Activity 1. [Subtraction]

For n = 8192 Subtraction1 and Subtranction2 stop giving times due to a Stack Overflow. We have a huge use of the stack memory using Subtraction with a=1.

Subtraction3 has a complexity of O (2^n) it would take 3.28 \*10^4 years, the times grows very fast with this complexity.

TABLE 4

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **n** | **times** |
| 100 | 138\*10^-2 |
| 200 | 103\*10^-1 |
| 400 | 81 |
| 800 | 623 |
| 1600 | 4966 |
| 3200 | 38833 |
| 6400 | OoT |

TABLE 5

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **n** | **times** |
| 30 | 394 |
| 32 | 1172 |
| 34 | 3603 |
| 36 | 10578 |
| 38 | 31621 |
| 40 | 94612 |
| 42 | OoT |

For n = 80, the algorithm takes 2.105\*10^13 milliseconds, so 16 019 years.

Activity 2. [Division]

Divisio1 a complexity O(n) but the trend line looks more exponential than linear, so the times don’t match the theoretical time complexity. Something similar happens to Division2 and Division3, they have complexity O(n\*log n) and O(log n), but the trend line of both times looks more exponential than logarithmic.

TABLE DIVISION 4

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **n** | **times** |
| 1000 | 48\*10^-2 |
| 2000 | 196\*10^-1 |
| 4000 | 79 |
| 8000 | 304 |
| 16000 | 1227 |
| 32000 | 4845 |
| 64000 | 19302 |
| 128000 | 76934 |

TABLE DIVISION 5

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **n** | **times** |
| 1000 | 250\*10^-1 |
| 2000 | 103 |
| 4000 | 402 |
| 8000 | 1630 |
| 16000 | 6369 |
| 32000 | 25338 |
| 64000 | OoT |
| 128000 | OoT |

Activity 2. [Vector Sum and Fibonacci]

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **n** | **Iteration O(n)** | **Substitution O(n)** | **Division O(n)** |
| 3 | 46\*10^-6 |  |  |
| 6 | 66\*10^-6 |  |  |
| 12 | 92\*10^-6 |  |  |
| 24 | 132\*10^-6 |  |  |
| 48 | 219\*10^-6 |  |  |
| 96 | 396\*10^-6 |  |  |
| 192 | 746\*10^-6 |  |  |
| 384 | 1447\*10^-6 |  |  |
| 768 | 285\*10^-5 |  |  |
| 1536 | 566\*10^-5 |  |  |
| 3072 | 566\*10^-5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |