[Avg inserted]						
randomized False	type AVL	count	0072			
ratse	AVL	10000 15000	9872 14723			
		20000 25000	19486 24207			
		30000	28836			
	BST	10000 15000	9872 14723			
		20000	19486			
		25000 30000	24207 28836			
	LIST	10000 15000	9871 14710			
		20000	19484			
		25000 30000	24194 28809			
True	AVL	10000 15000	10000			
		20000	15000 20000			
		25000 30000	25000 30000			
	BST	10000	10000			
		15000 20000	15000 20000			
		25000 30000	25000			
	LIST	10000	30000 10000			
		15000 20000	15000 20000			
		25000	25000			
		30000	30000			
[Avg insert randomized		coun+				
False	type AVL	count 10000	578.46			
		15000 20000	1484.96 2854.10			
		25000	4775.43			
	BST	30000 10000	7216.46 4.13			
		15000 20000	6.07 7.72			
		25000	9.41			
	LIST	30000 10000	11.09 522.20			
		15000	1279.36			
		20000 25000	2529.37 4160.68			
True	AVL	30000 10000	6249.87 1418.78			
True	AVL	15000	3586.11			
		20000 25000	6842.56 11181.17			
	DCT	30000	15772.24			
	BST	10000 15000	3.30 5.73			
		20000 25000	8.10 10.57			
		30000	13.57			
	LIST	10000 15000	923.75 2492.36			
		20000	4935.27			
		25000 30000	8232.16 12337.00			
[Avg found]						
randomized	type	count				
False	AVL	10000 15000	1041 2203			
		20000	3885			
		25000 30000	6030 8616			
	BST	10000 15000	1041 2203			
		20000	3885			
		25000 30000	6030 8616			
	LIST	10000	957			
		15000 20000	2131 3844			
		25000 30000	6029 8603			
True	AVL	10000	998			
		15000 20000	2252 3913			
		25000	6209			
	BST	30000 10000	8930 998			
		15000 20000	2252 3913			
		25000	6209			
	LIST	30000 10000	8930 1007			
		15000	2236 4050			
		20000 25000	6265			
		30000	9081			

[Avg search	time]					
randomized False	type AVL	count 10000	1.11			
Tuesc	AVE	15000	2.23			
		20000 25000	3.37 4.80			
	D.C.T.	30000	6.06			
	BST	10000 15000	1.94 3.19			
		20000	4.34			
		25000 30000	5.74 7.36			
	LIST	10000	850.96			
		15000 20000	1988.71 3779.22			
		25000 30000	5895.82 8703.29			
True	AVL	10000	1.29			
		15000 20000	2.64 3.89			
		25000	5.40			
	BST	30000 10000	6.64 1.23			
		15000	3.00			
		20000 25000	4.17 5.64			
	LICT	30000	6.95			
	LIST	10000 15000	896.67 2189.11			
		20000 25000	4055.83 6583.80			
		30000	9657.00			
[Avg removed]						
randomized	type	count	01.6			
False	AVL	10000 15000	914 2006			
		20000	3520			
		25000 30000	5340 7478			
	BST	10000	914			
		15000 20000	1999 3425			
		25000	5228 7288			
	LIST	30000 10000	879			
		15000 20000	2022 3474			
		25000	5392			
True	AVL	30000 10000	7538 965			
True	AVL	15000	2065			
		20000 25000	3636 5469			
	DCT	30000	7819			
	BST	10000 15000	965 1974			
		20000	3528			
		25000 30000	5469 7819			
	LIST	10000	936			
		15000 20000	2111 3629			
		25000	5588 7735			
		30000	7725			
[Avg remove randomized	time] type	count				
False	AVL	10000	371.99			
		15000 20000	1353.03 3199.50			
		25000	5936.05			
	BST	30000 10000	9840.83 3.47			
		15000	6.12			
		20000 25000	8.47 10.85			
	LIST	30000 10000	13.61 1315.24			
	L131	15000	3039.27			
		20000 25000	5444.87 8361.52			
True	A\/1	30000	11737.62			
	AVL	10000 15000	480.44 1653.11			
		20000	4001.87			
		25000 30000	7470.06 12241.17			
	BST	10000	3.36			
		15000 20000	5.78 8.04			
	LIST	25000	11.07			
		30000 10000	13.72 1710.51			
		15000 20000	3943.24 7043.89			
		25000	10689.59			
		30000	15332.95			

## Results summary:

- Summary:
  For inserting, The most effective is BST tree (400x faster than second LIST)
  For inserting, The least effective is AVL tree (probably due to tree rotations
  For searching, The most effective is AVL tree
  For searching, The least effective is LIST (1000x slower than second BST)
  For removing, The most effective is BST tree (500x faster than second AVL)
  For removing, The leat effective is LIST

- Conclusions:
  If we want to keep overall performance on high level, we should use BST tree
  If we want to focus mainly on searching in tree (without mutating it), we should use AVL tree
  If we don't care about performance but we focus on algorighm simplicity, we should use LIST