

# Byzantine Fault Tolerant Banking Stage 2

Highly Dependable Systems

Group 34

79730 João Silva  
92513 Mafalda Ferreira  
92451 Diogo Barbosa

Master Degree in Computer Science and Engineering

Instituto Superior Técnico

Alameda

2021/2022

## Introduction

The goal of this project is to develop a Byzantine Fault Tolerant Banking, known as BFT Banking.

## Design

The system is divided into client and server. The client operates through the client API, an underlying library accountable for receiving the required parameters and sending requests to the server.

The server domain holds an **Account**, a **Transaction**, and a **Bank**.

- An **Account** contains the public key provided by the user, the current balance, and a list of completed transactions. It also contains two hashmaps, one for the pending withdrawals and another for pending credits, both associated with their transaction id.
- A **Transaction** contains the source and destination public keys of both involved entities, their amount, id, and timestamp of creation time.
- The **Bank** stores all bank accounts and a synchronized transaction count that serves as an id for the next transaction.

The server logic takes into account the different bank operations:

- **OpenAccount**: the system starts by incrementing the number of bank accounts. Then, it creates a new bank account associated with the current id and its balance is set to 50 euros.
- **SendAmount**: the current transaction count is incremented and the system creates a new transaction, associated with an id. This transaction is added to the pending withdrawal of the source account and pending credit hashmaps of the destination account, both along with the transaction id. The source account balance is also decremented by the amount required.
- **ReceiveAmount**: the system ensures the source account already contains a pending withdrawal associated with the current transaction. If so, the transaction is marked as completed and removed from the pending withdrawal and credit hashmaps, and added to the transaction list of both source and destination accounts. The balance of the destination account is then incremented with the respective amount.
- **CheckAccount**: obtains the current balance associated with the public key and returns all incoming transfers in the pending credits hashmap.
- **Audit**: returns all transactions saved into the transaction list of the bank account.

The client needs to register in the system with a username and password, in order to keep them inaccessible to other users. These values are hashed (SHA-256). The password is used to create an AES key, which will be later used to encrypt and save the generated private key, using CBC with constant IV and Salt values. Public keys are stored without encryption since everyone already had access to them.

On the server side, keys are stored together with the rest of the attributes of the bank server.

## Security Approach

The first phase concerns the implementation of security mechanisms:

- **Authenticity:** every message containing the requested data and the user's public key is signed with the user's private key. The server then verifies the signature, using the user's public key, hence proving its identity. This is also done on the server side, where every server response is signed with the server's private key. Since the users don't keep the server's public keys in memory, they ask for them to validate signatures.
- **Integrity.** Signatures are done on top of a message digest and sent to the server along with the original message. The server then computes the hash of the original message and decyphers the signature with the public key. Ultimately, both digests should be a match. Otherwise, the server detects the message was tampered with, known as a **man-in-the-middle attack**.
- **Nonces:** prior to an operation, the client requests a new nonce, which is later sent along with the operation request. The server only accepts requests associated with the last generated nonce and, after completing the operation, the saved nonce is removed. Therefore, any **replay attack** that meant using the same nonce would be invalid.

The security measures were tested with a JUnit set of tests named *Byzantine API Tests* that exploits tampering with data prevented by signatures and replay attacks prevented by using nonces.

## Dependability Approach

- **Server Atomic Persistence:** the system is able to recover from its previous state. Before every bank operation, the server performs a backup, which is ensured to be correct and non-corrupted. Only then, the previous stable backup is deleted, and the most recent one is renamed as the main backup.
- **Client Key Pairs Persistence:** both key pairs are stored on disk and are ensured to be persistent.
- **Anti-Spam Mechanisms** that prevent **Denial of Service Attacks:** before sending a request to the server, the client needs to perform a time-consuming task in order to

minimize the number of requests. A counter is concatenated with the original message and turned into an **SHA-256** digest. Similarly to a **Proof of Work**, the client needs to find the exact value of the counter that results in a digest starting with two bytes set to zero. This mechanism minimizes the number of requests that can be done within a period of time, since computing the proof of work can be a tedious task. On the other hand, it's fairly easy to verify the validity of the counter in the server side, just by computing the digest with the given counter.

- **Protect against Byzantine Servers** using **(N, N) byzantine atomic registers**: for every user request, the client API sends the operation request for every existent replica and returns the value associated with the timestamp linked to  $(N+f)/2$  responses with the same value, ensuring there is enough **quorum**.  
At every read operation and after performing the request, the library sends a **writeback** request with the final response value to every server with a lower timestamp, in order to update them.  
At every write operation and before performing the request, the library contacts every replica in order to obtain the latest *wts* (write timestamp). It then sends this timestamp along with the write request.  
It is important to notice that if the client only considers 1 replica, for example, a server  $id = 0$  and if the server is byzantine then a transaction gets associated with the wrong account. This happens because the system deals with account IDs and the request of a public key of an account to the client is done through operations.