CS6350 Machine Learning: Homework 0

Vai Suliafu, u0742607

January 18, 2020

1

Consider tossing a fair coin 10 times. Let A represent the specific event that "at least one head" is observed over the 10 coin flips. Then the compliment of set A can be denoted as A, where A represents the event where no heads are observed. Then, we can use A to compute the probability of A like so:

where each $\{T\}$ has a $\frac{1}{2}$ probability of occurring. Thus the above equation becomes:

$$=> P(A) = 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2}}{2^{10}}$$

$$=> P(A) = 2 - \frac{1}{1204}$$

$$=> P(A) = \frac{1023}{1024}$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

Consider two sets, A and B. We want to show that:

$$P(A \cup B) < P(A) + P(B)$$

This follows directly from the Rule of Addition:

$$P(A \cup B) \le P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$$

where $P(A \cap B)$ is always non-negative $(P(A \cap B) = 0$ when P(A), P(B) are disjoint and positive otherwise).

 $\mathbf{3}$

Let $\{A_1,...,A_N\}$ be a collection of n events. We want to show that:

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(A_{i}\right)$$

which can be done by induction, as shown in the following:

- Let n = 1. Then obviously $P(A_1) \leq P(A_1)$ holds true
- Now, suppose it holds true for n=m: $P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m P(A_i)$
- We can show that this also holds true for n = m + 1:

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m+1} A_i\right) = P\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i\right) \cup A_{m+1}\right)$$
$$= P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i\right) + P\left(A_{m+1}\right) - P\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i\right) \cap A_{m+1}\right)$$

by the Rule of Addition. Then,

$$\leq P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}\right) + P(A_{m+1})$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} P(A_{i})\right) + P(A_{m+1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} P(A_{i})$$

4

Let X and Y represent two discrete random variables where $X \in \{0, 1\}$ and $Y \in \{0, 1\}$. Let their joint probability be represented by the table:

	Y = 0	Y = 1
X = 0	1/10	2/10
X = 1	3/10	4/10

4.1 i

Then if we let $f_x()$ represent the marginal distribution of x, we get:

$$X = 0 \quad 3/10$$

 $X = 1 \quad 7/10$

Similarly for y, the marginal distribution $f_y()$ is:

4.2 ii

Then, we can calculate the conditional distributions of x and y using the definition:

$$P(X = x | Y = y) = \frac{P(X = x, Y = y)}{P(Y = y)}$$

Doing so gives us the following results:

$$P(X = 0|Y = 0) = \frac{1/10}{4/10} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(X = 1|Y = 0) = \frac{3/10}{4/10} = \frac{3}{4}$$

$$P(X = 0|Y = 1) = \frac{2/10}{6/10} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$P(X = 1|Y = 1) = \frac{4/10}{6/10} = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$P(Y = 0|X = 0) = \frac{1/10}{3/10} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$P(Y = 1|X = 0) = \frac{2/10}{3/10} = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$P(Y = 0|X = 1) = \frac{3/10}{7/10} = \frac{3}{7}$$

$$P(Y = 1|X = 1) = \frac{4/10}{7/10} = \frac{4}{7}$$

4.3 iii

Now, recall the formula for expected value E[X]:

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \times f_{x_i}()$$

Using the marginal distributions from earlier, we can now calculate $\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{X}]$ and $\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}]$ like so:

$$E[X] = [0 \times 3/10] + [1 \times 7/10] = 7/10$$

$$E[Y] = [0 \times 4/10] + [1 \times 6/10] = 3/5$$

Now, recalling the formula for variance VAR[X]:

$$VAR[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$$

This means that in order to calculate the variance for x and y, we'll first need to calculate $E[X^2]$ and $E[Y^2]$.

$$E[X^2] = [0^2 \times 3/10] + [1^2 \times 7/10] = 7/10$$

$$E[Y^2] = [0^2 \times 4/10] + [1^2 \times 6/10] = 3/5$$

Now we can calculate variance:

$$VAR[X] = ((7/10) - (7/10)^2) = ((70/100) - (49/100)) = 21/100$$

 $VAR[Y] = ((3/5) - (3/5)^2) = ((15/25) - (9/25)) = 6/25$

4.4 iv

Now in the same way, we can calculate the conditional expectation of y using the conditional distributions from part ii:

$$E[Y|X=0] = (0\times 1/3) + (1\times 2/3) = 2/3$$

$$E[Y|X=1] = (0 \times 3/7) + (1 \times 4/7) = 4/7$$

Then, to calculate the variance V[Y|X], we'll first have to compute the $E[Y^2|X]$ like we did earlier:

$$E[Y^2|X=0] = (0^2 \times 1/3) + (1^2 \times 2/3) = 2/3$$

$$E[Y^2|X=1] = (0^2 \times 3/7) + (1^2 \times 4/7) = 4/7$$

Now calculating the conditional variance of y:

$$VAR(Y|X=0) = E[Y^2|X=0] - E[Y|X=0]^2 = ((2/3) - (2/3)^2) = 2/9$$

 $VAR(Y|X=1) = E[Y^2|X=1] - E[Y|X=1]^2 = ((4/7) - (4/7)^2) = 12/49$

$4.5 \quad v$

Now we can calculate the covariance of X and Y like so:

$$Cov(X, Y) =$$

$$\begin{split} &[(-7/10)(-3/5)(1/10)] + [(-7/10)(1-3/5)(2/10)] + [(1-7/10)(-3/5)(3/10) + [(1-7/10)(1-3/5)(4/10)] \\ &= [(-7/10)(-6/10)(1/10)] + [(-7/10)(4/10)(2/10)] + [(3/10)(-6/10)(3/10)] + [(3/10)(4/10)(4/10)] \\ &= (42/1000) - (56/1000) - (54/1000) + (48/1000) = (-20/1000) = (-0.02) \end{split}$$

4.5.1 b

We can conclude that X and Y are not independent because when two random variables are independent, Cov(X,Y) = 0.

4.5.2 b

When X is not assigned a specific value, I don't think E(Y|X) and V(Y|X) are constant, because these figures are calculated using Y's conditional distribution on X.

5

Assume a random variable X follows a standard normal distribution. Let $Y = e^X$. Then we can calculate the mean and variance of Y.

This can be done by letting $E(Y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^x f(x) dx$:

$$E(Y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{2x}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{2x-x^{2}}{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{2x-x^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{2x-x^{2}-1}{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{-(x-1)^{2}}{2}} dx$$

Now recalling that any integral of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-a(x+b)^2} dx$ evaluates to $\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}$, where in this case $a = \frac{1}{2}$, the above integral evaluates to:

$$= \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sqrt{2\pi} = e^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{e}$$

Thus $E(Y) = \sqrt{e}$. Now in order to calculate V(Y), we'll first have to calculate $E(Y^2)$ as we did earler. Doing so gives us:

$$E(Y^{2}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{4x}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{4x-x^{2}}{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{4x-x^{2}}{2} + \frac{4}{2} - \frac{4}{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{e^{\frac{4}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{4x-x^{2}-4}{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{e^{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{-(x-2)^{2}}{2}} dx$$

$$=e^2$$

Thus using the equation earlier mentioned, we get:

$$V(Y) = E(Y^2) - E(Y)^2$$
$$= e^2 - e$$

6

Given two random variables X and Y, we want to prove the *The Law of Total Expectation*, which states E(E(Y|X)) = E(Y):

$$E(E(Y|X)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(Y|X = x) f_X(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_{Y|X}(y|x) f_X(x) dy dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_{Y,X}(y,x) dy dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_Y(y) dy$$

$$= E(Y)$$

Now we can use the *The Law of Total Expectation* together with the definition of variance from earlier to prove *The Law of Total Variance*, VAR(Y) = E(VAR(Y|X)) + VAR(E(Y|X)):

$$VAR[Y] = E[Y^{2}] - E[Y]^{2}$$

$$= E(E(Y^{2}|X)) - E(E(Y|X))^{2}$$

$$= E(VAR(Y|X) + E(X|Y)^{2} - E(E(Y|X))^{2}$$

$$= E(VAR(Y|X)) + E(E(Y|X)^{2}) - E(E(Y|X))^{2}$$

$$= E(VAR(Y|X)) + VAR(E(Y|X))$$

7

Now suppose we have a logistic function, $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+e(-\vec{a}^{\top}x)}$. Let's calculate the following gradients and Hessian matrices:

$$\nabla f(x) = \left[\frac{a_1 e(-a^{\top} x)}{(1 + e^{-a^{\top} x})^2}, \frac{a_2 e(-a^{\top} x)}{(1 + e^{-a^{\top} x})^2}, ..., \frac{a_n e(-a^{\top} x)}{(1 + e^{-a^{\top} x})^2} \right]$$

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = H_{n,n} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2a_1 a_1 e^{-a^\top x}}{(1 + e^{-a^\top x})^3} - \frac{a_1 a_1 e^{-a^\top x}}{(1 + e^{-a^\top x})^2} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{2a_1 a_n e^{-a^\top x}}{(1 + e^{-a^\top x})^3} - \frac{a_1 a_n e^{-a^\top x}}{(1 + e^{-a^\top x})^2} \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \frac{2a_n a_1 e^{-a^\top x}}{(1 + e^{-a^\top x})^3} - \frac{a_n a_1 e^{-a^\top x}}{(1 + e^{-a^\top x})^2} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{2a_n a_n e^{-a^\top x}}{(1 + e^{-a^\top x})^3} - \frac{a_n a_n e^{-a^\top x}}{(1 + e^{-a^\top x})^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla f(x)$$
 when $a = [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]^{\top}$ and $x = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0]^{\top} = \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right]$

8

Now we want to show that g(x) = -log(f(x)) is convex (where f(x) is defined as above). We can do this by letting f(x) = y and showing that the second derivative of g(x) is positive:

$$g(x) = -log(y)$$
$$d(-log(y)) = (-1/y)$$
$$d^{2}(-log(y)) = (1/y^{2})$$

Then because the second derivative is greater than 0 everywhere, we know that this function is convex.