validproof validproof

Valid Proof

Bring trust into your projects

Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC

Audit

Security Assessment

December 2021

For

Disclaimer

Valid Proof reports are not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. These reports are not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team. Valid Proof do not cover testing or auditing the integration with external contract or services (such as Unicrypt, Uniswap, PancakeSwap etc’...)

Valid Proof Audits do not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technology proprietors.Valid Proof Audits should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. These reports in no way provide investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort.

Valid Proof Reports represent an extensive auditing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology. Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk.Valid Proof’s position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. Valid Proof in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.

Version	Date	Description
1.0	December 2021	• Layout project • Automated- /Manual-Security •Testing • Summary

Network

Binance Smart Chain (BEP20)

Website

https://ninjafloki.io

Telegram

https://t.me/ninjaflokitoken

Twitter

https://twitter.com/ninjaflokitoken

Instagram

https://instagram.com/ninjaflokitoken

GitHub

https://github.com/ninjaflokitoken

Reddit

https://www.reddit.com/user/ninjafloki_token

Medium

https://medium.com/@ninjafloki_token

Description

..... is a platform that integrates NFT gaming and decentralized yield farming applications.
..... ecosystem comprises NFT digital collectibles summoning Metaverse based on Binance Smart Chain (BSC). The game is committed to providing exciting and profitable NFT treats for ..... players. Players here can summon their unique characters for PVP battles, Boss missions, develop into different elements, level up, and ultimately earn profit in the process of the game.

Project Engagement

During the 16th of December 2021, NinjaFloki Team engaged Volid Proof to audit smart contracts that they created. The engagement was technical in nature and focused on identifying security flaws in the design and implementation of the contracts. They provided Volid Proof with access to their code repository and whitepaper.

Logo

Contract Link

v1.0

Contract: https://bscscan.com/address/0xF1968d4113e87e88CF50E6f0e1820dcbd29C4A90

Vulnerability & Risk Level

Risk represents the probability that a certain source-threat will exploit vulnerability, and the impact of that event on the organization or system. Risk Level is computed based on CVSS version 3.0.

Level	Value	Vulnerability	Risk (Required Action)
Critical	9 - 10	A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios, or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.	Immediate action to reduce risk level.
High	7 – 8.9	A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.	Implementation of corrective actions as soon aspossible.
Medium	4 – 6.9	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.	Implementation of corrective actions in a certain period.
Low	2 – 3.9	A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.	Implementation of certain corrective actions or accepting the risk.
Information	0 – 1.9	A vulnerability that have informational character but is not effecting any of the code.	An observation that does not determine a level of risk

Auditing Strategy and Techniques Applied

Throughout the review process, care was taken to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices. To do so, reviewed line-by-line by our team of expert pentesters and smart contract developers, documenting any issues as there were discovered.

Methodology

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:
1. Code review that includes the following:
i) Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Valid Proof to make sure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
ii) Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-by- line in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
iii) Comparison to specification, which is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Valid Proof describe.

2. Testing and automated analysis that includes the following:
i) Test coverage analysis, which is the process of determining whether the test cases are actually covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
ii) Symbolic execution, which is analysing a program to determine what inputs causes each part of a program to execute.

3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarify, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.

4. Specific, itemized, actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts.

Used Code from other Frameworks/Smart Contracts (direct imports)

Imported packages:

- IBEP20
- IBEP20Metadata
- Context
- Ownable
- SafeMath
- SafeMathInt
- SafeMathUint

Tested Contract Files

All files and codes of the contract, whose address is below, have been tested.

v1.0

File Name	Address
contracts/ninjafloki.sol	0xF1968d4113e87e88CF50E6f0e1820dcbd29C4A90

Metrics

Source Lines

v1.0



Risk Level

v1.0



Capabilities

Version	Solidity Versions observed	Experim ental Features	Can Receive Funds	Uses Assembl y	Has Destroya ble Contract s
1.0	^0.6.12			**** (0 asm blocks)	

Version	Transf ers ETH	Low- Level Calls	Delega teCall	Uses Hash Functi ons	ECRec over	New/ Create/ Create 2
1.0	yes					

Scope of Work

The above token Team provided us with the files that needs to be tested (Github, Bscscan, Etherscan, files, etc.). The scope of the audit is the main contract (usual the same name as team appended with .sol).

We will verify the following claims:
1. Correct implementation of Token standard
2. Deployer cannot mint any new tokens
3. Deployer cannot burn or lock user funds
4. Deployer cannot pause the contract
5. Overall checkup (Smart Contract Security)

Verify Claims

Correct implementation of Token standard

Tested	Verified
✓	✓

Function	Description	Exist	Tested	Verified
TotalSupply	provides information about the total token supply	✓	✓	✓
BalanceOf	provides account balance of the owner's account	✓	✓	✓
Transfer	executes transfers of a specified number of tokens to a specified address	✓	✓	✓
TransferFrom	executes transfers of a specified number of tokens from a specified address	✓	✓	✓
Approve	allow a spender to withdraw a set number of tokens from a specified account	✓	✓	✓
Allowance	returns a set number of tokens from a spender to the owner	✓	✓	✓

Write functions of contract

1. approve
2. checkForCoolDown
3. claim
4. decreaseAllowance
5. enableCooldown
6. excludeFromDividends
7. includeInWhiteList
8. increaseAllowance
9. processDividendTracker
10. renounceOwnership
11. setAutomatedMarketMakerPair
12. setBUSDRewardsFee
13. setExcludedFromWhale
14. setLiquiditFee
15. setMarketingFee
16. setMarketingWallet
17. setMaxWalletLimit
18. setSellCooldownperiod
19. setSellLimitRate
20. transfer
21. transferFrom
22. transferOwnership
23. updateClaimWait
24. updateDividendTracker
25. updateGasForProcessing
26. updateUniswapV2Router

Deployer cannot mint any new tokens

Name	Exist	Tested	Verified	File
Deployer cannot mint	✓	✓	✓	Main
Comment	Line: -			

Max / Total Supply: 100.000.000.000

Deployer cannot burn or lock user funds

Name	Exist	Tested	Verified
Deployer cannot mint	✅	✅	✅
Deployer cannot burn	✅	✅	✅

Comments:
v1.0
• Deployer cannot lock users.

Deployer cannot pause the contract

Name	Exist	Tested	Verified
Deployer cannot pause	✓	✓	✓

Overall checkup (Smart Contract Security)

Tested	Verified
✓	✓

Legend

Attribute	Symbol
Verfified / Checked	✓
Partly Verified	⚑
Unverified / Not checked	✗
Not available	–

Source Units in Scope

v1.0

Legend

Attribute	Description
Lines	total lines of the source unit
nLines	normalized lines of the source unit (e.g. normalizes functions spanning multiple lines)
nSLOC	normalized source lines of code (only source-code lines; no comments, no blank lines)
Comment Lines	lines containing single or block comments
Complexity Score	a custom complexity score derived from code statements that are known to introduce code complexity (branches, loops, calls, external interfaces, ...)

Audit Results

AUDIT PASSED

Security Issues

Critical Severity Issues

No critical severity issues found.

High Severity Issues

No medium severity issues found.

Medium Severity Issues

No medium severity issues found.

Low Severity Issues

No low severity issues found.

Audit Comments

17. December 2021:

- It is not a contract that will cause problems for the user. This contract, which protects users and consists of certain limits, is reliable in our opinion.

SWC Attacks

ID	Title	Relationships	Type
SW C-13 6	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	CWE-767: Access to Critical Private Variable via Public Method	PASSED
SW C-13 5	Code With No Effects	CWE-1164: Irrelevant Code	PASSED
SW C-13 4	Message call with hardcoded gas amount	CWE-655: Improper Initialization	PASSED
SW C-13 3	Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments	CWE-294: Authentication Bypass by Capture-replay	PASSED
SW C-13 2	Unexpected Ether balance	CWE-667: Improper Locking	PASSED
SW C-13 1	Presence of unused variables	CWE-1164: Irrelevant Code	PASSED
SW C-13 0	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U+202E)	CWE-451: User Interface (UI) Misrepresentation of Critical Information	PASSED
SW C-12 9	Typographical Error	CWE-480: Use of Incorrect Operator	PASSED
SW C-12 8	DoS With Block Gas Limit	CWE-400: Uncontrolled Resource Consumption	PASSED

SW C-12 7	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	CWE-695: Use of Low-Level Functionality	PASSED
SW C-12 5	Incorrect Inheritance Order	CWE-696: Incorrect Behavior Order	PASSED
SW C-12 4	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	CWE-123: Write-what-where Condition	PASSED
SW C-12 3	Requirement Violation	CWE-573: Improper Following of Specification by Caller	PASSED
SW C-12 2	Lack of Proper Signature Verification	CWE-345: Insufficient Verification of Data Authenticity	PASSED
SW C-12 1	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	CWE-347: Improper Verification of Cryptographic Signature	PASSED
SW C-12 0	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	CWE-330: Use of Insufficiently Random Values	PASSED
SW C-11 9	Shadowing State Variables	CWE-710: Improper Adherence to Coding Standards	PASSED
SW C-11 8	Incorrect Constructor Name	CWE-665: Improper Initialization	PASSED
SW C-11 7	Signature Malleability	CWE-347: Improper Verification of Cryptographic Signature	PASSED

SW C-11 6	Timestamp Dependence	CWE-829: Inclusion of Functionality from Untrusted Control Sphere	PASSED
SW C-11 5	Authorization through tx.origin	CWE-477: Use of Obsolete Function	PASSED
SW C-11 4	Transaction Order Dependence	CWE-362: Concurrent Execution using Shared Resource with Improper Synchronization ('Race Condition')	PASSED
SW C-11 3	DoS with Failed Call	CWE-703: Improper Check or Handling of Exceptional Conditions	PASSED
SW C-11 2	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	CWE-829: Inclusion of Functionality from Untrusted Control Sphere	PASSED
SW C-111	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	CWE-670: Always-Incorrect Control Flow Implementation	PASSED
SW C-11 0	Assert Violation	CWE-824: Access of Uninitialized Pointer	PASSED
SW C-10 9	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	CWE-710: Improper Adherence to Coding Standards	PASSED
SW C-10 8	State Variable Default Visibility	CWE-841: Improper Enforcement of Behavioral Workflow	PASSED
SW C-10 7	Reentrancy	CWE-284: Improper Access Control	PASSED

SW C-10 5	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	CWE-284: Improper Access Control	PASSED
SW C-10 4	Unchecked Call Return Value	CWE-252: Unchecked Return Value	PASSED
SW C-10 3	Floating Pragma	CWE-664: Improper Control of a Resource Through its Lifetime	PASSED
SW C-10 2	Outdated Compiler Version	CWE-937: Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities	PASSED
SW C-10 1	Integer Overflow and Underflow	CWE-682: Incorrect Calculation	PASSED
SW C-10 0	Function Default Visibility	CWE-710: Improper Adherence to Coding Standards	PASSED

Valid Proof

Bring trust into your projects

Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC