

Task 2 - Project Research Narrative

Engineering Project Management

Ashwin Rajesh - 14259321

Contents

1 Project A: Raspberry Pi	2
1.a Why the Pi was successful	2
1.b Learnings	2
2 Project B: Google Glass	2
2.a Why Google Glass Failed	3
2.b Learnings	3
3 Project C: Falcon 1	3
3.a Why Falcon 1 Was Successful	4
3.b Learnings	4
4 Project D:	4
Bibliography	4

1 Project A: Raspberry Pi

The Raspberry Pi is a single board computer developed by the Raspberry Pi Foundation in the UK. The original Model B board was released in February 2012, after which it rapidly gained popularity becoming the best-selling British computer by 2015 (Collins, 2022). It is a credit-card sized circuit board that includes an ARM-compatible CPU as well as a GPU, making it capable of running a full-fledged desktop operating system. The Pi was originally intended to give students access to programmable hardware, which would increase the number and calibre of students that apply for computer science at Cambridge (Collins, 2022). However the model was far more popular than anticipated, and has seen use in areas outside its target market due to its low cost and modularity.

The project is extremely relevant to the discipline of software engineering. The purpose of the Raspberry Pi is to give young people the tools to equip themselves with important programming knowledge that can shape their career. It is a fundamental building block to gain domain knowledge in areas such as embedded software development and has also seen uses in robotics, web development and automation, making it an instrumental tool for software engineers.




Figure 1: A Raspberry Pi board

1.a Why the Pi was successful

Initially, the Raspberry Pi Foundation set out to build a circuit board that meets the criteria of being small size, low cost, and low power consumption (Yu, 2016). This was a well-defined scope which was not subject to change over the course of the project, which gave it stability in spite of the challenging criteria.

Furthermore, the project was under a strict timeline, as it was already advertised that the Pi would be available to purchase in 2012 (Heath, 2018). Although a deadline that is too harsh can often be detrimental to a project's success, in the case of the Pi, it provided a sense of urgency to the team, allowing them to overcome technical difficulties at an accelerated pace and deliver the product on time.

1.b Learnings

Researching into the Raspberry Pi project reveals elements that make a project successful. For example, it is very important to have a clearly established project scope. This will allow the team to be focused on the right thing, and not distracted by changing requirements. The project also shows that deadlines are not always bad, in fact, they can be a motivation to overcome obstacles for the team.

2 Project B: Google Glass

Google Glass is a family of smart glasses produced by Google. Its main features were a heads-up display, a built-in camera and Internet connectivity through natural language voice commands. It was developed by Google X, a facility within Google that was focused on improving commodities by a factor of 10, through efforts called "moonshots". The product was launched in 2014, however pulled from the market by 2015 (Weidner, 2023).

The purpose of Google Glass was to take a leap forward in the way humans interact with technology, and provide a more seamless and hands-free way to interact with the internet, by integrating it directly into smart glasses. However, the product ultimately failed due to underwhelming sales and negative customer reception (Gvora, 2023).

The google glass project is relevant to the discipline of software engineering as it is a project that marks the importance of requirements elicitation and implementation for software-embedded systems. Often times, the software can make the difference between a project successfully meeting requirements and a project failing to satisfy stakeholders. In the case of Google glass, lack-luster software contributed to the poor execution of the Google Glass project.



Figure 2: Google glass

2.a Why Google Glass Failed

Google glass, as a product of the Google X facility was a “moonshot” product, which caused the expectations out of the product to be unrealistically high. This negatively impacted the retail of the product in many ways. The google glass launched with a luxurious price of \$1,500 despite costing less than \$200 to manufacture (Gaudin, 2014). It was marketed by Google as a luxury item for a specific niche of fashion, which indicated poor requirements gathering of what customers truly want out of smart glasses. The product was also greatly over-marketed before it’s release. The glass was advertised to augment experiences, with the demo in 2012 featuring skydiving, biking and many other activities, which in reality it was not capable of doing (Weidner, 2023). It is important to a project’s success that it is appropriately marketed, so that it reaches the intended audience. Customers also expressed privacy concerns of having a camera built into the glass that users might wear for most of the day, further indicating poor requirements analysis of the end user.

2.b Learnings

The google glass project was a failure due to sub-optimal prioritisation of requirements. Important details such as user privacy, retail price and technical limitations were neglected in favor of marketing the product as a fashion accessory for a niche customer base. Google was trying to pioneer a new market of smart glasses, however failed to accurately predict features that might entice a potential customer. As a result, not many wanted to take a risk on an unfamiliar product. This highlights the importance of establishing demand and overcoming skepticism for a product with a new market. Google Glass’ lack of appealing features failed to establish enough demand for more customers to justify a \$1,500 price tag, ultimately leading to underwhelming sales for the product.

3 Project C: Falcon 1

Falcon 1 was a small-lift rocket operated from 2006 to 2009. It was designed and manufactured by American aerospace company SpaceX. The Falcon 1 was designed to minimize price per launch for low-Earth orbit satellites, by creating reusable pieces for the launch system as much as possible, greatly lowering the cost of space access (Spudis, 2012).

SpaceX’s Falcon 1 project deeply connected to the discipline of software engineering. Rocket boosters require extremely fast real-time computing to maintain balance and ensure that they are on

the correct trajectory. It is of critical importance that the software embedded on the rocket is robust and efficient, as even a minor miscalculation could put the entire rocket in jeopardy.



Figure 3: Falcon 1 Rocket

3.a Why Falcon 1 Was Successful

The demand for reliable and cheap space travel was very high in the US after the retirement of NASA's Space Shuttle. As a result, SpaceX received government funding from agencies such as Department of Defense (DoD), NASA and the U.S Air force. This initial demand and capital proved to be crucial while iterating through designs of the falcon 1, ultimately leading to it's success.

SpaceX took a radically different approach to development in comparison to other space exploration companies. They adopted an iterative development process, where they would rapidly build and test prototypes, improving on the design with each cycle (Berger, 2020). This is very similar to the Agile methodology used in software engineering. Despite the greater chance of mistakes and setbacks using this approach, it proved to be successful for the falcon 1 project, which took 3 iterations to finally succeed in reaching low earth orbit.

3.b Learnings

SpaceX's falcon 1 project demonstrates the importance of selecting a development methodology that suits the project as well as the team. Due to their decision to use a more agile approach, they were able to develop falcon 1 at an accelerated pace which was instrumental to success.

4 Project D:

Bibliography

- Berger, E. (2020). *SpaceX pushing iterative design process, accepting failure to go fast*. <https://arstechnica.com/science/2020/02/elon-musk-says-spacex-driving-toward-orbital-starship-flight-in-2020/>
- Collins, S. (2022). *The life of Pi: Ten years of Raspberry Pi*. <https://www.cam.ac.uk/stories/raspberrypi>
- Gaudin, S. (2014). *Google Glass worth more than the sum of its parts*. <https://www.computerworld.com/article/2699033/google-glass-worth-more-than-the-sum-of-its-parts.html>
- Gvora, J. (2023). *Google Glass: What Happened To The Futuristic Smart Glasses?*. <https://screenrant.com/google-glass-smart-glasses-what-happened-explained/>
- Heath, N. (2018). *Story of the \$35 Computer that Changed the World*. <https://www.techrepublic.com/article/inside-the-raspberry-pi-the-story-of-the-35-computer-that-changed-the-world/>
- Spudis, P. (2012). *The Tale of Falcon 1*. <https://www.smithsonianmag.com/air-space-magazine/the-tale-of-falcon-1-5193845/>
- Weidner, J. B. (2023). *Why Google Glass Failed*. <https://www.investopedia.com/articles/investing/052115/how-why-google-glass-failed.asp>

Yu, H.-L. (2016). *Understanding the Secrets Behind the Success of Raspberry Pi*. <https://blog.techdesign.com/understanding-the-secrets-behind-the-success-of-raspberry-pi/>