

# Conformity and Soft Skills as Determinants of Alternatively Credentialed Non-College Graduate Hireability

John Vandivier<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>4400 University Dr, Fairfax, VA 22030

---

## Abstract

Despite targeting technical skills, vocational school graduates earn less than college graduates. This paper hypothesizes that conformity selection and a perceived deficit in soft skills substantially explain reduced alternatively credentialed non-college graduate (ACNG) hireability. Microdata from the United States confirm a perceived soft skill deficit for ACNG labor. Results indicate that conformity is a critical factor of hireability, but the direction of effect is heterogeneous by employer type. Conformity and perceived skill gaps explain about one-third of hireability variance. Perceived soft skill explains more hireability variance than widely recognized factors like the industry of occupation. Opposite conventional explanation, results suggest that conformity reduces hireability on average. Respondents tend to perceive ACNG candidates as an even mix of high and low performers. Evidence favors employer risk aversion toward labor productivity as a preferred explanation of low ACNG demand. ACNG labor is perceived as low in conscientiousness and body language communication skills compared to college graduates. The conclusion incorporates discussion of public misperception on vocational school costs and suggests activities to reduce unconscious bias.

*Keywords:* education economics, signaling, alternative education, conformity, vocational

*2010 MSC:* I21, I22, J20

---

*Email address:* [jvandivi@masonlive.gmu.edu](mailto:jvandivi@masonlive.gmu.edu) (John Vandivier)



## 1. Introduction

A substantial gap exists between the skills expected by employers and those possessed by college graduates[1, 2, 3, 4]. Experts view college alternatives, including vocational school, to be useful for technical training, but the traditional college degree retains a wage premium over vocational education. Unemployment, underemployment, and other adverse labor outcomes follow a similar pattern[5]. This paper seeks to resolve the apparent discrepancy between these outcomes while preserving the mainline economic view that employers pay for perceived job candidate skill. To explain the apparent discrepancy, this paper tests the hypothesis that employers expect an offsetting non-technical skill deficit when considering an alternatively credentialed non-college graduate (ACNG). I find evidence that employers and the general population in the United States expect a low level of soft skills from ACNG job candidates.

Alternative credentials refer to credentials other than the undergraduate degree[6]. The category includes, for example, industry certifications, portfolios of work, digital badges, and other records of unaccredited learning and achievement. Individuals pursuing alternative credentials typically intend to leverage it toward better employment. That is, they typically have the same goals as a college student. Many individuals obtain alternative credentials as a supplement to the college degree. Such a situation is Pareto-superior to degree attainment alone and is therefore intentionally excluded from the analysis. This paper focuses on the comparatively interesting case of alternative credentials as a substitute for the college degree to diagnose comparative disadvantage at the skill level. If a specific skill set explains labor outcome differences, alternative learning providers can improve their products to reduce the outcome gap.

The signaling model has become one of the two standard explanations of the value of the college degree. Signaling theory provides three advantages over human capital theory for the present study. First, signaling theory can explain labor outcome variance when human capital is held constant.

Second, the signaling model empowers a questionnaire research design. In an

idealized human capital model, the measures of human capital would correspond to production process inputs. To establish a wide array of such skill measures would be complicated and prone to measurement sensitivities, assumptions, and errors of various kinds. In this framework, a questionnaire is a second-  
35 best design that provides a proxy for the functional measure of skill. Signaling theory takes the reverse approach. According to the signaling model, employer perception and evaluation drive their willingness to pay for a job candidate. The manner in which employer perception relates to job candidate technical skill, if at all, is secondary. In this framework, a questionnaire is ideal. An additional  
40 benefit of using a questionnaire is the ability to ask hypothetical questions. In pondering hypotheticals, employer evaluation of a credential or signal can be isolated from the human capital variance, which occurs in actual job candidates.

Third, signaling theorists have laid out a testable hypothesis for weak labor outcomes among non-college graduates. Following this model, scholars claim  
45 that the college degree signals intelligence, conscientiousness, and conformity[7]. Nontraditional education, in contrast, is hypothesized to signal nonconformity. Nontraditional courses can be completed in a shorter time and with reduced entry qualifications relative to the traditional degree. For this reason, alternative credentials hypothetically signal low conscientiousness relative to the college  
50 degree.

Research indicates that employer demand for employee conscientiousness and conformity follows a bliss point pattern. Excess individual conscientiousness can disturb team performance[8]. Conformity can lead to a lack of innovation and suboptimal organizational practices[9]. Conformity selection occurs in part  
55 through heuristic rather than deliberate cognition.

Risk aversion is a distinct explanation for conformity selection. Some employers are not able to evaluate an alternative credential with confidence. Such an employer views ACNG labor as a gamble with some odds of positive or negative outlier value. The employer may not prefer to hire such a candidate due to  
60 risk aversion, even if their point estimate for ACNG labor value is higher than their point estimate for a recent college graduate. If firm size effects are posi-

tively associated with ACNG hireability, this will add weight to an explanation based on risk aversion.

## 2. Data and Methodology

65 A simple model of demand for labor provides context for the hypothesis of interest. This model is clarified in Equations 1a and 1b:

$$S_j = f(H_j) \tag{1a}$$

$$w_{ij} = E_i(MRP_j) = f_i(S_j) \tag{1b}$$

Job candidate  $j$ , generates a signal of productivity,  $S_j$  from unobserved human capital,  $H_j$ . Employer  $i$ , forms an expectation of the marginal revenue  
70 product of  $j$  on the basis of  $f_i(S_j)$ , an employer-specific evaluation of  $S_j$ . A specific employer is willing to pay a specific job candidate wages of  $w_{ij}$ .

This study uses ordinary least squares (OLS) regression analysis to estimate the effect of perceived skill gaps on hireability. An employer is willing to pay more for a relatively hireable individual. The representation of willingness to pay  
75 makes hireability a proxy of demand for labor and  $w_{ij}$ . This paper hypothesizes that employers preferentially value soft skills in the course of  $f_i(S_j)$  to explain the reduced willingness to pay for ACNG labor relative to college graduate labor. If employers do bias toward soft skills in job candidate evaluation, one or more soft skills should yield a negative coefficient in a regression on hireability.

80 This study uses original cross-sectional data from an online self-completed questionnaire ( $n = 212$ ). The data is available for replication or any other use<sup>1</sup>. The Amazon Mechanical Turk crowdsourcing service provided respondents for the questionnaire. Respondents were United States citizens at or over the age of

---

<sup>1</sup>See [https://osf.io/8qtxf/?view\\_only=95b0c0b0c65e4b7983198cc87c2ab733](https://osf.io/8qtxf/?view_only=95b0c0b0c65e4b7983198cc87c2ab733) for data and statistical analysis code used in this study.

eighteen, paid for participation, and selected on an opt-in, first-come, first-serve  
85 basis. The survey administration took place in July of 2020.

The survey includes 65 questions in two sections<sup>2</sup>. The first section captures  
respondent characteristics, and the second section captures perceived skill rel-  
ative to hypothetical job applicants. Employer responses did not significantly  
differ from the general population, so results generally hold for both employers  
90 and the United States population.

Regression variables in this study are categorical or Likert-type responses  
based on a scale from 1 to 10<sup>3</sup>. Higher Likert-type values indicate greater  
agreement with a statement that varies by variable. Categorical variables in-  
clude state of residence, the industry of occupation, employer status, firm size,  
95 and a measure called duration.

Duration measures the length of time the respondent believes it takes to  
obtain an alternative credential. Employer status describes whether an individ-  
ual makes hiring and firing decisions in the course of their employment. The  
variable takes one of three values: yes, no, or unemployed. Employer effects  
100 refer to the case where an individual states that they do make hiring and firing  
decisions. State of residence refers to a state within the United States or the  
District of Columbia. While it was permitted, no actual responses identified the  
District of Columbia as a residence.

Regression analysis includes three other factor groups, and they are mea-  
105 sured using Likert-type units. They include hireability, rulebreaker effects, and  
perceived skill gaps. Hireability is the dependent factor. It indicates the degree  
of agreement that, "For many professions, alternative credentials can qualify a

---

<sup>2</sup>See Appendix A for a full copy of the survey.

<sup>3</sup>It is an accepted practice to treat Likert-type responses as either categorical or continuous  
for regression analysis. Jaccard and Wan provide support for continuous analysis of Likert-  
type data. They note that severe departures from the assumptions on cardinality "do not  
seem to affect Type I and Type II errors dramatically," particularly when the Likert scale is  
five or more points[10]. This paper uses a 10-point scale and treats these data as continuous.  
A 10-point scale is equivalent to a continuous response from 1 to 10 with rounding.

person for an entry-level position.”

Rulebreaker effects refer to a collection of three factors that measure respondent agreement with statements about nonconformists, or “People who are willing to break formal or informal rules and norms.” The first statement indicates that nonconformists present a risk to a company’s reputation, productivity, or value. This statement received the least agreement and greatest response variance among three qualitatively different descriptions of nonconformists ( $\mu = 6.40, \sigma = 2.55$ ).

The second statement indicates that nonconformists are held back by rules and “could just as easily be high performers as low performers.” This statement received the most agreement and least variance among rulebreaker statements ( $\mu = 7.42, \sigma = 1.91$ ). The agreement with this statement provides evidence against the thesis that employers value conformity for its own sake. In turn, this adds weight to the theory that employers value conformity as a risk aversion tactic while knowing that nonconformity signals positive outlier potential. The third description of nonconformists states that they are creative, innovative, and may benefit company culture ( $\mu = 7.25, \sigma = 2.03$ ).

Perceived skill questions in the second section of the survey allow for two ways to calculate perceived skill gaps. Perceived skill gaps are measured separately with and without overqualification effects. Overqualification effects are important in external research[11, 12], but skill gap analysis that ignores these effects is also routine[13].

Perceived skill is a Likert-type response reporting agreement with the statement that a particular candidate has a particular skill. For each of 13 skills, the respondent imagines and reports skill levels for the ideal candidate, the average actual employee, the average recent college graduate, and the average ACNG. Raw perceived ACNG skill gaps equal the difference of the perceived skill of an ideal candidate with the perceived skill of an ACNG. The perceived skill gap with overqualification effects equals the raw perceived skill gap. The perceived skill gap without overqualification effects is calculated as the raw skill gap or zero if the raw skill gap value is negative.

Rulebreaker effects and perceived skill gaps are structurally linked. One of  
140 the skills that respondents evaluate is nonconformity, or "willingness to break  
formal or informal rules and norms." Nonconformity interacts with employer  
disposition to rulebreaking. For this reason, discussions on the importance of  
skill gaps include discussion on rulebreaker effects.

These methods allow for the identification of a preferred model that explains  
145 hireability using perceived ACNG skill gaps. The identified model will support  
the hypothesis if soft skills are more important than technical skill gaps. The  
model will support the risk aversion explanation of ACNG hireability over an  
explanation from conformity selection if large employer size is positively associ-  
ated with hireability.

150 The last section of results goes over comparative results between ACNG skill  
gaps and gaps among recent college graduates. Comparative analysis provides  
additional confidence in the data by replicating the hireability gap between  
ACNG labor and recent college graduates reported in external research. Model  
6, the initial comparative model, results from an adjustment of Model 5, the pre-  
155 ferred noncomparative model of hireability. Each perceived skill gap in Model  
5 is replaced with a comparative skill gap variable to generate Model 6. Com-  
parative skill gaps equal the perceived skill gap for the ACNG minus the recent  
college graduate's perceived skill gap. Multiple regression of these comparison  
factors on hireability demonstrates which, if any, perceived skill gaps are im-  
160 portant distinguishers of the ACNG from the college graduate. Identification  
of significant differences with a total negative effect on hireability will replicate  
external data on the lower job market value of ACNG labor and provide a diag-  
nostic that can be used by alternative learning providers to assist in remediating  
the gap in labor outcomes.



### 165 3. Results

#### *3.1. Identification of the Preferred Model*

Results confirm that employers and the general population associate a soft skill deficit with ACNG candidates. Employer status was associated with an insignificant positive coefficient on hireability. Hireability was generally positive  
170 ( $\mu = 7.57, \sigma = 1.80$ ) and depends critically on rulebreaker effects. Rulebreaker effects have more explanatory power than perceived skill gaps, and perceived skill gaps have more explanatory power than widely recognized factors like state of residence and industry. Duration effects, positive nonconformity selection, and the lack of important differences in conformity between ACNG and college  
175 graduate labor provide support for an explanation of ACNG hireability based on risk aversion rather than positive conformity selection.

Table 1 reports statistics for five multiple regressions. The table displays selected coefficients for brevity. Selected variables include rulebreaker effects and any perceived skill gap that is important in any specification. In these models,  
180 an important factor is one that improves the adjusted explanatory power of the model when included. These factors are not constrained to have a p-value of less than 0.1, but as a rule of thumb, they generally have a p-value of less than 0.3. Of the thirteen perceived skill gaps tested, eight were important in at least one specification. Seven skill gaps were important in Model 5, the preferred  
185 model. Evidence is found for an important interaction between the information technology industry and perceived body language communication skills.

Model 1 allows for overqualification. Model 2 uses the perceived skill gap measures that exclude overqualification effects. Models 3 and 4 are equivalent to models 1 and 2, respectively, after normalizing for industry, state, and firm size  
190 effects. Effect normalization involves retaining those factors which are robust across both models 1 and 2. For example, Alabama has a significant effect when overqualification is allowed in Model 1. The effect for respondent residence in Alabama is insignificant Model 2, which excludes overqualification. Because Alabama is sensitive to this specification change, it is considered nonrobust and

Table 1: Table of Coefficients for Multiple Regressions on hireability, Selected Variables

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
Gap, Body Language	-2.240e-01* (8.314e-02)	-3.831e-01** (1.124e-01)	-1.507e-01+ (8.980e-02)	-3.155e-01* (1.173e-01)	-3.060e-01* (1.145e-01)
Gap, Body Language-IT	2.199e-01+ (1.269e-01)	2.298e-01 (1.656e-01)	1.837e-01 (1.334e-01)	2.791e-01 (1.707e-01)	2.771e-01+ (1.665e-01)
Gap, Commute		-2.320e-01++ (9.720e-02)	-4.953e-02 (6.862e-02)	-1.197e-01 (1.023e-01)	-1.582e-01 (1.010e-01)
Gap, Conscientiousness	2.416e-01* (8.000e-02)	3.223e-01* (1.045e-01)	1.387e-01 (8.483e-02)	2.174e-01+ (1.129e-01)	2.175e-01++ (1.093e-01)
Gap, Customer Service	-1.259e-01+ (6.389e-02)	-1.512e-01 (9.599e-02)	-1.253e-01+ (7.162e-02)	-1.276e-01 (1.037e-01)	-1.323e-01 (1.009e-01)
Gap, Nonconformity		-6.336e-02 (1.028e-01)	-3.896e-02 (6.054e-02)	-8.535e-02 (1.082e-01)	-1.034e-01 (1.036e-01)
Gap, Salary		-1.135e-01 (8.284e-02)	3.873e-02 (6.597e-02)	-6.250e-03 (8.575e-02)	
Gap, Teamwork		1.227e-01 (9.179e-02)	6.812e-02 (6.963e-02)	1.287e-01 (9.697e-02)	1.131e-01 (9.505e-02)
Gap, Technical	-1.274e-01+ (7.443e-02)		-9.408e-02 (7.702e-02)	-1.010e-01 (1.023e-01)	-9.806e-02 (1.001e-01)
Rulebreaker, Culture Add	2.612e-01** (7.057e-02)	2.829e-01** (7.015e-02)	2.114e-01* (7.187e-02)	2.279e-01* (7.190e-02)	2.235e-01* (7.036e-02)
Rulebreaker, Risky	1.688e-01** (4.993e-02)	1.758e-01** (4.813e-02)	1.517e-01* (5.160e-02)	1.472e-01* (5.063e-02)	1.686e-01** (5.006e-02)
Rulebreaker, Rockstars	1.406e-01+ (7.646e-02)	1.748e-01++ (7.245e-02)	1.669e-01++ (7.851e-02)	1.546e-01++ (7.754e-02)	1.655e-01++ (7.599e-02)
Adj R-sqr	0.3100	0.3491	0.2317	0.2554	0.2866
R-sqr	0.4408	0.4663	0.3409	0.3613	0.3880

Standard errors in parentheses. +  $p < 0.10$ , ++  $p < 0.05$ , \*  $p < .01$ , \*\*  $p < .001$ 

For each model, the probability of a greater F-statistic is less than 0.0001 and the sample size is 212.

195 excluded after normalization. Employer status was positive in all models, but  
it was only significant in models 1 and 2. Significance loss on employer status  
during normalization suggests that the effect of employer status on hireability  
critically interacts with industry, state of residence, and firm size, which are the  
normalized effects.

200 Making two adjustments to Model 4 yields Model 5. Model 5 drops the  
factor for salary. The salary factor improved adjusted explanatory power in  
Model 2, but it did not provide that benefit in other models. Moreover, the  
p-value of this factor was unacceptably low in Model 4 ( $p > 0.9$ ). The second  
adjustment is to insert a variable for duration<sup>4</sup>. The belief that it takes more  
205 than a year to obtain an alternative credential is positively correlated with  
hireability ( $\beta = 0.875, p < 0.01$ ).

The preferred model explains roughly one-third of the variance in hireabil-  
ity. Rulebreaker effects are significant invariant to specification. Six of the  
seven perceived skill gaps in the preferred model are measures of soft skill. The  
210 perceived technical skill gap coefficient is insignificant, but it does possess an  
intuitive negative sign that is robust to specification. The dominant effect of  
soft skills in the preferred regression supports the main hypothesis.

In the classic signaling explanation of low ACNG demand, the ACNG signals  
an abnormal lack of conscientiousness and conformity. Regression analysis raises  
215 three problems for the conformity component of the classic explanation. These  
problems are robust to the specification and operationalization of conformity.  
First, rulebreaker effects are positively related to hireability. Secondly, a gap  
in perceived nonconformity yields a negative coefficient on hireability. This gap  
effect is equivalent to an association of low hireability and high conformity.

220 Overqualification is ignored in Model 5, so a positive gap indicates that

---

<sup>4</sup>Duration is a categorical variable that was important in both Models 1 and 2. As a  
categorical variable, it decomposes into a boolean series for factor analysis. Models 1 and 2  
retained one or more duration boolean factors, but none overlapped. As a result, models 3  
and 4 drop the duration variable.

the job candidate has less skill than an ideal candidate. In this model, an increase in gap size is a reduction in the perceived level of skill possessed by a job candidate. Therefore, the negative coefficient for the nonconformity gap factor indicates that a low level of nonconformity is associated with reduced hireability. Similarly, a high level of perceived nonconformity has a positive effect on hireability. A summary statistic reinforces the point that conformity is not generally in demand: The average actual employee has a higher level of perceived nonconformity than either the ACNG or the recent college graduate.

The third reason that an ACNG nonconformity signal does not explain reduced hireability is that ACNG and recent college graduate labor does not significantly differ in perceived levels of conformity. Here, summary statistics demonstrate this. Later, Table 3 reiterates the point from another perspective. That table presents models in which comparative skill gaps between ACNG and recent college graduate labor explain ACNG hireability, but conformity is not an important factor in any such model.

The average level of perceived nonconformity for the ACNG is 6.43, with a standard deviation of 2.25. The average for a recent college graduate is 6.29, with a standard deviation of 2.36. Informally, a lack of significant difference is apparent in that the means differ by less than one-quarter of a standard deviation. Formally, the F-statistic from an analysis of variance ( $F = 19.25$ ) and Pearson's chi-squared test ( $\chi = 298.80$ ) each indicate a probability of independence which is less than 0.0001.

The coefficient on conscientiousness is significant and positive across specifications. Intuition would dictate that a large gap in conscientiousness would be associated with reduced hireability. Regression of the perceived gap in conscientiousness alone on hireability does produce the expected negative coefficient. Attenuation between the direct measure of conscientiousness and its cross-correlates explains the sign change. Conscientiousness is cross-correlated with several factors in each model from Table 1. It could be the case that these cross-correlated effects have partialled from negative variation in conscientiousness. Removing factors for skill gaps and rulebreaker effects from

Model 5 tests this hypothesis. Model 5 retains the other factors for state, industry, duration, and employer status. When specified as such, the factor for the perceived conscientiousness skill gap yields the expected negative coefficient  
255  $(\beta = -0.084, p < 0.31)$ .

Employer size is an important factor in the preferred model. The largest categorical value for employer size is positively associated with hireability. This value represents employers with 10,000 or more employees. This finding supports the explanation of hireability from risk aversion over positive conformity  
260 selection.

Some state and industry effects are important in the preferred model. Regarding industry effects, an interesting interaction between body language communication skills and employment in the information technology industry yields a significant and positive coefficient on hireability. Body language skill gaps are  
265 independently associated with reduced hireability. The interaction indicates a reduced penalty for lack of body language communication skills in the information technology industry.

A reduced penalty for soft skill deficit helps explain the particular flourishing of alternative credentials in the information technology industry. The  
270 reduced penalty in this particular industry might be related to its relative lack of regulation. Another hypothetical explanation is that the reduced penalty is related to cultural norms in the industry. Suppose that there is a diminished technical need for social skills in programming. In that case, introverts obtain a comparative advantage in this field. Further study that includes personality  
275 data is encouraged to test this hypothesis.

### *3.2. Explanatory Share of Perceived Gaps*

The preferred model explains about one-third of hireability variance, but how much of the explanatory power is attributable to perceived skill gaps? Table 2 provides evidence on the importance of perceived skill gaps and rulebreaker  
280 effects relative to other factor groups. Industry and state effects are factor groups regarded in external literature as important for models in the labor

Table 2: Factor Group Explanatory Power in a Simple Regression

Effect Group Name	Adj R-Sqr	R-Sqr	Max p-value
Industry	0.0185	0.0510	0.288
Rulebreaker	0.1432	0.1554	0.053
Skill Gaps with Overqualification	0.0558	0.0737	0.106
Skill Gaps without Overqualification	0.0758	0.0933	0.115
State, Robust	0.0177	0.0503	0.227
State, Semi-Robust	0.0034	0.0648	0.831

market. Table 2 shows that perceived skill gaps and rulebreaker effects explain more variance in hireability than do the widely utilized variables for industry and state. Rulebreaker effects collectively explain more than three times as  
285 much response variance as do industrial or state effects.

Comparing simple regressions of perceived skill gaps on hireability reproduces the finding from multiple regression analysis that overqualification effects reduce explanatory power. Overqualification effects are heterogeneously signed and curved by skill. As a result, nonlinear analysis is likely to improve ex-  
290 planatory power. Isolating overqualification effects into distinct factors may also improve model performance. Such an analysis would also require a larger sample to compensate for the loss of degrees of freedom.

Semi-robust state effects are state effects which are significant in any multiple regression described in Table 1. Robust state effects are the significant factors  
295 in a simple regression of semi-robust state effects on hireability. Illinois is an example of a state effect that appears in models 1 and 2 from Table 1 but is not significant in a simple regression of semi-robust state effects on hireability.

The r-squared associated with a simple regression of semi-robust state effects is greater than the r-squared associated with robust state effects. Analysis has  
 300 shown that perceived skill gaps that include overqualification effects are comparatively weak representations of perceived skill gaps for models of hireability. These two measures allow computation of a conservative lower-bound on the explanatory ratio of perceived skill gaps to state effects. Perceived skill gaps conservatively explain about 14 percent more variance in hireability than do  
 305 state effects<sup>5</sup>.

With overqualification, perceived skill gaps explain about fifty percent more of the variance in hireability than industry or robust state effects. Without overqualification, the adjusted explanatory power of perceived skill gaps is about three times the adjusted explanatory power of industry or state effects. Rule-  
 310 breaker effects are about twice as important as perceived skill gaps. These findings collectively provide evidence that perceived skill gaps and rulebreaker effects are factors of high importance for models of hireability.

### 3.3. Perceived Gaps Compared to the Recent College Graduate

Table 3 provides two models of hireability where comparative skill gaps are  
 315 independent factors. Replacing perceived skill gap factors in Model 5 with comparative perceived skill gap factors, then dropping other factors, results in Model 6. Eliminating insignificant factors to maximize adjusted explanatory power in Model 6 yields Model 7. In total, Model 6 drops four factors from Model 7. These four factors each had a p-value greater than 0.55. The maximum  
 320 p-value in Model 7 is less than 0.16. The maximum p-value in Model 7 is less than 0.16.

Models 6 and 7 demonstrate that a few soft skills do constitute a significant difference between groups. The main differentiator between ACNG labor and the recent college graduate is body language communication skill. Respondents  
 325 perceive ACNG labor to be deficient in this skill relative to recent college grad-

---

<sup>5</sup>This is computed as  $(0.0737/0.0648) - 1 = .1373$  and rounded to 0.14.

Table 3: Multiple Regression of Comparative Skill Gap on Hireability

	Model 6	Model 7	Model 8
Body Language	-3.295e-01*	-3.395e-01*	-1.444e-01
Commute	1.498e-01	1.574e-01	8.030e-02
Conscientiousness	1.416e-01	1.508e-01	-5.239e-02
Customer Service	-1.493e-02		
Technical	4.955e-02		
Teamwork	1.552e-02		
Nonconformity	-5.822e-02		
Body Language <sup>2</sup>			3.400e-02
Body Language <sup>3</sup>			-1.938e-02
Commute <sup>2</sup>			-1.124e-02
Commute <sup>3</sup>			2.334e-03
Conscientiousness <sup>2</sup>			-4.646e-02
Conscientiousness <sup>3</sup>			3.801e-02
Constant	7.613**	7.629**	7.671**
Adj R-sqr	0.0311	0.0474	0.0571
R-sqr	0.0633	0.0609	0.0973
p(F)	0.0610	0.0044	0.0125

\*  $p < .01$ , \*\*  $p < .001$



uates. This comparative disadvantage comes at the price of reduced ACNG hireability.

Conscientiousness and willingness to commute are the other two comparative skill gaps in Model 7. These two factors are positively signed, smaller in magnitude, and of lower significance than the factor for body language communication skills.<sup>z</sup> Model 7 plus summary statistics on the average value for each independent variable demonstrates that the average total effect of comparative skill gaps on hireability is negative<sup>6</sup>. This negative total effect replicates external research that shows lower demand for ACNG labor.

The average effect of an increased comparative gap in willingness to commute is positive on hireability. The fact that respondents perceive ACNG candidates as more willing to commute than recent college graduates explains the positive coefficient. The reverse is true for body language communication skills. For body language communication skills, the recent college graduate has the larger level of perceived skill on average. Because the average case favors the recent college graduate, linear optimization provides an average effect for this comparative gap, which negatively affects hireability.


Conscientiousness has a more complicated result. The college graduate has an advantage on average, but the coefficient remains positive. This would seem to indicate that the ACNG maximizes hireability by minimizing conscientiousness. The reason is that Model 7 omits important nonlinear effects. Figure 1 is a scatterplot of residuals from a simple regression of comparative conscientiousness on hireability. The plot indicates a residual trend down and to the right with some heteroscedasticity. Looking along the bottom of the graph shows an m-shape, which is consistent with cubic effects.

Model 8 captures this curvilinear relationship by expanding Model 7 with

---

<sup>6</sup>The respective mean values for the comparative gaps in perceived body language skill, willingness to commute, and conscientiousness are -0.1415, 0.0943, and 0.0330. The average total effect on hireability attributable to these effects is computed as:  $-0.0579 = -0.3395 * 0.1415 - 0.1574 * 0.0943 + 0.1508 * 0.0330$ .

Figure 1: Scatterplot of Residual and Fitted Values for Comparative Gap in Conscientiousness



quadratic and cubic factors. The coefficients in this model are not significant, but the directions of effect are as expected. In this model, the average total effect of the comparative conscientiousness gap on hireability is negative<sup>7</sup>. The average  
355 total effect for Model 8 as a whole is also negative<sup>8</sup>. When the comparative gap in conscientiousness increases above the average of 0.33, the linear effect is negative and the marginal effect is also negative, but the marginal effect is becoming less negative as conscientiousness increases.

Models 7 and 8 provide a skill-level diagnostic in service of closing the de-  
360 mand gap for ACNG labor. Alternative learning providers can implement body language communication training in their products, or ACNG candidates can

<sup>7</sup>Computed as:  $-0.0018 = -0.0524 * 0.0330 - 0.0465 * 0.0330^2 + 0.0380 * 0.0330^3$

<sup>8</sup>Computed as:  $-0.0293 = -0.1444 * 0.1415 + 0.0340 * 0.1415^2 - 0.0194 * 0.1415^3 - 0.0803 * 0.0943 - 0.0112 * 0.0943^2 - 0.0023 * 0.0943^3 - 0.0524 * 0.0330 - 0.0465 * 0.0330^2 + 0.0380 * 0.0330^3$

obtain additional training and credentials that target this skill a la cart. ACNG job candidates can offset perceived conscientiousness deficit by provisioning work history or results of a personality test. External research indicates that psychological therapy and other interventions can boost conscientiousness in some cases[14].

#### 4. Conclusion

This study provides evidence that skill signals are an important factor of hireability and are unique for the ACNG. Perceived skill gaps do a better job of explaining hireability than do other widely recognized effects, such as industry and state effects. Employer factors better explain candidate hireability than do the perceived skill gaps themselves. Technical skill gaps were identified with less relevance to hiring decisions compared to soft skill gaps for ACNG job candidates.

The classic signaling model explanation for employer preference of college graduate labor over ACNG labor is that the college degree provides a comparative signal of conscientiousness and conformity. The present paper finds evidence that conscientiousness is an important comparative difference that contributes to weak ACNG demand, but conformity does not have such a general effect. This paper finds that employers of different types have qualitatively different views about conformity. Some employers demand conformity, but many demand nonconformity.

This paper provides evidence that some employers engage in conformity selection as a means of avoiding risk to the reputation, productivity, or value of a company. Ironically, such employers are nonconformist themselves. Respondents were most favorable to the description of nonconformists as individuals that could just as easily be high performers as low performers. An explanation from risk aversion is preferred because it explains low ACNG labor demand from an employer giving either of the above responses, while positive conformity selection is only able to explain the former case.

Risk aversion and conformity selection are both partially unconscious biases that lead to an inefficient organizational operation. A practical recommendation is for organizations to implement bias controls with respect to ACNG evaluation. An example control would be to provide human resource procedures for formal  
395 evaluation of particular credentials that are relevant to specified job families. These procedures can be immediately executed among known credentials and job families. These procedures should be retained for ongoing application as new credentials are developed and encountered over time.

Another action item is for educational institutions, policymakers, and the  
400 general public to take action against misinformation about alternative education. Evidence on the role of misinformation is demonstrated in a survey on trade schooling taken in 2019[15]. Only 27 percent of respondents correctly responded that lower debt is an advantage of enrolling in trade school relative to college. Additionally, over 75 percent of respondents failed to notice that  
405 trade school graduates receive industry employment sooner and receive specialized training when compared to a four-year college. Obtaining a college degree after obtaining some work experience will allow students to leverage employer tuition benefits. Because ACNG hireability varies importantly by the particular employer, ACNG job candidates are advised to apply to a substantial number  
410 of employers at the outset of the job search. Insight into ACNG hireability at a particular firm can be obtained prior to application through social networking with employees of the firm, online research into the policies of the target employer, or consulting a recruiter that specializes in the target employment industry.

415 The preferred model explains about one-third of hireability. Perceived skill gaps and rulebreaker effects account for most of the explanatory power in the model. The explanatory power of this study can be meaningfully improved in a few ways. A longitudinal study would allow for causal analysis and improve forecasting of ACNG hireability in the future. Other research has conducted some  
420 dynamic analysis of the same dependent variable with different regressors[16]. An analysis that integrates these different effects is expected to yield greater

total explanatory power. Analysis that includes overqualification effects and heterogeneous nonlinear relations between skill gaps and hireability would improve estimates of hireability for a candidate of a particular skill profile.

425 This paper noted that large employers and the information technology industry has a peculiar susceptibility to alternative credentials, so recent changes implemented by Google may be indicative of future trends. Google has not required a college degree since prior to 2013[17]. Laszlo Bock, then Senior Vice President of People Operations at Google, stated the following in 2013: "After  
430 two or three years, your ability to perform at Google is completely unrelated to how you performed when you were in school, because the skills you required in college are very different." In 2020, Google added three new certificate programs to an existing set and declared that all of its certificates would be treated as the equivalent of an undergraduate degree for their hiring purposes[18].

435 If perceived skill is representative of actual skill, then the current study concludes that employers should be more willing to hire an ACNG. At the same time, this paper provides evidence that perceived and actual skill levels sometimes do not align. For example, the average recent college graduate in the sample is perceived to have better technical skills compared to the average  
440 ACNG. This is surprising because last-mile training, a kind of alternative education, has been specifically recommended in popular literature as a remedy for the technical skill gaps that exist among recent college graduates.

Employers seem to be favorable to individuals with alternative credentials. In many cases, employer-perceived skill gaps are not statistically different when  
445 comparing recent college graduates with ACNG candidates. Social preference for the college degree may be better explained by public ignorance about appropriate alternative programs, a lack of appropriate programs for certain occupations, and government policy that gives preference to accredited education.

## References

- 450 [1] K. B. McGarry, An examination of perceived employability skills between employers and college graduates, Northeastern University, 2016.
- [2] G. Malik, A. Venkatraman, “the great divide”: skill gap between the employer’s expectations and skills possessed by employees, Industrial and Commercial Training (2017).
- 455 [3] F. K. Abbasi, A. Ali, N. Bibi, Analysis of skill gap for business graduates: managerial perspective from banking industry, Education+ Training (2018).
- [4] Y. Gingras, R. Roy, Is there a skill gap in canada?, Canadian Public Policy/Analyse de politiques (2000) S159–S174.
- 460 [5] M. Smith, Spotlight on research: The distributional impact of unemployment (2011).  
URL [https://www.philadelphiafed.org/  
community-development/publications/cascade/77/07\\_  
distributional-impact-of-unemployment](https://www.philadelphiafed.org/community-development/publications/cascade/77/07_distributional-impact-of-unemployment)
- 465 [6] J. Brown, M. Kurzweil, The complex universe of alternative postsecondary credentials and pathways, American Academy of Arts and Sciences Cambridge, MA, 2017.
- [7] B. Caplan, The case against education: Why the education system is a waste of time and money, Princeton University Press, 2018.
- 470 [8] P. L. Curşeu, R. Ilies, D. Vîrgă, L. Maricuţoiu, F. A. Sava, Personality characteristics that are valued in teams: Not always “more is better”?, International Journal of Psychology 54 (5) (2019) 638–649.
- [9] G. Symon, C. Cassell, Neglected perspectives in work and organizational psychology, Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology 79 (3)  
475 (2006) 307–314.

- [10] J. Jaccard, C. K. Wan, J. Jaccard, LISREL approaches to interaction effects in multiple regression, no. 114, sage, 1996.
- [11] F. Green, S. McIntosh, Is there a genuine under-utilization of skills amongst the over-qualified?, *Applied Economics* 39 (4) (2007) 427–439.
- 480 [12] M. Raybould, H. Wilkins, Over qualified and under experienced, *International journal of contemporary hospitality management* (2005).
- [13] D. Blake, Skills quotient: The solution to the ceo’s biggest problem (Oct 2018).  
URL <https://blog.degreed.com/skills-quotient-solution-ceos-biggest-problem/>
- 485 [14] M. Kilduff, S. Tasselli, B. Landis, Becoming more conscientious (Mar 2018).  
URL <https://hbr.org/2018/03/becoming-more-conscientious>
- [15] J. Arabia, Survey: What do young americans really think about trade school? (Feb 2019).  
URL <https://www.bigrentz.com/blog/trade-school-survey>
- 490 [16] J. Vandivier, Preliminary attitudinal trends in alternative postsecondary learning, *Applied Economics Letters* (2020) 1–4.
- [17] A. Bryant, In head-hunting, big data may not be such a big deal, *The New York Times* 20 (2013).
- 495 [18] A. Hess, Google announces 100,000 scholarships for online certificates in data analytics, project management and ux (Jul 2020).  
URL <https://www.cnbc.com/2020/07/13/google-announces-certificates-in-data-project-management-and-ux.html>