



Math for the people, by the people.

## Proof of Baroni's theorem

|                  |                       |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Canonical name   | ProofOfBaronisTheorem |
| Date of creation | 2013-03-22 13:32:33   |
| Last modified on | 2013-03-22 13:32:33   |
| Owner            | mathwizard (128)      |
| Last modified by | mathwizard (128)      |
| Numerical id     | 5                     |
| Author           | mathwizard (128)      |
| Entry type       | Proof                 |
| Classification   | msc 40A05             |

Let  $m = \inf A'$  and  $M = \sup A'$  . If  $m = M$  we are done since the sequence is convergent and  $A'$  is the degenerate interval composed of the point  $l \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  , where  $l = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ .

Now , assume that  $m < M$  . For every  $\lambda \in (m, M)$  , we will construct inductively two subsequences  $x_{k_n}$  and  $x_{l_n}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{k_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{l_n} = \lambda$  and  $x_{k_n} < \lambda < x_{l_n}$

From the definition of  $M$  there is an  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that :

$$\lambda < x_{N_1} < M$$

Consider the set of all such values  $N_1$  . It is bounded from below (because it consists only of natural numbers and has at least one element) and thus it has a smallest element . Let  $n_1$  be the smallest such element and from its definition we have  $x_{n_1-1} \leq \lambda < x_{n_1}$  . So , choose  $k_1 = n_1 - 1$  ,  $l_1 = n_1$  . Now, there is an  $N_2 > k_1$  such that :

$$\lambda < x_{N_2} < M$$

Consider the set of all such values  $N_2$  . It is bounded from below and it has a smallest element  $n_2$  . Choose  $k_2 = n_2 - 1$  and  $l_2 = n_2$  . Now , proceed by induction to construct the sequences  $k_n$  and  $l_n$  in the same fashion . Since  $l_n - k_n = 1$  we have :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{k_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{l_n}$$

and thus they are both equal to  $\lambda$ .