

# Solutions to problems of Chapter 3

Vahan Arsenyan

July 19, 2023

**Problem 3.6:** Show that  $P_{C|E=2}^{\mathcal{C}}$  in

$$\begin{aligned} C &:= N_C \\ E &:= 4 \cdot C + N_E \end{aligned}$$

where  $N_C, N_E \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , is a Gaussian distribution:

$$C | E = 2 \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{8}{17}, \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{17}\right)$$

*Solution:* First note that  $P(C, E)$  is a multivariate Gaussian with  $\mu = [0, 0]^T$  and  $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 17 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then using the result for bivariate Gaussian distributions we get:

$$C | E = 2 \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_C + \frac{\sigma_C}{\sigma_E} \rho (2 - \mu_E), (1 - \rho^2) \sigma_C^2\right)$$

where  $\sigma_C = \sqrt{\Sigma_{1,1}} = 1$ ,  $\mu_C = \mu_E = 0$ ,  $\sigma_E = \sqrt{\Sigma_{2,2}} = \sqrt{17}$ , and  $\rho = \frac{4}{\sqrt{17}}$ . Plugging in the values gives:

$$C | E = 2 \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{8}{17}, \frac{1}{17}\right)$$

**Problem 3.7:** Assume that we know that a process either follows the SCM

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= Y + N_X \\ Y &:= N_Y, \end{aligned}$$

where  $N_X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$  and  $N_Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$  with unknown  $\mu_X, \mu_Y$  and  $\sigma_X, \sigma_Y > 0$ , or it follows the SCM

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= M_X \\ Y &:= X + M_Y, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_X \sim \mathcal{N}(v_X, \tau_X^2)$  and  $M_Y \sim \mathcal{N}(v_Y, \tau_Y^2)$  with unknown  $v_X, v_Y$  and  $\tau_X, \tau_Y > 0$ . Is there a single intervention distribution that lets you distinguish between the two SCMs?

*Solution:* An intervention  $\text{do}(X = 100)$  will change the mean of  $Y$  if the second model is the true one otherwise the mean will remain the same. The difference between pre- and post- interventional means of  $Y$  can be detected via *t-test* using samples from observational and interventional distributions. Please note that larger values at which  $X$  is controlled make the detection easier under the second model. The algorithm of identification is given below:

1. Gather samples from the observational distribution  $P_Y$ .
2. Set  $X$  to some non-zero (preferably large) value  $x$ .
3. Gather samples from the interventional distribution  $P_Y^{\mathcal{C}; \text{do}(X:=x)}$ .
4. Perform *t-test* on the two groups of samples. If difference is significant choose the second model as the true model otherwise pick the first one.

**Problem 3.8** (Cyclic SCMs) We have mentioned that if the assignments inherit a cyclic structure, the SCM does not necessarily induce a unique distribution over the observed variables. Sometimes there is no solution and sometimes it is not unique. a) We first look at an example that induces a unique solution. Consider the *SCM*

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= 2 \cdot Y + N_X \\ Y &:= 2 \cdot X + N_Y \end{aligned}$$

with  $(N_X, N_Y) \sim P$  for an arbitrary distribution  $P$ . Compute  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= \alpha N_X + \beta N_Y \\ Y &:= \gamma N_X + \delta N_Y \end{aligned}$$

yields a solution  $(X, Y, N_X, N_Y)$  of the SCM; that is, the vector satisfies Equations (3.12) and (3.13). The solution can be seen as a special case of Equation (6.2).

b) Consider the SCM

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= Y + N_X \\ Y &:= X + N_Y \end{aligned}$$

with  $(N_X, N_Y) \sim P$ . Show that if  $P$  allows for a density with respect to Lebesgue measure and factorizes, that is,  $N_X \perp\!\!\!\perp N_Y$ , then there is no solution  $(X, Y, N_X, N_Y)$  of the SCM. Furthermore, construct a distribution  $P$ , and a vector  $(X, Y, N_X, N_Y)$  that solves the SCM.

*Solution:* a) Consider the first equation where  $X := 2 \cdot Y + N_X$ , plugging in the corresponding dependencies of  $X, Y$  in terms of  $N_X$  and  $N_Y$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha N_X + \beta N_Y &= 2\gamma N_X + 2\delta N_Y + N_X \\ N_X(\alpha - 2\gamma - 1) &= N_Y(2\delta - \beta) \end{aligned}$$

Coefficients in the brackets should be 0 as  $N_X$  and  $N_Y$  are independent and no linear equality can exist between them.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 2\gamma + 1 \\ \beta &= 2\delta \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the equation for  $Y$  where  $Y := 2 \cdot X + N_Y$ . Similarly, plugging in dependencies of  $X, Y$  in terms of  $N_X$  and  $N_Y$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma N_X + \delta N_Y &= 2\alpha N_X + 2\beta N_Y + N_Y \\ N_X(\gamma - 2\alpha) &= N_Y(2\beta - \delta + 1)\end{aligned}$$

by the same logic as before:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &= 2\alpha \\ \delta &= 2\beta + 1\end{aligned}$$

Solving the four equations above we get that  $\delta = \alpha = -\frac{1}{3}, \beta = \gamma = -\frac{2}{3}$ .

b) Plugging in the equation for  $Y$  into the equation of  $X$  yields that  $N_X = -N_Y$  which contradicts the independence  $N_X \perp\!\!\!\perp N_Y$ . Furthermore, for  $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$  the values of  $N_X = c, N_Y = -c, X = c/2, Y = -c/2$  solve the SCM. Consequently, any distribution of the form  $P = P(X)\delta(X + Y)$  solves the SCM, where  $\delta(\cdot)$  denoted Dirac's delta function.