Sentiment Analysis on Trip Advisor Hotel Review

Walid El Kassem

Introduction: Customer's satisfaction is very important for the service industry. For this reason, it is necessary to determine the emotional state of the customer's thoughts. In this work I will create several machine learning models trained on trip advisor hotel reviews that will predict the sentiment of the customer based on the review

1 Collecting Data

The dataset was collected from <u>Kaggle</u>. It consists of 20491 reviews that are labeled on a scale from 1 to 5

| | Review | Rating |
|-------|--|--------|
| 0 | nice hotel expensive parking got good deal stay hotel anniversary, arrived late evening took adv | 4 |
| 1 | ok nothing special charge diamond member hilton decided chain shot 20th anniversary seattle, sta | 2 |
| 2 | nice rooms not 4* experience hotel monaco seattle good hotel n't 4* level positives large bathro | 3 |
| 3 | $unique, great \ stay, wonderful\ time\ hotel\ monaco,\ location\ excellent\ short\ stroll\ main\ downtown\ s$ | 5 |
| 4 | $\ \ \text{great stay great stay, went seahawk game awe some, downfall view building did n't complain, room \dots}$ | 5 |
| | The state of the s | |
| 20486 | best kept secret 3rd time staying charm, not 5-star ca n't beat, time stayed increased esteem, b | 5 |
| 20487 | great location price view hotel great quick place sights.directly street space needle downtown t | 4 |
| 20488 | ok just looks nice modern outside, desk staff n't particularly friendly, corridors dark smelt st | 2 |
| 20489 | hotel theft ruined vacation hotel opened sept 17 2007 guests week, happy stumble scouting hotels | 1 |
| | people talking, ca n't believe excellent ratings hotel, just n't, yes patricia extremely helpful | 2 |

Figure 1: Screenshot of the Dataframe

2 Data Analysis & Creating new labels

After analysis the data, we figured out that the data doesn't contain any missing entries and has no duplicates. We assigned a new labels for the reviews: positive, negative & neutral such that the positive reviews are those with rating > 3 and the neutral are those with rating equal 3 and the negative are those with rating <3. The new labels made the dataset unbalanced

| Rating | Counts |
|----------|--------|
| positive | 15093 |
| negative | 3214 |
| neutral | 2184 |

Table 1: The frequency of the created labels

That forced us to cut off 11879 postive reviews to balance the data in order to avoid overfitting the model.

| Rating | Counts |
|----------|--------|
| positive | 3214 |
| negative | 3214 |
| neutral | 2184 |

Table 2: The Frequency of the created labels after balancing the dataset

3 Cleaning the data

Using the NLTK, RE (regular expression) & Spacy libraries we cleaned the data by doing these 10 Steps:

- 1. lower case
- 2. remove html and urls
- 3. remove emojis
- 4. remove non-ascii charachters
- 5. remove emails
- 6. remove punctuation
- 7. remove stopwords
- 8. remove numbers
- 9. Lemmatizing
- 10. stemming

4 Train & test the models

First we split the data into train (80%) and test (20%) sets. Then we created the word embeddings of the Reviews using the TF-IDF, Word2vec from Spacy & and also the universal-sentence-encoder-Transformer from the Tensorflow. For the TF-IDF approach we created seven Models provided by scikit-learn [GaussianNB, DecisionTreeClassifier, RandomForestClassifier, SVC, LogisticRegression, KNeighborsClassifier & BernoulliNB] and trained them on the TF-IDF word embeddings. We used the cross validation technique to get accurate results. The results are shown in the Table 3.

| Model | Accuracy |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| Guassian Naive Bayes | 60.2699 % |
| Decision Tree Classifier | 55.0441 % |

| Random Forest Classifier | 68.9358 % |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Support Vector Classifier | 74.7858 % |
| KNeighbors Classifier | 57.4539 % |
| Logistic Regression | 74.7568 % |
| Bernoulli Naive Bayes | 67.7748 % |
| | |

Table 3: Training Results of Scikit-Learn Models

After that we tried to optimize the KNeighborsClassifier by tuning the parameters. The accuracy of the Classifier jumped from 59% to 68%.

| N_neighbors | Weights | Accuracy |
|-------------|----------|-----------|
| 5 | distance | 59.1991 % |
| 8 | distance | 61.3468 % |
| 12 | uniform | 64.3068 % |
| 12 | distance | 64.0163 % |
| 16 | uniform | 66.1637 % |
| 16 | distance | 65.8735 % |
| 20 | distance | 65.8154 % |
| 24 | distance | 66.1056 % |
| 30 | uniform | 66.8601 % |
| 34 | uniform | 67.6146 % |

Table:4 K-NeighborsClassifier with different parameters

Then we tried another approach by creating new word-embeddings using Word2vec from Spacy and retrained the 7 models. However, the accuracy of our Models decreased. The results are prese Table 5.

| Model | Accuracy |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Guassian Naive Bayes | 60.1006 % |
| Decision Tree Classifier | 49.6130 % |
| Random Forest Classifier | 64.8607 % |
| Support Vector Classifier | 70.4721 % |
| Logistic Regression | 72.1749 % |
| KNeighbors Classifier | 57.8560 % |
| Bernoulli Naive Bayes | 59.4814 % |

Table 5: Results of the Models using Word2vec from spacy

After that we created a deep learning model using Keras (Sequential Model). First, we encoded our train and test texts using Universal-sentence-encoder-multilingual-large which is a Transformer that is designed by GOOGLE. After that we created 3 layers for the model where the first layer has 256 neurons and the second layer has 128 and the third layer (output layer) has exactly 3 neurons. The activation function is softmax. At the end, we trained the model and tested it. The result is shown in the following Table.

| Model | Accuracy |
|-------|----------|
| Keras | 66.80 % |

Table 6: Accuracy of Keras Model

5 Trainig without cleaning the data:

Out of curiosity, we trained the same 7 Models from scikit-learn and got a better results compared with the results of the same Models trained on the cleaned data. The results are presented in Table 7.

| Model | Accuracy | Improvement |
|---------------------------|----------|-------------|
| | | · |
| GaussianNB | 60.53 % | + 0.5 % |
| Decision Tree Classifier | 56.52 % | + 1.5 % |
| Random Forest Classifier | 71.27 % | + 2.2 % |
| Support Vector Classifier | 76.95 % | +2.3 % |
| Logistic Regression | 76.75 % | +2.3 % |
| KNeighbors Classifier | 67.61 % | + 10 % |
| BernoulliNb | 69.52 % | + 2 % |

Table 7: Table 3: Training Results of Scikit-Learn Models without cleaning

6 Conclusion:

After getting a satisfying result with the logistic regression model (77% accuracy), we can now analyse the sentiment of the trip advisors customers using machine learning models and help by improving the hotels services.