

BY FIRST AUTHOR<sup>†</sup>, SECOND AUTHOR<sup>†</sup>

*Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University<sup>†</sup>*

Fox penning is a tradition whereby foxes are hunted with dogs in a large enclosed space. This is criticized by animal rights groups who claim that the practice is cruel. In this paper we investigate the factors that influence a fox's chances to survive in such pens and connect that to the notions of cruelty and of the fair chase. We then use our model to examine possible changes to fox penning policy and what effect those changes may have on fox survival. We conclude that by either allowing the foxes to acclimate to their environment or by limiting the number of hunting dogs in the pen, we can improve fox survival and recapture the spirit of the fair chase,

### 1. Introduction. test...

**2. Data.** Inferences are made from  $P(\Theta|X, Y)$ , where  $\mathcal{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ X^* \end{bmatrix}$  and  $X^*$  denotes missing covariates. Then in a Bayesian paradigm

$$P(\Theta|X, Y), = \int P(\Theta|X, X^*, Y)p(X^*)dX^*.$$

### 3. Model.

$$(3.1) \quad y_{it} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_{it})$$

$$(3.2) \quad \text{probit}(p_{it}) = \alpha + X_t\beta_{dogs} + \theta_{it}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \theta_{it} \sim N(\alpha_i + G_{it}\beta_{exp}, \phi^{-1})$$

where  $i = \{1, \dots, 27\}$  (fox), and  $t = \{1, \dots, T\}$  (trials). The number of dogs for trial  $t$  is denoted  $X_t$  and  $G_{it}$  is the experience of fox  $i$  on day  $t$ . Let  $R_{it}$  be the risk matrix, where

$$R_{it} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if fox } i \text{ is alive and collared on day } t-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We use data augmentation where

$$(3.4) \quad Z_{it} \sim N(\alpha + X_t\beta_{dogs} + \theta_{it})$$

and

$$Y_{it} = \begin{cases} 1 & Z_{it} > 0 \\ 0 & Z_{it} \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

---

\*Some comment

MSC 2010 subject classifications: Primary 60K35, 60K35; secondary 60K35

Keywords and phrases: sample, L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X 2<sub>ε</sub>

### 3.1. Outstanding Model Based Questions.

- Potential Interaction between experience and dogs
- how to handle non-trial deaths
  1. exclude
  2. average dogs across week
  3. ???
- assess linearity of ‘XB’ inside link function
- perhaps look at a comparison of the hazard (survival curve) for trial and non-trial days.

	mean	CI
$\alpha$	5.4	(3.0,11.6)
$\beta_{dogs}$	-.008	(-.015, -.004)
$\beta_{exp}$	-.65	(-2.04,-.10)
$\beta_{dogs*exp}$	.0014	(.0006, .0030)

TABLE 1  
Table of model coefficients.

## 4. Results.

To estimate our model parameters we ran a Gibbs sampler. The chain was run for 500,000 iterations with convergence happening rather quickly for all parameters. Estimates of the coefficients and 95% credible intervals are give in table X. It can be seen that no credible interval contains 0, indicating that all predictors are useful. Interpretation of individual coefficients is complicated by the presence of the interaction term, but we can understand the model output by examining the heat map in figure X. We see that fox survival is lowest when there are many dogs and inexperienced foxes. This survival rises drastically as either the number of dogs drops or the experience of the foxes increases.

### 4.1. Regime Changes.

One of the motivating goals of this paper was to find ways to make fox penning less cruel. To learn about this, we considered how fox survival might change if the pens were to make policy changes. We considered four different situations:

- Regime A: No constraints on number of dogs or allotted acclimation time. This is currently in place.
- Regime B: Two weeks acclimation time with no dogs.

- Regime C: No more than 400 dogs allowed in pen at a time.
- Regime D: Two weeks acclimation time and 400 dog limit.

These four regimes produced the following survival curves.

We see a precipitous drop in fox survival for regime A but not for any of the other three regimes. In fact, the other three plots look very similar.

## 5. Discussion.

While our analysis has been about fox survival, what we really wanted to learn about was cruelty. Indeed, it is not the intent of the pen that the fox should survive, but rather than the fox be given a fair chance at evasion in accordance with the concept of the fair chase. According to our analysis, fewer than half of the foxes survive beyond their first day under the current rules system. This can hardly be said to be a fair chase. Fortunately, it only takes a small change in policy to greatly improve fox survival. By either allowing the foxes acclimation time or by limiting the number of dogs in the pen we can eliminate the drastic fox mortality we see in the current regime and more closely align the practice of fox penning with the idea of the fair chase.

## References.

- [1] BILLINGSLEY, P. (1999). *Convergence of Probability Measures*, 2nd ed. Wiley, New York. [MR1700749](#)

ADDRESS OF THE FIRST AND SECOND AUTHORS  
USUALLY A FEW LINES LONG  
E-MAIL: [first@somewhere.com](mailto:first@somewhere.com)  
[second@somewhere.com](mailto:second@somewhere.com)