Hireability and Educational Prestige

John Vandivier<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>4400 University Dr, Fairfax, VA 22030

Abstract

This paper investigates the effect of educational prestige on willingness to hire.

This paper makes an important contribution to the existing literature on ed-

ucational prestige in that it extends analysis beyond university education by

considering coding bootcamps. This paper further decomposes prestige into

seperate factors for familiarity and quality. Prestige is assessed from a norma-

tive perspective by comparing the explanatory power of aggregate and individual

familiarity and quality ratings.

TODO: highlight stipulated vs non-stipulated high prestige. Does presti-

gious alt education exist irl? is it 4.5 stars on coursereport.com? In my analysis

I basically stipulated prestige as high or low; worth checking if responses reflect

that.

Keywords: TODO

2010 MSC: TODO123

Email address: jvandivi@masonlive.gmu.edu (John Vandivier)

## 1. Introduction

theory: prestige substantially explains hirability rationally conspicuous consumption: part of the degree value is prestige suppose prestige is a combination of quality and familiarity; a simple combination would be the product of those factors.

prestige vs well-known vs reputable; I'm using "economics of prestige" like "economics of reputation" which is well-known + highly regarded as opposed to well-known + lowly regarded (a note on question 13 and 14 wording: in common parlance: prestigious implies "elite" while reputable indicates generally good but not necessarily elite)

### 2. Data and Methodology

- 1. Online questionnaire 2. how was nonresponse bias addressed? maybe not at all - main way to address nonresponse bias is to explicitly capture and correct for all of the individual characteristics that matter: ethnicity, age, income... - it would not be enough to show nonresponse bias exists; - it would need to be shown that it exists in the direction of some effect that moves the relation of interest in a predictable and meaningful way; - else the criticism is an argument from ignorance which due dilligence has been undertaken to preclude. https://forum.effectivealtruism.org/posts/a6LMQcER6Awhawtqq/using-amazons-mechanical-turk-for-animal-advocacy-studies - above indicates overstatement of effects...i would want more info...there is a paper internally cited - above also deflates income nonresponse bias consern (these don't pay much so systematic bias from rich ppl) also i explicitly capture income anyway - "AMT was found to be a reliable source of data and to diminish the potential for non-response error in online research" - https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4397064/ - https://duckofminerva.com/2013/07/mechanical-turk-and-experiments-in-thesocial-sciences.html - https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10967494.2016.1276493 3. How were ratings subjects selected? min 2\*2\*2 (isQuality)\*(isBootcamp)\*(isKnown)
  - 2

social and individual ratings [10 point likert-type unit] 4. a few correction variables based on literature review and computed norm factors how

Test making them aware of the normative data and price data for rating quality and hirability (not for rating name recognition)

#### 3. Results

Results (n = 454) indicate that accredited degrees are generally higher in prestige compared to alternative credentials. At the same, alternative credentials are associated with significant hireability, and alternative credentials are preferred to accredited degrees in a certain common situations.

Three specific situations are identified in which an alternative credential is preferred to a degree from a hireability perspective. First, specific alternative credentials are of particularly high prestige. This study found that a credential from Google was regarded as better than some accredited degrees.

Second, some individuals place high value on alternative education. This study found that 71 percent of respondents preferred at least one specific alternative credential to at least one specific accredited degree. This proportion increases to about 75 percent when respondents are given rating data provided from online aggregator and review sites. These sites include US News and Course Report, and they aggregate learning providers, report standard information about those providers, and allow users to leave reviews.

More than half of respondents prefer a high prestige alternative credential to at least one high prestige accredited degree. After excluding the highest prestige alternative credential from Google, more than one-quarter of respondents still prefer one of the remaining high prestige alternative credentials to at least one high prestige accredited degree. When asked directly, about 42 percent of respondents state that they do not prefer to work with a person that has a college degree rather a person that holds a reputable non-college credential.

Third, in some cases there are indirect compensating factors, such as industry or state effects, that enhance support for alternative credentials to the extent that they become competitive with an accredited degree. In one regression model discussed later on, a state effect for California is positive on hireability to the extent that it compensates almost exactly for the hireability penalty from non-accreditation.

Zety is in part a job search support platform. Zety finds that one in six job applicants are given an interview, and the average conversion rate from interview to offer was 19.78 in 2016[1]. Assuming rejections are independent allows us to naively estimate that most job searches consist of at least four interviews<sup>1</sup> and dozens of applications. Given the rates at which respondents prefer alternative credentials to accredited degrees, a job search of typical length is likely to include several applications and at least one interview with one or more employers that would prefer an alternative credential to an accredited degree.

Average hireability among the sample of

- 1. summary Results (percent prefer degree, prestige high-low stipulated vs prestige yes-no accreditation) 2. vignette Results (prestige vs accreditation on hireability) 3. concrete results (translating prestige into aggregation site metrics; no fav reg) b. context effect 4. [optional] did stipulated prestige match response prestige? did response prestige correlate to aggregation site metrics?
- 1. did perceived prestige explain willingness to hire? 2. did normal prestige explain willingness to hire? 3. is a prestigious alt cred better than a non-prestigious university? where are these prestige cutoffs? are they 1:1? 4. how substantially did individual prestige vary from normal prestige (more variation indicates that it's easier for low-prestige to find a match somewhere)

arguments roughly 1. summary data: stipulated high quality alt creds vs others and proportion that would weakly affirm 2. non-panel models of favorability 3. panelized model of vignette (primary regression analysis) 4. analysis of concrete data using descriptive statistics and insights from the vignette study

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Four independent games that each include an eighty percent chance of rejection yields  $0.8^4 = 0.4096$ . The associated probability of having at least one offer result from four interviews would be about 1 - 0.41 = 0.59, or 59 percent, which is more likely than not.

conclusion - implications for application from the consumer perspective and supply-side (getting on an aggregation site nbd, naming important, public branding)

theoretically (low-prestige + not well known)  $\natural$  (low prestige + well known). did that seem true?

#### 90 4. Conclusions

85

100

1. overall is there evidence that bootcamp can replace college due to prestige effects? what caveats? - we need to consider price in the real world - we had a threshold of name recognition to ensure statistical confidence; what happens if we drop below that? say a bootcamp we less than 30 reviews? 2.

online education isn't a good fit for all learners 3. parental preference matters; if parents will finance university but not bootcamp (or vice-versa) then effective cost to student is modified - this also presents a channel for pro-ACNG movement 4. policy differences: government or employer may provide financing or employment conditional on a certain type of educational participation

overall advice: as an individual, work backwards from a particular desired job description as a society: push for policies which make comparing across types easier, generate lower cost and higher skill outputs, and clearer signals of productivity, reducing demand for prestige which is really 1) a second-best / fallback signal of productivity, and 2) a form of slack and a biased means toward inefficient selection (anti-diversity as a byproduct; again see Pedigree)

# References

[1] B. Turczynski, 2021 hr statistics: Job search, hiring, recruiting & interviews (Feb 2021).

URL https://zety.com/blog/hr-statistics