

Team #: L02_GRP15

Team Name:

Student Name: Mac id

Vanshaj Verma, Vermav2

Himanshu Aggarwal, aggarwah

Saif Fadhel, fadhels

Basics	MARK	OUT OF
Each mistake takes off 5% (first mistake has no penalty)	4	4
Written in LaTeX, file is in the correct location of the repo	4	4
Total	8	8

Style	MARK	OUT OF
Paragraph structure (logical grouping of ideas)	2	2
Concise expressed ideas (not wordy – not overly technical)	2	2
Flow between paragraphs and sections	1	1
Adequate number of figures and other visuals (could be zero if this is adequate)	1	1
Pointers in document to navigate through	1	1
Subsections logically organized	1	1
Total	8	8

Overall Opinion of Content	MARK	OUT OF
Is the material covered adequately	2	2
Is the rationale clear and logical.	3	3
Originality - evidence that the students have thought about this prob	3	3
Total	8	8

Check List	MARK	OUT OF
Template is followed, additions to template explicitly indicated	2	2
Title Page	1	1
Table of Contents	1	1
List of Figures	1	1
List of Tables	1	1
Pages are numbered	1	1
Figures have captions and tables have headings	1	1
There is a section for revision history	1	1
Project drivers (purpose, stakeholders, constraints, naming convent.)	3	3
Scope and context	3	3
Project issues section (off the shelf solns, new problems, tasks, ideas)	3	3
Open issues are identified as part of PoC	2	2
Identifies the technical / other risks that need to be tested	2	2

Requirements are abstract	3	3
Requirements are unambiguous	3	3
Requirements are traceable	2	2
... validatable	1.5	2
... complete	2	2
... consistent	2	2
... use symbolic paramaters rather than values that are explicitly written	2	2
... numbered (labelled)	2	2
Nonfunctional reqs are documented		
Check a few nonfunctional requirements at random to see if they are validatable		
1. Usability requirements		
2. Safety requirements		
3. Performance requirements		
4. Installability requirement	3	3
Health and Safety concerns are documented	3	3
Document clearly shows inputs to system and req for determination	8	8
Terms functional and nonfunctional used correctly	4	4
Key questions are asked by the evaluator on the project and Quality of answers is evaluated	9	10
Assumptions are documented	3	3
Gantt chart updated	5	5
Total	74.5	76
Total Mark	98.5	100
Additional Comments: Please update rev1 with feedback		

|
