# **Vector Calculus**

Mark van der Wilk

Department of Computing Imperial College London

**y**@markvanderwilk m.vdwilk@imperial.ac.uk

October 12, 2021

### Overview

**Index Notation** 

Differentiation of vector-valued functions

Multivariate Chain Rule

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (1)

How to find  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$ ?

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (1)

How to find  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$ ?

 Vector expressions can always be written in terms of operations on scalars.

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
(1)

How to find  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$ ?

- Vector expressions can always be written in terms of operations on scalars.
- We know how to find partial derivatives of scalar expressions
   rewrite into index notation.

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
(1)

How to find  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$ ?

- Vector expressions can always be written in terms of operations on scalars.
- We know how to find partial derivatives of scalar expressions
   rewrite into index notation.
- ► Take partial derivatives using tricks.

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_{i} x_i^2 \tag{2}$$

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2$$

$$\mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{y} = \sum_i x_i y_i$$
(2)

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} \implies y_i = f(\mathbf{x})x_i \tag{4}$$

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} \implies y_i = f(\mathbf{x})x_i \tag{4}$$

$$A\mathbf{x} = ? \tag{5}$$

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} \implies y_i = f(\mathbf{x})x_i \tag{4}$$

$$A\mathbf{x} = ? \tag{5}$$

$$[A\mathbf{x}]_j = \sum_i A_{ij} x_j \tag{6}$$

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} \implies y_i = f(\mathbf{x})x_i \tag{4}$$

$$A\mathbf{x} = ?$$

$$[A\mathbf{x}]_j = \sum_i A_{ij} x_j \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \left[\sum_{j} A_{ij} x_{j}\right] \tag{7}$$

(5)

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
(8)

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right)^2$$
 (9)

We want to find  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$ , i.e.  $\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$  for all i.

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
(8)

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right)^2$$
 (9)

We want to find  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$ , i.e.  $\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$  for all i.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right)^2 \tag{10}$$

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (8)

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right)^2$$
 (9)

We want to find  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$ , i.e.  $\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}\right]_i$  for all i.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( y_{n} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_{m}(x_{n}) \theta_{m} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} 2 \left( y_{n} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_{m}(x_{n}) \theta_{m} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \left( y_{n} - \sum_{k=1}^{M} \phi_{k}(x_{n}) \theta_{k} \right)$$
(10)

Differentiation Mark van der Wilk @Imperial College London, October 12, 2021

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

► Think about  $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$ . What value does it take?

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ► Think about  $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$ . What value does it take?
- If  $i \neq k$ , then 0; if i = k, then 1.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ► Think about  $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$ . What value does it take?
- If  $i \neq k$ , then 0; if i = k, then 1.
- Denoted as **kronecker delta**  $\delta_{ik}$ .

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ► Think about  $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$ . What value does it take?
- If  $i \neq k$ , then 0; if i = k, then 1.
- Denoted as **kronecker delta**  $\delta_{ik}$ .
- ▶ Think about what happens to  $w_i = \sum_k \delta_{ik}$ .

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ► Think about  $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$ . What value does it take?
- If  $i \neq k$ , then 0; if i = k, then 1.
- ▶ Denoted as **kronecker delta**  $\delta_{ik}$ .
- ▶ Think about what happens to  $w_i = \sum_k \delta_{ik}$ .
- ► Think about what happens to  $x_k = \sum_a v_a \delta_{ak}$ .

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ► Think about  $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$ . What value does it take?
- If  $i \neq k$ , then 0; if i = k, then 1.
- ▶ Denoted as **kronecker delta**  $\delta_{ik}$ .
- ▶ Think about what happens to  $w_i = \sum_k \delta_{ik}$ .
- ▶ Think about what happens to  $x_k = \sum_a v_a \delta_{ak}$ . Is  $\delta_{ak}$  a matrix?

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ► Think about  $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$ . What value does it take?
- If  $i \neq k$ , then 0; if i = k, then 1.
- ▶ Denoted as **kronecker delta**  $\delta_{ik}$ .
- ▶ Think about what happens to  $w_i = \sum_k \delta_{ik}$ .
- ► Think about what happens to  $x_k = \sum_a v_a \delta_{ak}$ . Is  $\delta_{ak}$  a matrix?

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \delta_{ki}$$
 (13)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$$
(12)

- ▶ Think about  $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta_i}$ . What value does it take?
- If  $i \neq k$ , then 0; if i = k, then 1.
- Denoted as **kronecker delta**  $\delta_{ik}$ .
- Think about what happens to  $w_i = \sum_k \delta_{ik}$ .
- ► Think about what happens to  $x_k = \sum_a v_a \delta_{ak}$ . Is  $\delta_{ak}$  a matrix?

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \sum_k \phi_k(x_n) \delta_{ki}$$
 (13)

$$=-2\sum_{n}\left(y_{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{M}\phi_{m}(x_{n})\theta_{m}\right)\phi_{i}(x_{n})\tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \phi_i(x_n) \tag{15}$$

Sometimes we prefer a compact vector expression again. Index notation is ambiguous about row/col vector. If we remember convention, we can go back:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} =$$

=

=

=

(16)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \phi_i(x_n) \tag{15}$$

Sometimes we prefer a compact vector expression again. Index notation is ambiguous about row/col vector. If we remember convention, we can go back:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = 2 \sum_{n} (\boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{\theta} - y_n) \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^\mathsf{T} \quad \text{Remember: } \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^M$$

=

=

(16)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \phi_i(x_n) \tag{15}$$

(16)

Sometimes we prefer a compact vector expression again. Index notation is ambiguous about row/col vector. If we remember convention, we can go back:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = 2 \sum_{n} (\boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta} - y_n) \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{Remember: } \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^M \\
= 2 \sum_{n} [\boldsymbol{\Phi}(X) \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}]_n \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{Define: } \boldsymbol{\Phi}(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n:}(X) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)^{\mathsf{T}}$$

Differentiation Mark van der Wilk @Imperial College London, October 12, 2021

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \phi_i(x_n) \tag{15}$$

(16)

Sometimes we prefer a compact vector expression again. Index notation is ambiguous about row/col vector. If we remember convention, we can go back:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = 2 \sum_{n} (\boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta} - y_n) \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{Remember: } \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^M 
= 2 \sum_{n} [\Phi(X) \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}]_n \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{Define: } \Phi(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}, \Phi_{n:}(X) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)^{\mathsf{T}} 
= 2(\Phi(X) \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y})^{\mathsf{T}} \Phi(X)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = -2\sum_n \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m(x_n) \theta_m \right) \phi_i(x_n) \tag{15}$$

Sometimes we prefer a compact vector expression again. Index notation is ambiguous about row/col vector. If we remember convention, we can go back:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = 2 \sum_{n} (\boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta} - y_n) \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{Remember: } \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^M 
= 2 \sum_{n} [\Phi(X) \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}]_n \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{Define: } \Phi(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}, \Phi_{n:}(X) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)^{\mathsf{T}} 
= 2(\Phi(X) \boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y})^{\mathsf{T}} \Phi(X) 
= 2(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}} \Phi(X)^{\mathsf{T}} \Phi(X) - \mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}} \Phi(X))$$
(16)

### Overview

**Index Notation** 

Differentiation of vector-valued functions

Multivariate Chain Rule

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (17)

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (17)

• We could manually take partial derivatives of  $L(\theta)$ 

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (17)

- We could manually take partial derivatives of  $L(\theta)$
- Or, we could see our scalar function  $L(\theta)$  as a function composition:

$$f(g(\theta))$$
  $f: \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}, \quad g: \mathbb{R}^E \to \mathbb{R}^D$ 

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (17)

- We could manually take partial derivatives of  $L(\theta)$
- Or, we could see our scalar function  $L(\theta)$  as a function composition:

$$f(g(\theta))$$
  $f: \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}, \quad g: \mathbb{R}^E \to \mathbb{R}^D$ 

▶ Wouldn't it be nice to have a chain rule?

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (17)

- We could manually take partial derivatives of  $L(\theta)$
- Or, we could see our scalar function  $L(\theta)$  as a function composition:

$$f(g(\theta))$$
  $f: \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g: \mathbb{R}^E \to \mathbb{R}^D$ 

► Wouldn't it be nice to have a chain rule?  $\frac{df(g(\theta))}{d\theta} = \frac{df}{dg} \frac{dg}{d\theta}$ 

Back to our linear regression problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\phi}(x_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 = ||\mathbf{y} - \Phi(X)\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$$
 (17)

- We could manually take partial derivatives of  $L(\theta)$
- Or, we could see our scalar function  $L(\theta)$  as a function composition:

$$f(\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$$
  $f: \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{g}: \mathbb{R}^E \to \mathbb{R}^D$ 

- ► Wouldn't it be nice to have a chain rule?  $\frac{df(g(\theta))}{d\theta} = \frac{df}{dg} \frac{dg}{d\theta}$
- ▶ But how does a derivative of a vector w.r.t. a vector work?

 Differentiation quantifies how an output changes, in response to an input change.

- Differentiation quantifies how an output changes,
   in response to an input change.
- ► Change is measured by subtraction. Draw vector subtraction.

- Differentiation quantifies how an output changes,
   in response to an input change.
- ► Change is measured by subtraction. Draw vector subtraction.
- ► Can use the same limiting argument as with scalars:

- Differentiation quantifies how an output changes,
   in response to an input change.
- ► Change is measured by subtraction. Draw vector subtraction.
- ► Can use the same limiting argument as with scalars:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{x}(t + \Delta t) - \mathbf{x}(t)}{\Delta t} \tag{18}$$

But now, derivative is a vector!

- Differentiation quantifies how an output changes,
   in response to an input change.
- ► Change is measured by subtraction. Draw vector subtraction.
- ► Can use the same limiting argument as with scalars:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{x}(t + \Delta t) - \mathbf{x}(t)}{\Delta t} \tag{18}$$

But now, derivative is a vector!

▶ Subtraction is elementwise, so we can reduce to scalar case:

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right]_{i} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{x_{i}(t + \Delta t) - x_{i}(t)}{\Delta t} \tag{19}$$

Function that describes a point going round a circle, with period 1s:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\pi t & \sin 2\pi t \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \tag{20}$$

Draw on board

Function that describes a point going round a circle, with period 1s:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\pi t & \sin 2\pi t \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \tag{20}$$

#### Draw on board

▶ Based on intuition, what should the speed be?

Function that describes a point going round a circle, with period 1s:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\pi t & \sin 2\pi t \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \tag{20}$$

#### Draw on board

▶ Based on intuition, what should the speed be?  $2\pi$  m/s.

Function that describes a point going round a circle, with period 1s:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\pi t & \sin 2\pi t \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \tag{20}$$

#### Draw on board

- ▶ Based on intuition, what should the speed be?  $2\pi$  m/s.
- Find velocity vector  $d\mathbf{x}/dt$ .

Function that describes a point going round a circle, with period 1s:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\pi t & \sin 2\pi t \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \tag{20}$$

#### Draw on board

- ▶ Based on intuition, what should the speed be?  $2\pi$  m/s.
- Find velocity vector  $d\mathbf{x}/dt$ .
- ► Find speed from the norm.

#### Derivative of a vector

The derivative of a vector-valued function is given by the derivative of each of its elements.

### Overview

**Index Notation** 

Differentiation of vector-valued functions

Multivariate Chain Rule

It turns out, there is a multivariate chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(a(t),b(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial b}\frac{\mathrm{d}b}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

It turns out, there is a multivariate chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} \qquad g(t) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

14

It turns out, there is a multivariate chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(\mathbf{g}(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} \qquad \mathbf{g}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

Inner product!

It turns out, there is a multivariate chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(\mathbf{g}(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} \qquad \mathbf{g}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

Inner product! Given our convention, we can write in vector form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}t}}_{\text{column}}$$

It turns out, there is a multivariate chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} \qquad g(t) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

Inner product! **Given our convention**, we can write in vector form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(\mathbf{g}(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{g}}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{g}}{\mathrm{d}t}}_{\text{column}}$$

- $\frac{dg}{dt}$  is the derivative of a column vector. We keep this to be a column vector.
- Can also be derived from a limit argument, like the scalar derivative (board: Circle Example).

Consider 
$$f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$$
,  $x: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$  
$$f(x) = f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 2x_2,$$
 
$$x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(t) \\ \cos(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$   $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 2x_2,$   $x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(t) \\ \cos(t) \end{bmatrix}$ 

► What are the dimensions of  $\frac{df}{dx}$  and  $\frac{dx}{dt}$ ?

Work it out with your neighbors

Consider  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$   $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 2x_2,$   $x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(t) \\ \cos(t) \end{bmatrix}$ 

- ► What are the dimensions of  $\frac{df}{dx}$  and  $\frac{dx}{dt}$ ?
- ► Compute the gradient  $\frac{df}{dt}$  using the chain rule:

• Consider  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ 

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 2x_2,$$
  
$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(t) \\ \cos(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

► What are the dimensions of  $\frac{df}{dx}$  and  $\frac{dx}{dt}$ ?

$$1 \times 2$$
 and  $2 \times 1$ 

► Compute the gradient  $\frac{df}{dt}$  using the chain rule:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\sin t & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos t \\ -\sin t \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 2\sin t \cos t - 2\sin t = 2\sin t (\cos t - 1)$$

15

We saw the chain rule if we were differentiating w.r.t. a scalar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(a(t),b(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial b}\frac{\mathrm{d}b}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

We saw the chain rule if we were differentiating w.r.t. a scalar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}t}}_{\text{column}} \qquad g(t) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

We saw the chain rule if we were differentiating w.r.t. a scalar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}t}}_{\text{column}} \qquad g(t) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

What happens if we differentiate w.r.t. a vector?

We saw the chain rule if we were differentiating w.r.t. a scalar:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(t))}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}t}}_{\text{column}} \qquad g(t) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

What happens if we differentiate w.r.t. a vector?

⇒ As before, we just stack the derivatives w.r.t. each of the inputs.

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g_i} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} \qquad \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

#### Multivariate Chain Rule w.r.t. vector

This is a matrix multiplication! Can write in vector form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(\mathbf{x}))}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}}_{\text{matrix}}$$

#### Multivariate Chain Rule w.r.t. vector

This is a matrix multiplication! Can write in vector form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(\mathbf{x}))}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}}_{\text{matrix}}$$

▶  $\frac{dg}{dx}$  is the derivative of a column vector w.r.t. the input vector x. We put the elements of g (i.e. i) along the column, and the dimensions of the derivative (i.e. j) along the row.

#### Multivariate Chain Rule w.r.t. vector

This is a matrix multiplication! Can write in vector form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f(g(\mathbf{x}))}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}g}}_{\text{row}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}}_{\text{matrix}}$$

- ▶  $\frac{dg}{dx}$  is the derivative of a column vector w.r.t. the input vector x. We put the elements of g (i.e. i) along the column, and the dimensions of the derivative (i.e. j) along the row.
- Can also be derived from a directional derivative argument, but for a vector.

# Vector Field Differentiation $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^M$

$$y = f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{M} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_{M}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{N}) \\ \vdots \\ f_{M}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{N}) \end{bmatrix}$$

## Vector Field Differentiation $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^M$

$$y = f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{M} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_{M}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{N}) \\ \vdots \\ f_{M}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{N}) \end{bmatrix}$$

► Jacobian matrix (collection of all partial derivatives)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{dy_1}{dx} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{dy_M}{dx} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial x_N} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$$

18

### Dimensionality of the Gradient

In general: A function  $f: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{M}}$  has a gradient that is an  $M \times N$ -matrix with

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \qquad \mathrm{d}f[m,n] = \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n}$$

Gradient dimension: # target dimensions × # input dimensions

## Dimensionality of the Gradient

In general: A function  $f: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{M}}$  has a gradient that is an  $M \times N$ -matrix with

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \qquad \mathrm{d}f[m,n] = \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n}$$

Gradient dimension: # target dimensions × # input dimensions

A function composition  $f(x) = f_g(g(x))$  (subscript to distinguish from overall function) has the constraint that the **output dimension** of  $g(\cdot)$  has to equal the **input dimension** of  $f_g(\cdot)$ , so that we can compute f(g(x)).

### Dimensionality of the Gradient

In general: A function  $f: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{M}}$  has a gradient that is an  $M \times N$ -matrix with

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \qquad \mathrm{d}f[m,n] = \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n}$$

Gradient dimension: # target dimensions × # input dimensions

A function composition  $f(x)=f_g(g(x))$  (subscript to distinguish from overall function) has the constraint that the **output dimension** of  $g(\cdot)$  has to equal the **input dimension** of  $f_g(\cdot)$ , so that we can compute f(g(x)).

This ensures that the shapes of the chain rule work out:

$$f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{M} \qquad \qquad f_{g}: \mathbb{R}^{L} \to \mathbb{R}^{M} \qquad \qquad g: \mathbb{R}^{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{L} \qquad (21)$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{df}{dx}}_{M \times N} = \underbrace{\frac{df_{g}}{dg}}_{M \times L} \underbrace{\frac{dg}{dx}}_{L \times N} \qquad \qquad (22)$$

$$f(x) = Ax, \qquad f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_M(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}x_1 + A_{12}x_2 + \cdots + A_{1N}x_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{M1}x_1 + A_{M2}x_2 + \cdots + A_{MN}x_N \end{bmatrix}$$

► Compute the gradient  $\frac{df}{dx}$ 

$$f(x) = Ax, \qquad f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_M(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}x_1 + A_{12}x_2 + \cdots + A_{1N}x_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{M1}x_1 + A_{M2}x_2 + \cdots + A_{MN}x_N \end{bmatrix}$$

- ► Compute the gradient  $\frac{df}{dx}$ 
  - ► Gradient:

$$f_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{ik} x_k \implies \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} = \sum_k A_{ik} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_j} = \sum_k A_{ik} \delta_{kj} = A_{ij}$$

$$f(x) = Ax, \qquad f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_M(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}x_1 + A_{12}x_2 + \cdots + A_{1N}x_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{M1}x_1 + A_{M2}x_2 + \cdots + A_{MN}x_N \end{bmatrix}$$

- ► Compute the gradient  $\frac{df}{dx}$ 
  - ► Gradient:

$$f_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{ik} x_k \implies \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} = \sum_k A_{ik} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_j} = \sum_k A_{ik} \delta_{kj} = A_{ij}$$

$$f(x) = Ax, \qquad f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_M(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}x_1 + A_{12}x_2 + \cdots + A_{1N}x_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{M1}x_1 + A_{M2}x_2 + \cdots + A_{MN}x_N \end{bmatrix}$$

- ► Compute the gradient  $\frac{df}{dx}$ 
  - ▶ Gradient:

$$f_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{ik} x_{k} \qquad \Longrightarrow \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} = \sum_{k} A_{ik} \frac{\partial x_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} = \sum_{k} A_{ik} \delta_{kj} = A_{ij}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{N}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{M}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{M}}{\partial x_{N}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{M1} & \cdots & A_{MN} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$$

## Example: Multivariate Chain Rule

Consider the function

$$L(e) = \frac{1}{2} \|e\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} e^{\top} e$$
  
 $e = y - Ax$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ ,  $e, y \in \mathbb{R}^M$ 

► Compute the gradient  $\frac{dL}{dx}$ . What is the dimension/size of  $\frac{dL}{dx}$ ?

Work it out with your neighbours

## Example: Multivariate Chain Rule

Consider the function

$$L(e) = \frac{1}{2} \|e\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} e^{\top} e$$
  
 $e = y - Ax$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ ,  $e, y \in \mathbb{R}^M$ 

► Compute the gradient  $\frac{dL}{dx}$ . What is the dimension/size of  $\frac{dL}{dx}$ ?

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}L}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial e} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial e} = e^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times M}, \qquad \frac{\partial L}{\partial e_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial e_i} \sum_j \frac{1}{2} e_j^2 = \sum_j \frac{1}{2} 2 e_j \frac{\partial e_j}{\partial e_i} = e_i$$

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial x} = -\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$$

$$\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}L}{\mathrm{d}x} = e^{\top} (-\mathbf{A}) = -(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})^{\top} \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times N}$$

21

### Summary

- ► Chain rule for multivariate functions
- ▶ Derivatives of vectors w.r.t. scalars.
- ► Derivatives of vectors w.r.t. vectors (and shapes).