

# UNIT-4

## TESTING OF HYPOTHESIS-I

In some problems we have to conclude whether the statement concerning a parameter is true or false to estimate the value of parameter, we must test that the hypothesis about a parameter.

The procedure which enables us to decide on the basis of sample results whether a hypothesis is true or false is called test of hypothesis.

There are 2 types of hypothesis

1. Null hypothesis

2. Alternate hypothesis

1. Null Hypothesis:- A hypothesis of no difference is called a null hypothesis.

The null hypothesis means there is no significant difference between the statistic and the population parameter. If the observed difference is there due to situations in sample

the null hypothesis is denoted by " $H_0$ "

2. Alternative hypothesis:- Any hypothesis which is any complementary with null hypothesis is called alternative hypothesis and it is denoted by " $H_1$ "

Ex:- If we want to test the null hypothesis that the population " $\mu$ " has the specified quantity  $\mu_0$  then

$$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$$

Then the alternative hypothesis is

$$H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0 \text{ (two-tailed test)}$$

$$H_1 : \mu > \mu_0 \text{ (right tailed test)}$$

$$H_1 : \mu < \mu_0 \text{ (left tailed test)}$$

Errors in sampling:- on the basis of sampling results we accept or reject after examining a sample from it so in this case we are able to commit two types of errors

\* type-I error ( $\alpha$ -error)

\* type-II error ( $\beta$ -error)

① Type-I error:- If we reject a hypothesis when it should be accepted i.e. if null hypothesis  $H_0$  is true but it is rejected by test procedure then that error called type-I error ( $\alpha$ )  $\alpha$ -error

② Type-II error:- If we accept a hypothesis when it should be rejected i.e. If null hypothesis  $H_0$  is false but it is accepted by test procedure then that error called type-II error ( $\beta$ )  $\beta$ -error

## \* Level of significance:-

It is denoted by " $\alpha$ " it is confidence with which we reject NULL hypothesis  $H_0$ . It is max probability with which we are willing to risk an error in rejecting it when it is true (size of type I error in general) is 5% (or) 1%.

**Critical region:-** Region corresponding to statistic ' $t$ ' in sample space ' $S$ ' which leads to rejection of  $H_0$  is called critical region (or) rejection region. i.e. Region in which sample value falling is rejected is known as critical region.

In general we take critical regions 5% and 1%. (or) as

of normal curve

## \* Critical values for level of significant

	1%	5%	10%
Two tailed test	$(\pm z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) = 2.58$	$ z_{\alpha/2}  = 1.96$	$ z_{\alpha}  = 1.645$
Right tailed test	$z_{\alpha} = 2.33$	$z_{\alpha} = 1.645$	$z_{\alpha} = 1.28$
Left tailed test	$z_{\alpha} = -2.33$	$z_{\alpha} = -1.645$	$z_{\alpha} = -1.28$

## \* Conclusion (Decision):-

Compare calculated value of test statistic  $z$  with critical value (tabulated value)  $z_{\alpha}$  at given level of significance

-ne

- If  $|z| < z_{\alpha}$ , we consider that the value is no significance instance and we accept null hypothesis  $H_0$

2. If  $|z| = z_2$ , then difference is significant and hence null hypothesis is rejected at given level of significance  
\* test of significance of single mean [large samples]

Working rule :- Let a random sample of size ( $n \geq 30$ ) has sample mean  $\bar{x}$  and  $\mu$  be population mean

$\sigma$  be standard deviation of population Assume that population mean  $\mu$  has separate value  $\mu_0$

Step 1 :- Null hypothesis :-

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$$

→ This means there is no significance difference b/w  $\mu$  &  $\mu_0$

Step 2 :- Alternative hypothesis :- complementary of null hypothesis

$$H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0 \text{ (two tailed test)}$$

$$H_1: \mu > \mu_0 \text{ (right tailed test)}$$

$$H_1: \mu < \mu_0 \text{ (left tailed test)}$$

Step 3 :- set the level of significance  $\alpha$

Step 4 :- The test statistics

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

Step 5 :- If  $\sigma$  is not given Replace " $\sigma$ " by  $s$ .

Step 6 :- find critical value  $z_\alpha$  for given level of significance

$\alpha$

Step 7 :- conclusion :-

- a) If  $|z| \leq z_\alpha$  Accept the null hypothesis i.e.  $\mu = \mu_0$   
b) If  $|z| > z_\alpha$  Reject the null hypothesis i.e.  $\mu \neq \mu_0$

Problems :-

i) In Random sample of 60 workers, avg time taken by them to get work is 33.8 minutes with S.D of 6.1 min can we reject null hypothesis  $\mu = 32.6$  in favour of alternative hypothesis  $\mu > 32.6$  at  $\alpha = 0.025$

Given that  $\mu = 32.6$

$$n = 60$$

$$\bar{x} = 33.8$$

$$\sigma = S.D = 6.1$$

i. Null hypothesis : let  $\mu = \mu_0$

$$H_0: \mu = 32.6$$

ii. Alternative hypothesis :-

$$H_1: \mu > 32.6 \text{ (Right tailed test)}$$

iii. level of significance :- Let  $\alpha = 0.025$

iv. Test statistic :-

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

$$Z = \frac{33.8 - 32.6}{6.1 / \sqrt{60}}$$

$$Z = 1.523$$

$$|Z| = 1.523$$

v. conclusion:-  $|Z| < Z_{\alpha/2}$   $\therefore$  The null hypothesis is accepted

- ② According to the norms established for a mechanical aptitude test, persons who are 18 years old have an average right of 73.2 with a S.D. of 8.6 If 400 randomly selected persons of that age averaged 76.7 test the hypothesis  $H_0: \mu = 73.2$  against the alternative hypothesis  $H_1: \mu > 73.2$  at the 0.01 level of significance

$$\text{SD} \approx \sigma = 8.6, n = 400, \bar{x} = 76.7$$

i. null hypothesis: let  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$

ii. Alternative hypothesis: Let  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$

But Here  $H_1: \mu > 73.2$  (Right tailed test)

iii. level of significance: Here  $\alpha = 0.01$   
 $= 1\%$

By tabulate value at 1% level of significance is

$$Z_0 = 2.33$$

iv. Test statistic:  $Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$

$$Z = \frac{76.7 - 73.2}{8.6 / \sqrt{400}}$$

$$Z = 3.5 / 0.43$$

$$Z = 8.139$$

$$|Z| = 8.139$$

v. conclusion:- Here  $|Z| > Z_0$

∴ Null hypothesis is rejected

- ③ A sample of 64 students have a mean weight of 70kgs can be regarded as a sample from a population mean weight 56kgs and a S.D. 25kgs

Given that

$$\mu = 56 ; \bar{x} = 70 ; n = 64 ; \sigma = 25$$

i. Null hypothesis: let  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$

ii. level of significance:  $\alpha = 5\%$  (assumed)  $Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$

iii. Alternative hypothesis:  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$

iv. Test statistics:-

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

$$= \frac{59.1 - 57.4}{5.2 / \sqrt{64}}$$

$$Z = \frac{70 - 56}{25 / \sqrt{64}}$$

$$z = 4.48$$

v. conclusion:  $|z| > z_{\alpha/2}$

$\therefore$  null hypothesis is rejected

- (4) An oceanographer wants to check whether the depth of the ocean in a certain region is 57.4 fathoms as had previously been recorded. It can be concluded at the level of significance  $\alpha = 0.05$ , if readings taken at 40 random locations in the given region yielded a mean of 59.1 fathoms with a S.D of 5.2 fathoms.

Given that  $n=40$ ;  $\sigma=5.2$ ;  $\mu=57.4$ ;  $\bar{x}=59.1$

i. null hypothesis:  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$

ii. Alternate hypothesis:  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$

iii. level of significance: Here  $\alpha = 5\%$

$$z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$$

iv. Test statistic:  $Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$

$$Z = \frac{59.1 - 57.4}{5.2/\sqrt{40}} = 2.06$$

v. conclusion: Here  $|z| > z_{\alpha/2}$

$\therefore$  null hypothesis is rejected

⑤ A sample of 900 members has a mean 3.4 cms and S.D 2.61 cms. Is the sample from a large population of mean 3.25 cms and S.D 2.61 cms if the population is normal and its mean is known find the 95% fiducial confidence limits of true mean

Given that

$$n=900; \mu=3.25; \bar{x}=3.4; \sigma=2.61$$

i. Null hypothesis:  $H_0: \mu=\mu_0$

ii. Alternative Hypothesis:  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$

iii. level of significance:  $\alpha=5\%$  (assume)

$$z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$$

iv. Test statistic:  $Z = \frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$

$$= \frac{3.4 - 3.25}{2.61/\sqrt{900}}$$

$$|Z| = 0.724$$

v. Conclusion:  $|Z| < z_{\alpha/2}$

∴ null hypothesis is accepted

## NOTE :-

The confidence limits are given by

$$(\bar{x} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}})$$

for the above problem the confident limits are

$$(\bar{x} - 1.96 \frac{2.6}{\sqrt{100}}, \bar{x} + 1.96 \frac{2.6}{\sqrt{100}})$$

$$= (3.229, 3.57)$$

- ⑥ An ambulance service claims that it takes on the avg less than 10min to reach its destination in emergency calls. A sample of 36 calls has a mean of 11min and variance of 16min. Test the significance at 0.05 level.

Given that  $\mu = 10$ ,  $\bar{x} = 11$ ,  $\sigma^2 = 16$ ,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ;  $n = 36$

i. Null hypothesis :-

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$$

ii. Alternative hypothesis :-

$$H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0 \text{ [two tailed test]}$$

iii. level of significance :-  $\alpha = 5\%$

$$z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$$

iv. test significance :-  $Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$

$$= \frac{11-10}{4/\sqrt{36}}$$

$$|z_1| = 1.5$$

v. conclusion :-

$|z_1| < z_{\alpha/2}$   $\therefore$  null hypothesis is accepted

⑦ mean and S.D. of a population are 11795 and 14054 find  $n=50$

95% confidence interval.

confidence interval is  $(\bar{x} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}})$

Here  $\alpha=5\%$ . Then  $z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$

$\bar{x}=11795$  &  $\sigma=14054$

$n=50$

Then confidence interval is

$$(11795 - 1.96 \left( \frac{14054}{\sqrt{50}} \right), 11795 + 1.96 \left( \frac{14054}{\sqrt{50}} \right))$$

$$(11795 - 3825.57, 11795 + 3825.57)$$

$$(7999.43, 15690.57)$$

Test for equality of two means :- (large samples)

Let  $\bar{x}_1$  and  $\bar{x}_2$  be the sample means of two independent large random sample size  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  drawn from two populations having means  $\mu_1$  &  $\mu_2$  and standard deviations  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  to test whether the two populations means are equal

- Let the null hypothesis be  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$
- Then alternative hypothesis  $H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$
- where  $\sigma_1$  &  $\sigma_2$  are standard deviations of two populations and to test whether there is any significant difference b/w  $\bar{x}_1$  and  $\bar{x}_2$

we have to use the statistic

$$z = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - \delta}{\text{S.E of } (\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2)} = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - \delta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

where,  $\delta = \mu_1 - \mu_2$  (= given constant)

→ If  $\delta = 0$  the two populations have same means

→ If  $\delta \neq 0$  the two populations are different

under  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$

then the test statistic becomes

$$z = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

is approximately

normally distributed with mean '0' and s.d '1'

NOTE:-

→ If the samples have been drawn from the population with common standard deviation ' $\sigma$ ' then  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \sigma^2$

$$\text{Hence } z = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sigma \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$$

is normally distributed with mean '0' & S.D '1'  
→ If  $\sigma^2$  is not known we can use an estimate of  $\sigma^2$  is given

$$\text{by } \sigma^2 = \frac{n_1 s_1^2 + n_2 s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2}$$

- Q) The means of two large samples of sizes 1000 and 2000 are 67.5 and 68 respectively can the samples been recorded as drawn from the same population of S.D 0.5 inches.

Given that:

$$n_1 = 1000 ; \bar{x}_1 = 67.5$$

$$n_2 = 2000 ; \bar{x}_2 = 68$$

$$\text{and } \sigma = 0.5$$

i. Null hypothesis: Let  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$

ii. Alternative hypothesis:  $H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$  (two tailed test)

iii. level of significant:  $\alpha = 5\%$  (assume)

$$z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$$

iv. Test statistic:

$$z = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sigma \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} = \frac{67.5 - 68}{0.5 \sqrt{\frac{1}{1000} + \frac{1}{2000}}}$$

$$|z| = 5.164$$

v. conclusion:  $|z| > z_{\alpha}$

∴ The null hypothesis is rejected

② samples of students were drawn from two vertices and from their weights in kgms, mean & S.D's are calculated and shown below. make a large sample test to test the significance of the difference b/w the two means

	mean	S.D	size of the sample
University A	55	10	400
University B	57	15	100

Given that  $\bar{x}_1 = 55$ ;  $\sigma_1 = 10$ ;  $n_1 = 400$

$\bar{x}_2 = 57$ ;  $\sigma_2 = 15$ ;  $n_2 = 100$

i. null hypothesis :- Let  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$

ii. Alternative Hypothesis:-

$H_1 : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$  [two tailed test]

iii. level of significance :-  $\alpha = 5\%$  (assumed)

$$z_d = 1.96$$

iv. test statistic :-

$$z = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

$$= \frac{55 - 57}{\sqrt{\frac{10^2}{400} + \frac{15^2}{100}}}$$

$$|z| = 1.265$$

v. conclusion :- Here  $|z| < z_d$

∴ The null hypothesis is accepted

③ The Research investigator is interested in studying whether there is a significant diff in the salary of MVA credits in two metropolitan cities. A random sample of size 100 from mumbai yields an avg. income of 20,150/- Another random sample of 60 from chennai results in an avg. income of 20,250/- If the variances of both populations are given as  $\sigma_1^2 = 40,000/-$  &  $\sigma_2^2 = 32,400/-$  respectively

Given that

$$n_1=100; \bar{x}_1=20,150; \sigma_1^2=40,000$$

$$\sigma_1 = \sqrt{40,000}$$

$$\sigma_1 = 200$$

$$n_2=60; \bar{x}_2=20,250; \sigma_2^2=32,400$$

$$\sigma_2 = \sqrt{32,400}$$

$$\sigma_2 = 180$$

i. null hypothesis: let  $\bar{x}_1 = \bar{x}_2$

ii. Alternative hypothesis: Let  $H_1$ :

$$\bar{x}_1 \neq \bar{x}_2 \text{ (two tailed test)}$$

iii. level of significance: let  $\alpha = 5\%$

$$z_{\alpha} = 1.96$$

iv. test statistic:

$$z = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

$$= \frac{20150 - 20250}{\sqrt{\frac{40000}{100} + \frac{32400}{60}}}$$

$$= \frac{-100}{\sqrt{4000+182.82}}$$

$$= \frac{-100}{\sqrt{8182.82}}$$

$$= \frac{-100}{10.05}$$

$$|z| = 1.105$$

v. conclusion:-  $|z| < z_{\alpha/2}$  ∴ null hypothesis is accepted.

- (4) Two types of new cars produced in USA are tested for petrol mileage one sample piece consisting of 42 cars avg 15 kmph while the other sample consisting of 80 cars averaged 11.5 kmph with populations variances as  $\sigma_1^2 = 2.0$  and  $\sigma_2^2 = 1.5$  respectively test whether there is any significance difference in the petrol consumption of these two type of cars (use  $\alpha = 0.01$ )

Given that

$$n_1 = 42; \bar{x}_1 = 15; \sigma_1^2 = 2.0$$

$$n_2 = 80; \bar{x}_2 = 11.5; \sigma_2^2 = 1.5$$

i. Null hypothesis: Let  $H_0: \bar{x}_1 = \bar{x}_2$

ii. Alternative hypothesis:

$$H_1: \bar{x}_1 \neq \bar{x}_2 \text{ (two tailed test)}$$

iii. level of significance:  $\alpha = 0.01 \Rightarrow 1\%$

$$z_{\alpha/2} = 2.58$$

iv. test statistics:

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

$$Z = \frac{15 - 11.5}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

$$|Z_1| = 13.779$$

V. Conclusion:-

$$\text{Here } |Z_1| > Z_2$$

$\therefore$  null hypothesis is rejected

- ⑤ A simple sample of the height of 6400 English men has a mean of 67.85 inches and a S.D of 2.56 inches while a simple sample of heights of 1600 Australians has a mean of 68.55 inches, and S.D of 2.52 inches do the data indicate the Australians are on the avg taller than the Englishmen? (use  $\alpha=0.05$ )

Given that

$$n_1 = 6400; \bar{x}_1 = 67.85 \quad \sigma_1 = 2.56$$

$$n_2 = 1600; \bar{x}_2 = 68.55 \quad \sigma_2 = 2.52$$

i. null hypothesis : let  $H_0: \bar{x}_1 = \bar{x}_2$

ii. Alternative hypothesis :-

$$H_1: \bar{x}_1 \neq \bar{x}_2 \quad (\text{two tailed test})$$

iii. level of significance :  $\alpha = 0.05 = 5\%$

$$z_2 = 1.96$$

iv. Test statistics :-

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

$$= \frac{67.85 - 68.55}{\sqrt{\frac{(2.56)}{600} + \frac{(2.52)}{1600}}}$$

$$= -1.26$$

$$|z| = 1.26$$

v. conclusion:-  $|z| < z_2$

$\therefore$  null hypothesis is accepted.

$\Rightarrow$  Test of significance for single proportion:- (large samples)

Suppose a large random sample of size 'n' has sample proportion ' $p'$  has members possessing a certain attribute i.e. proportion of successes. To test the hypothesis that the proportion ' $p$ ' in the population has a specified value ' $p'$ '

1. Null hypothesis:- Let  $H_0: p = P$

2. Alternate hypothesis:- Let  $H_1: p \neq P$

[i.e.  $p > P$  (or)  $p < P$ ]

3. level of significance:-

4. Test statistic:-

$$Z = \frac{p - P}{\sqrt{PQ/n}}$$

where  $Q = 1 - P$

Level of significance	1%	5%	10%
two tailed test	$ z  > 2.58$	$ z  > 1.96$	$ z  > 1.645$
right tailed test	$z > 2.33$	$z > 1.645$	$z > 1.28$
left tailed test	$z < -2.33$	$z < -1.645$	$z < -1.28$

These values for rejection rule for  $H_0$

Note:-

confidence interval for proportion  $P$  for a large sample at  $\alpha$  level of significance is

$$(P - z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{PQ}{n}}, P + z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{PQ}{n}})$$

- ① In a sample of 1000 people in Karnataka 540 are rice eaters and the rest are wheat eaters can we assume that both rice and wheat are equally popular in the state at 1% level of significance

Given that  $n=1000$

$$p = \text{sample proportion of rice eaters} = \frac{540}{1000} = 0.54$$

$$P = \text{population proportion of rice eaters} = 1/2 = 0.5$$

$$Q = 1 - P = 1 - 0.5 = 0.5$$

i. Null hypothesis : Let  $H_0: P = p$

ii. Alternative hypothesis :  $H_1: P \neq p$

iii. Level of significance :  $\alpha = 1\%$

$$z > 2.58$$

iv. Test statistics :-

$$Z = \frac{p - P}{\sqrt{\frac{PQ}{n}}}$$

$$= \frac{0.54 - 0.5}{\sqrt{\frac{(0.5)(0.5)}{1000}}}$$

$$z_1 = 2.53$$

v. conclusion:  $|z| > z_{\alpha}$

$\therefore$  null hypothesis is rejected

$$\Rightarrow z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96 \text{ (for } 95\%)$$

$$\Rightarrow z_{\alpha/2} = 2.33 \text{ (for } 98\%)$$

$$\Rightarrow z_{\alpha/2} = 2.58 \text{ (for } 99\%)$$

- ① A manufacturer claimed that atleast 95% of the equipment which he supplied to a factory confirmed to specifications. An examination of a sample of 200 pieces of equipment revealed that 18 pieces failed to test his claim at 5% level of significance.

Given that  $n=200$

no. of pieces confirming to specification  $= 200 - 18 = 182$

Let  $p$  = proportion of pieces confirming to specifications

$$= \frac{182}{200} = 0.91$$

$$p = 95\% = \frac{95}{100} = 0.95$$

$$\alpha = 1 - p = 1 - 0.95 = 0.05$$

i. Null hypothesis: Let  $H_0: p = p$

ii. Alternative hypothesis:  $H_1: p \neq p$  (left-tailed test)

iii. Level of significance:  $\alpha = 5\% \quad z_{\alpha} = 1.645$

#### iv. Test statistic:

$$Z = \frac{p - P}{\sqrt{PQ/n}}$$

$$= \frac{0.91 - 0.95}{\sqrt{\frac{0.95 \times 0.05}{200}}}$$

$$|Z| = 2.59$$

v. Conclusion :- Hence  $|Z| > Z_{\alpha}$

Null hypothesis is rejected

② In a big city 325 men out of 600 men were found to be smokers does this information support the condition that the majority of men in the city are smokers.

Given that  $n = 600$

$$P = 325 / 600$$

$$P = \frac{325}{600} = 0.541$$

$\cdot P$  = population proportion of smokers  $= 1/2 = 0.5$

$$\alpha = 1 - P = 1 - 0.5 = 0.5$$

i. Null hypothesis :- Let  $H_0 : p = P$

ii. Alternative hypothesis :-

$$H_1 : p > P \text{ (right tailed test)}$$

iii. Level of significance : Let  $\alpha = 5\%$  (assume)

#### iv. Test statistics:-

$$z = \frac{0.54 - 0.5}{\sqrt{\frac{0.5 \times 0.5}{125}}}$$

$$|z| = 2.05$$

#### v. Conclusion:- $|z| > z_{\alpha}$

Null hypothesis is rejected

- ③ In a random sample of 125 coldrinkers 68 said they prefer to pepsi test the null hypothesis  $P > 0.5$

Given that  $n = 125$

$p = \text{proportion of cool drinkers}$

$$= 68/125 = 0.54$$

$$P = 0.5$$

$$Q = 1 - 0.5 = 0.5$$

i. Null hypothesis:  $H_0: P = P$

ii. Alternative hypothesis:-

$H_1: P > P$  (right tailed test)

iii. level of significance:

$$\text{Let } \alpha = 5\%$$

$$z_{\alpha} = 1.645$$

#### vi. Test statistics

$$z = \frac{0.54 - 0.5}{\sqrt{\frac{0.5 \times 0.5}{125}}}$$

$$|z| = 0.90$$

#### v. Conclusion:- $|z| < z_{\alpha}$

∴ Null hypothesis is rejected

④ In a random variable of a sample of 160 workers exposed to a certain amount of radiation 24 experienced some ill effects. construct a 99% confidence interval for the corresponding true %.

Given that  $n=160$

$$P = \frac{24}{160} = 0.15$$

$$Q = 1 - 0.15 = 0.85$$

$\therefore$  confidence interval at 99% level of significance is

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left[ P - \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{PQ}{n}}, P + \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{PQ}{n}} \right] \\ &= \left[ 0.15 - 2.58 \sqrt{\frac{0.15 \times 0.85}{160}}, 0.15 + 2.58 \sqrt{\frac{0.15 \times 0.85}{160}} \right] \\ &= \left[ 0.15 - 2.58 \sqrt{0.00079}, 0.15 + 2.58 \sqrt{0.00079} \right] \\ &= [0.15 - 0.07, 0.15 + 0.07] \\ &= [0.08, 0.22] \end{aligned}$$

⑤ In 80 patients are treated with an adiabetic sig got used. Find a 99% confidence limits to the house the true population of cured

Given that  $n=80$

$$P = \frac{59}{80} = 0.7375$$

$$Q = 1 - 0.7375 = 0.2625$$

$\therefore$  confidence interval at 99% level of significance

$$\text{is } \left[ P - \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{PQ}{n}}, P + \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{PQ}{n}} \right]$$

$$= [0.7375 - 2.58 \sqrt{0.00241}]$$

$$= [0.7375 - 0.1263, 0.7375 + 0.1263]$$

$$= (0.611, 0.863)$$

- ⑥ 20 people were attacked by a disease and only 18 survived will you reject the hypothesis that the survival rate if attacked by this disease is 85% in favour of the hypothesis that is more at 5% level.

Given data

$$n = 20$$

$$P = x/n = \frac{18}{20} = 0.9$$

$$P = \frac{85}{100} = 0.85$$

$$Q = 1 - 0.85 = 0.15$$

i. null hypothesis:  $H_0: p = P$

ii. Alternative hypothesis:  $H_1: p > P$  (right tailed test)

iii. level of significant  $\alpha = 5\%$

$$z_{\alpha} = 1.645$$

$$z = \frac{P - P}{\sqrt{\frac{PQ}{n}}} = \frac{0.9 - 0.85}{\sqrt{\frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{20}}}$$

$$= \frac{0.05}{\sqrt{0.0063}}$$

$$|z| = 0.632$$

v. conclusion:  $|z| < z_{\alpha}$

$\therefore$  Null hypothesis is accepted

## \* Test for equality of two proportions (large samples)

Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be the proportions in two large random samples of sizes  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  drawn from two populations having proportions  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .

Test whether the two populations  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are equal

i. null hypothesis:  $H_0: P_1 = P_2$

ii. Alternative hypothesis:  $H_1: P_1 \neq P_2$

iii. level of significance: Let us assume ' $\alpha$ '

iv. Test statistic:

$$Z = \frac{P_1 - P_2}{\sqrt{pq\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}}$$

where  $p = \frac{n_1 P_1 + n_2 P_2}{n_1 + n_2}$        $= \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2}$

and  $q = 1 - p$

\* Rejection rule for  $H_0: P_1 = P_2$

i. If  $|Z| > 1.96$  then reject  $H_0$  at 5% level of significance

ii. If  $|Z| > 2.58$  then reject  $H_0$  at 1% level of significance

iii. If  $|Z| > 1.645$  then reject  $H_0$  at 10% level of significance

- ① Random sample of 400 men and 600 women were asked whether they would like to have my over near their residence. 200 men and 325 women were in favour of the proposal. Test the hypothesis that proportions of men and women in favour of the

proposal all same at 5% level

Given that

$$n_1 = 400, n_2 = 600$$

sample sizes are

$$\text{let } p_1 = \text{proportion of men} = \frac{200}{400} = 0.5$$

$$\text{and } p_2 = \text{proportion of women} = \frac{325}{600} = 0.54$$

$$p = \frac{(400)(0.5) + 600(0.54)}{400 + 600}$$

$$p = 0.524$$

$$q = 1 - 0.524 = 0.476$$

i. Null hypothesis:  $H_0$  is  $p_1 = p_2$

ii. Alternative Hypothesis:  $H_1: p_1 \neq p_2$  (two tailed test)

iii. level of significance :  $\alpha = 5\%$

$$Z_\alpha = 1.96$$

iv. Test statistic:

$$Z = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{\sqrt{pq(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}}$$

$$= \frac{0.5 - 0.54}{\sqrt{0.524 \times 0.476 \sqrt{\frac{1}{400} + \frac{1}{600}}}}$$

$$Z_1 = 1.29$$

v. Conclusion:  $|Z| < Z_\alpha$

Null hypothesis is accepted

② A manufacturer of electronic equipment subjects samples of two competing boards of transistors to an accelerated performance test. If 45 of 180 transistors of the first kind and 34 of 120 transistors of the second kind fail the test, what can be conclude at the level of significance  $\alpha=0.05$  about the difference b/w the corresponding sample proportions.

Given that

$$n_1 = 180; n_2 = 120$$

$$P_1 = \text{proportion of first kind} = \frac{45}{180} = 0.25$$

$$P_2 = \text{proportion of second kind} = \frac{34}{120} = 0.28$$

$$P = \frac{(180)(0.25) + (120)(0.28)}{180 + 120}$$

$$P = 0.262$$

$$q = 1 - 0.262 = 0.738$$

i. null hypothesis :-  $H_0 : P_1 = P_2$

ii. Alternative hypothesis :-  $H_1 : P_1 \neq P_2$  (two tailed test)

iii. level of significance :-  $\alpha = 5\%$ .  $Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$

iv. Test statistic:-

$$Z = \frac{P_1 - P_2}{\sqrt{pq(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}}$$

$$Z = \frac{0.25 - 0.28}{\sqrt{(0.262)(0.738)(\frac{1}{180} + \frac{1}{120})}}$$

$$|Z| = 0.6$$

v. Conclusion :-  $|Z| < Z_{\alpha/2}$

$\therefore$  null hypothesis is accepted

③ On the basis their scores, 200 candidates of a civil service examination are divided into two groups, the upper 30% and remaining 70%. Consider the 1st question of the examination. Among the first two, 40 had the correct answer, whereas among the first two, 40 had the correct answers, correct answer. On the basis of these result can one conclude that the 1st ques is not true at discriminating ability of the type being examined

Given that  $n_1 = 60 \quad n_2 = 140$

$\downarrow$

$$\left( \frac{30}{100} \times 200 = 60 \right)$$

$\downarrow$

$$\left( \frac{70}{100} \times 200 = 140 \right)$$

$$x_1 = 40 \quad \therefore x_2 = 90$$

$$\text{consider } p_1 = \frac{x_1}{n_1} = \frac{40}{60} = \frac{2}{3} = 0.667$$

$$p_2 = \frac{x_2}{n_2} = \frac{90}{140} = 0.571$$

$$p = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{120}{200} = 0.6$$

$$q = 1 - p = 1 - 0.6 = 0.4$$

i. Null hypothesis :  $H_0 : p = p$

ii. Alternative hypothesis :  $H_1 : p_1 \neq p_2$  (two tailed test)

iii. level of significance :  $\alpha = 5\%$

$$z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$$

$$\text{iv. Test statistic or } z = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{\sqrt{pq(1/n_1 + 1/n_2)}} = \frac{0.667 - 0.571}{\sqrt{0.6 \times 0.4 (1/60 + 1/140)}}$$

$$|z| = 1.27$$

a. A company wanted to introduce a new plan of work and survey was conducted for this purpose out of sample of 500 workers in one group 62% favourable the new plant and another group of sample of 400 workers 16% were against for the new plan If there any significance difference b/w the two groups in their attitude towards the new plan at 5%.

Given that

$$n_1 = 500 \quad n_2 = 400$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{62}{100} \times 500 & x_2 &= \frac{16}{100} \times 400 \\ &= 310 & &= 160 \Rightarrow 400 - 160 \\ & & &= 236 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \frac{x_1}{n_1} = \frac{310}{500} = 0.62 & p_2 &= \frac{x_2}{n_2} = \frac{236}{400} \\ & & &= 0.59 \end{aligned}$$

$$p = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{310 + 236}{500 + 400} = 0.6066$$

i. null hypothesis :  $H_0: p_1 = p_2$

ii. Alternative hypothesis :  $H_1: p_1 \neq p_2$  (two tailed test)

iii. level of significance:  $\alpha = 5\%$

$$z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$$

iv. Test statistics :-

$$z = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{\sqrt{pq(1/n_1 + 1/n_2)}}$$

$$z = \frac{0.62 - 0.59}{\sqrt{0.6066 \times 0.3934 \left(\frac{1}{500} + \frac{1}{400}\right)}}$$

$$|z_1| = 0.9160$$

v conclusion:-  $|z_1| < z_2$

$\therefore$  null hypothesis accepted

both round  
0.9160 < 0.9250

$$0.916 \times \frac{10}{100} \propto 0.925 \times \frac{10}{100} = 10$$

$$100 - 0.916 \times 100 =$$

$$318 \approx$$

$$\Delta S \approx$$

$$\frac{\Delta S}{0.916} = \frac{318}{100} = 0.99 \quad \Delta S = 0.99 \times 0.916 = \frac{0.916}{100} = 0.00916$$

$$P(Z=0) =$$

$$P(Z=0) = \frac{\Delta S + 0.16}{0.916 + 0.16} = \frac{0.00916 + 0.16}{0.916 + 0.16} = 0.17$$

$\alpha = 0.17 > 0.05$  (not significant)

(test statistic not) At  $\alpha = 0.05$  it is not significant

As a significance test is found in

$H_0: \mu_{H_1} = \mu_{H_2}$  (the failed test)

$$H_1: \mu_{H_1} \neq \mu_{H_2}$$

$\Rightarrow$  two-tailed test

(one-sided p-value)

$$1 - 0.17 = 0.83$$

(one-sided p-value)