CS 419M Introduction to Machine Learning

Spring 2021-22

Lecture 2: Loss Functions in Machine Learning

Lecturer: Abir De Scribe: 180070020, 200100084, 20D070087, 180110088, 19D180002

2.1 Loss function for Image Classification

2.1.1 Problem Setup

- An Image I is represented by a vector x, x ϵ R^d . 2d array is collapsed into a 1d vector by well developed methods. For now we are considering only black & white images.
- Label of an Image y represents the object present in the image. eg: a car, a tree or a river. If there are two classes, let's say dog and car, we can have y = 0 for dog and y = 1 for car.
- We consider a Dataset D to be set of images that belong to two classes either C1 or C2.

$$\mathbb{D} = \{(x_i, y_i) | i \in \mathbb{I}\}\$$

where x_i is the 1d vector representation of the image and $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

2.1.2 What is Classification

- Goal of classification is to find out the labels of test/unseen images.
- Unseen Images: The instance/image that was not revealed during the development of the ML model/algorithm.
- We need to design a function $h(\cdot)$ that will be able to give accurate class label i.e.,

$$y = h(x)$$
 $\forall x \in \text{Test set}$

using the information from Training set.

• Training set: The set of examples (tuples (x_i, y_i) image representations along with labels) provided to the machine learning model/algorithm at the development stage.

2.1.3 How to find function h(x)

• The idea is find best $h(x) \in \mathbb{H}$, where \mathbb{H} is infinite/huge set of functions such that it minimises the error.

• From the first principles, initial idea would be

$$\min_{h(x)\in\mathbb{H}} \sum_{(x_i,y_i)\in\mathbb{D}} |h(x_i) - y_i| \quad \text{where } y_i \in \{0,1\} \text{ and } h(x_i) \in \mathbb{R}$$

but since $y_i \in \{0,1\}$ only, we should look for some better answer.

- The new idea will be of **Penalty System** which basically means that whenever $h(x_i)$ differs from y_i , we will add some penalty. The naive idea is as follows:
 - if $y_i = 0$ and $h(x_i) = 1$, penalty = 1
 - if $y_i = 1$ and $h(x_i) = 0$, penalty = 1
 - if $y_i = 0$ and $h(x_i) = 0$, penalty = 0
 - if $y_i = 1$ and $h(x_i) = 1$, penalty = 0

Keeping in mind that $h(x_i) \in \mathbb{R}$, we will be doing it as follows

$$\min_{h(x) \in \mathbb{H}} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{D}} \mathbb{I}(h(x) \neq y)$$

- where I is **Indicator function** with values

$$\mathbb{I}(X) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & X = false \\ 1 & X = true \end{array} \right.$$

- In some sense, the above implementation is a **Hard Penalty** since we are penalising whenever $h(x) \neq y$.
- Moreover we have dropped "i" in expression for convenience and will continue to do so.
- This type of function is hard to find from an infinite set of functions and work upon. So, we need to restrict the function between 0 and 1, for eg. if there is a continuous function h(x) which can be transformed using a known function $f(\cdot)$ such that f(h(x)) gives output only as 0,1. then,

$$\min_{h(x) \in \mathbb{H}} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{D}} \mathbb{I}(f(h(x)) \neq y)$$

in both cases we are searching over the entire space but here our work is reduced as function f(.) is ensuring that the indicator function has to only deal with a value 0 or 1 inside it.

• Following the previous point, we will find a function f which can squeeze h(x) to $\{0,1\}$. One such funtion f is Sign(x) i.e. **Signum Funtion**.

$$Sign(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \\ -1 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{1 + Sign(h(x))}{2} = \begin{cases} 1 & h(x) > 0 \\ 0.5 & h(x) = 0 \\ 0 & h(x) < 0 \end{cases}$$

One very important point to note here is that our output function which will be finally used to give labels to Unseen Instances is not h(x) anymore. After this transformation, our Output function will be

$$\frac{1 + Sign(h(x))}{2}$$

• Using Sign funtion, our new optimization problem will be

$$\min_{h(x) \in \mathbb{H}} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{D}} \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{1 + Sign(h(x))}{2} \neq y\right)$$

But its a very hard Optimization problem because \mathbb{H} is huge and working with \mathbb{I} is tedious. So, we have to relax the conditions.

2.1.4 Linear Model for h(x)

• To proceed further, we will assume

$$h(x) = w^T x$$
 for some column vector w

• So, new optimization problem is

$$\min_{w} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{D}} \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{1 + Sign(w^{T}x)}{2} \neq y\right)$$

But optimization with Indicator function is hard. We will modify the problem as follows.

$$\min_{w} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{1 + Sign(w^{T}x)}{2} - y \right|^{2}$$

But it is non differentiable due to sign(x) and we will not be able to apply Calculus techniques to optimize it.

Is the below modification a good idea?

$$\min_{w} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{1 + w^T x}{2} - y \right|^2$$

No, because w^Tx can be large which is OK but then loss will become large which is not good

• Its time to think of some differentiable analog to the above problem. One funtion which can satisfy our requirements is **Sigmoid** Function.

$$Sigmoid(x) = S(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$
 where $x \in \mathbb{R}$

Sigmoid function satisfies our requirement because it is differentiable and $S(x) \in (0,1)$ i.e. it can squeeze h(x) to (0,1).

It has a little issue that it didn't squeeze h(x) to $\{0,1\}$ but good point is that we can apply calculus techniques to it now.

Now, our problem modifies to

$$\min_{w} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{D}} \left(Sigmoid(w^{T}x) - y \right)^{2}$$

Note that the above optimization problem is not convex so we can do better if we can find surrogate.

Reminding again that our Output function now is $Sigmoid(w^Tx)$ and not h(x) anymore.

2.1.5 Convex Loss function

- Convex means that if you run gradient descent then it is guaranteed to converge in the global minima.
- We have to find a surrogate function for $w^T x$ with the following properties:
 - 1. If $w^T x$ is high, y is 1, then loss is 0.
 - 2. If $w^T x$ is low, y is 0 or -1, then loss is 0.
 - 3. The loss has to be convex with respect to w.
- The way to check whether a function is convex or not is to find the double derivative and check if it is always positive with respect to w.
- \bullet The eigen values of Hessian has to be greater than or equal to 0 for convexity with respect to \mathbf{w} .

$$H = \left[\frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial w^2} \right] \qquad \lambda(H) \ge 0$$

• The value of **w** at which function gives minima need not be unique, but value of function for w should be unique.

2.2 Group Details and Individual Contribution

Name	Roll Number	Sections
Garaga V V S Krishna Vamsi	180070020	2.1.1, 2.1.2
Vaibhav Kumar	20d070087	2.1.3, 2.1.4
Abhinav Singh	19D180002	2.1.5
Sristy Kushwaha	180110088	2.1.3
Kavyan Lavti	200100084	