

## Discretizing and Numerically Solving the Wave Equation

The Physics behind

WaveEquation(1+1)-D.py,

WaveEquationInefficient(2+1)-D.py,

WaveEquation(2+1)-D.py.

Varadarajan Srinivasan

For a function  $f(x)$  whose discretization is represented as a sequence  $f_n$ , we define its numerical derivative with respect to  $x$ ,  $\text{nD}_x(f_n)$ , as the average of the forward difference and backward difference.

$$\text{nD}_x(f_n) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f_n - f_{n-1}}{\Delta x} + \frac{f_{n+1} - f_n}{\Delta x} \right) = \frac{f_{n+1} - f_{n-1}}{2\Delta x} \quad (1)$$

For differentiable functions, this approximates the true derivative of the function to an arbitrarily high accuracy as the step size  $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$ . As explained in DiscretizedLaplaceEquation.pdf, this logically produces the one-dimensional numerical second derivative  $\text{nD}_x^2(f_n)$  as well as the numerical Laplacian  $\text{nD}_{x,y}^2(f_{m,n})$  for our evenly spaced grid ( $\Delta x = \Delta y \equiv \Delta$ ).

$$\text{nD}_x^2(f_n) = \text{nD}(\text{nD}(f_n)) = \frac{f_{n+1} - 2f_n + f_{n-1}}{\Delta^2} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{nD}_{x,y}^2(f_{m,n}) = \frac{f_{m,n+1} + f_{m,n-1} + f_{m+1,n} + f_{m-1,n} - 4f_{m,n}}{\Delta^2} \quad (3)$$

Using these discretizations, the Wave Equation for displacement  $f$ ,

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2} = c^2 \nabla^2 f, \quad (4)$$

can be numerically approximated as

$$\text{nD}_t^2(f_{m,n,t}) = c^2 \text{nD}_{x,y}^2(f_{m,n,t}). \quad (5)$$

Substituting Eq. 2 and Eq. 3 into Eq. 5 gives us

$$\frac{f_{m,n,t+1} - 2f_{m,n,t} + f_{m,n,t-1}}{(\Delta t)^2} = \frac{c^2}{\Delta^2} (f_{m,n+1,t} + f_{m,n-1,t} + f_{m+1,n,t} + f_{m-1,n,t} - 4f_{m,n,t})$$

Rearranging and subtracting one time step from every term gives us the desired iterative formula that solves the wave equation for given boundary conditions

$$\boxed{f_{m,n,t} = K(f_{m,n+1,t-1} + f_{m,n-1,t-1} + f_{m+1,n,t-1} + f_{m-1,n,t-1} - 4f_{m,n,t-1}) + 2f_{m,n,t-1} - f_{m,n,t-2}} \quad (6)$$

where

$$K = c^2 \frac{(\Delta t)^2}{\Delta^2} \quad (7)$$

is a constant which can be thought of as the square of the ratio between the speed of the wave through the plane and the information propagation speed of the numerical method. In each time-step  $\Delta t$ , Eq. 6 transmits information from a distance of exactly 1 grid point in every direction. This means that the information propagation speed is  $c_i = \Delta/\Delta t$ .

For the numerical method to successfully approximate the wave equation, numerical stability is necessary. That is, the speed of the information propagation throughout our discretization must be no slower than the

wave speed  $c \leq c_i$ . Otherwise, our results will be nonsensical. Noting all these parameters are necessarily positive, we see that

$$\frac{c}{c_i} \leq 1 \implies \frac{c\Delta t}{\Delta} \leq 1 \implies K \leq 1 \quad (8)$$

is a necessary condition for numerical stability in our method. We can get a more specific necessary condition by invoking the time-marching (explicit) case of the Courant–Friedrichs–Lewy condition that

$$\Delta t \left( \frac{c_x}{\Delta x} + \frac{c_y}{\Delta y} \right) \leq C_{max} = 1 \quad (9)$$

which, for our method, becomes

$$\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta} (c_x + c_y) \leq 1 \quad (10)$$

This is of course consistent with Ineq. 8 by the Triangle Inequality. Note that even our more restricted condition Ineq. 10 is not necessarily a sufficient one. However, the results of the method become obviously nonsensical when the condition to ensure stability is not met and so we need not derive it. Running several tests makes it clear that  $K \leq 0.5$  is comfortably sufficient for our purposes with  $0.1 \leq K \leq 0.4$  being a good compromise. Low values of K produce more accurate results, but are algorithmically slower. Essentially, lowering K increases our time-resolution.