# **Refactoring Report**

**Project Name**: City Escape Game (Group 9) **Authors**: Woochan (Andy) Jung, Ehsanullah Mohammadi

# A. Scope of Refactoring

## Affected Modules/Classes in Game Logic:

- Map class
- Cop class
- Character class

#### Affected Modules/Classes in Game UI:

MainScreenPanel class

# **B.** Original Issues

# **Game Logic:**

#### 1. Large Methods

 The aStarPathfinding method in the Map class was performing multiple responsibilities, including initialization, node evaluation, path reconstruction, and neighbour processing, leading to reduced readability and maintainability.

#### 2. Code Duplication

 Neighbour evaluation logic and path-checking conditions were repeated in multiple areas, causing unnecessary redundancy.

#### 3. Limited Encapsulation

 The logic for moving cops relied on multiple methods being separately invoked, increasing the complexity of the code and reducing encapsulation.

## 4. Repetitive Direction Logic

• The logic for determining direction and moving cops toward the thief was handled in a verbose manner, causing unnecessary repetition.

#### Game UI:

## 1. Lack of Code Reusability

 Repeated logic for panel creation and gradient background setup increased redundancy and maintenance difficulty.

## 2. Reduced Readability and Maintainability

 Inline logic, hardcoded values, and a lengthy constructor made the code harder to read, debug, and modify.

#### 3. Limited Extensibility

 Hardcoded button actions and inconsistent styling made it challenging to reuse or extend the class without significant modifications.

# 4. Violation of Separation of Concerns

 The constructor handled both UI setup and behaviour definition, mixing responsibilities and making the class harder to manage.

# C. Refactored Changes

## Game Logic:

- 1. Modularized A Pathfinding Method\*
  - Original Issue: The aStarPathfinding method was responsible for multiple tasks, including initializing nodes, processing neighbours, and reconstructing the path. This violated the Single Responsibility Principle and made the code harder to follow.
  - Refactored Change:
    - Divided the method into smaller, focused methods:
      - initializeOpenList for node initialization.
      - isTargetReached to check if the target node was reached.
      - processNeighbors to handle neighbour evaluation.
      - reconstructPath to handle path reconstruction.
    - Each method handles a single responsibility, improving readability and maintainability.

#### 2. Enhanced Neighbor Evaluation Logic

- Original Issue: The neighbour evaluation logic was repeated in multiple areas, making the code harder to update and maintain.
- Refactored Change:
  - Centralized neighbour evaluation logic in processNeighbors.
  - Introduced isBetterPath to encapsulate the logic for comparing nodes in the open list, reducing redundancy.

#### 3. Simplified Cop Movement Logic

- Original Issue: The executeCopMove method handled direction determination and cop movement separately, increasing verbosity (how wordy it is) and reducing cohesion.
- Refactored Change:
  - Integrated the simulatePosition method into the cop movement logic to simplify position updates.
  - Refactored the executeCopMove method to determine the direction and directly set the new position.
  - Removed moveup, left, down, right methods to reduce verbosity.

## 4. Improved Direction Handling

- Original Issue: The logic for determining movement direction based on the current and next positions was verbose and scattered across the codebase.
- Refactored Change:

- Consolidated the direction determination logic into a reusable method, determineDirection.
- Improved the clarity and modularity of movement handling.

#### Game UI:

#### 1. Modularized Panel and Background Creation

- Original Issue: Repeated panel setup and gradient logic.
- Refactored Change:
  - Introduced createPanelWithBackground to centralize panel creation with a consistent background.
  - Moved gradient background logic into a helper method setGradientBackground for better encapsulation.

#### 2. Centralized Styling with Constants

- Original Issue: Hardcoded colours, fonts, and dimensions scattered across the code.
- Refactored Change:
  - Defined constants (e.g., BACKGROUND\_COLOR, TITLE\_FONT) for all styling elements to ensure consistency and make updates easier.

## 3. Simplified Listener Logic

- Original Issue: Mouse listener logic for buttons was inline, adding complexity to the constructor.
- Refactored Change:
  - Extracted listener logic into dedicated methods (e.g., createPlayMouseListener, createExitMouseListener) to separate behaviour from UI setup.

## 4. Standardized Button Creation

- Original Issue: Button styling and creation logic were verbose and inconsistent.
- Refactored Change:
  - Centralized button creation into createButtonLabel, ensuring consistent design and behaviour across all buttons.

## 5. Decoupled Button Behavior from Panel

- Original Issue: Hardcoded actions for buttons (e.g., System.exit(0))
  limited reuse.
- Refactored Change:

Used an ActionListener for the "PLAY" button to decouple its behaviour, enabling flexibility for different implementations.

# D. Results of Refactoring

#### Game Logic:

1. Improved Readability

 Breaking down large methods into smaller, focused methods enhanced code readability and made it easier to debug and understand.

## 2. Reduced Redundancy

 Centralized logic for neighbour evaluation and direction handling eliminated code duplication.

## 3. Enhanced Maintainability

 By encapsulating pathfinding and movement logic, future changes can now be made using specific methods without impacting the rest of the codebase.

#### 4. Better Cohesion

 The refactored code ensures that each method handles a single, well-defined responsibility, improving cohesion across the affected classes.

#### Game UI:

## 1. Improved Reusability

 Encapsulated common logic (panel creation, gradient setup) into reusable methods, reducing code duplication.

## 2. Enhanced Readability and Maintainability

 Simplified the constructor and reduced inline logic, making the class easier to read, debug, and modify.

## 3. Better Extensibility

 Centralized styling and decoupled button behaviour allow easy modifications and adaptation of the class for other screens.

#### 4. Increased Cohesion

 Ensured methods handle single, well-defined responsibilities, adhering to the Single Responsibility Principle.