

Chapter 19

Hypothesis space II

In this chapter we are going to construct the Reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) using the eigen-pairs of an integral operator defined by the Mercer kernel under consideration. We will show that this operator is a compact and self-adjoint and hence admits a spectral decomposition by the Hilbert-Schmidt theorem 1.1. This approach is more appealing as it follows the same direction of constructing Gaussian measure in Chapter ??, and hence making the presentation of the book more coherent.

19.1 Kernel-based integral operators

Let us define the following integral operator

$$(L_{\mathbf{K}}f)(\mathbf{x}) := \int_X \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) f(\mathbf{t}) d\pi(\mathbf{t}),$$

where we assume π is a probability measure on X though all the results, up to a constant, also hold for a general Borel measure. We observe

$$|(L_{\mathbf{K}}f)(\mathbf{x})| \leq \|\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))} \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))} \leq C_{\mathbf{K}} \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))}, \quad (19.1)$$

which implies $L_{\mathbf{K}}$ as a map from $\mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))$ to $\mathbb{C}(X)$ is (Lipschitz) continuous. Since the inclusion $\mathbb{C}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))$ is continuous, we see that $L_{\mathbf{K}}$ as a map from $\mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))$ into $\mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))$ is continuous and its operator norm is bounded as $\|L_{\mathbf{K}}\| \leq C_{\mathbf{K}}$.

Proposition 19.1. *$L_{\mathbf{K}} : \mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$ is a compact operator. If, in addition, \mathbf{K} is a Mercer kernel, then $L_{\mathbf{K}}$ is self-adjoint positive semidefinite compact operator.*

Proof. Let B be a bounded set in $\mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))} \leq M, \forall f \in B$. From (19.1) we see that $L_{\mathbf{K}}(B)$ is uniformly bounded. A similar argument as in (19.1) shows that

$$|(L_{\mathbf{K}}f)(\mathbf{x}) - (L_{\mathbf{K}}f)(\mathbf{x}')| \leq \sup_{\mathbf{t} \in X} |\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}'}(\mathbf{t})| \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))} \leq 2C_{\mathbf{K}}M,$$

which implies that $L_{\mathbf{K}}(B)$ is equicontinuous. By the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem 18.3, the closure of $L_{\mathbf{K}}(B)$ is compact in $\mathbb{C}(X)$. In other words, $L_{\mathbf{K}}(B)$ is relatively compact and by definition 19.2, $L_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a compact operator.

The self-adjointness is clear by the Fubini theorem. The positive semidefiniteness of $L_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a direct consequence of the positive semidefiniteness of \mathbf{K} . Indeed, since X is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^k , without loss of generality, we can subdivide X into n subsets with equal volumes and with “centroids” $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} (f, L_{\mathbf{K}}f)_{\mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))} &= \int_{X \times X} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) f(\mathbf{t}) f(\mathbf{x}) d\pi(\mathbf{t}) d\pi(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{V}(X)^2}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{t}_j) f(\mathbf{t}_j) f(\mathbf{x}_i) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

By the Hilbert-Schmidt Theorem 1.1, $L_{\mathbf{K}}$ admits a spectral decomposition with eigenpairs $(\lambda_i, \varphi_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$, i.e.,

$$L_{\mathbf{K}}f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \lambda_i \varphi_i,$$

for any $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i \in \mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))$. Moreover the Mercer Theorem 19.3 holds.

Exercise 19.1. Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i = \int_X \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) d\pi(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathcal{V}(X) C_{\mathbf{K}}.$$

Deduce that $\lambda_k \leq \frac{\mathcal{V}(X) C_{\mathbf{K}}}{k}$. •

Without loss of generality, assume that $\lambda_i > 0, \forall i$. Let us now define the following space

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}} := \left\{ f \in \mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x})) : f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i \text{ with } \left\{ \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} \right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2 \right\},$$

and equip $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ with the following inner product

$$(f, g)_{\mathbf{K}} := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i b_i}{\lambda_i} \quad (19.2)$$

for any $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i$ and $g = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i \varphi_i$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$. Exercise 19.2 shows that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a Hilbert space.

Exercise 19.2. Show that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ with aforementioned inner product is a Hilbert space. •

Since $L_{\mathbf{K}}^{\frac{1}{2}} : \mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x})) \ni f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i \mapsto g = L_{\mathbf{K}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \sqrt{\lambda_i} \varphi_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is an isometry, the space $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ allows us to define a square root of $L_{\mathbf{K}}$.

Compare $L_{\mathbf{K}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the Cameron-Martin map of a Gaussian measure.

Exercise 19.3. Show that the following hold true:

- i) $L_{\mathbf{K}}^{\frac{1}{2}} : \mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x})) \ni f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i \mapsto g = L_{\mathbf{K}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \sqrt{\lambda_i} \varphi_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is an isometry.
 - ii) $g \in \mathbb{L}^2(X, \pi(\mathbf{x}))$.
 - iii) $L_{\mathbf{K}} = L_{\mathbf{K}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot L_{\mathbf{K}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
-

Now comes the main result of this chapter.

Theorem 19.1. $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{K}}$ are identical.

Proof. We just need to show that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ has three properties in Theorem 18.1.

- By definition of $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}}$, Mercer theorem, and the induced norm from (19.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t})\|_{\mathbf{K}} &= \|\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})\|_{\mathbf{K}} = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_i(\mathbf{t}) \right\|_{\mathbf{K}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \varphi_i(\mathbf{x})^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})} \leq C_{\mathbf{K}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in X$.

- To see that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ has the reproducing property we take $f(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i(\mathbf{t}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ and show that $(f, \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}})_{\mathbf{K}} = f(\mathbf{x})$ but this is straightforward by Mercer theorem and the definition of the inner product in $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$. Indeed,

$$(f, \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}})_{\mathbf{K}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i \lambda_i \varphi_i(\mathbf{x})}{\lambda_i} = f(\mathbf{x}).$$

- To show that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{K}}$, it is sufficient to prove that, for any $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{K}}$, $(f, \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}})_{\mathbf{K}} = 0$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in X$ implies $f = 0$. But this is clear by the reproducing property. \square

We conclude this chapter with the definition and properties of a *feature map*.

Theorem 19.2. Show the the feature map defined by

$$\Phi : X \ni \mathbf{x} \mapsto \Phi(\mathbf{x}) := \left\{ \sqrt{\lambda_k} \varphi_k(\mathbf{x}) \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2$$

is well-defined, and continuous, and satisfies

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = (\Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{t}))_{\ell^2}.$$

Proof. It is obvious by Mercer theorem:

$$(\Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{t}))_{\ell^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \varphi_k(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_k(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}),$$

and

$$\|\Phi(\mathbf{x})\|_{\ell}^2 = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \varphi_k(\mathbf{x})^2} = \sqrt{\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})} \leq C_{\mathbf{K}} < \infty.$$

□

19.2 Appendix

Definition 19.1 (Relatively compact). A subset B of a metric space X is relatively compact if its closure is compact in X .

Definition 19.2 (Compact operator). $L : X \rightarrow y$ is a compact operator if for any bounded set $B \subset X$, $L(B)$ is relatively compact in y .

Theorem 19.3 (Mercer theorem). Suppose X is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^k and \mathbf{K} is a Mercer kernel. Let $(\lambda_i, \varphi_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be the eigenpairs of $L_{\mathbf{K}}$. For all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t} \in X$, there holds

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_i(\mathbf{t}),$$

where the convergence is absolute and uniform on pointwise $X \times X$.