

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363504647>

qPCRtools: An R package for qPCR data processing and visualization

Article in *Frontiers in Genetics* · September 2022

DOI: 10.3389/fgene.2022.1002704

CITATIONS

0

READS

101

6 authors, including:



Xiang Li

Yunnan Agricultural University

3 PUBLICATIONS 1 CITATION

SEE PROFILE



Xinyue Mei

56 PUBLICATIONS 376 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Yixiang Liu

Yunnan Agricultural University

71 PUBLICATIONS 435 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Huichuan Huang

Yunnan Agricultural University

96 PUBLICATIONS 542 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Biodiversity for crop disease management [View project](#)



Nitrogen-induced susceptibility [View project](#)



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Yuriy L. Orlov,
I.M.Sechenov First Moscow State
Medical University, Russia

REVIEWED BY

Evgeniya Omelina,
Institute of Molecular and Cellular
Biology (RAS), Russia
Oktay Ismail Kaplan,
Abdullah Gül University, Turkey

*CORRESPONDENCE

Huichuan Huang,
absklhch@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to
Computational Genomics,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Genetics

RECEIVED 25 July 2022

ACCEPTED 18 August 2022

PUBLISHED 13 September 2022

CITATION

Li X, Wang Y, Li J, Mei X, Liu Y and
Huang H (2022), qPCRtools: An R
package for qPCR data processing
and visualization.
Front. Genet. 13:1002704.
doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.1002704

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Li, Wang, Li, Mei, Liu and Huang.
This is an open-access article
distributed under the terms of the
Creative Commons Attribution License
(CC BY). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is
permitted, provided the original
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are
credited and that the original
publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic
practice. No use, distribution or
reproduction is permitted which does
not comply with these terms.

qPCRtools: An R package for qPCR data processing and visualization

Xiang Li^{1,2}, Yingmin Wang^{1,2}, Jingyu Li^{1,2}, Xinyue Mei^{1,2},
Yixiang Liu^{1,2} and Huichuan Huang^{1,2*}

¹State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Bio-Resources in Yunnan, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, China, ²Key Laboratory for Agro-Biodiversity and Pest Control of Ministry of Education, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, China

In biological research, qPCR is a technique that is frequently used to measure gene expression levels. The calculation of gene amplification efficiency is a critical step in the processing of qPCR data since it helps to decide which method to employ to compute gene expression levels. Here, we introduce the R package qPCRtools, which enables users to analyze the efficiency of gene amplification. Additionally, this software can determine gene expression levels using one of three approaches: the conventional curve-based method, the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method, and the SATQPCR method. The qPCRtools package produces a table with the statistical data of each method as well as a figure with a box or bar plot illustrating the results. The R package qPCRtools is freely available at CRAN (<https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=qPCRtools>) or GitHub (<https://github.com/lixiang117423/qPCRtools/tree/main/CRAN/qPCRtools>).

KEYWORDS

bioinformatics, qPCR, R package, visualization, gene expression

1 Introduction


Quantitative PCR (qPCR) is a method for the precise quantification of gene expression. The experimental procedure for qPCR includes sample/template preparation, assay optimization/validation, RT-PCR, and data analysis (Bustin et al., 2010). For data analysis, several methods can be chosen, such as the most widely used $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method is a convenient way to analyze the relative changes in gene expression from qPCR experiments. However, it assumes an almost equal amplification efficiency for both the internal target gene and the reference gene (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). If the amplification efficiency does not meet the prerequisites of the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method, absolute quantification should be used (Whelan et al., 2003; Dhanasekaran et al., 2010). Due to the limitations of absolute quantification, such as its time-consuming nature, relative quantification is preferred. In relative quantification, in which the expression of a target gene is measured in relation to one or multiple reference genes, the results can be considerably influenced by various factors. A major point that must be considered when using a relative quantification approach is the amplification efficiency. It has been shown that even minor variations in amplification

TABLE 1 This table shows all features of qPCRtools.

Function	Description
CalRTable	Calculates volume for reverse transcription
CalCurve	Calculates a relative standard curve
CalExpCurve	Calculates expression values using the relative standard curve method
CalExp2ddCt	Calculates expression using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method
CalExpRqPCR	Calculates expression values using the RqPCR method

TABLE 2 Example of CalRTable output.

Sample	Average concentration	RNA (μl)	SuperMix (μl)	gDNARemover (μl)	H ₂ O (μl)	Total (μl)
1	160.40	12.47	8.00	2.00	17.53	40.00
2	163.33	12.24	8.00	2.00	17.76	40.00
3	182.57	10.95	8.00	2.00	19.05	40.00
4	203.80	9.81	8.00	2.00	20.19	40.00
5	180.13	11.10	8.00	2.00	18.90	40.00
6	171.83	11.64	8.00	2.00	18.36	40.00



efficiency can lead to considerable variation in the calculated gene expression value (Pfaffl, 2001). One way of calculating the amplification efficiency is to produce serial dilutions of the target genes. Then, the Ct values are plotted on a logarithmic scale along with the corresponding concentrations. Next, a linear regression

curve based on the data points is generated, and the slope of the trend line is calculated. Finally, efficiency is calculated using the following equation: $E = -1 + 10(-1/\text{slope})$ (the dilution factor is 10). The amplification efficiency value can help us choose the best method for processing qPCR data. On the other hand, the relative standard curve can be used to handle qPCR data. The data analyses required in qPCR experiments, including the calculation of primer amplification efficiency, gene expression levels, and final statistics, are relatively difficult for novices. Many tools have been developed to process qPCR data (Pabinger et al., 2014). However, data wrangling is required to apply some of these tools (Mar et al., 2009; Lievens et al., 2012; Rödiger et al., 2015), and the web services of some tools, such as CampER (Blom et al., 2020) and PCR-Miner (Zhao and Fernald, 2005), are no longer available.

To address these limitations, we have developed qPCRtools, a package developed based on the statistical computing language R and the widely used R visualization package ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016), for processing qPCR data. The main features of qPCRtools include the following: 1) construction of relative standard curves from gradient dilution qPCR data and calculation of the amplification efficiency (for any dilution factor); 2) calculation of the gene expression based on several methods, including the relative standard curve method, $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001), and RqPCR method (Rancurel et al., 2019); and 3) statistical calculations based on the *t* test or ANOVA with Tukey's test. All results generated from all functions except CalRTable include a table, detailed results with statistical information, and a figure in which the table results can be visualized.




FIGURE 2

Box plot of each gene in different treatments based on the relative standard curve method.

2 Description

2.1 Overview of the qPCRtools package

The qPCRtools package was created based on the R language (R Core Team, 2013). Five functions are included in the qPCRtools package for the processing and display of qPCR data (Table 1). The amount of RNA needed for reverse transcription can be determined using the CalRTTable function. The CalCurve function can determine the amplification efficiency of each gene, in addition to calculating the relative standard curve for each gene based on equal dilution. The expression levels of each gene can be determined using the other three functions in various ways.

2.2 Case study

2.2.1 Example 1: Calculation of RNA volume for reverse transcription

Reverse transcription will be necessary to generate cDNA for qPCR after RNA extraction. The user can obtain the reagent volume table from the protocol provided in the kit. However, when the

average RNA concentration is being determined for a large number of samples, it will take some time to generate the final table. Reverse transcription can be performed by creating a table of each component's volume using the CalRTTable function. As an example, we consider the EasyScript All-in-One First-Strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix for qPCR (One-Step gDNA Removal) (TransGen Biotech, AE341) reverse transcription reagent kit, which only comprises two components: SuperMix and gDNA Remover. In this case, we will require 4 μ l of SuperMix and 1 μ l of gDNA remover for 1 μ g of total RNA. To determine the volume of total RNA, its concentration must be known. To obtain the average concentration, three or more replications of each sample are needed. The volumes of RNA and other components can be estimated using the CalRTTable function (Table 2). There must be a column "all" in the second input file as shown in the example data.

2.2.2 Example 2: Calculation of the relative standard curve and amplification efficiency

For qPCR studies as well as for final data processing and analysis, it is essential to know the primer amplification efficiency (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001; Pfaffl, 2001), since it determines which technique should be applied to analyze qPCR data. For novel primers, the initial




FIGURE 3

Bar plot of the relative expression of each gene under different treatments calculated via the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method.

stage is the evaluation of amplification efficiency. The CalCurve function can be used to determine the amplification efficiency based on the concentration gradient dilution approach (Supplementary Table S1). Additionally, the function provides a regression equation for relative concentrations that have been log-transformed and the accompanying C_q values (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table S1). The relative expression levels of genes under various treatments can be determined using the regression equation.

2.2.3 Example 3: Calculation of gene expression levels using the relative standard curve method

After the above step, if the amplification efficiencies of reference genes and target genes are not equal, the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method cannot be used (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Some methods without a reference gene can also be chosen, such as the method based on the Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm (Matz et al., 2013). One way to determine the expression level that disregards amplification efficiency is the relative standard curve approach. Based on the relative standard curve depicted above, the CalExpCurve function can calculate the expression levels of individual genes. A table (Supplementary Table S2) and a figure are included in the results (Figure 2).

2.2.4 Example 4: Calculation of expression levels using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method

If the amplification efficiency of the target genes is consistent with that of the reference gene, the most widely used $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method is available for expression level calculation (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). There are many software packages that can apply this method, such as the R package pcr (Ahmed and Kim, 2018). However, most of these packages require some data wrangling before their application. For users who have large samples and data, this approach is time-consuming and is not reproducible. The CalExp2ddCt function can process raw C_q data from the qPCR machine and then produces a table (Supplementary Table S3) and figure (Figure 3) illustrating the expression levels. More importantly, the templates can be reused.

2.2.5 Example 5: Calculation of expression levels using the RqPCR method

For most methods used in qPCR data processing, a reference is required to correct the expression values of the




FIGURE 4

Bar plot of the relative expression of each gene under different treatments calculated via the RqPCR method.

target genes (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Some methods do not require reference genes; such methods are based on the Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm (Matz et al., 2013) or SATQPCR (Rancurel et al., 2019) according to the MIQE guidelines (dMIQE Group and Huggett, 2020). The CalExpRqPCR function can implement this function from SATQPCR (Supplementary Table S4 and Figure 4), and the required amplification efficiency can be calculated using the CalCurve function.

3 Conclusion

An important step in qPCR is calculating the efficiency of gene primer amplification, but previous R packages cannot perform this step effectively. Here, we offer qPCRtools, a fully functional tool that can not only quickly determine the amplification efficiency of gene primers but also calculate gene expression levels from a Cq table based on several methods that return statistical results and visualization figures. The resulting figures are ggplot objects that can be further customized by applying

scale and theme settings and superimposed annotation layers.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

XL and HH designed this study and performed the analysis. XL, YW, JL, XM, and YL wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2021YFD1000200), National Natural Science

Foundation of China (31801792, 31960554) and Fok Ying Tung Education Foundation.

Acknowledgments

We thank Frederique Hilliou for sharing the algorithm code of SATQPCR and for suggestions during the initial development stage.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

- Ahmed, M., and Kim, D. R. (2018). pcr: an R package for quality assessment, analysis and testing of qPCR data. *PeerJ* 6, e4473. doi:10.7717/peerj.4473
- Blom, J., Rückert, C., Kalinowski, J., and Goesmann, A. (2020). CAmPER—a software for the calculation of amplification efficiencies for real-time PCR-experiments; 2007. Available at: <https://www.gene-quantification.de/qPCR2007/publications/Blom-qPCR-2007.pdf>.
- Bustin, S. A., Beaulieu, J.-F., Huggett, J., Jaggi, R., Kibenge, F. S. B., Olsvik, P. A., et al. (2010). MIQE précis: Practical implementation of minimum standard guidelines for fluorescence-based quantitative real-time PCR experiments. *BMC Mol. Biol.* 11, 74. doi:10.1186/1471-2199-11-74
- Dhanasekaran, S., Doherty, T. M., and Kenneth, J. (2010). Comparison of different standards for real-time PCR-based absolute quantification. *J. Immunol. Methods* 354, 34–39. doi:10.1016/j.jim.2010.01.004
- dMIQE Group/Huggett, J. F. (2020). The digital MIQE guidelines update: Minimum information for publication of quantitative digital PCR experiments for 2020. *Clin. Chem.* 66, 1012–1029. doi:10.1093/clinchem/hvaa125
- Lievens, A., Van Aelst, S., Van Den Bulcke, M., and Goetghebeur, E. (2012). Enhanced analysis of real-time PCR data by using a variable efficiency model: FPK-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, e10. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr775
- Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- Mar, J. C., Kimura, Y., Schroder, K., Irvine, K. M., Hayashizaki, Y., Suzuki, H., et al. (2009). Data-driven normalization strategies for high-throughput quantitative RT-PCR. *BMC Bioinforma.* 10, 110. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-110
- Matz, M. V., Wright, R. M., and Scott, J. G. (2013). No control genes required: Bayesian analysis of qRT-PCR data. *PLOS ONE* 8, e71448. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071448
- Pabinger, S., Rödiger, S., Kriegner, A., Vierlinger, K., and Weinhäusel, A. (2014). A survey of tools for the analysis of quantitative PCR (qPCR) data. *Biomol. Detect. Quantif.* 1, 23–33. doi:10.1016/j.bdq.2014.08.002
- Pfaffl, M. W. (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, e45. doi:10.1093/nar/29.9.e45
- Rancurel, C., Van Tran, T., Elie, C., and Hilliou, F. (2019). Satqpcr: Website for statistical analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data. *Mol. Cell. Probes* 46, 101418. doi:10.1016/j.mcp.2019.07.001
- R Core Team (2013). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*.
- Rödiger, S., Burdukiewicz, M., and Schierack, P. (2015). chipPCR: an R package to pre-process raw data of amplification curves. *Bioinformatics* 31, 2900–2902. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv205
- Whelan, J. A., Russell, N. B., and Whelan, M. A. (2003). A method for the absolute quantification of cDNA using real-time PCR. *J. Immunol. Methods* 278, 261–269. doi:10.1016/s0022-1759(03)00223-0
- Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*. Springer.
- Zhao, S., and Fernald, R. D. (2005). Comprehensive algorithm for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. *J. Comput. Biol.* 12, 1047–1064. doi:10.1089/cmb.2005.12.1047

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2022.1002704/full#supplementary-material>