

#28

Thursday, February 13, 2020 9:42 AM

Q: Consider independent random samples of size n_1, n_2 from respective exponential distributions, $X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\theta_1)$, $Y_j \sim \text{Exp}(\theta_2)$.

Derive the GLR test of $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_A: \theta \neq \theta_0$

S. The PDFs ...

$$f_X(x; \theta_1) = \frac{1}{\theta_1} e^{-x/\theta_1}$$

$$f_Y(y; \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\theta_2} e^{-y/\theta_2}$$

S. The JDFs...

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}; \theta_1) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta_1} e^{-x_i/\theta_1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}\{x_i > 0\} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\theta_1}\right)^n \left(e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i / \theta_1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

similarly ...

$$f_Y(\underline{y}; \theta_2) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta_2}\right)^n \left(e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n y_j / \theta_2}\right)$$

S3. Then plugging these in to the GLR ...

$$\Lambda(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = \frac{f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}; \theta_1) \cdot f_Y(\underline{y}; \theta_2)}{f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}; \hat{\theta}) \cdot f_Y(\underline{y}; \hat{\theta})}$$

$$= \frac{\left[\left(\frac{1}{\theta_1}\right)^n \left(e^{-\sum x_i / \theta_1}\right)\right] \cdot \left[\left(\frac{1}{\theta_2}\right)^n \left(e^{-\sum y_j / \theta_2}\right)\right]}{\left(\frac{1}{\bar{x}}\right)^n \left(e^{-\sum x_i / (\sum x_i / n)}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\bar{y}}\right)^n \left(e^{-\sum y_j / (\sum y_j / n)}\right)}$$

Recall that the MLE for θ in an exponential distribution is \bar{X}

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\theta_1}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{\theta_2}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum x_i}{\theta_1} - \frac{\sum y_j}{\theta_2}\right\}}{\frac{1}{\bar{x}^n \bar{y}^n} e^{-\sum x_i / \bar{x} - \sum y_j / \bar{y}}}$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\bar{x}}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{\bar{y}}\right)^n \exp \{-2n\}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y}}{\theta_1 \cdot \theta_2}\right)^n \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sum x_i}{\theta_1} - \frac{\sum y_i}{\theta_2} + 2n \right\}$$

• We know we can reject the null hypothesis H_0 if

$$-2 \ln[\lambda(x, y)] \geq \chi^2_{1-\alpha}(1)$$

• Thus we can reject the null hypothesis if

$$-2 \ln \left[\left(\frac{\bar{x} \bar{y}}{\theta_1 \theta_2}\right)^n \cdot \exp \left\{ 2n - \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta_1} - \frac{n\bar{y}}{\theta_2} \right\} \right] \geq \chi^2_{1-\alpha}(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow (-2) \left[\ln \left[\left(\frac{\bar{x} \bar{y}}{\theta_1 \theta_2}\right)^n \right] + \ln \left[\exp \left\{ 2n - \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta_1} - \frac{n\bar{y}}{\theta_2} \right\} \right] \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow (-2) \left[n \ln \left(\frac{\bar{x} \bar{y}}{\theta_1 \theta_2} \right) + 2n - \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta_1} - \frac{n\bar{y}}{\theta_2} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow (-2n) \left[\ln \left(\frac{\bar{x} \bar{y}}{\theta_1 \theta_2} \right) + 2 - \frac{\bar{x}}{\theta_1} - \frac{\bar{y}}{\theta_2} \right] \geq \chi^2_{1-\alpha}(1)$$

∴ Thus, we can reject the null hypothesis if

$$(-2n) \left[\ln \left(\frac{\bar{x} \bar{y}}{\theta_1 \theta_2} \right) + 2 - \frac{\bar{x}}{\theta_1} - \frac{\bar{y}}{\theta_2} \right] \geq \chi^2_{1-\alpha}(1)$$

✓