Master's Thesis

Compositional multi-objective parameter tuning

submitted by

Oleksandr Husak

born 16.04.1994 in Ukraine

Technische Universität Dresden

Fakultät Informatik Institut für Software- und Multimediatechnik Lehrstuhl Softwaretechnologie





Supervisor: Dr.-Ing. Birgit Demuth

Professor: Prof. Dr. rer. nat. habil. Uwe Aßmann

Submitted January 8, 2020

Contents

1	Introduction. Black-box Optimization					
	1.1 Motivation	1				
	1.2 Objectives	2				
	1.3 Overview	3				
2	Foundation	5				
	2.1 Parameter tuning	5				
	2.2 Multi-objective optimization	5				
	2.3 Surrogate optimization	5				
	2.4 Use cases	5				
3	Compositional architecture					
	3.1 Interfaces and Contracts	7				
	3.2 Reusable software	7				
4	Implementation	9				
	4.1 Portfolio with hypothesis	9				
	4.2 Validate hypothesis and solve them	9				
	4.3 Compute best next point (range of points)	9				
	4.3.1 Metrics	9				
5	Evaluation. Experimental Results	11				
	5.1 Test suite: ZDT	11				
	5.2 Test suite: DTLZ	11				
	5.3 Test suite: WFG	11				
	5.4 Problem Suite: CEC 2009	12				
	5.5 Physical. Real world problem	12				
	5.5.1 Materials Selection in Mechanical Design	12				
	5.5.2 Test generation	12				
	5.5.3 Gold or oil search. Geodesy	12				
	5.5.4 Space crafts	12				
6	Related work					
7	Conclusion	15				
Δ	Appendix	i				
	A.1 Additional Information					
	A 2. More Important Information	;				

1 Introduction. Black-box Optimization

[DLR, Optimisation-based multi-objective design and assessment] Multi-objective optimisation is a proven, well-known parameter tuning technique in engineering design. It is especially suited to solve complex, multidisciplinary design problems with emphasis on control system design. MOPS is currently applied to various design and evaluation problems at DLR and in industry. The main fields of application are industrial robotics, flight control, power-optimised aircraft systems, and vehicle dynamics. Development and maturation of MOPS is an ongoing process. In MOPS a multi-objective/multi-model/multi-case design problem is usually mapped to a weighted min-max optimisation problem, which is then solved by using one of several available powerful optimisers, implementing local and global search strategies. Besides very efficient gradient-based solvers (well-suited primarily for smooth problems, especially identification problems), more robust gradient-free direct-search based solvers are available to address problems with non-smooth or noisy criteria. To overcome the problem of local minima to some extent, global solvers based on stochastic, evolutionary or branching strategies can be alternatively used.

The weighted-sum function approach is a method used to simplify a multiobjective problem, lumping the objectives into one function by using weighted sum factors, as shown in Eq. [14.3]. The combined function f is used to evaluate and define the optimal solution.

Real engineering design problems are generally characterized by the presence of many often conflicting and incommensurable objectives. This raises the issue about how different objectives should be combined to yield a final solution. There is also the question on how to search for an optimal solution to the design problem.

When we talk about several objectives, the notion of optimum changes because in multiobjective problems, the aim is to find good compromises rather than a single solution as in global optimization.

[Johan Andersson, A Survey of Multiobjective Optimization in Engineering Design] Optimization methods could be divided into derivative and non-derivative methods, Figure 2. This survey focuses on non-derivative methods, as they are more suitable for general engineering design problems. One reason is that non-derivative methods do not require any derivatives of the objective function in order to calculate the optimum. Therefore, they are also known as black box methods. Another advantages of these methods are that they are more likely to find a global optima, and not be stuck on local optima as gradient methods might do

1.1 Motivation

Why is the Weighting Method Ineffective? [Hirotaka Nakayama] Namely, it can not provide a solution among sunken parts of Pareto surface due to "duality gap" for nonconvex cases. Even for convex cases, for example, in linear cases, even if we want to get a point in the middle of line segment between two vertices, we merely get a vertex of Pareto surface, as long as the well known simplex method is used. This implies that depending on the structure of problem, the linearly weighted sum can not necessarily provide a solution as DM desires.

1.2 Objectives

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetuer.

Suspendisse vel felis. Ut lorem lorem, interdum eu, tincidunt sit amet, laoreet vitae, arcu. Aenean faucibus pede eu ante. Praesent enim elit, rutrum at, molestie non, nonummy vel, nisl. Ut lectus eros, malesuada sit amet, fermentum eu, sodales cursus, magna. Donec eu purus. Quisque vehicula, urna sed ultricies auctor, pede lorem egestas dui, et convallis elit erat sed nulla. Donec luctus. Curabitur et nunc. Aliquam dolor odio, commodo pretium, ultricies non, pharetra in, velit. Integer arcu est, nonummy in, fermentum faucibus, egestas vel, odio.

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula

hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue purus metus ultricies tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.

1.3 Overview

- 1. RQ: How reduce experiments count and reach near-optimal multi objective solution?
- 2. RQ: Reusable compositional system for optimization. Define steps in workflow. How extend models to new use case and not to rewrite everything from scratch.
- 3. RQ: No free lunch theorem: hypothesis portfolio. Select from a plethora of models that can be suitable for fitted data set. Usefully for single and multi objective in parameter tuning.
- 4. RQ: Solve hypothesis and range solution

Since multi-objective optimization problems give rise to a set of Pareto-optimal solutions, evolutionary optimization algorithms are ideal for handling multi-objective optimization problems [1].

 $1\ Introduction.\ Black-box\ Optimization$

2 Foundation

- 2.1 Parameter tuning
- 2.2 Multi-objective optimization
- 2.3 Surrogate optimization

Surrogate used to expedite search for global optimum. Global accuracy of surrogate not a priority

2.4 Use cases

2 Foundation

3 Compositional architecture

- 3.1 Interfaces and Contracts
- 3.2 Reusable software

3 Compositional architecture

4 Implementation

Managing Complex Execution Strategies

4.1 Portfolio with hypothesis

4.2 Validate hypothesis and solve them

4.3 Compute best next point (range of points)

4.3.1 Metrics

Keep making algorithmic progress toward the Pareto front in the objective function space;

Overview

How evaluated a Pareto front? - Hypervolume - Hyper-area Ratio (HR) The Hyper-area Ratio (HR) [24] employs the hypervolume of a solution set A divided by the hypervolume value of a Reference Front B. Higher values are preferred to lower ones.

- Pareto Dominance Indicator (ER) [11] considers the solutions intersection between two given sets A and B, which can be provided by different algorithms or used to compare a solution set S with a Pareto Front P.
 - Crowding Distance
 - Solution from best hypothesis
 - Bagging solution
 - Voting solution

4 Implementation

5 Evaluation. Experimental Results

Will be used two types of problems: Synthetic and Real physical

Idea: Generate problem from data set and try to optimize it with parameter tunning from the beginning. Need models with accuracy 96 and multiply objectives.

5.1 Test suite: ZDT

This widespread test suite was conceived for two-objective problems and takes its name from its authors Zitzler, Deb and Thiele. Ref["Comparison of multiobjective evolutionary algorithms: Empirical results.", 2000]

5.2 Test suite: DTLZ

This widespread test suite was conceived for multiobjective problems with scalable fitness dimensions and takes its name from its authors Deb, Thiele, Laumanns and Zitzler. Ref["Scalable Test Problems for Evolutionary Multiobjective Optimization", 2005]

5.3 Test suite: WFG

This test suite was conceived to exceed the functionalities of previously implemented test suites. In particular, non-separable problems, deceptive problems, truly degenerative problems and mixed shape Pareto front problems are thoroughly covered, as well as scalable problems in both the number of objectives and variables. Also, problems with dependencies between position and distance related parameters are covered.

- 1. A few unimodal test problems should be present in the test suite. Various Pareto optimal geometries and bias conditions should define these problems, in order to test how the convergence velocity is influenced by these aspects.
- 2. The following three Pareto optimal geometries should be present in the test suite: degenerate Pareto optimal fronts, disconnected Pareto optimal fronts and disconnected Pareto optimal sets.
- 3. Many problems should be multimodal, and a few deceptive problems should also be covered.
- 4. The majority of test problems should be non-separable.
- 5. Both non-separable and multimodal problems should also be addressed.

Ref "A Review of Multi-Objective Test Problems and a Scalable Test Problem Toolkit", 2006

5.4 Problem Suite: CEC 2009

Competition on "Performance Assessment of Constrained / Bound Constrained Multi-Objective Optimization Algorithms". All problems are continuous, multi objective problems.

5.5 Physical. Real world problem

Computational models describing the behavior of complex physical systems are often used in the engineering design field to identify better or optimal solutions with respect to previously defined performance criteria. Multi-objective optimization problems arise and the set of optimal compromise solutions (Pareto front) has to be identified by an effective and complete search procedure in order to let the decision maker, the designer, to carry out the best choice.

5.5.1 Materials Selection in Mechanical Design

- 5.5.2 Test generation
- 5.5.3 Gold or oil search. Geodesy

5.5.4 Space crafts

Problem 1: obtain a set of geometric design parameters to get minimum heat pipe mass and the maximum thermal conductance. Thus, a set of geometric design parameters lead to minimum pressure total cost and maximum pressure vessel volume. The alternative solutions are very difficult to be adopted to practical engineering decision directly. Ref[Multi-Objective Optimization Problems in Engineering Design Using Genetic Algorithm Case Solution]

6 Related work

6 Related work

7 Conclusion

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

A Appendix

A.1 Additional Information

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

A.2 More Important Information

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Confirmation

I confirm that I independently prepared the thesis and that I used only the references and auxiliary means indicated in the thesis.

Dresden, January 8, 2020