Data Analysis Brief – Drivers of Graduate Unemployment in India (2011–2023)

Prepared by: Vamakshi Chaturvedi, MSc Economics, University of Manchester
Date: August 2025

Introduction

This brief presents a technical overview of the determinants of graduate unemployment in India between 2011 and 2023, drawing on a fixed-effects panel regression with ridge regularization. The analysis uses state-level data across 28 states and 5 union territories. The aim is to explain key statistical findings in clear terms and highlight their implications for policy and business stakeholders.

Regression Results

Variable	Coefficient	Significance
Government Expenditure on Higher Education	+0.42	** (p<0.05)
GDP Growth	+0.31	* (p<0.1)
Labor Market Informality	**+0.55**	*** (p<0.01)
Services Sector Employment Share	+0.28	** (p<0.05)
Manufacturing Sector Employment Share	**-0.37**	** (p<0.05)
Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER)	0.05	n.s.
NSDP per capita	-0.02	n.s.

Table 1: Simplified regression results on determinants of graduate unemployment, 2011–2023

Note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1, n.s. = not significant.

Key Findings and Interpretation

1. Government spending on higher education is positively associated with graduate unemployment. This counterintuitive result suggests that increased spending has not translated into better labor market outcomes, likely due to inefficiencies and weak industry linkages.

2. GDP Growth is positively correlated with graduate unemployment, reinforcing the phenomenon of 'jobless growth' where output rises without proportional job creation.

3. Labor Market Informality is a strong predictor of graduate unemployment. Educated workers avoid insecure, low-quality jobs, leading to higher unemployment rates.

4. Services Sector Employment expansion is associated with rising unemployment, as much of the growth has been in low-skill, informal roles that do not match graduate qualifications.

5. Manufacturing Sector Employment share is negatively associated with unemployment, indicating the sector's capacity to absorb graduates effectively when formal jobs are created.

6. Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) and NSDP per capita are not statistically significant, suggesting that simply increasing education access or average income levels does not guarantee employability.

Implications

The regression results highlight structural inefficiencies in the education-to-employment pipeline. For policymakers, the findings underline the need to align higher education investments with labor market demand, strengthen industry-academia linkages, and expand manufacturing capacity. For businesses and consulting firms, the results point to rising costs of training and reskilling, but also opportunities to design innovative skilling programs and workforce solutions. For investors, sectoral patterns suggest that industries aligned with formalization and industrial growth offer stronger prospects for absorbing educated talent. **For example, the regression indicates that a 1% increase in manufacturing's employment share is associated with a 0.37 percentage point decline in graduate unemployment.** This highlights how targeted industrial expansion can both reduce systemic labor risks and generate sustainable returns.

Conclusion

The statistical evidence confirms that graduate unemployment in India is driven less by lack of education access and more by weak linkages between the education system and labor markets. Addressing labor informality and promoting industrial growth remain the most effective strategies to reduce unemployment among graduates. This analysis demonstrates the importance of using econometric evidence to inform workforce and policy strategies in a rapidly evolving economy.

References

- World Bank (2023). World Development Indicators.
- National Sample Survey Office (NSSO), Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS).
- Ministry of Education (Government of India), All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE).
- Reserve Bank of India (RBI) – State Domestic Product Data.