

Q1. R-squared (Coefficient of Determination)

- **Concept:** R-squared measures how much of the **variation in the dependent variable** (Y) is explained by the independent variable(s) in the regression model.
- **Formula:**

$$R^2 = \frac{SS_{\text{res}}}{SS_{\text{tot}}} = 1 - \frac{\sum_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum_i (y_i - \bar{y})^2}$$

Where:

- $SS_{\text{res}} = \sum_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$ → Residual sum of squares
- $SS_{\text{tot}} = \sum_i (y_i - \bar{y})^2$ → Total sum of squares
- **Interpretation:**
 - $R^2 = 0$ → Model explains 0% of the variance
 - $R^2 = 1$ → Model explains 100% of the variance
 - Example: If $R^2 = 0.8$, 80% of the variation in Y is explained by the model.

Q2. Adjusted R-squared

- **Concept:** Adjusted R-squared accounts for the **number of predictors** in the model, penalizing unnecessary variables.
- **Formula:**

$$\text{Adjusted } R^2 = \frac{(1 - R^2)(n - p - 1)}{n - p - 1} + \frac{1}{n - p - 1}$$

Where:

- n = number of observations
 - p = number of predictors
 - **Difference from R-squared:**
 - R-squared always increases when more variables are added.
 - Adjusted R-squared increases **only if the added variable improves the model beyond chance.**
-

Q3. When to use Adjusted R-squared

- Use it when comparing models with different numbers of predictors.
 - Helps avoid overfitting by penalizing unnecessary variables.
 - Example: Model A has 3 features, Model B has 8 features. Even if Model B has higher R^2 , adjusted R^2 may be lower if extra features don't add predictive power.
-

Q4. RMSE, MSE, and MAE

M
e
t
r
i
c

Formula

I
n
t
e
r
p
r
e
t
a
t
i
o
n

$$M \frac{1}{n} \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

S
E
(
M
e
a
n
S
q
u
a
r
e
d
E
r
r
o
r
)

A
v
e
r
a
g
e
s
q
u
a
r
e
d
e
r
r
o
r
; p
e
n
a
l
i
z
e
s
l
a
r
g
e
e
r
r
o
r
s
n
o

r
e
s
a
n
e
u
n
i
t
s
a
s
Y
;
s
e
n
s
i
t
i
v
e
t
o
l
a
r
g
e
e
r
r
o
r
s

R MSE\sqrt{\text{MSE}}MSE

M
S
E
(
R
o
o
t
M
e
a
n
S
q
u
a
r
e
d
E
r
r
o
r
)

M (\frac{1}{n} \sum
A
E
(
M

y
—
i
—
\

e
a
n
A
b
s
o
l
u
t
e
E
r
r
o
r
)

h
a
t
{
y
}
—
i

- **Use in regression:** These metrics evaluate model performance; **lower values = better fit.**
-

Q5. Advantages & Disadvantages

- **MSE:**
 - Penalizes large errors strongly
 - – Sensitive to outliers
- **RMSE:**
 - Same unit as Y (easy to interpret)
 - – Sensitive to outliers
- **MAE:**
 - Robust to outliers

- Doesn't penalize large errors strongly
-

Q6. Lasso vs Ridge Regularization

Aspect	Ridge (L2)	Lasso (L1)
Penalty	$\lambda \sum \beta_j^2$	$(\lambda \sum \beta_j)$
Effect	Shrinks coefficients but keeps all variables	Shrinks coefficients and can set some to 0 (feature selection)
Use Case	When all features are potentially important	When you want to select key features
• Key difference: Lasso can perform automatic feature selection , Ridge cannot.		

Q7. Regularized Linear Models Prevent Overfitting

- **How:** Regularization **adds a penalty for large coefficients**, discouraging the model from fitting noise in the training data.
 - **Example:** Predicting house prices with many correlated features:
 - Without regularization: model overfits
 - With Ridge or Lasso: reduces overfitting and improves generalization
-

Q8. Limitations of Regularized Models

- May **underfit** if penalty λ is too high

- Lasso can randomly select one feature from a group of correlated features, ignoring others
 - Not always the best for **non-linear relationships** (then use polynomial regression or tree-based models)
-

Q9. Comparing Model Performance (RMSE vs MAE)

- Model A: RMSE = 10
- Model B: MAE = 8

Interpretation:

- Direct comparison is tricky because RMSE and MAE scale differently.
 - If errors are expected to be normally distributed, RMSE is more appropriate.
 - If robustness to outliers is desired, MAE is better.
 - **Limitation:** One metric alone cannot fully capture performance; better to compare both metrics.
-

Q10. Ridge vs Lasso Comparison

- Model A: Ridge ($\lambda=0.1$)
- Model B: Lasso ($\lambda=0.5$)

Interpretation:

- Lasso might select fewer features due to higher penalty → simpler model
- Ridge keeps all features → better if all variables matter

- **Trade-off:** Lasso = interpretability and sparsity, Ridge = stability and less variance

Decision: Depends on whether you want **feature selection** (Lasso) or **all coefficients** (Ridge) to remain.
