A 5 5 7 NM E N T D

Thursday, November 7, 2024 12:36 AM

Given:

$$E\left[\left|\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right|^{2} + \left|\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right|^{2} + \left|\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right|^{$$

where:

1) Data fidelity term:

2) Total variation regularization term:

PART 1:

Using Farward differences, we approximate the gradient at each pixel (i,i) with respect to its neighbours.

Step-by-Step Calculations:

1) Data Fidelity term Approximation:

- Measures difference b/w the impainted image UL and the original image gc im regions specified by IZ. Since, this term is already in a summation form, no finite differencing is required. We have,

10-gc/2 = 5 a[i,i] (v.[i,i]-gc[i,i])<sup>2</sup>

2). Total Variance regularisation term (Using Forward differences):

- We compute the gradient at each pixel (i); ) as follows:

$$| \forall v_c |_{2,1}^2 = \sum_{i,j} \left( (v_c [i+1,j] - v_c [i,j])^2 + (v_c [i,j+1] - v_c [i,j])^2 \right)$$

# Uc[i+1, i] - Uc[i,i] approximates + [e gradient in x-direction at pixel (i, j)

# Uc[i, j+1] - Uc[i,j] approximates the gradient in g-direction at pixel (i,j)

SUMMARY OF MAIN STEPS:

i) Data Fidelity term:

Computed as a sum of squeed differences between Uc and go over domain 2

2) bradient Approximation:

Forward differences are applied in both x
and y-directions to approximate the gradient at
each pixel

## 3) Combined Objective Function:

The finite difference approximation of F[U] is formed by summing both the date fidelity and total variance terms, with regularization perameter blanking them.

The discrete approximation of E [Uc] is now suitable for optimisation to minimize the energy and solve the impainting problem

## PART 2:

(i) Data Fidelity term:

$$\sum_{i,j} \Omega(i,j) \left( U_{c}(i,j) - g_{c}(i,j) \right)^{2}$$

=> differentiating u.r.t. Uc [i,j]

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta v_{cCi,j}} \sum_{i,j} \Delta C_{i,j} / v_{cCi,j} - g_{cCi,j}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} 2\alpha [i,j] \left( v_{c}[i,j] - g_{c}[i,j] \right)$$

- The gradient component encourages each  $V_{\mathcal{L}}[i,j]$  to match  $g_{\mathcal{L}}[i,j]$  where  $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}[i,j]=1$ 

(ii) Total Variation regularisation term:

$$\lambda \sum_{i,j} \left( \left[ O_{c}[i+1,j] - O_{c}[i,j] \right]^{2} + \left( O_{c}[i,j+1] - O_{c}[i,j] \right)^{2} \right)$$

(a) Marizontel Difference (Ucli+1, j] -Ucli, j]

From the horizontal term (Uclin, i] - Ucli, j] "
Ucli, i] appears as:

I) The correct pixel in (ve [i+1,j] - ve [i,j]

Hence,

I). 
$$\frac{3}{4} \left( v_c \left[ i + i, j \right] - v_c \left[ i, j \right] \right)^2 = -2 \left( v_c \left[ i + i, j \right] - v_c \left[ i, j \right] \right)$$

2). 
$$\frac{8}{8} (v_{c}(i,j) - v_{c}(i-1,j)^{2} = 2 (v_{c}(i,j) - v_{c}(i-1,j))$$

(b) Vertical difference (Uc Cinit) - Uc [in])

\* From the vertical term (Ucli,j+1] - Ucli,j]), Uc [i,j] appears as:

1). The current pixel in (vc [i,j+1] - vc [i,i])

2) The Neighbouring pixel in (Uc[i,j]-Uc[i,j-i]) (The gixel to the right of it

Hence,

 $I) = \frac{1}{2} \left( v_{c}[i, j+i] - v_{c}[i, j] \right)^{2} = -2 \left( v_{c}[i, j+i] - v_{c}[i, j] \right)$ 

2).  $\frac{1}{2} \left( v_{c} \left[ i_{i,j} \right] - v_{c} \left[ i_{i,j} - 1 \right] \right)^{2} = 2 \left( v_{c} \left[ i_{i,j} \right] - v_{c} \left[ i_{i,j} - 1 \right] \right)$ 

Combining these, the gradient of the total Variation term with respect to Uc[i,i] becomes:

Handling Boundary Conditions:

Left and Top boundaries:

For i= 0 and j=0, skip terms involving  $v_{i}[i-1,j]$  and  $v_{c}[i,j-1]$ 

Right and bottom boundaries:

For i = max row index and j = max column index, skip terms involving Uc[i+1,j] and Uc[i,j+1]

Combined Gradient JUE:

Summing up gradient from date fidelity and

VE [V[i,j] = 20[i,j] (Veli,j] - geli,j])  $+ \lambda \left[ -2 \left( \bigcup_{c} [i, j] - \bigcup_{c} [i-1, j] \right) + 2 \left( \bigcup_{c} [i+1, j] - \bigcup_{c} [i, j] \right) \right]$   $-2 \left( \bigcup_{c} [i, j] - \bigcup_{c} [i, j-1] \right) + 2 \left( \bigcup_{c} [i, j+1] - \bigcup_{c} [i, j] \right)$ 

If The terms involving i-1 will not be involved in gradient calculation for points on the top border

the terms involving it will not be involved in gradient calculation for points on the bottom border

If the terms involving j-1 will not be involved in gradient calculation for points on the left border to the terms involving j+1 will not be involved in gradient calculation for points on the right border

\$\ The corner points will contain the data term and values from 2 neighbours in the regularization term