

## Лекция 21: Security (part 2)

Алексей Линёв  
Александр Мошук  
Кирилл Погорельский

some slides are adapted from the OS course at the University of Washington



## Modern security problems

- Internet experiencing a plague of attacks
  - *remote exploits*: attackers breaking into your system
  - *worms*: self-replicating attack code
  - *botnets*: armies of compromised machines
  - *spyware*: software that tries to steal information from you
- Underlying issues
  - most of our code is buggy
  - the Internet was designed to be "open"
    - easy to build new services, but easy to find/attack victims
  - understanding security is hard
    - haven't found simple conceptual models or usable UIs
    - e.g., what does the lock icon in IE really mean?



## Remote exploit

- An exploitable bug in network-facing software
  - e.g.: buffer overflow attack

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[]){
    char buffer[10];
    strcpy(buffer, argv[1]);
    return 0;
}
```

    - exploit this bug, smash the stack, run code of your choice
  - e.g.: SQL injection attack
    - typing the following into a bookstore web search form:  
"book tipping point; SELECT \* FROM CREDITCARDS"



## Using remote exploits – worms

- Pseudocode for a simple worm

```
for (i = 0.0.0.0; i < 255.255.255.255; i++) {
    open network connection to IP address "i";
    if (succeed) {
        try to exploit vulnerability x on "i";
        if (succeed) {
            send code for self to victim and run it;
        }
        close connection to "i";
    }
}
```
- Will this worm propagate?
  - how quickly?



## A "better" worm

```
while (1) {
    open network connection to random IP address "i";
    if succeed {
        try to exploit vulnerability x on "i";
        if succeed {
            send code for self to victim and run it;
        }
        close connection to "i";
    }
}
```

- Why is this "better"?
- How quickly will this propagate?
- How can you do even better?




## Even better worms...

- Local scanning
  - probe nearby IP addresses preferentially
    - victim 128.95.4.1
      - with probability 37.5%, scan 128.95.X.Y
      - with probability 50%, scan 128.X.Y.Z
      - with probability 12.5%, scan X.Y.Z.W
- Increased scan rate ==> faster spread
  - Code Red: approximately 5 scans per second
  - Sapphire worm (SQL Slammer worm): approximately 4000 scans per second
    - single UDP packet contains worm
- Sapphire worm data
  - worm doubled in size every 8.5 seconds
  - saturated susceptible population of ~75,000 hosts in about 5-10 minutes (!!)



## Sapphire fallout

- It propagated too fast for its own good!
  - no per-host damage
  - but massively clogged Internet backbones with scans
  - self-interference slowed its propagation rate



## Using remote exploits - Botnets

- Step 1: compromise a remote computer
  - sits silently in the background
  - gives attacker remote control of the "zombie" computer
- Step 2: upload "botnet" software
  - sits silently in the background
  - gives attacker remote control of the "zombie" computer
- Step 3: repeat steps 1 and 2 10,000 times
  - amass a giant "zombie" army
- Step 4: control army using botnet "controller"
  - rent out time on botnet army
  - use zombies to perform spam relay
  - perform "denial of service" attack on a victim



## Denial of service

- Attacker sends legitimate-looking requests for service to a service provider
- Service provider commits the necessary resources to provide the service
  - Ports, buffer space, bandwidth
- The resources are wasted, legitimate users get diminished service
- Possible whenever the cost to ask for service is far cheaper than the cost of providing it
  - Challenge-response mechanism



## Example: Phatbot

- Some of its features:
  - polymorphs on install to evade anti-virus signature
  - sends email probes to test for spam relay capability
  - can steal windows product keys
  - runs an FTP server to distribute itself to other hosts
  - runs a redirection service for TCP connections
    - (launders network traffic)
  - can scan and spread using many exploits
    - (worm-like behavior!)
  - kills worms, other bots to defend turf
  - kills anti-virus processes
  - steals various website account passwords
  - harvests email addresses for spam purposes



## Spyware

- Software that is installed that collects information and reports it to third party
  - key logger, adware, browser hijacker, ...
- Installed one of two ways
  - piggybacked on software you choose to download
  - "drive-by" download
    - your web browser has vulnerabilities
    - web server can exploit by sending you bad web content
- Estimates
  - majority (50-90%) of Internet-connected PCs have it
  - 1 in 20 executables on the Web have it
  - about 0.5% of Web pages attack you with drive-by-downloads



## kingsofchaos.com

- A benign web site for an online game
  - earns revenue from ad networks by showing banners
  - but, it relinquishes control of the ad content



## kingsofchaos.com

- A benign web site for an online game
  - earns revenue from ad networks by showing banners
  - but, it relinquishes control of the ad content

banner ad from  
adworldnetwork.com  
(a legitimate ad network)

inline javascript loads  
HTML from ad provider



## Incident

- kingsofchaos.com was given this "ad content"

```
<script type="text/javascript">document.write(
'\u003c\u0062\u006f\u0064\u0079\u0020\u006f\u006e\u0055\u006f\u0077\u0050\u006f\u0070\u0075\u0070\u0028\u0029\u003b\u0073\u0068\u006f\u0077\u0048\u0069 ..etc.
```

- This "ad" ultimately:
  - bombarded the user with pop-up ads
  - hijacked the user's homepage
  - exploited an IE vulnerability to install spyware



## What's going on?

- The advertiser was an ex-email-spammer
- His goal:
  - **force** users to see ads from his servers
  - **draw revenue** from ad "affiliate programs"
    - Apparently earned several millions of dollars
- Why did he use spyware?
  - control PC and show ads even when not on the Web



## Parting thoughts...

- Security is hard
  - fundamentally an adversarial, escalating game
  - we're getting better, but so are the "bad guys"
- Our systems are insecure
  - OS software one of the most complex artifacts of humankind
  - no surprise it has flaws!
- Current trends
  - reduce TCB to exclude OS
  - develop stronger sandboxes to contain flaws
    - virtual machine software (e.g., VMware)
  - program with safer languages than C

