



Math for the people, by the people.

indirect proof of identity theorem of power series

Canonical name	IndirectProofOfIdentityTheoremOfPowerSeries
Date of creation	2013-03-22 16:47:48
Last modified on	2013-03-22 16:47:48
Owner	pahio (2872)
Last modified by	pahio (2872)
Numerical id	9
Author	pahio (2872)
Entry type	Proof
Classification	msc 40A30
Classification	msc 30B10

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(z - z_0)^n \quad (1)$$

is valid in the set of points z presumed in the <http://planetmath.org/IdentityTheoremOfPowerSeries> to be proved.

Antithesis: There are integers n such that $a_n \neq b_n$; let ν (≥ 0) be least of them.

We can choose from the point set an infinite sequence z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots which converges to z_0 with $z_n \neq z_0$ for every n . Let z in the equation (1) belong to $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots\}$ and let's divide both of (1) by $(z - z_0)^\nu$ which is distinct from zero; we then have

$$\underbrace{a_\nu + a_{\nu+1}(z - z_0) + a_{\nu+2}(z - z_0)^2 + \dots}_{f(z)} = \underbrace{b_\nu + b_{\nu+1}(z - z_0) + b_{\nu+2}(z - z_0)^2 + \dots}_{g(z)} \quad (2)$$

Let here z to tend z_0 along the points z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots , i.e. we take the limits $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(z_n)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(z_n)$. Because the sum of power series is always a continuous function, we see that in (2),

$$\text{left side} \longrightarrow f(z_0) = a_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \text{right side} \longrightarrow g(z_0) = b_\nu$$

But all the time, the left and of (2) are equal, and thus also the limits. So we must have $a_\nu = b_\nu$, contrary to the antithesis. We conclude that the antithesis is wrong. This settles the proof.

Note. I learned this proof from my venerable teacher, the number-theorist Kustaa Inkeri (1908–1997).