# Latent Semantic Indexing: A Probabilistic Analysis

C. Papadimitriou, P. Raghavan, H. Tamaki, S. Vempala

**Seminar "Theoretical Topics in Data Science"** 

Vahe Eminyan

vahe.eminyan@rwth-aachen.de 30.01.2024

#### **Overview**

Introduction

LSI Background

Original Paper Overview and Emphasized Aspect

LSI by Random Projection

Summary and Newer Approaches

References

#### Introduction

#### **Motivation**

- Large datasets, often organized in tabular form, represented as matrices
  - Term-document matrix representing word occurrence in documents
  - Movie-user matrix representing watched movies of users
- Interesting aspects
  - Find documents semantically associated with a query
  - Recommend a new movie to a user

			 T	
	Doc 1	Doc 2	 Doc m	
Term 1	0	1	 1	
Term 2	1	0	 1	—— Terms
Term n	1	0	 0	
16111111	I	U	 U	

#### Introduction

### **Latent Semantic Indexing**

- LSI as an information retrieval method
- Finds the latent (hidden) semantic structure of textual data. Solves the following problems:
  - Synonymy
  - Polysemy
- Represent term-document matrix as product of three matrices
- Answer queries with help of these matrices
- Based on singular value decomposition of the matrix

### Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) [7]

Any n by m matrix of rank r can be factored into

$$A_{n\times m} = U_{[n\times r]}D_{[r\times r]}(V_{[m\times r]})^T.$$

- U column-orthonormal matrix: left singular vectors
- V column-orthonormal matrix: right singular vectors
- *D* diagonal matrix: Singular values  $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge ... \ge \sigma_r$  in decreasing order
- Vector notation

$$A = UDV^T = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$$

### Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) Example: Matrix A with rank r = 3

Terms 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.48 & -0.79 & -0.11 \cdot 10^{-14} \\ -0.58 & 0.16 & 0.71 \\ -0.34 & \textbf{0.56} & 0.42 \cdot 10^{-15} \\ -0.56 & 0.16 & -0.71 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 2.1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.26 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D$$

### **Topic-Document similarity**

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} -0.5 & \textbf{-0.71} & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & \textbf{0.71} & -0.5 \\ 0.71 & 0.67 \cdot 10^{-15} & -0.711 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V^{T}$$

### Latent Semantic Indexing based on SVD

• LSI considers  $A_k$  the rank k approximation of A (I.e. keep only k most relevant topics)

$$A_k = U_k D_k V_k^T = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$$

Terms 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1.0 & 0.01 & 1.0 \\ 0.51 & 1.01 & 0.51 \\ 0.0 & 1.01 & 0.0 \\ 0.49 & 0.98 & 0.49 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.48 & -0.79 \\ -0.58 & 0.16 \\ -0.34 & \textbf{0.56} \\ -0.56 & 0.16 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 2.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.26 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} -0.5 & \textbf{-0.71} & -0.5 \\ 0 & 1.26 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Map a query to k dimensional space with  $U_k$ , apply cosine similarity to find similar documents in  $D_k V_k^T$ 

### Latent Semantic Indexing based on SVD

### Theorem (Eckart and Young [3])

Among all  $n \times m$  matrices C of rank at most k,  $A_k$  is the one that minimizes  $||A - C||_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} (A_{ij} - C_{ij})^2$ , where F denotes the Frobenius norm of a matrix.

## **Original Paper Overview and Emphasized Aspect**

- Strong empirical results of LSI
- Two important aspects
  - Why does LSI find semantically related documents?
  - How to reduce the computational complexity?
- Papadimitriou et al. [6] investigated both aspects:
  - 1. Under certain constraints semantically related documents are mapped to similar vectors
  - 2. Instead of LSI use LSI by random projection.
    - Map the original term-document matrix into a lower dimensional space
    - Use LSI on the lower dimensional matrix
- We focus on the second aspect

#### **Random Projection for Dimensionality Reduction**

Given a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  and a matrix  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times n}$ . Use matrix R to reduce the dimensionality of matrix A while preserving pairwise distances between any two points:

$$B = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ell}} \cdot R^T A \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times m}$$

#### Lemma (Johnson and Lindenstrauss [4])

Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a unit vector, let H be a random  $\ell$ -dimensional subspace through the origin, and let the random variable X denote the square of the length of the projection of v onto H. Suppose  $0 < \epsilon < 0.5$ , and  $24 \log n < \ell < \sqrt{n}$ . Then,  $E[X] = \frac{\ell}{n}$ , and

$$Pr(|X - \frac{\ell}{n}| > \epsilon \frac{\ell}{n}) < 2\sqrt{\ell}e^{-(\ell-1)\epsilon^2/4}$$

### **Two-Step LSI**

1. Apply a random projection onto  $\ell$  dimensions on A.  $(\ell > k)$ 

$$B = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ell}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} & | & & | & \\ r_1 & r_2 & \cdots & r_\ell \\ & | & & | \end{pmatrix}^T \cdot A$$

- 2. Apply rank O(k) LSI
- Improved computational complexity
- With high probability the original matrix A almost as good recovered as by directly using LSI (Formulation and proof of theorem later)

### **Comparison of Computational Time**

Given the term-document matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ .

Time complexity of one-step LSI:

• LSI computation: O(mnc) if A is sparse with about c nonzero entries per column

Time complexity of LSI by random projection:

- Random projection to  $\ell$  dimensions:  $O(mc\ell)$
- LSI computation:  $O(m\ell^2)$
- Together:  $O(mc\ell + m\ell^2) = O(m(c\ell + \ell^2))$ , with  $\ell \in \Omega(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2})$
- Hence we get a time complexity:  $O(m(\log^2 n + c \log n))$

 $O(m(\log^2 n + c \log n))$  better than O(mnc)

### **Comparison of Both Matrices**

- A : original term-document matrix
- B: original term-document matrix after random projection and scaling
- $\ell \in \Omega(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2})$ , with  $\epsilon \in (0, 0.5)$
- Dimensionality reduction for each document  $(\ell << n)$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\
1 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & 0 & \dots & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0.47 & \dots & 0.47 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0.47 & 0 & \dots & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\ell \times m$$

### **Background and Notation for the Proof**

Vector notations of SVD:

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i u_i v_i^T, \qquad A_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i u_i v_i^T, \qquad B = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i a_i b_i^T, \qquad B_{2k} = A \sum_{i=1}^{2k} b_i b_i^T.$$

- A: original term-document matrix
- $A_k$ : rank k approximation of A
- B: matrix after randomly projecting and scaling A
- $B_{2k}$ : rank 2k approximation of A

### **Background and Notation for the Proof**

### Lemma (3)

Let  $\epsilon$  be an arbitrary positive constant. If  $\ell \ge c((\log n)/\epsilon^2)$  for a sufficiently large constant c then, for  $p = 1, ..., \ell$ 

$$\lambda_p^2 \ge \frac{1}{k} \left[ (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \lambda_j^2 \right].$$

### Corollary (4)

$$\sum_{p=1}^{2k} \lambda_p^2 \ge (1 - \epsilon) ||A_k||_F^2.$$

### **Background and Notation for the Proof**

#### Lemma (5)

$$||A - A_k||_F^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i^2.$$

#### Theorem (Parsevals identity [2])

Let  $b_1, ..., b_n$  be an orthonormal basis for a space S. Then for each  $s \in S$ ,  $|s|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (sb_i)^2$ .

#### **Main Theorem**

### Theorem (Papadimitriou et al. [6])

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 \le ||A - A_k||_F^2 + 2\epsilon ||A||_F^2$$

*where*  $\epsilon$  ∈ (0, 0.5)

Informally, the theorem states that the original matrix A after applying random projection and then LSI is with high probability almost as good recovered as by using one-step LSI on the original matrix.

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 \le ||A - A_k||_F^2 + 2\epsilon ||A||_F^2$$

#### **Proof**

We have

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i u_i v_i^T, \qquad A_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i u_i v_i^T, \qquad B = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i a_i b_i^T, \qquad B_{2k} = A \sum_{i=1}^{2k} b_i b_i^T.$$

 $b_1, ..., b_n$  Are orthonormal vectors spanning the row space of A and  $B_{2k}$ . Hence using the Parseval's identity we can write:

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |(A - B_{2k})b_i|^2.$$
 (1)

For i = 1, ..., 2k, because  $b_i^T b_i = 1$ , we have

$$(A - B_{2k})b_i = Ab_i - Ab_i = 0, (2)$$

and for i = 2k + 1, ..., n, because  $b_j^T b_i = 0$ , we have

$$(A - B_{2k})b_i = Ab_i. (3)$$

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 \le ||A - A_k||_F^2 + 2\epsilon ||A||_F^2$$

### **Proof (continued)**

Now we continue from the equation

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |(A - B_{2k})b_i|^2$$
(4)

$$= \sum_{i=2k+1}^{n} |Ab_i|^2$$
 (5)

$$= \sum_{i=2k+1}^{n} |Ab_i|^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} |Ab_i|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} |Ab_i|^2$$
(5)

Parseval's id. 
$$||A||_F^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} |Ab_i|^2$$
 (7)

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 \le ||A - A_k||_F^2 + 2\epsilon ||A||_F^2$$

### **Proof (continued)**

On the other hand, we have

$$||A - A_k||_F^2 \stackrel{\text{Lemma 5}}{=} \sum_{i=k+1}^n \sigma_i^2$$
Frob. norm [5]  $||A||_F^2 - ||A_k||_F^2$ . (9)

Frob. norm [5] 
$$||A||_F^2 - ||A_k||_F^2$$
. (9)

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 \le ||A - A_k||_F^2 + 2\epsilon ||A||_F^2$$

### **Proof (continued)**

Now we consider

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 - ||A - A_k||_F^2 = ||A||_F^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} |Ab_i|^2 - (||A||_F^2 - ||A_k||_F^2)$$
(10)

$$= ||A_k||_F^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} |Ab_i|^2, \tag{11}$$

that is equivalent to

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 = ||A - A_k||_F^2 + (||A_k||_F^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} |Ab_i|^2)$$
(12)

Theorem (Papadimitriou et al. [6])

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 \le ||A - A_k||_F^2 + 2\epsilon ||A||_F^2$$

*where*  $\epsilon$  ∈ (0, 0.5)

### **Proof (continued)**

For the next step, we show

$$(1+\epsilon)\sum_{i=1}^{2k}|Ab_i|^2 \ge \sum_{i=1}^{2k}\lambda_i^2.$$
(13)

We write

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k} \lambda_i^2 \stackrel{|Bb_i|=\lambda_i}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{2k} |Bb_i|^2 \tag{14}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{sbst. B}}{=} \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{2k} \left| \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ell}} R^T(Ab_i) \right|^2 \tag{15}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{2k} \frac{n}{\ell} \left| R^T(Ab_i) \right|^2 \tag{16}$$

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 \le ||A - A_k||_F^2 + 2\epsilon ||A||_F^2$$

### **Proof (continued)**

Now from the Johnson-Lindenstrauss lemma [4] for very large  $\ell \in \Omega((\log n)/\epsilon^2)$  we have for each i

$$\frac{n}{\ell}|R^T(Ab_i)|^2 \le (1+\epsilon)|Ab_i|^2 \tag{17}$$

with high probability.

Hence with a high probability

$$(1+\epsilon)\sum_{i=1}^{2k}|Ab_i|^2 \ge \sum_{i=1}^{2k}\lambda_i^2.$$
 (18)

Theorem (Papadimitriou et al. [6])

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 \le ||A - A_k||_F^2 + 2\epsilon ||A||_F^2$$

*where*  $\epsilon$  ∈ (0, 0.5)

### **Proof (continued)**

Now we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k} |Ab_i|^2 \ge \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)} \sum_{i=1}^{2k} \lambda_i^2$$
 (19)

Cor. 4 
$$\frac{(1-\epsilon)}{(1+\epsilon)} ||A_k||_F^2$$
 (20)

$$\geq (1 - 2\epsilon) \|A_k\|_F^2 \tag{21}$$

l.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k} |Ab_i|^2 \ge (1 - 2\epsilon) ||A_k||_F^2$$
 (22)

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 \le ||A - A_k||_F^2 + 2\epsilon ||A||_F^2$$

### **Proof (continued)**

Remember the Equation (12):

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 = ||A - A_k||_F^2 + (||A_k||_F^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} |Ab_i|^2)$$

Now we substitute the result of Equation (22) in equation (12):

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 \le ||A - A_k||_F^2 + ||A_k||_F^2 - (1 - 2\epsilon)||A_k||_F^2$$
(23)

$$\iff ||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 \le ||A - A_k||_F^2 + 2\epsilon ||A_k||_F^2 \tag{24}$$

Due to the formulation of Frobenius norm as in Lemma 5, we have  $||A||_F^2 \ge ||A_k||_F^2$ . Hence

$$||A - B_{2k}||_F^2 \le ||A - A_k||_F^2 + 2\epsilon ||A||_F^2.$$
(25)

### **Summary and Newer Approaches**

- Latent semantic analysis: SVD-based technique for information retrieval
- Papadimitriou et al. analysed two important aspects [6]
  - Why does LSI find semantically related documents?
  - How to reduce the computational time ? (Our main focus)
- LSI by random projection: reduction of computational complexity, while preventing the expressiveness of original matrix with high probability.
- There are newer techniques based on neural networks [8, 1]

#### References



William L. Hamilton, Rex Ying, and Jure Leskovec.

Representation learning on graphs: Methods and applications.

IEEE Data Eng. Bull., 40(3):52-74, 2017.

URL: http://sites.computer.org/debull/A17sept/p52.pdf.



Leslie Hogben, editor.

Handbook of Linear Algebra.

Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2nd edition, 2013.

https://doi.org/10.1201/b16113.



C. Reinsch J. H. Wilkinson.

Handbook for Automatic Computation.

Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, volume ii: linear algebra edition, 1971.



Extensions of lipshitz mapping into hilbert space.

In Conference modern analysis and probability, 1984, pages 189–206, 1984.



Changxue Ma, Y. Kamp, and L.F. Willems.

A frobenius norm approach to glottal closure detection from the speech signal.

IEEE Transactions on Speech and Audio Processing, 2(2):258-265, 1994. doi:10.1109/89.279274.



Christos H. Papadimitriou, Prabhakar Raghavan, Hisao Tamaki, and Santosh Vempala.

Latent semantic indexing: A probabilistic analysis.

Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 61(2):217-235, 2000.

URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022000000917112, doi:10.1006/jcss.2000.1711.



Gilbert Strang.

Linear Algebra and Its Applications.

Cengage Learning, 4th edition edition, 2005.

#### References



Liang Yao, Chengsheng Mao, and Yuan Luo.

Graph convolutional networks for text classification.

In Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence, volume 33, pages 7370–7377, 2019.