

Moving to Europe Analysis

September 24, 2019

Mikhail Tikhomirov, Pavel Kunyavsky, Maxim Akhmedov

Discover Singapore 2019



DISCOVER
Singapore
★ **2019** ★

Acronis

A
●○B
○○○C
○○D
○○○E
○F
○○○○G
○○H
○○○○I
○○○J
○○○

A. Beads

Given a sequence of n numbers, choose a positive integer k such that the partition of the first $n - (n \bmod k)$ numbers into contiguous parts of length k has the maximum number of distinct parts. A sequence and its reverse is considered equal.

A
●B
○○○C
○○D
○○○E
○F
○○○○G
○○H
○○○○I
○○○J
○○○

A. Beads

Recall that we can compute a polynomial hash $h(t)$ of any substring t of a string in $O(1)$. To account for possible reverse, let us compute the hash of a substring t as an *unordered* pair $\{h(t), h(\text{rev}(t))\}$.

A
●B
○○C
○○D
○○○E
○F
○○○○G
○○H
○○○○I
○○○J
○○○

A. Beads

Recall that we can compute a polynomial hash $h(t)$ of any substring t of a string in $O(1)$. To account for possible reverse, let us compute the hash of a substring t as an *unordered* pair $\{h(t), h(\text{rev}(t))\}$.

For a particular k , there are $\lfloor n/k \rfloor$ relevant substrings. compute hashes of all of them and count distinct ones with sorting/set in $O(n/k \cdot \log n)$. To avoid birthday paradox may need to use several distinct hash modulus.

A. Beads

Recall that we can compute a polynomial hash $h(t)$ of any substring t of a string in $O(1)$. To account for possible reverse, let us compute the hash of a substring t as an *unordered* pair $\{h(t), h(\text{rev}(t))\}$.

For a particular k , there are $\lfloor n/k \rfloor$ relevant substrings. compute hashes of all of them and count distinct ones with sorting/set in $O(n/k \cdot \log n)$. To avoid birthday paradox may need to use several distinct hash modulus.

The total complexity is $O(n \log n \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k) = O(n \log^2 n)$.

A
ooB
●ooC
ooD
oooE
oF
ooooG
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

B. Board Trick

We are given an undirected graph with weights for each direction of each edge. Find an Euler tour that minimizes the largest of used directions for edges.

A
ooB
o●oC
ooD
oooE
oF
ooooG
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

B. Board Trick

Let us check if there is a tour with the largest weight at most x . The only condition we have to satisfy is that for each vertex its out-degree is equal to its in-degree. In particular, if any vertex has odd degree, clearly there is no answer.

B. Board Trick

Let us check if there is a tour with the largest weight at most x . The only condition we have to satisfy is that for each vertex its out-degree is equal to its in-degree. In particular, if any vertex has odd degree, clearly there is no answer.

For any undirected edge:

- it increases the in-degree of at most one of its endpoints;

B. Board Trick

Let us check if there is a tour with the largest weight at most x . The only condition we have to satisfy is that for each vertex its out-degree is equal to its in-degree. In particular, if any vertex has odd degree, clearly there is no answer.

For any undirected edge:

- it increases the in-degree of at most one of its endpoints;
- it can increase the in-degree of an endpoint if its direction towards it has weight at most x .

B. Board Trick

Construct a flow network as follows:

- the set of vertices is $\{s, t\} \cup E \cup V$, where s, t are the source and the sink, E and V are edges and vertices of the original graph;

B. Board Trick

Construct a flow network as follows:

- the set of vertices is $\{s, t\} \cup E \cup V$, where s, t are the source and the sink, E and V are edges and vertices of the original graph;
- for all $e \in E$, add (s, e) of weight 1;

B. Board Trick

Construct a flow network as follows:

- the set of vertices is $\{s, t\} \cup E \cup V$, where s, t are the source and the sink, E and V are edges and vertices of the original graph;
- for all $e \in E$, add (s, e) of weight 1;
- if $e = (v, u)$, then add (e, v) iff the direction of e towards v has weight at most x ;

B. Board Trick

Construct a flow network as follows:

- the set of vertices is $\{s, t\} \cup E \cup V$, where s, t are the source and the sink, E and V are edges and vertices of the original graph;
- for all $e \in E$, add (s, e) of weight 1;
- if $e = (v, u)$, then add (e, v) iff the direction of e towards v has weight at most x ;
- for all $v \in V$, add (v, t) of weight $\deg(v)/2$.

B. Board Trick

Construct a flow network as follows:

- the set of vertices is $\{s, t\} \cup E \cup V$, where s, t are the source and the sink, E and V are edges and vertices of the original graph;
- for all $e \in E$, add (s, e) of weight 1;
- if $e = (v, u)$, then add (e, v) iff the direction of e towards v has weight at most x ;
- for all $v \in V$, add (v, t) of weight $\deg(v)/2$.

The flow from V to t corresponds to assignments of in-degree with all conditions in place. From above we have that the answer is at most x iff there is an $s - t$ flow of size m .

B. Board Trick

Construct a flow network as follows:

- the set of vertices is $\{s, t\} \cup E \cup V$, where s, t are the source and the sink, E and V are edges and vertices of the original graph;
- for all $e \in E$, add (s, e) of weight 1;
- if $e = (v, u)$, then add (e, v) iff the direction of e towards v has weight at most x ;
- for all $v \in V$, add (v, t) of weight $\deg(v)/2$.

The flow from V to t corresponds to assignments of in-degree with all conditions in place. From above we have that the answer is at most x iff there is an $s - t$ flow of size m .

Binary search on x . The graph is small enough so that advanced max-flow techniques are not needed.

C. Frog

There are n distinct points p_1, \dots, p_n in the real line. A *jump* for the point p_i consists of moving to the k -th closest point with respect to the i -th (breaking tie to prefer the leftmost point). Given a large m , for each i determine the location after m jumps starting from p_i .

A
ooB
oooC
o●D
oooE
oF
ooooG
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

C. Frog

Let S_i be the set of $k + 1$ closest points to p_i (including itself, taking care of the tie), and let $L_i = \min S_i$, $R_i = \max S_i$. Observe that $L_i \leq L_j$, $R_i \leq R_j$ for any $i < j$.

C. Frog

Let S_i be the set of $k + 1$ closest points to p_i (including itself, taking care of the tie), and let $L_i = \min S_i$, $R_i = \max S_i$. Observe that $L_i \leq L_j$, $R_i \leq R_j$ for any $i < j$.

Let us compute L_i, R_i from left to right. Clearly, $L_1 = 1, R_1 = k + 1$. For any $i > 1$, set $L_i = L_{i-1}$, $R_i = R_{i-1}$ and increase both of them while $p_{R_i+1} - p_i < p_i - p_{L_i}$.

C. Frog

Let S_i be the set of $k + 1$ closest points to p_i (including itself, taking care of the tie), and let $L_i = \min S_i$, $R_i = \max S_i$. Observe that $L_i \leq L_j$, $R_i \leq R_j$ for any $i < j$.

Let us compute L_i, R_i from left to right. Clearly, $L_1 = 1, R_1 = k + 1$. For any $i > 1$, set $L_i = L_{i-1}$, $R_i = R_{i-1}$ and increase both of them while $p_{R_i+1} - p_i < p_i - p_{L_i}$.

A jump from p_i is either to p_{L_i} or p_{R_i} , whichever is closer.

C. Frog

Let S_i be the set of $k + 1$ closest points to p_i (including itself, taking care of the tie), and let $L_i = \min S_i$, $R_i = \max S_i$. Observe that $L_i \leq L_j$, $R_i \leq R_j$ for any $i < j$.

Let us compute L_i, R_i from left to right. Clearly, $L_1 = 1, R_1 = k + 1$. For any $i > 1$, set $L_i = L_{i-1}$, $R_i = R_{i-1}$ and increase both of them while $p_{R_i+1} - p_i < p_i - p_{L_i}$.

A jump from p_i is either to p_{L_i} or p_{R_i} , whichever is closer.

Finally, compute binary lifting $t_{i,j}$ = the position after 2^j jumps from i . Both precomputation and answering the queries can be done in $O(n \log m)$.

D. Godzilla

Given a directed graph, process offline queries:

- erase an edge;
- find the smallest size of a set of vertices S such that each vertex of the graph is reachable from a vertex of S .

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
o●oE
oF
ooooG
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

D. Godzilla

Perform all queries backwards: add edges instead of erasing.

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
o●oE
oF
ooooG
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

D. Godzilla

Perform all queries backwards: add edges instead of erasing.

Let us store the following data:

D. Godzilla

Perform all queries backwards: add edges instead of erasing.

Let us store the following data:

- some partition (DSU) of vertices V_1, \dots, V_k so that all vertices of each V_i are reachable from each other;

D. Godzilla

Perform all queries backwards: add edges instead of erasing.

Let us store the following data:

- some partition (DSU) of vertices V_1, \dots, V_k so that all vertices of each V_i are reachable from each other;
- for each V_i store a pointer P_i to another component V_{P_i} such that V_i is reachable from V_{P_i} and V_{P_i} is a *source component* (i.e. there is not $V_k \neq V_{P_i}$ such that V_{P_i} is reachable from V_k);

D. Godzilla

Perform all queries backwards: add edges instead of erasing.

Let us store the following data:

- some partition (DSU) of vertices V_1, \dots, V_k so that all vertices of each V_i are reachable from each other;
- for each V_i store a pointer P_i to another component V_{P_i} such that V_i is reachable from V_{P_i} and V_{P_i} is a *source component* (i.e. there is not $V_k \neq V_{P_i}$ such that V_{P_i} is reachable from V_k);
- for each non-source component V_i store a list of incoming edges L_i that are not accounted for.

D. Godzilla

Perform all queries backwards: add edges instead of erasing.

Let us store the following data:

- some partition (DSU) of vertices V_1, \dots, V_k so that all vertices of each V_i are reachable from each other;
- for each V_i store a pointer P_i to another component V_{P_i} such that V_i is reachable from V_{P_i} and V_{P_i} is a *source component* (i.e. there is not $V_k \neq V_{P_i}$ such that V_{P_i} is reachable from V_k);
- for each non-source component V_i store a list of incoming edges L_i that are not accounted for.
- the number of source components.

D. Godzilla

Perform all queries backwards: add edges instead of erasing.

Let us store the following data:

- some partition (DSU) of vertices V_1, \dots, V_k so that all vertices of each V_i are reachable from each other;
- for each V_i store a pointer P_i to another component V_{P_i} such that V_i is reachable from V_{P_i} and V_{P_i} is a *source component* (i.e. there is not $V_k \neq V_{P_i}$ such that V_{P_i} is reachable from V_k);
- for each non-source component V_i store a list of incoming edges L_i that are not accounted for.
- the number of source components.

Additionally, we require that all source V_j are actual SCC's of the graph and for each of them L_j is empty.

D. Godzilla

How do we add an edge $a \rightarrow b$? Locate the components V_i, V_j containing a, b , and add a to L_j . If V_j is not a source component (that is, $P_j \neq j$), we don't have to do anything else.

D. Godzilla

How do we add an edge $a \rightarrow b$? Locate the components V_i, V_j containing a, b , and add a to L_j . If V_j is not a source component (that is, $P_j \neq j$), we don't have to do anything else.

Otherwise, start processing elements of L_j one by one (initially, a is the only element). Consider a few cases:

- if L_j is empty, then V_j is still a source and we can exit;

D. Godzilla

How do we add an edge $a \rightarrow b$? Locate the components V_i, V_j containing a, b , and add a to L_j . If V_j is not a source component (that is, $P_j \neq j$), we don't have to do anything else.

Otherwise, start processing elements of L_j one by one (initially, a is the only element). Consider a few cases:

- if L_j is empty, then V_j is still a source and we can exit;
- if $x \in V_j$, erase it and continue;

D. Godzilla

How do we add an edge $a \rightarrow b$? Locate the components V_i, V_j containing a, b , and add a to L_j . If V_j is not a source component (that is, $P_j \neq j$), we don't have to do anything else.

Otherwise, start processing elements of L_j one by one (initially, a is the only element). Consider a few cases:

- if L_j is empty, then V_j is still a source and we can exit;
- if $x \in V_j$, erase it and continue;
- if $x \in V_k \neq V_j$, consider P_k . If $P_k = j$, then merge V_j with V_k , unite L_j and L_k and continue;

D. Godzilla

How do we add an edge $a \rightarrow b$? Locate the components V_i, V_j containing a, b , and add a to L_j . If V_j is not a source component (that is, $P_j \neq j$), we don't have to do anything else.

Otherwise, start processing elements of L_j one by one (initially, a is the only element). Consider a few cases:

- if L_j is empty, then V_j is still a source and we can exit;
- if $x \in V_j$, erase it and continue;
- if $x \in V_k \neq V_j$, consider P_k . If $P_k = j$, then merge V_j with V_k , unite L_j and L_k and continue;
- if $P_k \neq j$, then decrease the number of source by 1, set $P_j = P_k$ and finish (V_j is not a source anymore).

D. Godzilla

How do we add an edge $a \rightarrow b$? Locate the components V_i, V_j containing a, b , and add a to L_j . If V_j is not a source component (that is, $P_j \neq j$), we don't have to do anything else.

Otherwise, start processing elements of L_j one by one (initially, a is the only element). Consider a few cases:

- if L_j is empty, then V_j is still a source and we can exit;
- if $x \in V_j$, erase it and continue;
- if $x \in V_k \neq V_j$, consider P_k . If $P_k = j$, then merge V_j with V_k , unite L_j and L_k and continue;
- if $P_k \neq j$, then decrease the number of source by 1, set $P_j = P_k$ and finish (V_j is not a source anymore).

All operations with L_j are amortized, thus the complexity is determined by $O(n + m)$ DSU operations, each of which are $O(\log(n + m))$ (or faster).

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
●F
ooooG
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

E. Intelligence test

Given a sequence a , answer queries “is b a subsequence of a ?”.

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
●F
ooooG
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

E. Intelligence test

Given a sequence a , answer queries “is b a subsequence of a ?”.

Greedy algorithm works: locate the earliest location i_1 of b_1 , then the earliest location $i_2 > i_1$ of b_2 , and so on. The answer is “no” if no suitable location exists at some point.

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
●F
ooooG
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

E. Intelligence test

Given a sequence a , answer queries “is b a subsequence of a ?”.

Greedy algorithm works: locate the earliest location i_1 of b_1 , then the earliest location $i_2 > i_1$ of b_2 , and so on. The answer is “no” if no suitable location exists at some point.

To find i_1, i_2, \dots use binary search in lists of occurrences of each element. Total complexity is $O(S \log n)$, where S is the total length of queries.

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
oF
●oooG
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

F. Lamp

You are given two sets of windows on two parallel buildings. Consider a lamp at the ground on the first building, which lights up the second building. Windows perfectly reflect light. Which windows of the first buildings will get a ray of light at their interior?

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
oF
o●ooG
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

F. Lamp

We will define a ray of light by the coordinates of the point (x, y) on the second building it landed to.

F. Lamp

We will define a ray of light by the coordinates of the point (x, y) on the second building it landed to.

A ray performs k reflections if $(x, y) \in C$, $(2x, 2y) \in B$, $(3x, 3y) \in C$, etc.

F. Lamp

We will define a ray of light by the coordinates of the point (x, y) on the second building it landed to.

A ray performs k reflections if $(x, y) \in C$, $(2x, 2y) \in B$, $(3x, 3y) \in C$, etc.

First, note that on each interesting trajectory there is at most 2000 reflections. Indeed, if $(x, y) \in B$ and (x, y) is a result of $2k$ reflections, then $(x', y') = (x/k, y/k) \in B$. Note that $(0, 0)$ does not belong to any rectangle in B , so $\max\{|x'|, y'\} \geq 1$. Thus, $\max\{|x|, y\} \geq k$. Considering the limits on x 's and y 's, it means that k is no more than 1000, so $2k$ is no more than 2000.

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
oF
oo●oG
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

F. Lamp

Also, if ray (x, y) gets into (x', y') using k reflection where k is not a power of two, then another ray (x'', y'') gets there in k'' reflections, where k'' is a power of two.

F. Lamp

Also, if ray (x, y) gets into (x', y') using k reflection where k is not a power of two, then another ray (x'', y'') gets there in k'' reflections, where k'' is a power of two.

Indeed, let l be an odd divisor of k , then the ray $(x'', y'') = (lx, ly)$ gets into (x', y') in k/l reflections (as the corresponding progression of points will be a subprogression of the progression for (x, y)).

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
oF
ooo●G
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

F. Lamp

Now we have to find out all rectangles, that may be attained in $k = 2^l$ reflections.

F. Lamp

Now we have to find out all rectangles, that may be attained in $k = 2^l$ reflections.

Consider all rectangles of sets $B/(2i)$ and $C/(2i + 1)$ and find out all points covered by k rectangles using the scanline in $O(kn \log k)$

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
oF
ooo●G
ooH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

F. Lamp

Now we have to find out all rectangles, that may be attained in $k = 2^l$ reflections.

Consider all rectangles of sets $B/(2i)$ and $C/(2i + 1)$ and find out all points covered by k rectangles using the scanline in $O(kn \log k)$

Total running time will be $O(nC \log(nC))$ where C is the maximum coordinate value.

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
oF
ooooG
●oH
ooooI
oooJ
ooo

G. Leonardo's Numbers

Compute $\sum_{i=0}^n (L_i)^k$, where $L_0 = L_1 = 2$, $L_i = L_{i-1} + L_{i-2} + 1$ for $i > 1$.

G. Leonardo's Numbers

Let v_i be a vector containing numbers $L_i^a L_{i-1}^b$ for all $0 \leq a + b \leq k$. After expanding trinomials in $L_i^a L_{i-1}^b = (L_{i-1} + L_{i-2} + 1)^a L_{i-1}^b$ one can find a matrix A such that $v_i = Av_{i-1}$.

G. Leonardo's Numbers

Let v_i be a vector containing numbers $L_i^a L_{i-1}^b$ for all $0 \leq a + b \leq k$. After expanding trinomials in $L_i^a L_{i-1}^b = (L_{i-1} + L_{i-2} + 1)^a L_{i-1}^b$ one can find a matrix A such that $v_i = Av_{i-1}$.

After adding an extra element accumulating $\sum L_i^k$, one can use fast matrix exponentiation to find the answer in $O(k^6 \log n)$ time.

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
oF
ooooG
ooH
●oooI
oooJ
ooo

H. Monotonicity

Given a sequence of numbers and an infinite periodic monotonicity pattern c_1, c_2, \dots , find the largest subsequence that matches a prefix of the pattern.

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
oF
ooooG
ooH
o●ooI
oooJ
ooo

H. Monotonicity

Let us use a greedy approach: for each position i store ans_i — the length of the largest subsequence ending at position i that matches a prefix of the pattern. To compute ans_i , we consider all $j < i$ and check if $a_j c_{ans_j} a_i$ holds, and in that case update ans_i with $ans_j + 1$.

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
oF
ooooG
ooH
o●ooI
oooJ
ooo

H. Monotonicity

Let us use a greedy approach: for each position i store ans_i — the length of the largest subsequence ending at position i that matches a prefix of the pattern. To compute ans_i , we consider all $j < i$ and check if $a_j c_{ans_j} a_i$ holds, and in that case update ans_i with $ans_j + 1$.

This can be sped using segment trees.

H. Monotonicity

Let us use a greedy approach: for each position i store ans_i — the length of the largest subsequence ending at position i that matches a prefix of the pattern. To compute ans_i , we consider all $j < i$ and check if $a_j c_{ans_j} a_i$ holds, and in that case update ans_i with $ans_j + 1$.

This can be sped using segment trees.

But more importantly, why does it work??

H. Monotonicity

Assume the contrary, and consider the first position i such that $ans_i < L_i$, where L_i is the *actual* longest suitable subsequence length ending in i . Let us prove that the relaxation described above will put ans_i to at least L_i .

H. Monotonicity

Assume the contrary, and consider the first position i such that $ans_i < L_i$, where L_i is the *actual* longest suitable subsequence length ending in i . Let us prove that the relaxation described above will put ans_i to at least L_i .

Let a_j be the element preceding a_i in the optimal subsequence ending at i (thus, in particular, $a_j c_{L_i-1} a_i$ holds). Note that $L_j \geq L_i - 1$, and if $L_j = L_i - 1$, then ans_i would be equal to L_i by updating directly from j . This means that $L_j \geq L_i$.

H. Monotonicity

Assume the contrary, and consider the first position i such that $ans_i < L_i$, where L_i is the *actual* longest suitable subsequence length ending in i . Let us prove that the relaxation described above will put ans_i to at least L_i .

Let a_j be the element preceding a_i in the optimal subsequence ending at i (thus, in particular, $a_j c_{L_i-1} a_i$ holds). Note that $L_j \geq L_i - 1$, and if $L_j = L_i - 1$, then ans_i would be equal to L_i by updating directly from j . This means that $L_j \geq L_i$.

Let $b_1, \dots, b_{L_j} = a_j$ be the elements of an optimal subsequence ending at position j . Observe that if c_{L_i-1} is $=$, then $a_i = a_j$, and ans_i would receive a value at least $L_j \geq L_i$ by an update from b_{L_j-1} (since ans_j did).

Consider the sequence of operators $c_{L_i-1}, \dots, c_{L_j-1}$. Suppose that at least one $>$ and at least one $<$ occurs in this sequence. Locate a closest pair c_x and c_y such that $c_x = <$, $c_y = >$, and only '='s happen in between. WLOG assume that $x < y$.

Consider the sequence of operators $c_{L_i-1}, \dots, c_{L_j-1}$. Suppose that at least one $>$ and at least one $<$ occurs in this sequence. Locate a closest pair c_x and c_y such that $c_x = <$, $c_y = >$, and only $=$'s happen in between. WLOG assume that $x < y$.

We then have $b_x < b_{x+1} = \dots = b_y$, thus either $b_x < a_i$ or $b_y > a_i$. In either case, an update from b_x or b_y would put ans_i to at least L_i . Thus, either there are no $>$'s or no $<$'s in the sequence (WLOG assume the former).

Consider the sequence of operators $c_{L_i-1}, \dots, c_{L_j-1}$. Suppose that at least one $>$ and at least one $<$ occurs in this sequence. Locate a closest pair c_x and c_y such that $c_x = <$, $c_y = >$, and only $=$'s happen in between. WLOG assume that $x < y$.

We then have $b_x < b_{x+1} = \dots = b_y$, thus either $b_x < a_i$ or $b_y > a_i$. In either case, an update from b_x or b_y would put ans_i to at least L_i . Thus, either there are no $>$'s or no $<$'s in the sequence (WLOG assume the former).

We then have a chain of comparisons

$b_{L_i-1} c_{L_i-1} b_{L_i} c_{L_i} \dots c_{L_j-1} a_j c_{L_i-1} a_i$. All operators here are $<$ or $=$, and there is at least one $<$ since c_{L_i-1} is not $=$ (hence $<$), thus $b_{L_i-1} < a_i$. Thus updating from b_{L_i-1} puts ans_i to at least L_i , which completes the proof.

I. Sheep

Given a convex polygon with n vertices P_1, \dots, P_n in clockwise order, and k points inside of it, determine the number of triangulations of the polygon such that:

- no point lies on any diagonal;
- each triangle contains an even number of points.

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
oF
ooooG
ooH
ooooI
o●oJ
ooo

I. Sheep

We'll start by counting the number $R_{a,b}$ of points to the right **or directly on** the directed diagonal $P_a P_b$ for all a, b . To do this fast enough, consider each given point q and update all $R_{a,b}$ accordingly.

I. Sheep

We'll start by counting the number $R_{a,b}$ of points to the right **or directly on** the directed diagonal $P_a P_b$ for all a, b . To do this fast enough, consider each given point q and update all $R_{a,b}$ accordingly.

Given a , let's find b such that q is to the right or on $P_a P_b$ and b is farthest away from a in cyclic order. We then need to add 1 to $R_{a,a+1}, \dots, R_{a,b}$.

I. Sheep

We'll start by counting the number $R_{a,b}$ of points to the right **or directly on** the directed diagonal $P_a P_b$ for all a, b . To do this fast enough, consider each given point q and update all $R_{a,b}$ accordingly.

Given a , let's find b such that q is to the right or on $P_a P_b$ and b is farthest away from a in cyclic order. We then need to add 1 to $R_{a,a+1}, \dots, R_{a,b}$.

We will instead store differences $R_{a,x+1} - R_{a,x}$, then only $O(1)$ differences need to be updated. The actual values can be restored in the end by prefix summing.

I. Sheep

We'll start by counting the number $R_{a,b}$ of points to the right **or directly on** the directed diagonal $P_a P_b$ for all a, b . To do this fast enough, consider each given point q and update all $R_{a,b}$ accordingly.

Given a , let's find b such that q is to the right or on $P_a P_b$ and b is farthest away from a in cyclic order. We then need to add 1 to $R_{a,a+1}, \dots, R_{a,b}$.

We will instead store differences $R_{a,x+1} - R_{a,x}$, then only $O(1)$ differences need to be updated. The actual values can be restored in the end by prefix summing.

Observe that as a moves forward, b only moves forward as well and makes one full circle. This allows to process each point q in $O(n)$, and all given points in $O(nk)$ in total.

A
ooB
oooC
ooD
oooE
oF
ooooG
ooH
ooooI
oo●J
ooo

I. Sheep

Now let's get to counting triangulations. For all a, b compute $ways_{a,b}$ — the number of ways to triangulate the part of the polygon that lies to the right of $P_a P_b$. Put $ways_{a,a+1} = 1$ by definition.

I. Sheep

Now let's get to counting triangulations. For all a, b compute $ways_{a,b}$ — the number of ways to triangulate the part of the polygon that lies to the right of $P_a P_b$. Put $ways_{a,a+1} = 1$ by definition.

In any triangulation $P_a P_b$ is a side of exactly one triangle, consider all options for the third point P_c in the cyclic segment a, \dots, b . Then:

- None of the diagonals $P_a P_b, P_a P_c, P_b P_c$ can contain any points. A diagonal $P_a P_b$ contains a point iff $R_{a,b} + R_{b,a} > k$.
- All parts have to contain an even number of points, that is, $R_{a,b}, R_{b,c}, R_{c,a}$ are even (since k is even, the triangle has an even number of points automatically).

I. Sheep

Now let's get to counting triangulations. For all a, b compute $ways_{a,b}$ — the number of ways to triangulate the part of the polygon that lies to the right of $P_a P_b$. Put $ways_{a,a+1} = 1$ by definition.

In any triangulation $P_a P_b$ is a side of exactly one triangle, consider all options for the third point P_c in the cyclic segment a, \dots, b . Then:

- None of the diagonals $P_a P_b, P_a P_c, P_b P_c$ can contain any points. A diagonal $P_a P_b$ contains a point iff $R_{a,b} + R_{b,a} > k$.
- All parts have to contain an even number of points, that is, $R_{a,b}, R_{b,c}, R_{c,a}$ are even (since k is even, the triangle has an even number of points automatically).

$ways_{a,b}$ is the sum of $ways_{a,c} \cdot ways_{c,b}$ over all suitable c . Compute $ways_{a,b}$ by increasing of the cyclic distance between a, b . The total complexity is $O(nk + n^3)$.

J. Teleportation

You are given a graph, with distance from 1 to 2 at least 5. How many edges can be added, while keeping distance at least 5?

Let's run bfs from vertices 1 and 2, and denote sets

- A as vertices on distance 1 from vertex 1.
- B as vertices on distance 2 from vertex 1.
- C as vertices on distance 1 from vertex 2.
- D as vertices on distance 2 from vertex 2.
- E as all other vertices.

As initial distance big, these sets are not intersected.

J. Teleportation

One can show, that no edges between A and $C \cup D$ is allowed, no edges between C and $A \cup B$ is allowed, and no vertex can be connected to both A and C .

Also, if we add an edge to any vertex from verices 1 or 2, at least one other edge would be forbidden, so it's not usefull.

J. Teleportation

So, total answer is sum of

- $+\frac{n \cdot (n-1)}{2} - m$ — total number of edges
- $-|A||C|$ — edges between A and C are not allowed
- $-|A| - |C|$ — edges from 1 to C and from 2 to D are not allowed
- $-|A||D| - |B||C|$ — edges from A to D and from B to C are not allowed
- $-2 \cdot (|E| + |B| + |D|)$ — new edges from 1 and 2 are not allowed
- $+1$ — edge 1, 2 was calculated twice on previous step
- $-\min(|A|, |C|) * |E|$ — as either A or C shouldn't be connected to each vertex