integer. Without loss of generality assume, $\alpha, \beta \in R$ are coprime.

To prove $A \in R$ we'll show that β is a unit.

Suppose $p|\beta$, $p \in R$ is irreducible.

$$A \in \mathcal{O}_k \text{ so } A^d + \alpha_{d-1}A^{d-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0 \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\frac{\alpha^d}{\beta^d} + a_{d-1}\frac{\alpha^{d-1}}{\beta^{d-1}} + \dots + a_0 = 0$$

Multiply by β^d :

$$\alpha^d + \underbrace{a_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}\beta + \dots + a_0\beta^d}_{\text{multiples of } p} = 0$$

So $p|\alpha^d$ and $p|\alpha$ since R is a UFD and p is irreducible.

p is a common factor of α and β . $\nexists \beta$ has no irreducible factors so β is a unit.

□

3.1 The Standard Representation

Definition 3.8. *The map $\beta \mapsto A_\beta$ is called the standard representation.*

Let k/\mathbb{Q} be an algebraic number field.

$$d = [k : \mathbb{Q}] \text{ for some } \alpha \in k$$

$$k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$$

$m = m_\alpha$ minimal polynomial of α

$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{C}$ the (distinct) complex roots of m_α , i.e. conjugates of α in \mathbb{C} .

For each α_i we have a field embedding:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i : k &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \sigma_i(a_0 + a_1\alpha + \dots + a_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}) &= a_0 + a_1\alpha_i + \dots + a_{d-1}\alpha_i^{d-1} \\ \sigma_i(f(\alpha)) &= f(\alpha_i) \quad f \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \end{aligned}$$

For an element $\beta \in k$, let A_β be the linear map $k \rightarrow k$, $A_\beta(x) = \beta x$. After choosing a basis for k/\mathbb{Q} , A_β is a $d \times d$ matrix of rational numbers.

E.g. $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, $\beta = 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$

We find the matrix of A_β with respect to the basis $\{1, \sqrt{2}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} A_\beta(1) &= (3 + 2\sqrt{2}) * 1 = 3 \cdot 1 + 2\sqrt{2} \\ A_\beta(\sqrt{2}) &= (3 + 2\sqrt{2}) * \sqrt{2} = 4 \cdot 1 + 3\sqrt{2} \end{aligned} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark : We can recover β from A_β by $\beta = A_\beta(1)$ and the map $\beta \mapsto A_\beta$ is injective.

3.1.1 Properties of the Standard Representation

- $A_{\beta+\gamma} = A_\beta + A_\gamma \quad (\gamma, \beta \in k \quad x \in \mathbb{Q})$
- $A_{\beta\gamma} = A_\beta \cdot A_\gamma$
- $A_{x\beta} = xA_\beta$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\beta\gamma}(t) &= \beta\gamma t \\ &= A_\beta(\gamma t) \\ &= A_\beta(A_\gamma(t)) \end{aligned} \quad \text{so } A_{\beta\gamma} = A_\beta \circ A_\gamma$$

Other proofs are similar. □

Corollary 3.9. *If $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \quad A_{g(\beta)} = g(A_\beta)$. The polynomial = the linear map*

Proof. Let $g(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{g(\beta)} &= A_{a_0+a_1\beta+\cdots+a_n\beta^n} \\ &= A_{a_0} + A_{a_1\beta} + \cdots + A_{a_n\beta^n} \\ &= a_0A_1 + a_1A_\beta + \cdots + a_nA_{\beta^n} \\ &= a_0A_1 + a_1A_\beta + 1_2(A_\beta)^2 + \cdots + a_n(A_\beta)^n \\ &= g(A_\beta) \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 3.10. *Let $\beta \in k$ be the field polynomial $F_\beta(x)$ is $F_\beta(x) = \det(xI - A_\beta)$ i.e. the characteristic polynomial of β .*

F_β is the monic polynomial of degree d in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Lemma 3.11. *If $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ then $F_\alpha(x) = m_\alpha(x)$.*

Proof. By the Cayley-Hamilton ($Ch_A(A) = 0$): $F_\alpha(A_\alpha) = A_{F_\alpha(\alpha)} = \underline{0}$. So $F_\alpha(\alpha) = 0$ and F_α is a multiple of m_α . Since it has degree d and is monic, $F_\alpha = m_\alpha$. □

Theorem 3.12. For any $\beta \in k$, the matrix A_β is diagonalisable over \mathbb{C} with diagonal entries $\sigma_1(\beta), \dots, \sigma_d(\beta)$ and $F_\beta(x) = (x - \sigma_1(\beta)) \dots (x - \sigma_d(\beta))$

Proof. First prove in the case $\beta = \alpha$, $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

The eigenvalues of A_α are the roots of $F_\alpha = m_\alpha$ (by the lemma)

These are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$. By the Galois Separability lemma, we have d eigenvalues.

$$\therefore A_\alpha \text{ is diagonalisable over } \mathbb{C} \text{ and } P^{-1}A_\alpha P = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \alpha_d \end{pmatrix}$$

Now check for any $\beta \in k$ $\beta = g(\alpha)$ for some $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$

$$\begin{aligned} A_\beta &= A_g(\alpha) = g(A_\alpha)a \\ &= g\left(P \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \alpha_d \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}\right) \\ &= Pg\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \alpha_d \end{pmatrix}\right)P^{-1} \\ &= P \begin{pmatrix} g(\alpha_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & g(\alpha_d) \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} \\ &= P \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\beta) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_d(\beta) \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

□

E.g. $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \{x + y\sqrt{2} : x, y \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ $d = 2$.

Use the basis $\{1, \sqrt{2}\}$

$$A_{\sqrt{2}}(1) = \sqrt{2} \cdot 1 = 0 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot \sqrt{2}$$

$$A_{\sqrt{2}}(\sqrt{2}) = 2 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot \sqrt{2}$$

$$A_{\sqrt{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Let } P = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } P^{-1}A_{\sqrt{2}}P = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Let } \beta = x + y\sqrt{2} \quad A_\beta = xI_2 + y \quad A_{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} x & 2y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P^{-1}A_{\beta}P = \begin{pmatrix} x+y\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & x-y\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\beta) & \\ & \sigma_2(\beta) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$F_{\beta}(x) = (x - \sigma_1(\beta))(x - \sigma_2(\beta))$$

Corollary 3.13. For any $\beta \in k$, $[k : \mathbb{Q}(\beta)]$

Proof. Suppose $p(x)$ is a monic irreducible factor of F_{β} in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Want to show $p = m_{\beta}$.

The roots of F_{β} are $\sigma_1(\beta), \dots, \sigma_d(\beta)$. These are the conjugates of β , i.e. the roots of m_{β} .

Therefore the roots of p have minimal polynomial m_{β} .

$m_{\beta}|p$ so $p = m_{\beta}$ and since F_{β} and m_{β} are both monic, $F_{\beta} = m_{\beta}^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also m_{β} has degree $[\mathbb{Q}(\beta) : \mathbb{Q}]$.

So by the Tower law, $r = [k : \mathbb{Q}(\beta)]$. □

Corollary 3.14. $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_k \iff F_{\beta}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$

Proof. (\implies)

Suppose $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_k$, $m_{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ but F_{β} is a power of $m_{\beta} \implies F_{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$

(\impliedby) Assume $F_{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ $F_{\beta}(\beta) = m_{\beta}(\beta)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}(\beta)]} = 0^Y = 0$ for some y . Therefore $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_k$. □

E.g. $k = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ $\beta = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{5}{7}i$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\beta}(x) &= (x - \sigma_1(\beta))(x - \sigma_2(\beta)) \\ &= \left(x - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{5}{7}i\right)\left(x - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{5}{7}i\right) \\ &= \left(x - \frac{3}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{25}{49} \notin \mathbb{Z}[x] \end{aligned} \quad \text{so } \beta \notin \mathcal{O}_k$$

Corollary 3.15. Let $b \in k$ then $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($n > 0$) such that $n\beta \in \mathcal{O}_k$.

Proof. Choose n so that $nA_{\beta} = A_{n\beta}$ has entries in \mathbb{Z} . Then $F_{n\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and so by the previous corollary $n\beta \in \mathcal{O}_k$. □

E.g. $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, $\alpha = 10^{\frac{1}{3}}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_k$ so $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$.

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{x + y\alpha + z\alpha^2 : x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Let $\beta = \frac{1+\alpha+\alpha^2}{3}$ then $A_{\beta} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 1 & 10 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ Is β an algebraic integer?

$$F_{\beta}(x) = \det(xI_3 - A_{\beta})$$

First calculate the standard representation:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\alpha(1) &= \alpha = 0 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot \alpha + 0 \cdot \alpha^2 \\
A_\alpha(\alpha) &= \alpha^2 = 0 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot \alpha + 1 \cdot \alpha^2 \\
A_\alpha(\alpha^2) &= 10 = 10 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot \alpha + 0 \cdot \alpha^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$A_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 10 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_\alpha^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 10 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_\beta = \frac{1}{3} \left(I_3 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 10 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 1 & 10 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_\beta &= \det(xI_3 - A_\beta) \\
&= \det \left(\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 3x-1 & -10 & -10 \\ -1 & 3x-1 & -10 \\ -1 & -1 & 3x-1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{27} \left(((3x-1)^3 - 100 - 10) - (3(10(3x-1))) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{27} (27x^3 - 27x^2 - 81x - 81)
\end{aligned}$$

$$F_\beta(x) = x^3 - x^2 - 3x - 3 \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \quad \beta \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is not a UFD.

3.2 Norms and Traces

Definition 3.16. For an element $\beta \in k$ we define $N(\beta) = \det(A_\beta)$ to be the norm of β and $Tr(\beta) = Tr(A_\beta)$ to be the trace of β .

Note that $N(\beta)$ and $Tr(\beta) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

E.g. $Tr \left(\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 7 & 3 \\ 4 & 7 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 3 + 7 + 3$

Proposition 3.17. $N(\beta) = \sigma_1(\beta) \times \cdots \times \sigma_d(\beta)$
 $Tr(\beta) = \sigma_1(\beta) + \cdots + \sigma_d(\beta)$

Proof. $A_\beta \sim \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\beta) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \sigma_d(\beta) \end{pmatrix}$

□

3.3 Properties of Norms and Traces

- $N(\beta\gamma) = N(\beta)N(\gamma)$ for $\beta, \gamma \in k$
- $N(x\beta) = x^d N(\beta)$ for $x \in \mathbb{Q}$
- $Tr(\beta + \gamma) = Tr(\beta) + Tr(\gamma)$
- $Tr(x\beta) = xTr(\beta)$

Proof. $N(\beta\gamma) = \det(A_{\beta\gamma}) = \det(A_\beta A_\gamma) = N(\beta)N(\gamma)$ □

Proposition 3.18. $F_\beta(x) = x^d - Tr(\beta)x^{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d N(\beta)$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} F_\beta(x) &= (x - \sigma_1(\beta)) \times \cdots \times (x - \sigma_d(\beta)) \\ &= x^d - (\sigma_1(\beta) + \cdots + \sigma_d(\beta))x^{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d (\sigma_1(\beta) \times \cdots \times \sigma_d(\beta)) \\ &= x^d - Tr(\beta)x^{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d N(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

□

E.g. $\alpha = 10^{\frac{1}{3}}, \beta = \frac{1+\alpha+\alpha^2}{3}$

$$N(\beta) = 3, Tr(\beta) = 1 \text{ and } F_\beta(\alpha) = \alpha^3 - \alpha^2 - 3\alpha - 3$$

Corollary 3.19. If $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_k$ then $N(\beta), Tr(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. $F_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ □

E.g. $k = \mathbb{Q}(i), \beta = \frac{1+i}{2}$

$$N(\beta) = \frac{1+i}{2} * \frac{1-i}{2} = \frac{1+1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\beta \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

3.4 Integral Bases

E.g. $\alpha = 10^{\frac{1}{3}}, k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$

We also found another element $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_k, \beta = \frac{1+\alpha+\alpha^2}{3}$ for $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta] \in \mathcal{O}_k$.

Is this all of \mathcal{O}_k or are there more?

Eventually this process will end with the whole of \mathcal{O}_k which will be proved later.

Definition 3.20. Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ is a basis for k as a vector space over \mathbb{Q} . We call β an integral basis if:

$$\mathcal{O}_k = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\beta = \{x_1 b_1 + \cdots + x_d b_d : x_i \in \mathbb{Z}\})$$

Theorem 3.21. *There exists an integral basis in k and a method for finding it.*

Proof. (Sketch)

Start with any basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$.

After multiplying b_i by integer, we can assume $b_i \in \mathcal{O}_k$, $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{b_i\} \subset \mathbb{Q}$

If $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\mathcal{B}\} \neq \mathcal{O}_k$ then we can find an element $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}_k$ with $\mathcal{O} \notin \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{B}$.

Replace some b_i by \mathcal{O} to get a new basis \mathcal{C} and this new basis is "smaller" than \mathcal{B} but we need to explain what smaller means. \square

E.g. $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ $\mathcal{B} = \{1, i\}$ is an integral basis because $\mathcal{O}_k = \mathbb{Z}[i]$.

If \mathcal{B} is any basis then we define $\Delta(\mathcal{B}) = \det(\text{Tr}(b_i b_j)) \in \mathbb{Q}$. If $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$ then $\Delta\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The symmetric matrix $(\text{Tr}(b_i b_j))$ is the matrix of a symmetric bilinear form $k \times k \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.

$$(A, B) \mapsto \text{Tr}(AB) \quad A, B \in k$$

$$\text{Tr}((A + xC)B) = \text{Tr}(AB + xCB) = \text{Tr}(AB) + x\text{Tr}(CB) \quad x \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Corollary 3.22. $\Delta\mathcal{B} \neq 0$ if \mathcal{B} is any basis

Proof. Suppose $\Delta\mathcal{B} = 0$, so 0 is an eigenvalue of the matrix of the bilinear form.

There exists $A \in k$ ($A \neq 0$) such that $\text{Tr}(AB) = 0 \quad \forall B \in k$.

Let $B = \frac{1}{A}$, thus $\text{Tr}(1) = 0$ and $d = 0$ \nmid . \square

Corollary 3.23. Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i\}$ $\mathcal{C} = \{c_i\}$ are two bases. Let $M = (m_{ij})$ be the transition matrix i.e. $c_i = \sum_j m_{ij} b_j$ then $\Delta\mathcal{C} = (\det(M))^2 \Delta\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Recall from Algebra 3, $\text{Tr}(c_i c_j) = M^T \text{Tr}(b_i b_j) M$.

Take the determinant $\Delta\mathcal{C} = \det(M) \Delta\mathcal{B} \det(M)$. \square

Lemma 3.24. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$ be a basis for k/\mathbb{Q} with $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$.

Assume \mathcal{B} is not an integral basis. Then there is a prime number p with $p \mid \Delta\mathcal{B}$ and an element:

$$\theta = \frac{x_1 b_1 + \dots + x_d b_d}{p} \quad x_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\} \text{ not all } 0$$

such that θ is an algebraic integer.

If $x_i \neq 0$ then we can replace b_i by θ to get a new basis \mathcal{C} and $\Delta(\mathcal{C}) = \left(\frac{x^i}{p}\right)^2 \Delta\mathcal{B}$

Theorem 3.25. *There is an integral basis in k*

Proof. Choose a basis $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$ with $|\Delta\mathcal{B}|$ as small as possible.

Claim \mathcal{B} is an integral, suppose not. By the lemma there is a basis $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$ such that $\Delta\mathcal{C} = \left(\frac{x^i}{p}\right)^2 \Delta\mathcal{B}$ for $|x_i| < p$.

$$|\Delta\mathcal{C}| < |\Delta\mathcal{B}| \nmid$$

□

The lemma also give a method for finding an integral basis.

1. Start off with any basis for k/\mathbb{Q}

For example if $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ we can take $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\}$

2. Multiplying the basis vectors by non-zero integers we can assume $\{b_1, \dots, b_d\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$
3. Calculate $\Delta\{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$

For each element $\theta = \frac{x_1 b_1 + \dots + x_d b_d}{p}$ where $x_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ not all 0, p prime and $p|\Delta\mathcal{B}$

- If θ is an algebraic integer then replace some b_i by θ to get a new basis and go back to 3).
- If none of the θ are algebraic integers then \mathcal{B} is an integral basis.

E.g. $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ Start with basis $\{1, \sqrt{5}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\{1, \sqrt{5}\} &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \text{Tr}(1 * 1) & \text{Tr}(1 * \sqrt{5}) \\ \text{Tr}(\sqrt{5} * 1) & \text{Tr}(\sqrt{5} * \sqrt{5}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 20 \\ &= 2^2 * 5 \end{aligned}$$

The only prime whose square divides this is $p = 2$.

So $\theta = x + y\sqrt{5}$ $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$ not both 0.

The following combinations are possible, $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Trivially $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_k$.

So the new basis $\mathcal{C} = \{1, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\}$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{1}{2}^2 \Delta\mathcal{B} = 5$

There are no primes p such that $p^2|\mathcal{B}$. This means there are no more θ to check $\implies \mathcal{C}$ is an integral basis.

$$\mathcal{O}_k = \{x + y\alpha : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad \alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

$$\text{Tr}(x + y\sqrt{5}) = 2x$$

Proof. Lemma 3.2.4

Assume \mathcal{B} is not an integral basis, then there exists an algebraic integer $\Phi = y_1 b_1 + \dots + y_d b_d$ $y_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, y_i not all in \mathbb{Z} .

Let N be the lowest common denominator of y_1, \dots, y_d . Replace Φ by $\frac{N}{p}\Phi$ where p is prime.

The denominators are the prime p factor of N .

Let $\Psi = \lfloor y_1 \rfloor b_1 + \dots + \lfloor y_d \rfloor b_d \in \mathcal{O}_k$.

$\theta = \Phi - \Psi \in \mathcal{O}_k$

The coefficients of θ are in $[0, 1)$, not all 0 and with denominator p .

$$\theta = \frac{x_1 b_1 + \dots + x_d b_d}{p} \quad x_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$$

Suppose $x_i \neq 0$, let \mathcal{C} be the new basis with b_i replaced by θ .

The new transition matrix is:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= b_1 \\ c_2 &= b_2 \\ &\vdots \\ c_i &= \frac{x_i}{p} b_i + \dots + \frac{x_d}{p} b_d \\ &\vdots \\ c_d &= b_d \end{aligned} \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \frac{x_1}{p} \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & \frac{x_i}{p} & & \\ & & & \vdots & \ddots & \\ & & & \frac{x_d}{p} & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ (0s elsewhere)}$$

So $\Delta \mathcal{C} = \det(M)^2 \Delta \mathcal{B} = (\frac{x^i}{p})^2 \Delta \mathcal{B}$

$$p^2 \Delta \mathcal{C} = x_i^2 \Delta \mathcal{B} \quad x_i \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}, \quad x_i \text{ coprime to } p^2 \text{ and } p^2 | \Delta \mathcal{B}$$

□

We found an integral basis, $1, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

We'll see methods which will find an integral basis in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

E.g. $\alpha^8 - 2 = 0$, we need better methods for calculating discriminants.

Lemma 3.26. *If $\{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$ is any basis then $\Delta\{b_1, \dots, b_d\} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(b_1) & \dots & \sigma_d(b_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(b_d) & \dots & \sigma_d(b_d) \end{pmatrix}^2$*

Here $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d : k \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ are field embeddings.

E.g. $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$ n not a square

$$\Delta\{1, \sqrt{n}\} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \sqrt{n} & -\sqrt{n} \end{pmatrix}^2 = (-2\sqrt{n})^2 = 4n$$

If n is square free then the only primes to consider in the algorithm is $p = 2$. So we'd consider the elements $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{n}}{2}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(b_1) & \dots & \sigma_d(b_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(b_d) & \dots & \sigma_d(b_d) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(b_1) & \dots & \sigma_d(b_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(b_d) & \dots & \sigma_d(b_d) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(b_1b_1) + \sigma_2(b_1b_1) + \dots + \sigma_d(b_1b_1) & \dots & \sigma_d(b_1b_d) + \dots + \sigma_1(b_1b_d) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(b_db_1) + \dots + \sigma_d(b_db_1) & \dots & \sigma_d(b_db_d) + \dots + \sigma_1(b_db_d) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \text{Tr}(b_1b_1) & \dots & \text{Tr}(b_1b_d) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{Tr}(b_db_1) & \dots & \text{Tr}(b_db_d) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Take determinant of both sides $\det(\sigma_i(b_j))^2 = \Delta\mathcal{B}$ □

Next we'll look at $\Delta\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\}$, $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$

Proposition 3.27. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ be the conjugate of α in \mathbb{C} . Then $\Delta\{1, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\} = \prod_{i,j=1}^d (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$*

Proof. By the previous lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(1) & \dots & \sigma_1(\alpha^{d-1}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_d(1) & \dots & \sigma_d(\alpha^{d-1}) \end{pmatrix}^2 & \sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha_i \\
&= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_1^2 & \dots & \alpha_1^{d-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha_d & \alpha_d^2 & \dots & \alpha_d^{d-1} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \left(\pm \prod_{i,j=1}^d \alpha_i - \alpha_j \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.28. $\Delta\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\} = (-1)^{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}} N(m'(\alpha))$ where m is the minimal polynomial of α , $m'(x)$ is the derivative.

E.g. Let $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^8 - 2 = 0$

$$m_\alpha(x) = x^8 - 2 \quad (\text{Eisenstein's criterion with } p = 2)$$

$$m'_\alpha(x) = 8x^7$$

By the proposition:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^7\} &= (-1)^{\frac{8 \cdot 7}{2}} N(8\alpha^7) \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{8 \cdot 7}{2}} N(8) N(\alpha)^7 \\
&= 1 * 8^8 * -2^7 \\
&= -2^{31}
\end{aligned}$$

$$N(\beta) = \det(A_\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & & & \\ & 8 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 8 \end{pmatrix} \text{ (0s elsewhere)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\alpha) &= \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_8 \\
&= \text{constant term in } m_\alpha(x) = (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_8) \\
&= -2
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the previous proposition:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &= \prod_{i,j=1}^d \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 \\
&= \prod_{i,j=1}^d (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) * (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) * -1 \quad \# \text{ of terms} = \frac{d(d-1)}{2} \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}} \prod_{i,j=1}^d \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)
\end{aligned}$$

$$m(x) = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \dots (x - \alpha_d).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m'(x) &= (x - \alpha_2) \dots (x - \alpha_d) + (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_3) \dots (x - \alpha_d) + \dots + (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_{d-1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \neq i} (x - \alpha_j)
\end{aligned}$$

$$m'(a_k) = \sum_{i=1}^d \underbrace{\prod_{j \neq i} (\alpha_k - \alpha_j)}_{=0 \text{ unless } i=k}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
N(m'(\alpha)) &= \prod_i \sigma_i(m'(\alpha)) \\
&= \prod_i m'(\alpha_i) \\
&= \prod_i \prod_{j \neq i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \Delta = (-1)^{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}} N(m'(\alpha)).$$

□

$$\textbf{Note: } F(x) = (x - \sigma_1(\theta)) \dots (x - \sigma_d(\theta)) = x^d - \text{Tr}(\theta)x^{d-1} + \dots + (-1)^d N(\theta)$$

3.5 Quadratic Fields

$k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$ for $n \neq 1$ and square-free.

Theorem 3.29. $\mathcal{O}_k = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ where $\alpha \begin{cases} \sqrt{n} & d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{1+\sqrt{n}}{2} & d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$, and $\{1, \alpha\}$ is an integral basis, then

$$\Delta\{1, \alpha\} = \begin{cases} 4n & n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ n & n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and let $\mathcal{B} = \{1, \sqrt{n}\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{B} &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(1) & \sigma_1(\sqrt{n}) \\ \sigma_2(1) & \sigma_2(\sqrt{n}) \end{pmatrix}^2 \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{n} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{n} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 4n\end{aligned}$$

In the algorithm we get $p = 2$, the only prime whose square divides $4n$.

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \text{ or } \frac{1+\sqrt{n}}{2}$$

We know $\frac{1}{2} \notin \mathcal{O}_k$ and $N(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}) = -\frac{n}{4} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ because n is square free so $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \notin \mathcal{O}_k$.

Also $N(\frac{1+\sqrt{n}}{2}) = \frac{1}{4} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ so $\frac{1+\sqrt{n}}{2} \notin \mathcal{O}_k$ so $\{1, \sqrt{n}\}$ is an integral basis.

Suppose $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ so $\frac{1+\sqrt{n}}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_k$. Then $\mathcal{B} = \{1, \frac{1+\sqrt{n}}{2}\}$ and $\Delta\mathcal{B} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1+\sqrt{n}}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{1-\sqrt{n}}{2} \end{pmatrix} = n$

Since n is square free \mathcal{B} is an integral basis, there are not primes whose square divides $\Delta\mathcal{B}$. □

3.6 Cubic Fields

Suppose k is a cubic field, i.e. $[k : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$. Let $k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with $m_\alpha(x) = x^3 + cx^2 + \dots$

If we let $\beta = \alpha + \frac{c}{3}$ so $k = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$, the minimal polynomial of β has no x^2 term

$$\begin{aligned}m_\beta(x) &= m_\alpha(x - \frac{c}{3}) \\ &= (x - \frac{c}{3} + c(x - \frac{c}{3})^2 + \dots \\ &= x^3 - cx^2 + \dots + cx^2 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Let's assume that the minimal polynomial of α is $x^3 + ax + b$.

Proposition 3.30. $\Delta\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2\} = -27b^2 - 4a^3$

We can use this to find an integral basis in k .

E.g. $m_\alpha(x) = x^3 + x + 1$

$m(1) \neq 0$ and $m(-1) \neq 0$ so m_α is irreducible.

$k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is a cubic field, $\Delta\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2\} = -27 - 4 = -31$.

This is square free so $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2\}$ is an integral basis i.e. $\mathcal{O}_k = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$.

E.g. $m_\alpha(x) = x^3 - 2x + 3$.

It is irreducible since plugging in $\pm 1, \pm 3$ does not give 0.

By the proposition $\Delta\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2\} = -27b^2 - 4a^3 = -243 + 32 = -211$.

211 prime so $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2\}$ is an integral basis i.e. $\mathcal{O}_k = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$.

Proof.

$m_\alpha(x^3) = x^3 + ax + b$ and we proved last time that $\Delta\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\} = (-1)^{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}} N(m'(\alpha))$.

$$m'(x) = 3x^2 + a$$

$$\Delta\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2\} = -N(3\alpha^2 + a)$$

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ be the conjugates of α , $m(x) = (x - \alpha)(x - \beta)(x - \gamma)$.

By comparing coefficients:

- $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$
- $\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha = a$
- $\alpha\beta\gamma = -b$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2\} &= -N(3\alpha^2 + a) \\ &= -(3\alpha^2 + a)(3\beta^2 + a)(3\gamma^2 + a) \\ &= -(27\alpha^2\beta^2\gamma^2 + 9a(\alpha^2\beta^2 + \beta^2\gamma^2 + \gamma^2\alpha^2) + 3a^2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2) + a^3) \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2\beta^2\gamma^2 &= b^2 \\ \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 &= \underbrace{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}_0 - \underbrace{2(\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha)}_a = -2a \\ \alpha^2\beta^2 + \beta^2\gamma^2 + \gamma^2\alpha^2 &= (\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha)^2 - 2(\alpha\beta\beta\gamma + \beta\gamma\gamma\alpha + \gamma\alpha\alpha\beta) \\ &= a^2 - 2\alpha\beta\gamma(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2\} = -27b^2 + 9aa^2 + 3a^2(-2a) + a^3 = -27b^2 - 4a^3$$

□

Proposition 3.31. Suppose $m_\alpha(x)$ satisfies Eisenstein's criterion with prime p .
Let θ