

RECTOR
OF THE WARSAW SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

ZOWA-0161/ZR-7-36/13

ORDER NO. 7

of 30 January 2013

on the issue of degree theses

Pursuant to § 56.1 of SGH Rules and Regulations it is ordered as follows:

A thesis shall mean a Bachelor's thesis for the first cycle studies and a Master's thesis for the second cycle studies. The completion of the thesis and its defence are necessary conditions for obtaining a university graduation diploma (in the first or second cycle studies) and a professional title.

§ 2

The subject matter of the thesis

1. The thesis should prove the ability to use the knowledge acquired in the course of the study by the student, demonstrate the skills and educational merit particular to a given field of study as well as employ relevant reading in the selected field of research. It should confirm the skill of creating a coherent and logical paper delivered in accurate Polish using the language particular to the given field of knowledge or practice.
2. The Bachelor's thesis should demonstrate student's knowledge and skills in the area defined by the field of study oriented towards solving specific theoretical problems or synthetic knowledge presentation.
3. The Master's thesis should demonstrate student's knowledge in the field of research and practice related to the field of study involving critical comprehension of the theory and rules of its application. It should also be able to prove student's skills in solving advanced theoretical and practical problems and creating problem-solving texts.
4. The Dean of the relevant studies may, at an application filed by the student, supported and justified by the supervisor, agree for the thesis to be commissioned by an external institution.

§ 3

The language and formal requirements

1. The thesis shall be written in the language being, according to the curriculum, the language of instruction of a given field of study.

2. The Dean may, at an application filed by the student and supported by the supervisor, consent for the thesis to be written in a language different than the main language of instruction in a given field of study.
3. Formal requirements for completing the theses have been set out in Annex 1 to this order.

§ 4

1. The Dean may, at an application filed by the students, supported and justified by the supervisor, agree for two or three students to prepare the thesis collectively.
2. A collective thesis should consist of clearly separated parts – chapters, authorised by particular authors (also in the list of contents and in the introduction).
3. Each of the separated parts is subject to individual evaluation and review by the supervisor.

§ 5

The supervisor and the content consultant

1. The supervisor shall be solely an academic teacher holding a scientific degree and employed by the Warsaw School of Economics, hereinafter referred to as the School or the University.
2. The scope of the supervisor's research and educational interests should be related to the field of study from which the student is graduating and include also the subject matter of the thesis.
3. Whenever it is justified by the interdisciplinary or practical nature of the work, the Dean may, upon consultation with the supervisor, appoint a scientific or practical consultant to ensure the necessary complementary subject matter supervision and support.
4. The supervisor shall make sure the thesis is being prepared by the student independently.

§ 6

The reviewer

1. The thesis is subject to a review performed based on the criteria specified in the standard form included in Annex 2 to this order.
2. The reviewer shall be an academic teacher, holder of a scientific degree whose scope of scientific and educational interests are related to the given field of study and involve the subject matter of the thesis.
3. Whenever the supervisor of a Master's thesis is a holder of the doctor's degree the reviewer should possess the degree of *doktor habilitowany* [doctor habilitatus].
4. The reviewer shall be appointed by the Dean of the relevant studies. The reviewer shall be informed of the duties conferred to him/her via the electronic mail system of the School.
5. The reviewer shall receive the printed copy of the thesis in the relevant Dean's office. He/she is obliged to return the copy made available to him/her together with the thesis review. Upon the reviewer's request a pdf file containing the thesis may be sent to his/her account in the electronic mail system of the School.
6. The reviewer shall submit the review to the relevant Dean's office within 14 days of the date of conferring the duties, pursuant to section 4.

§ 7 Editing requirements

1. The thesis shall be prepared in the number of copies corresponding to the number of authors, as a double-sided print-out with flexible covers, a translucent front cover and a spine permanently binding the pages.
2. The first page shall be the title page laid out according to the model specified in Annex 3 to this order. The final page shall be the declaration/declarations of the author/authors of the thesis/collective thesis according to the template presented in Annex 4.1 and 4.2 to this order.
3. In a collective thesis a detailed list of authors (name and surname of each author along with the numbers of chapters they have created) should be placed on the back of the title page.

§ 8 Independence of the thesis and its verification

1. The thesis shall be prepared by the student independently under the scientific guidance of the supervisor.
2. Independence of the thesis shall be verified. The procedure of independence verification has been explained in Annexes 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6 to this order.
3. Should any assumption or suspicion arise that the thesis or some excerpts thereof have not been prepared independently; the supervisor should inform the relevant Dean and should refuse to accept the thesis.

§ 9 Conditions for accepting the thesis for evaluation

To have the thesis accepted for evaluation it is necessary for the student to file the following with the supervisor:

- 1) One copy of the thesis/thesis chapters in a printed version prepared according to the rules specified in this order;
- 2) A complete set of documents related to the independence verification procedure defined in Annex 7.2 of this order.

§ 10 Acceptance of the thesis and its evaluation

1. The supervisor shall accept the thesis, award a grade and sign the thesis evaluation form, the template of which is to be found in Annex 5 to this order, an index of documents filed along with the thesis and the plagiarism detection form concerning the thesis/thesis chapters.
2. The accepted thesis shall be signed by the supervisor on the first page. The statement 'Accepted as a Bachelor's thesis' or 'Accepted as a Master's thesis' shall be placed on the first page.
3. The thesis shall be also evaluated by the reviewer in his/her review of the thesis.

§ 11 Submission of the thesis to the Dean's office

1. The thesis signed by the supervisor together with the complete set of documents specified in Annex 7.2 shall be submitted by the student to the relevant Dean's office.
2. Upon the receipt of the thesis, the relevant Dean's office staff shall inspect the completeness and accuracy of the submitted documents. The time periods determined in Rules and Regulations of Studies at the Warsaw School of Economics start to run upon the submission of the thesis and the required documents to the relevant Dean's office. Should the documents be incomplete or incorrectly filled out, the Dean's office staff shall refuse to accept the thesis until the documents have been corrected or completed. The time periods related to the thesis defence shall not run until the moment of the correction or completion.

§ 12

Archiving of the theses

The following shall be attached to the student personal file:

- 1) One printed copy of the thesis prepared according to the rules specified in para 3;
- 2) Any other opinions or decisions related to the thesis;
- 3) Documentation of the thesis independence check as specified in Annex 7.2 to this order.

§ 13

Rules for the settlement of graduate's liability with the University

1. Having passed the final graduation exam, for the purpose of establishing the graduate's actual liability with the School, the graduate shall file a discharge form with the relevant Dean's office (its template is to be found in Annex 6 to this order).
The Dean's office of the relevant studies shall send the information on the thesis/thesis chapters defence to the ALEPH catalogue of the SGH Library, and it shall send the printed copy of the thesis/thesis chapters along with the student's personal file to be archived in the SGH archive.
2. The thesis in the electronic form shall be archived on the University server. The rules of archiving shall be determined by the Director of the IT Centre.

§ 14

Availability of the theses

1. The thesis/thesis chapters in the electronic form shall be made available at the SGH Library.
2. The following persons shall be authorised to access the theses:
 - 1) Independent research staff of the SGH, other universities and scientific institutions;

- 2) Persons writing their habilitation dissertations – based on the written recommendation of heads of the basic organisational units in which the dissertations are being prepared;
 - 3) Persons writing their doctor's dissertations – based on the written recommendations of the supervisors;
 - 4) Assistant research staff – based on the written recommendations of department/institute heads.
3. Persons not mentioned in section 2 may be authorised to access the theses only in extraordinary cases, upon a written application and at the consent of the SGH Library director.
 4. The theses may only be used on the site, as reference in the General Reading Room of the SGH Library, with no authorisation to be copied.

§ 15

Dean's supervisory authority

1. Should the Dean receive any information or himself/herself become aware of any irregularities concerning the performance of the duties resulting from this order, he/she should notify the Vice Rector for Education and Student Affairs.
2. Should any irregularity in the procedure of ensuring independence of the theses or the quality of supervision over them be identified, the Dean shall refer the case to be weighed within 14 days by the body responsible for the quality of education at the University and shall notify the Rector thereof.

§ 16

Specific authority of the Deans and Vice Rector for Education and Student Affairs

1. In well-grounded cases the Dean may give consent to waive certain requirements related to the theses.
2. Vice Rector for Education and Student Affairs shall be appointed to supervise the performance of this order.

§ 17

Waiver provisions

As of the effective date of this order, with reference to the theses whose compatibility check of the electronic version with the printed version was conducted on 18 February 2013 or later, the following shall become invalid:

- 1) Rector's order No. 21 of 16 May 2003 on the issue of rules for writing collective theses;
- 2) Rector's order No. 18 of 13 June 2005 on the issue of the way of accepting theses by the supervisors;
- 3) Rector's order No. 13 of 16 March 2010 on the issue of rules for submitting, archiving and availability of the theses;
- 4) Rector's order No. 28 of 18 April 2011 amending Rector's order No. 13 of 16 March 2010 on the issue of rules for submitting, archiving and availability of the theses;

- 5) Rector's order No. 30 of 26 May 2011 amending Rector's order No. 13 of 16 March 2010 on the issue of rules for submitting, archiving and availability of the theses;
- 6) Rector's order No. 41 of 19 September 2011 on the issue of detailed requirements concerning the theses;
- 7) Rector's order No. 26 of 6 June 2012 amending Rector's order No. 41 of 19 September 2011 on the issue of detailed requirements concerning the theses.

§ 18
Effective date

The order shall become effective as of 18 February 2013.

RECTOR

prof. Tomasz Szapiro

Formal requirements for theses

1. The framework layout includes:
 - 1) Title page (first page);
 - 2) In collective theses the list of authors with numbers of chapters specified (second page, at the back of the title page) – otherwise the page should be left empty;
 - 3) List of contents;
 - 4) Introduction/Lead-in featuring the following: grounds for the topic taken up, general description of the thesis' subject matter, its purpose and goals set, content structure, the applied research/analysis method and a presentation of the readings in the area of research;
 - 5) Chapters devoted to solving the problem taken up;
 - 6) Conclusions;
 - 7) Index of bibliography;
 - 8) Index of tables and graphics;
 - 9) Possible appendices;
 - 10) Thesis summary (about 900 words);
 - 11) Declaration of the thesis author/authors on independent work in compliance with the applicable law, according to the templates in Annexes 4.1 and 4.2 respectively (generated by the SOLAD system) [Local Document Archive Servicing System].
2. The text of the thesis should be prepared as follows:
 - 1) A4 format, double-sided print;
 - 2) margins: top margin – 2.5 cm, bottom margin – 2.5 cm, side margins – mirror image: inner margin – 3.0 cm, outer margin – 2.0 cm;
 - 3) adjustment (the text adjusted to both side margins);
 - 4) Times New Roman font style;
 - 5) Font size in the text 12 pts;
 - 6) Footnote font size 10 pts;
 - 7) Spaces between lines 1.5 line; continuous page numbering system throughout the work;
 - 8) Uniform system of footnotes and bibliography presentation, to be selected from:
 - a) The Harvard system – presentation inside the text (e.g. Kowalski 2006, p. 31-52), in the bibliography index e.g. 1. Mitra, A., 1998. *Fundamentals of Quality Control and Improvement*, New Jersey, Prentice Hall; footnotes used only for explanations; or
 - b) The system recommended by the SGH Publishing House, that is footnotes at the bottom of the page using a continuous numbering system e.g. ¹A. Mitra, *Fundamentals of Quality Control and Improvement*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1998, p. 28, in the bibliography index e.g. 1. Mitra A., *Fundamentals of Quality Control and Improvement*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1998.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

3. Evaluation of compliance with formal thesis requirements

Evaluation criteria II
1) Ability to create a logical and coherent text
2) Language accuracy
3) Editing

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

4. Work evaluation scale from 2.0 do 5.0 -

Grade in words:

Warsaw,

.....
(Reviewer's signature)



SZKOŁA GŁÓWNA HANDLOWA W WARSZAWIE
WARSAW SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

Bachelor's/Master's Studies¹

Field of studies.....

Specialisation².....

Author's Name and Surname.....

Student No.

Thesis title

Bachelor's/Master's thesis¹

Written in the Department/Institute¹

.....

Under scientific supervision of

.....

Warsaw 20.....

¹ Apply as appropriate

² Skip the line if there is no major or it has not been declared



SZKOŁA GŁÓWNA HANDLOWA W WARSZAWIE
WARSAW SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

**DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR OF THE BACHELOR'S/MASTER'S
THESIS¹**

titled
.....
written by: **Student No.**
under the supervision of

Subjecting myself to legal liability, I hereby declare that I have written this thesis independently and that no content included therein was obtained in contravention of the applicable regulations.

I also represent that the submitted thesis has not been used before in any procedure conducted for the purpose of acquiring a professional academic title.

Equally, I declare this copy of the thesis to be identical with the attached digital version.

I agree to have the thesis checked and verified, also using plagiarism detection software, hereinafter referred to as 'software', as well as to have the text of the thesis stored in the comparative base to ensure protection against unauthorised use.

I also give my consent to the Warsaw School of Economics to process my personal data included in the thesis to the extent which is necessary to perform verification with the software and to have it archived for free-of-charge access pursuant to the rules expressed in the order.

.....
(Date)

.....
(Author's signature)

¹ Apply as appropriate.



SZKOŁA GŁÓWNA HANDLOWA W WARSZAWIE
WARSAW SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

**DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR OF THE CHAPTERS OF THE
BACHELOR'S/MASTER'S THESIS¹**

titled
.....
written by:Student No.
under the supervision of

Subjecting myself to legal liability, I hereby declare that I have written these thesis chapters independently and that no content included therein was obtained in contravention of the applicable regulations.

I also represent that the submitted thesis chapters have not been used before in any procedure conducted for the purpose of acquiring a professional academic title.

Equally, I declare this copy of the thesis chapters to be identical with the attached digital version.

I agree to have the thesis chapters checked and verified, also using plagiarism detection software, hereinafter referred to as 'software', as well as to have the text of the thesis chapters stored in the comparative base to ensure protection against unauthorised use.

I also give my consent to the Warsaw School of Economics to process my personal data included in the thesis chapters to the extent which is necessary to perform verification with the software and to have them archived for free-of-charge access pursuant to the rules expressed in the order.

.....
(Date)

.....
(Author's signature)

¹ Apply as appropriate.



SZKOŁA GŁÓWNA HANDLOWA W WARSZAWIE
WARSAW SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

THESIS EVALUATION FORM

.....
(Academic title/academic degree, name and surname of the supervisor)

.....
(Organisational unit)

**I hereby state that the Bachelor's/Master's¹
thesis**

titled
.....
.....
.....

has been written under my supervision by Mr/Ms¹

.....
Student No.

and received the following evaluation

.....
(Enter the grade in words)

.....
(Date)

.....
(Supervisor's signature)

¹ Apply as appropriate .

UNIVERSITY-LEAVING DISCHARGE FORM

It is stated that the student

(Name and surname)

Student No.

Domiciled at:

e-mail:

Tel. No.

Current draft office (WKU) address:

Has no liability with the **SGH Library**:

.....
(Stamp)

.....
(Date)

.....
(Signature)

All graduates who wish to stay in touch with the University are invited to join the SGH Alumni Club : www.sgh.waw.pl/klub_absolwentow.

Rules for verification of the independence of the thesis

1. The electronic version of the thesis/thesis chapters shall be sent by the student to the SOLAD system (Document Archive Servicing System) according to the Instruction for the User of SOLAD available on the websites of all Dean's Offices.
2. The responsibility for the electronic and printed versions being identical shall be borne by the student.
 - 1) To ensure identical quality of the electronic version of the thesis/thesis chapters with the printed version, the student shall conduct a check which involves the following:
 - 2) Comparing three randomly printed and marked for the purpose by SOLAD pages of the electronic version with corresponding pages of the printed version of the thesis/thesis chapters;
 - 3) Confirming the identical quality of the selected excerpts of the thesis/thesis chapters in their electronic and printed versions.
4. Verification of compatibility begins with logging into the SOLAD system on the website using the login and password for the virtual Dean's Office and entering the following data:
 - 1) Thesis/thesis chapters author (the assumed author is the user logged on);
 - 2) Organisational unit (the relevant Dean's Office) the user is assigned to
 - 3) The type of thesis (Master's/Bachelor's);
 - 4) Thesis supervisor;
 - 5) Thesis title;
 - 6) Key words defining the thesis (10 to 60 characters);
 - 7) Description of the thesis (500 to 1000 characters);and an attached pdf file with the thesis/thesis chapters.
5. After entering the requested data, verification of compatibility of the electronic version with the printed version can proceed.
6. If compatibility of the electronic and printed version is denied, the student shall enter the right file containing the thesis/thesis chapters into the SOLAD system and repeat the procedure specified in section 4 and 5.
7. Each negative verification of compatibility of the electronic and printed versions of the thesis/thesis chapters shall be recorded in the system.

§2

1. After the student has confirmed compatibility of the thesis/thesis chapters in the SOLAD system with the printed version, the thesis/thesis chapters shall be automatically verified by the plagiarism detection software.
2. After the automatic verification procedure has been completed, a similarity report shall be generated. The report will be then sent to the student's and supervisor's e-mail addresses in SGH.waw.pl domain or the address indicated by SOLAD. The report shall be also available to the Dean's Office, the supervisor and the student on SOLAD .
3. The student shall print these documents from SOLAD, following the publication of the similarity report:

- 1) An abbreviated version of the similarity report, the template available in Annex No. 7.3 to the order
 - 2) A form stating the detection of plagiarism in the thesis/thesis chapters using one of the three templates presented in Annexes 7.4, 7.5 or 7.6.
4. The document stipulated in section 3.2 shall be printed automatically according to the following:
- 1) Annex No. 7.4, when the value of the similarity ratio 1 is below the limit of 35%, the similarity ratio 2 is below 5% and there was no alert in the similarity report;
 - 2) Annex No. 7.5, when the value of similarity ratio 1 was above 35%, the similarity ratio 2 above 5% and there was no alert in the similarity report;
 - 3) Annex 7.6 when there was an alert in the similarity report (the value of similarity ratios does not determine this print-out).

§ 3

In all collective theses, each student-author conducts the procedure specified in para 1 and 2 in relation to the chapters they have created.

§ 4

1. The supervisor shall complete the plagiarism detection form for the thesis/thesis chapters printed out from SOLAD pursuant to para 2.4 upon the acceptance thereof. By doing so, the supervisor evaluates the independence of the thesis/thesis chapters.
2. If the work is deemed to have been independently created and does not require correction, the supervisor shall complete the thesis evaluation form.

§ 5

Full record of the thesis plagiarism check comprises the following documents:

- 1) A checklist of documents submitted along with the thesis/thesis chapters (printed out by the student), the template to be found in Annex 7.2;
- 2) An abridged copy of the similarity report;
- 3) A thesis/thesis chapters plagiarism detection form.



SZKOŁA GŁÓWNA HANDLOWA W WARSZAWIE
WARSAW SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS



Checklist of documents to be submitted with the thesis/thesis chapters¹

Identification details of the thesis/thesis chapters¹

Supervisor:

Author:

Student No.:

Thesis title:

Date of entering the thesis/thesis chapters¹:

No.	Document title		To be completed by the supervisor ²	To be completed by the Dean's Office employee ³
	Title	Document No. on SOLAD		
1	Abridged similarity report	...		
2	Plagiarism detection form	...		
3	Thesis evaluation form	...		

¹ Apply as appropriate

² Mark „X” in the right position, after receiving documents from the student.

³ Mark „X” in the right position, after submitting documents to the Dean's Office.

.....
(Supervisor's signature)

.....
(Dean's Office employee's signature)

Date of printing

Abridged similarity report

Title:	Thesis title
Author:	Name and surname of the author
Supervisor:	Academic title, name and surname of the supervisor
Date of the report:	yyyy-mm-dd hh:mm:ss
Similarity ratio 1:%
Similarity ratio 2:%
Similarity ratio 3:%
Similarity ratio 4:%
Similarity ratio 5:%
Length of phrase for similarity ratio 2:	25
Word count:
Character count:
Websites skipped in the check:	

The longest excerpts identified as similar

Documents in which similar excerpts were found: from the Legal Acts Base (BAP)

Documents in which similar excerpts were found: from the domestic data base

Documents in which similar excerpts were found: from data bases ather than the domestic one

Documents in which similar excerpts were found: from the Internet



SZKOŁA GŁÓWNA HANDLOWA W WARSZAWIE
WARSAW SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS



THESIS/THESIS CHAPTERS¹ PLAGIARISM DETECTION FORM

1. Identification details of the thesis

Supervisor

Author/Authors¹

Student Number/Numbers¹

Thesis title

Type of thesis

Mode of studies

2. Similarity report

Similarity report has been sent to:

Student's e-mail address:

Supervisor's e-mail address:

3. Parameters

Similarity ratio 1

Similarity ratio 2

Alert: ☐ yes

☐ no

The values of similarity ratios do not indicate that an evaluation of the full version of the similarity report is necessary to assess the independence of the thesis/thesis chapters¹.

Owing to the above, the work is deemed to have been created independently.

(Full version of the similarity report – to be used by the supervisor – has been automatically sent by SOLAD to the supervisor's e-mail address in sgh.waw.pl domain or to the alternative supervisor's address provided by the student).

I report no objections. Thesis accepted.

.....
(date)

.....
(supervisor's signature)

¹ Apply as appropriate.



SZKOŁA GŁÓWNA HANDLOWA W WARSZAWIE
WARSAW SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS



PLAGIARISM DETECTION FORM OF THE THESIS/THESIS CHAPTERS

1. Thesis identification details

Supervisor

Author/Authors

Student Number/Numbers¹

Thesis title

Type of thesis

Mode of studies

2. Similarity report

Similarity report was sent to:

Student's e-mail address:

Supervisor's e-mail address:

3. Parameters

Similarity ratio 1

Similarity ratio 2

Alert: ☐ Yes

No

The values of similarity ratios **indicate** the need to analyse the full version of the similarity report with the view to assessing independence of the thesis/thesis chapters¹.

(full version of the similarity report – to be used by the supervisor – was automatically sent by SOLAD system to the supervisor's e-mail address in sgh.waw.pl domain or to an alternative supervisor's address provided by the student).

4. Analysis of the full version of the similarity report

I. Type of identified similarity

(Mark appropriate positions with „X”)

2. Excerpts identified as similar are correctly marked quotations, their size and scope is justified taking the nature of the thesis/thesis chapters¹

Yes ☐

No ☐

3. Excerpts identified as similar are correctly marked quotations, however the nature of the thesis/thesis chapters does not justify such an extensive use of them

Yes ☐

No ☐

- | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 4. Excerpts identified as similar are short phrases and their similarity to the evaluated thesis /thesis chapters is accidental | Yes
<input type="checkbox"/> | No
<input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Excerpts identified as similar are not correctly marked quotatitons, their similarity to other texts is not accidental and bears the signs of unauthorised borrowing | Yes
<input type="checkbox"/> | No
<input type="checkbox"/> |

6. Assessment of the work independence

(Mark appropriate positions with „X”)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Thesis/thesis chapters independent, do not require correction
<i>(if this position is marked, complete point 6a)</i> | <input type="checkbox"/> Thesis/thesis chapters ¹ not independent
<i>(if this position is marked, complete point 6c)</i> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Thesis/thesis chapters ¹ independent, require correction
<i>(if this position is marked, complete point 6b)</i> | |

7. Supervisor's decision

- a) I accept the thesis/thesis chapters for defence because.....

- b) I do not accept the thesis/thesis chapters¹ and recommend correction because.....

 .
- c) The thesis/thesis chapters¹ are not independent. I refer them to Dean's decision because

.....
 (Date)

.....
 (Supervisor's signature)

¹ Apply as appropriate.



SZKOŁA GŁÓWNA HANDLOWA W WARSZAWIE
WARSAW SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS



PLAGIARISM DETECTION FORM OF THE THESIS/THESIS CHAPTERS

3. Thesis identification details

Supervisor

Author/Authors

Student Number/Numbers¹

Thesis title

Type of thesis

Mode of studies

4. Similarity report

Similarity report was sent to:

Student's e-mail address:

Supervisor's e-mail address:

5. Parameters

Similarity ratio 1

Similarity ratio 2

Alert: ☐ Yes

Due to the occurrence of alert it is **necessary** to analyse the full version of the similarity report with the view to assessing independence of the thesis/thesis chapters¹.

(full version of the similarity report – to be used by the supervisor – was automatically sent by SOLAD system to the supervisor's e-mail address in sgh.waw.pl domain or to an alternative supervisor's address provided by the student).

6. Analysis of the full version of the similarity report

I. Type of identified similarity

(Mark appropriate positions with „X”)

8. Excerpts identified as similar are correctly marked quotations, their size and scope is justified taking the nature of the thesis/thesis chapters¹

Yes ☐

No ☐

9. Excerpts identified as similar are correctly marked quotations, however the nature of the thesis/thesis chapters does not justify such an extensive use of them

Yes ☐

No ☐

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 10. Excerpts identified as similar are short phrases and their similarity to the evaluated thesis /thesis chapters is accidental | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 11. Excerpts identified as similar are not correctly marked quotations, their similarity to other texts is not accidental and bears the signs of unauthorised borrowing | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

II. Reasons for alert

(Mark appropriate positions with „X“)

- | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. Fonts of various alphabets used in the thesis/thesis chapters ¹ were used correctly (e.g. mathematical formulae) | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. The use of fonts of various alphabets indicates intentional text distortion in order to make plagiarism detection ineffective | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

12. Assessment of the work independence

(Mark appropriate positions with „X“)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Thesis/thesis chapters independent, do not require correction
(if this position is marked, complete point 6a) | <input type="checkbox"/> Thesis/thesis chapters ¹ not independent
(if this position is marked, complete point 6c) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Thesis/thesis chapters ¹ not independent, require correction
(if this position is marked, complete point 6b) | <input type="checkbox"/> Thesis/thesis chapters ¹ contain intentional distortions
(if this position is marked, complete point 6c) |

13. Supervisor's decision

- d) I accept the thesis/thesis chapters for defence because.....
.....
.....
- e) I do not accept the thesis/thesis chapters¹ and recommend correction because.....
.....
.....
- f) The thesis/thesis chapters¹ are not independent. I refer them to Dean's decision because
.....
.....
.....

.....
(Date)

.....
(Supervisor's signature)

¹ Apply as appropriate.