

Sol:- False.

What is the truth value of the  $\exists x P(x)$  where  $P(x)$  is the statement  $x^2 > 10$  and the domain consists of positive integers not exceeding 4.

Sol:- True.

Ex:- What does the statm  $\forall x N(x)$  mean if  $N(x)$  is "comput  $x$  is connected to the network". and the domain consists of all computers on the campus.

Sol:- Every computer is connected to the network.

True.

(Let P and Q be the propositions.

P: You drive over 65 miles per hour.)

determine whether each of these conditional statements are T/F?

1) If  $1+1=2$  then  $2+2=5 \rightarrow F$

2) If  $1+1=3$  then  $2+2=4 \rightarrow T$

3) If monkeys can fly, then  $1+1=3 \rightarrow S$

shows that  $(P \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow r)$  is a tautology.

P	q	r
0	0	
0	0	
0	1	
0	1	
1	0	
1	0	
1		
1		

$$((P \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow r)$$

$$P \rightarrow q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \neg P \vee q$$

$$\neg ((P \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \vee P \rightarrow r \quad \neg(P) = \neg P$$

$$(\neg(P \rightarrow q) \vee \neg(q \rightarrow r)) \vee P \rightarrow r \quad \neg(P \wedge q) = \neg P \vee \neg q$$

$$(P \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg r) \vee P \rightarrow r$$

$$((P \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg r)) \vee P \rightarrow r \quad (P \vee q) \vee r \\ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P \vee (q \vee r)$$

$$(P \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg r) \vee (P \rightarrow r)$$

$$(P \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg P \vee r)$$
$$P \wedge \neg q \vee q \wedge \neg r \vee \neg P \vee r$$

$$T \wedge T \wedge T = T.$$

Find the negation of following statements

If  $P$  is a square then  $P$  is a rectangle.

$\text{Sol: } P \rightarrow Q.$

$$\neg(P \rightarrow Q) = \neg(\neg P \vee Q)$$

$$= P \wedge \neg Q.$$

If  $P$  is a square then  $P$  is not a rectangle

### Duality

The Dual of a compound proposition that contains logical operation  $\vee$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\neg$ ,  $T$ ,  $F$ . is compound proposition obtained by replacing each  $\vee$  by  $\wedge$ ,  $\wedge$  by  $\vee$  and each  $T$  by  $F$ ,  $F$  by  $T$ .

If  $S$  is compound proposition. then dual of  $S$  is denoted by  $S^*$  or  $S^d$ .

Ex:- find the dual of each of the compound propositions.

- $p \vee \neg q$
- $p \wedge (q \vee (r \wedge t))$
- $(p \wedge q) \vee (q \wedge p)$

Sol:- a)  $\neg p \wedge q$

b)  $\neg p \vee (\neg q \wedge (\neg r \vee \neg t))$

c)  $\neg(\neg p \vee q) \rightarrow$

a)  $p \wedge \neg q$

b)  $p \vee (q \wedge (r \vee f))$

c)  $(p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee t)$

NOTE: for any two propositions  $u$  and  $v$  if  $u$  and  $v$  logically equivalent then their duals are logically equivalent  
 $\Rightarrow u \Leftrightarrow v \rightarrow u^d \Leftrightarrow v^d$ .  
 $\rightarrow$  If  $u$  is a proposition the dual of dual is equivalent to the  $(u^d)^d \Leftrightarrow u$ .

Write the following stmts in symbolic form.

a) something is good

b) Everything is good.

Sol:-  $\exists P \rightarrow$  is good

$x \rightarrow$  something and  $y$  Everything.

a)  $\exists x P(x)$

b)  $\forall y P(y)$

Let  $P(x)$  be the statement  $x$  spends more than ~~more than~~ 5 hours every weekday in class. Where the domain for  $x$  consists of all students. Express the each of the quantifications in english.

a)  $\exists x P(x)$

b)  $\forall x P(x)$

Sol:- a) Some students spends more than 5 hours every week day.

b) All students spends more than 5 hours every week day.

c)  $\exists x \forall P(x)$

some students do not spend more than 5 hours every week day.

d)  $\forall x \forall P(x)$

All students does not spend more than 5 hours every week day.

Q) Let  $P(x)$  denote the statement  $x \leq 4$  what are truth values of the  $P(0), P(4), P(3)$

Sol:- T, T, T.

### Uniqueness Quantifiers

The phrases for uniqueness quantification include there is exactly one and there is one and only one. and denoted by  $\exists!$  or

$\exists!$

### Quantifier with restricted domains

A notation is used to restrict the domain of a quantifier in this notation a conditional variable must satisfy after the quantification.

Let  $P(x)$  be a statement  $x = 4$ . What is the truth value of the quantification  $\forall x P(x)$ , where the domain consists of all real no., but not  $x \neq 4$ .

Sol:

False.

Note: The quantifiers ~~for~~  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  have high priority than all logical operators from propositional calculus.

### Binding Variables

When a quantifier is used on a variable  $x$  we say that this occurrence of the variable is bound and the occurrence of the variable that is not bound by a quantifier or a set equal to a particular value is said to be free.

The part of a logical expression to which a quantifier is applied is called the scope of this quantifier. If a variable is free, if it is outside the scope of all quantifiers.

Ex:-

In the statement  $\exists x (x+y=1)$  the variable  $x$  is bound by the existential quantification and variable  $y$  is free. It is not bound by quantifier and no value is assigned to this variable.

logical equivalence involving quantifiers  
statements involving predicates and quantifiers are logically equivalent if and only if they have the same truth value. No matter which predicates are substituted onto this stmts and which domain is used for variables in the propositional functions. we use the notation  $S \equiv T$  to indicate that 2 statements  $S$  and  $T$  involving predicates and quantifier are logically equivalent.

Negating Quantified Expressions-

$$\rightarrow \boxed{\neg \forall x P(x) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \neg P(x)}$$

$$\rightarrow \boxed{\neg \exists x P(x) \Leftrightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)}.$$

- (Q) What are the negations of the statements
- There is an honest politician.
  - All Americans eat cheese burgers.

Sol:  $\neg (\exists x P(x))$

$P(x)$ :  $x$  is an honest politician

$$\neg (\exists x P(x)) \Rightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)$$

All politicians are dishonest

$$\rightarrow \neg \forall x P(x) \quad P(x) : \text{Americans eat}$$

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \Rightarrow \exists x \neg P(x) \quad \text{cheese burger}$$

Some Americans do not eat cheese burgers.

There is one American who does not eat cheese burger.

- (Q) What are the negations of the statements

i)  $\forall x (x^2 > x)$

ii)  $\exists x (x^2 = 2)$

Sol: i)  $\exists x (x^2 \leq x)$

ii)  $\forall x (x^2 \neq 2)$

Example: Express the statements

"Every Student in this class has studied Calculus" using predicates and Quantifiers

Sol:  $P(x) \rightarrow \forall x$  student in this class has studied

Sol:  $\forall x P(x)$ .

① Express the statement "Some students in the class visited Mexico".

② Every student in this class has visited either Canada or Mexico, using predicates and quantifiers.

Sol: ①  $P(x) \rightarrow \exists x$  student in the class visited Mexico.

Sol:  $\exists x P(x)$ .

②  $P(x) \rightarrow \exists x$  student has visited Canada.

$Q(x) \rightarrow \exists x$  student has visited Mexico

$\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$ .

## Nested Quantifiers

Two Quantifiers are Nested if one is within the scope of other.

Ex:

$$\forall x \exists y (x + y = 0)$$

Example :- Translate into English statement.

$$\forall x \exists y (x > 0) \wedge (y > 0) \rightarrow xy < 0$$

where the domain for  $x$  and  $y$  all real no.'s.

Sol:- for every real no.  $x$  and for every real no.  $y$  If  $x > 0$  and  $y > 0$  then  $xy < 0$ .

Q)

Let  $P(x, y)$  be the statement  ~~$x+y=y+x$~~  what are the truth values of the quantification  $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ . where the domain for variables consists of all real no.'s.

Sol:- True

(Q) Translate the statements "the sum of two positive integers is always positive" into a logical expression where the domain for variables consists of all the integers.

SOL:

$$\forall x \forall y (x > 0) \wedge (y > 0) \rightarrow (x + y > 0).$$

(Q) Translate the statement "every real number except zero has a multiplicative inverse".  
NOTE:- A multiplicative inverse of a real no.  $x$  while is a real no.  $y$  such that  $xy = 1$ .

SOL:  $\forall x \forall y (x \neq 0) \wedge (x > 0) \rightarrow (xy = 1)$ .

$$\forall x (x \neq 0) \rightarrow \exists y. xy = 1$$

## Rules of Inference.

An argument in propositional logic is a sequence of propositions all but not the final proposition in the argument are called premises and final proposition is called conclusion.

An Argument is valid if the truth of all its premises implies that the conclusion is true.

The Argument formed with premises

$P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n$  and Conclusion is a

is valid when

$$P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge P_3 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n \rightarrow Q$$

tautology.

is a

A sequence of premises  
is argument

NOTE! The hypotheses are written in a column followed by a horizontal bar followed by a line that begins with the  $\therefore$  (therefore symbol) and ends with conclusion.

$$\begin{array}{c} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \\ \vdots \\ P_n \\ \hline \therefore q \end{array}$$

~~method~~  
Consider the following arguments involving proposition.

- ① If you have a correct password you can log on to the network.  
② You have a correct password.

Therefore.

- ③ You can logon to the network

Sol:- ① & ② and ③ are arguments  
③ is conclusion  
① & ② are premises.

→ Check whether the given argument is valid or not

Above Question.

~~P~~ If

1st Premises is  $P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow P_1$   
2nd premises is  $P \rightarrow P_2 \quad (P_1 \wedge P_2) \rightarrow C$   
3rd conclusion is  $Q \rightarrow C$

$P \quad Q \quad P \rightarrow Q \quad (P \rightarrow Q) \wedge P \quad Q \rightarrow C$

T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	T

# Law of logic:

Rule of Inference

Tautology

Name

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \begin{array}{c} P \\ P \rightarrow q \\ \hline \therefore q \end{array} \quad [(P \wedge (P \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q] \quad \text{Modus ponens}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \begin{array}{c} \neg q \\ P \rightarrow q \\ \hline \therefore \neg P \end{array} \quad [\neg q \wedge (P \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow \neg P \quad \text{Modus Tollens}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \begin{array}{c} P \rightarrow q \\ q \rightarrow r \\ \hline \therefore P \rightarrow r \end{array} \quad [(P \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (P \rightarrow r) \quad \text{Hypothetical Syllogism}$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \begin{array}{c} P \vee q \\ \neg P \\ \hline \therefore q \end{array} \quad [(P \vee q) \wedge \neg P] \rightarrow q \quad \text{Disjunctive Syllogism}$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad \begin{array}{c} P \\ \hline \therefore P \vee q \end{array} \quad P \rightarrow (P \vee q) \quad \text{Addition}$$

$$\textcircled{6} \quad \begin{array}{c} P \wedge q \\ \hline \therefore P \end{array} \quad (P \wedge q) \rightarrow P \quad \text{Simplification}$$

$$\textcircled{7} \quad \begin{array}{c} P \\ q \\ \hline \therefore P \wedge q \end{array} \quad (P) \wedge (q) \rightarrow (P \wedge q) \quad \text{Conjunction}$$

$$10) \quad p \vee q \quad (p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \Rightarrow q \vee r \quad \text{Resolution.}$$

$$\frac{\neg p \vee r}{q \vee r}$$

a) State which rule of inference is basis of the following argument.

It is below freezing now.

it is either below freezing or raining now.

now.

P : It is below freezing now.

q : raining now.

$$\frac{P}{\therefore P \vee q} \quad \text{It is addition rule.}$$

$$P \Rightarrow (P \vee q)$$

This argument uses addition rule

a) State which rule of inference is used in the argument.

If it rains today then we will not have a barbecue today  $P \Rightarrow q$ .

If we do not have a barbecue today then we will have barbecue tomorrow  $\neg q \Rightarrow r$ .

Therefore

If it rains today, then we will have barbecue tomorrow.

Sol:-

$$\begin{array}{c} P \rightarrow q \\ q \rightarrow r \\ \hline \therefore P \rightarrow r \end{array}$$

This follows hypothetical Syllogism.

- Q) Show that the hypothesis - "If it is not sunny this afternoon and it is colder than yesterday, we will go swimming only if it is sunny,"  
"If we do not go swimming then we will take a canoe trip", and if we take a canoe trip, then we will be home by sunset" lead to the conclusion "we will be home by sunset".

Sol:- p: It is sunny this afternoon

q: It is colder than yesterday

r: we will go swimming

s: we will take a canoe trip

t: we will be home by sunset

$$P \wedge q, r \rightarrow P, r \rightarrow s, s \rightarrow t$$

$$\begin{array}{c} P \wedge q \\ r \rightarrow P \\ r \rightarrow s \\ s \rightarrow t \\ \hline \therefore t \end{array}$$

Step	Reason
① $T \wedge Q$	Hypothesis (or rule P)
② $T$	Simplification on ①
③ $r \rightarrow P$	Hypothesis
④ $T Y$	Modus tollen on ② & ③
⑤ $T Y \rightarrow S$	Hypothesis
⑥ $S$	Modus ponen on ④ & ⑤
⑦ $s \rightarrow t$	Hypothesis
⑧ $t$	Modus ponen ⑥ & ⑦

By using given premises the conclusion  $t$  is derived so the given argument is the valid argument.

Q) Show that the hypothesis "If you send me an E-mail message then I will finish writing the program". If you do not send me an E-mail message, then I will go to sleep early" and "If I go to sleep early, then I will wake up feeling refreshed" lead to the conclusion "If do not finish writing the program then I will wake up feeling refreshed".

- Sol:
- p: You send me an E-mail message  
q: I will finish writing the program  
r: I will go to sleep early.  
s: I will wake up feeling refreshed.

$$P \rightarrow q$$

$$\neg p \rightarrow r$$

$$r \rightarrow s$$

$$\therefore \neg q \rightarrow s$$

OR

conditional Proof  
**NOTE :-** If conclusion is in the form of implication then antecedent is taken as premise and prove conclusion.

Step	Reason
① $P \rightarrow q$	Hypothesis.
② $\neg q \rightarrow \neg P$	Contra positive on ①
③ $\neg P \rightarrow r$	Hypothesis.
④ $\neg q \rightarrow r$	Hypothetical syllogism.
⑤ $r \rightarrow s$	Hypothesis.
⑥ $\neg q \rightarrow s$	Hypothetical syllogism

Ans:-

∴

Ques whether the following is a valid argument.

- ① If Sachin hits a century then he gets a free car.  
② Sachin does not get a free car.  
∴ Sachin does not hit a century.

$$\text{sol: } P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow ①$$

$$\frac{\neg P}{\neg Q} \rightarrow ② \quad \text{by Modus Tollens}$$

This arguments are

- ① Show that  $P \rightarrow S$  can be derived from the premises.

$$\neg P \vee Q$$

$$\neg Q \vee R$$

$$R \rightarrow S$$

sol: Step

$$\neg P \vee Q$$

Hypothesis

$$P$$

Hypothesis

$$Q$$

Disjunctive syllogism

$$\neg Q \vee R$$

Hypothesis

$$R$$

Disjunctive syllogism

$$R \rightarrow S$$

Hypothesis

→ conditional Proof method

$$\neg P \vee Q$$

$$\neg Q \vee R$$

$$R \rightarrow S$$

$$P$$

$$S$$

(OR)

Step	Reason
① $P \vee Q$	
② $P \rightarrow Q$	Hypothesis
③ $TQVR$	equivalence formula on ②
④ $Q \rightarrow R$	Hypothesis
⑤ $P \rightarrow R$	equivalence formula on ④
⑥ $R \rightarrow S$	Hypothetical syllogism
⑦ $P \rightarrow S$	Hypothesis on ② & ④
	Hypothetical syllogism

Q) Damantrant R is a valid inference from the premises  $P \rightarrow Q$ ,  $Q \rightarrow R$  and P.

Sol: 
$$\frac{P}{\therefore R}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} P \\ \hline \therefore R. \end{array}$$

Step	Reason
① $P \rightarrow Q$	Hypothesis
② $Q \rightarrow R$	Hypothesis
③ $P \rightarrow R$	Hypothesis syllogism
④ P	Hypothesis
⑤ R	Modus ponens

Q) Show that  $S \vee R$  is derived from  
the premises  $P \vee Q$ ,  $P \rightarrow R$ ,  $Q \rightarrow S$ .

sol:-  
 $P \vee Q$   
 $P \rightarrow R$   
 $Q \rightarrow S$   
 $\therefore S \vee R$ .

Step	Reason
① $P \vee Q$	Hypothesis
② $P \rightarrow R$	Hypothesis
③ $\neg P \vee R$	equivalence on ②
④ ① $\vee R$	① & ③ Resolution
⑤ $Q \rightarrow S$	Hypothesis
⑥ $\neg Q \vee S$	equivalence on ⑤
⑦ $R \vee S$	④ & ⑥ Resolution
⑧ $S \vee S$	commutative law on ⑦.

# Rules of Inference for Quantified Statements

- 1) Universal instantiation / specification
- 2) Universal generalization
- 3) Existential instantiation / specification
- 4) Existential generalization.

## Universal Specification

This rule is used to conclude that  $P(y)$  is true where  $y$  is the a particular member of domain. The given premises  $\forall x P(x)$  the conclusion is  $P(y)$ .

$$\frac{\forall x P(x)}{\therefore P(y)} \Rightarrow \text{universal specification}$$

## Universal Generalization

It is the rule of inference that states  $\forall x P(x)$  is true given the premises that  $P(y)$  is true for all elements  $y$  in the domain.

$$\frac{P(y) \text{ for an arbitrary } y}{\therefore \forall x P(x)} \Rightarrow \text{Universal generalization.}$$

## Existential Specification

It is the rule that allows to conclude that there is an element  $y$  in the domain for which  $P(y)$  is true If  $\exists x P(x)$  is true.

$$\frac{\exists x P(x)}{\therefore P(y) \text{ for some element } y} \rightarrow \text{existential specification}$$

## Existential Generalization

It is the rule of inference that is used to conclude that  $\exists x P(x)$  is true when a particular element  $y$  with  $P(y)$  is true.

$$\frac{P(y) \text{ for some element } y}{\therefore \exists x P(x)} \Rightarrow \text{existential generalization}$$

- Q) Show that the premises "Everyone in this discrete mathematics class has taken a course in Computer Science" and "Marla is a student in this class" imply the conclusion Marla has taken a course in computer science.

Sol:  $D(x) : x \text{ is in DM class.}$

$C(x) : x \text{ is taken a course of computer science.}$

$$\forall x D(x) \rightarrow C(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{and } D(\text{Marka}) \\ &\therefore C(\text{Marka}) \end{aligned}$$

Step	Reason
① $\forall x D(x) \rightarrow C(x)$	Hypothesis
② $D(y) \rightarrow C(y)$	universal specification on step ①.
③ $D(\text{Marka})$	Hypothesis
④ $D(y)$	simplify ③
⑤ $C(y)$	Modus ponens ② & ④
⑥ $C(\text{marka})$	

Sol: D(x) : x is in DM class.

C(x) : x is taken a course of Computer Science.

$$\forall x \ D(x) \rightarrow C(x)$$

$$\text{and } D(\text{Marata})$$

$$\therefore C(\text{Marata})$$

Step	Reason
① $\forall x D(x) \rightarrow C(x)$	Hypothesis
② $D(y) \rightarrow C(y)$	Universal specification on step ①
③ $D(\text{Marata})$	Hypothesis
④ $D(y)$	Simplify ③
⑤ $C(y)$	Modus ponens ② & ④
⑥ $C(\text{marata})$	

Q) Show that the premises "A student in this class has not read the book" and  
"Every one in this class passed the <sup>first</sup> exam"  
imply the conclusion "Some one who passed the first exam has not read the book."

Sol:-

B(x):  $x$  in this class has not read the book.

P(x):  $x$  in this class passed the <sup>first</sup> ~~exam~~.

C(x):  $x$  is in this class

B(x):  $x$  has read the book.

P(x):  $x$  passed the first exam.

$$\exists x (C(x) \wedge B(x))$$

$$\forall x (C(x) \rightarrow P(x))$$

$$\exists x (P(x) \wedge B(x)).$$

Step

Reason

①  $\exists x(C(x) \wedge B(x))$  Hypothesis

②  $C(a) \wedge B(a)$  (P1a) Existential specification

③  $\forall x(C(x) \rightarrow P(x))$  specification on ②

④  $C(a) \rightarrow P(a)$  Hypothesis universal specification ④

⑤  $P(a)$  Modus Ponens on ③ & ④

⑥  $\neg \exists x(P(x) \wedge B(x))$  Hypothesis

⑦  $\neg P(a) \wedge B(a)$  existential

⑧  $\neg B(a)$  Simplification ②

⑨  $P(a) \wedge \neg B(a)$  Conjunction ⑥ & ⑧

⑩  $\exists x P(x) \wedge \neg B(x)$  Existential generalization ⑧

P1a  
a

((P1a. a)  $\wedge$  B)

((P1a  $\wedge$  B)  $\wedge$  C)

((C  $\wedge$  P1a. B)  $\wedge$  C)

## Introduction to Proof

Theorem :- A theorem is a statement which is true.

Proof :- A Proof is a valid argument that establishes the truth of a theorem.

Two Types of proof methods

1. direct proof
2. indirect proof

### Direct method of proof -

A direct proof of  $P \rightarrow q$  is logically valid argument in which we start with the assumption that  $P$  is true and then using  $P$  as well other axioms show directly that  $q$  is true.

Ex:- Give direct proof of the following statement - The product of 2 odd integers is odd.

Sol:-  $x$  and  $y$  be the  $2$  odd integers.

$$x = 2k+1$$

$$y = 2k+3$$

$$xy = (2k+1)(2k+3)$$

$$= 4k^2 + 6k + 2k + 3$$

$$= 4k^2 + 8k + 3$$

$$= 2(2k^2 + 4k) + 3 \quad \text{Let } a = 2k^2 + 4k.$$

$$= 2(a) + 3$$

Hence proved.

∴ The product of 2 odd integers is odd.

a) Show that square of even no.

is a even number. in direct method

Sol:- Let  $x$  be an even number.

$$x = 2n$$

$$\text{S.O.B.S.}$$
$$x^2 = (2n)^2$$

$$= 4n^2$$

$$= 2(2n^2)$$

$$= 2(a)$$

$$a = 2n^2$$

Hence proved.

Square of even no. is a even no

Q) Show that sum of 2 odd no.'s is even.

Sl:- let  $x$  and  $y$  be 2 odd no.'s

$$x = 2n+1$$

$$2n+1 + 2m+1$$

$$y = 2m+1$$

$$2(n+m)+2$$

P:  $x, y$  are odd no's

$$= 2(n+m+1)$$

q:  $x+y$  is even.

$$x+y = 2m+2n+2$$

$$= 2(m+n+1)$$

$$= 2(a)$$

$$a > m+n+1$$

Hence proved.

∴ sum of 2 odd no.'s is even.

Indirect method of Proof

Proof by contrapositive

It says that  $p \rightarrow q$  is logically equivalent to ~~the~~ contrapositive

$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ : Now to prove  $p \rightarrow q$  assume that  $q$  is false and show the  $p$  is false

Q) Prove that if  $n^2$  is odd then  $n$  is odd.

Sol: Let  $P: n^2$  is odd  
 $q: n$  is odd

The contrapositive of  $P \rightarrow q$  is  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg P$

$\neg q: n$  is even

$\neg P: n^2$  is even

$$n = 2x$$

$$n^2 = 4x^2$$

$$n^2 = 2(2x^2)$$

$$= 2a \geq \text{even}$$

$\neg q \rightarrow \neg P$  is true so  $P \rightarrow q$  is true

Hence proved.

Q) P-T If  $x, y$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $xy$  is odd then both  $x, y$  are odd.

Sol:  
 $P: xy$  is odd  
 $q: x, y$  are odd

$\neg P: x, y$  are even

$\neg q: xy$  is even

$$\det \begin{matrix} x = 2n \\ y = 2m \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} xy &= 2n \times 2m \\ &= 4nm \\ &= 2(2nm) \quad [a = 2nm] \\ &= 2(a) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore Tq \rightarrow Tp$  is true so  $p \Rightarrow q$  is true

Proof by Contradiction.

If  $p \Rightarrow q$  we assume that  $q$  is false then by logically argument we arrive at a situation where  $Tq \otimes$  is a contradiction. When  $Tq$  is false which implies that  $q$  is true.

(Q) Show that  $\sqrt{2}$  is an irrational no.

Sol:- Let us assume  $\sqrt{2}$  is a rational no

The rational no. is expressed as

$\frac{P}{Q}$  form.

$$\therefore \sqrt{2} = \frac{P}{Q}$$

$$2 = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$$

$$p^2 = 2q^2 \rightarrow ①$$

$\therefore p^2$  is a even no.

Hence  $p$  is also a even.

$p = 2k$  [To prove  $q^2$  is even].

$$p^2 = 4k^2 \rightarrow ②$$

$$4k^2 = 2q^2 \Rightarrow [from \ ① \ \& \ ②] : \\ q^2 = 2k^2.$$

$\therefore q^2$  is also a even no.

both  $p$  and  $q$  have common factor,

∴ Hence it is rational no.

Q.P.T the following stmt  $2n+2$  is odd  
then  $n$  is odd using contrapositive-

a) S.T the  $x$  is belongs to  $R$ . If  
 $x^3 + 4x = 0$  then  $x=0$  using contradiction.

(Q) Ans:-  $\forall$  ( $\det 2n+2$  is a even)

$$2n+2 = 2x \quad |X$$

Let p:  $2n+2$  is odd

q:  $n$  is odd.

The contrapositive  $p \rightarrow q$  is  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ .

$\neg q$ :  $n$  is even.

$\neg p$ :  $2n+2$  is even.

$$n = 2x$$

Multiply with 2 on both sides.

$$2n = 4x$$

Add two on both sides.

$$2n+2 = 4x+2$$

$$= 2(2x+1)$$

$\therefore 2n+2$  is a even no.

Then  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$  is true then  $p \rightarrow q$  is also true.

(Q) Ans :-

## Proof methods & Strategies

Proofs are encompased by the names for the approach one takes for several proof types.

i) Exhaustive proofs :- Some theorems can be proved by examining a relatively small no. of exhaustive examples such proofs are called exhaustive proofs.

Q) Prove that  $(n+1)^2 \geq 3^n$  if  $n$  is a positive integer with  $n \leq 2$ .

Sol:-  $n = \{0, 1, 2\}$

i)  $n=0$

$$(0+1)^2 \geq 3^0$$

$$(1)^2 \geq 1$$
 True

ii)  $n=1$

$$(1+1)^2 \geq 3^1$$

$$4 \geq 3$$
 True

ii)  $n=2$

$$(2+1)^2 \geq 3^2$$

$$9 \geq 9.$$

True

b) Prove that only consecutive positive integers not exceeding 100 that are perfect powers are 8 and 9.

sd - 2d 'n' integer

$$n^3 \Rightarrow 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49, 64, 81, \cancel{100}$$

$$n^4 \Rightarrow 1, 16, 81,$$

$$n^5 = 1, 32$$

$$n^6 \Rightarrow 1, 64$$

$$n^7 \Rightarrow 1$$

There are no powers of positive integers higher than the 6<sup>th</sup> power not exceeding 100 other than one. Looking the list of powers not exceeding 100. Only  $2^3$  is 8 and  $2^4$  16 are consecutive numbers.

∴ 8 and 9 are two consecutive no.'s are perfect powers not exceeding 100.

Proof by cases

A proof of cases must cover all the possible cases that arises in a theorem. We should check each possible case is covered. ~~so~~

Q)

Proof that if  $n$  is an integer then  $n^2 \geq n$ .

Sol:- If  $n=0$  then  $n^2 \geq n \rightarrow$  true

If  $n < 0$  (i.e.  $n$  is -ve integers) then  $n^2 \geq n \rightarrow$  true  
 $(-n)^2 \geq n$

If  $n > 0$  (i.e.  $n$  is +ve integers) then  $(n)^2 \geq n \rightarrow$  true

Hence  $n^2 \geq n$  is true in 3 possible cases.

(e) use a proof by cases s.t  $|xy| = |x||y|$   
where  $x$  &  $y$  are real no.'s.

sol:  $|xy|$  is true if  
i)  $x$  +ve  $y$  +ve

ii)  $x$  +ve  $y$  -ve

iii)  $x$  -ve  $y$  +ve

iv)  $x$  -ve  $y$  -ve.

i)  $x$  any  $y$  are true.

then

$$|xy| = |x||y|$$

$$xy = xy$$

ii)  $x$  is +ve and  $y$  is -ve then

$$|x-y| = |x| |-y|$$

$$|-xy| = xy$$

$$xy = xy$$

iii)  $x$  is -ve and  $y$  is +ve.

$$|-xy| = (-x)(+y)$$

$$|-xy| = xy$$

$$xy = xy$$

iv)  $x$  is -ve and  $y$  is -ve.

$$|-xy| = (-x)(-y)$$

$$|xy| = xy$$

$$xy = xy$$

Existence proof: an existence prove involves  
simply showing that a particular quantity  
exist.

Ex 1) Prove that there exist a prime number  $p$   
such that  $p+2$  and  $p+6$  are prime numbers.

Sol:- Let  $p$  is a prime number (i.e 5).

$$p=5 \text{ then } 5+2=7 \\ 5+6=11$$

$\therefore 5, 7, 11$  are prime numbers hence proved.

Q) Prove that there is a odd integer that  
can be written as sum of 2 squares.

Sol:- Let ~~a~~  $a$  and  $b$  be two odd integers

$$a=2, b=3$$

$$2^2 + 3^2 = 4 + 9 = 13$$

Uniqueness Proof: To prove a statement of this type we need show that an element with this property exist & that no other element has this property.

(Q) Show that If  $a$  and  $b$  real no.'s and  $a \neq 0$ , then there is a unique real no.  $r$  such that  $ar+b=0$ .

$$\text{Sol: } ar+b=0$$

Let  $s$  be a real no.

$$as+bs=0$$

$$ar+b=as+b$$

$$ar=as$$

$$r=s$$

- If  $r \neq s$  then  $as+bs \neq 0$ .