

ABSTRACT

The project proposes the system to create a model that recommends restaurants to users using content-based and collaborative filtering recommender algorithms. A recommender system refers to a system that is capable of predicting the future preference of a set of items for a user, and recommend the top items. One key reason why we need a recommender system in modern society is that people have too much options to use from due to the prevalence of Internet. The system is going to work on the data set which consists of attributes such as restaurant name, location, cuisine offered, user rating, pricing etc.

The aim is to create a model which gives a good recommendation on the type of restaurant that the user might like. The comparison of SVD and SVD++ algorithms, both of which are collaborative filtering algorithms, on this dataset helps one to check which one is better than the other. To achieve minimal RMSE, Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) algorithm is adopted. One way to handle the scalability and sparsity issue created by collaborative filtering is to leverage a latent factor model to capture the similarity between users and items. The SVD++ model introduces the implicit feedback information based on SVD. In order to achieve this task one can divide the data set into two part which are Training set and Testing set, then can train the model using the training set and test using the testing dataset.

The comparison of the two models is found based on which the programmer can decide the best algorithm for recommendation. One can develop the restaurant recommender model based on this comparison. A huge data set is required for accurate recommendation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

At the very onset, we would like to place on record our gratefulness to all those people who have helped us in making this internship work a reality. Coming up with this Internship work to be a success was not easy. Our Institution has played a paramount role in guiding us in the right direction.

We would like to profoundly thank the **Management of RNS Institute of Technology** for providing such a healthy environment for the successful completion of this Internship / Professional Practice work.

We would like to express our sincere thanks to our respected Director, **Dr. H N Shivashankar** for his constant encouragement that motivated us for the successful completion of this work.

We would also like to thank our beloved Principal, **Dr. M K Venkatesha**, for providing the necessary facilities to carry out this work.

We are extremely grateful to our beloved Vice-Principal and HoD-CSE, **Dr. G T Raju**, for having accepted to patronize us in the right direction with all his wisdom.

We place our heartfelt thanks to all the Coordinators- Internship / Professional Practice. We would like to thank the internal guide **Dr. Shashidhara H R**, Asst. Professor, for his continuous guidance and constructive suggestions for this work.

Last but not the least, we are thankful to all the staff members of Computer Science and Engineering Department for their encouragement and support throughout this work.

Sunidhi Hegde
1RN15CS110

Varsha Ravindra
1RN15CS119

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sl. No.	Chapter Name	Page No.
	ABSTRACT	i
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
	LIST OF FIGURES	iv
	LIST OF TABLES	v
1.	INTRODUCTION	01
2.	LITERATURE SURVEY	02
3.	PROBLEM STATEMENT	03
	3.1. Existing System	03
	3.2. Limitations of Existing System	03
	3.3. Proposed System	03
	3.4. Advantages of Proposed System	04
4.	REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS AND FEASIBILITY STUDY	05
	4.1. Functional requirement	05
	4.2. Non-functional requirement	05
	4.3. Hardware Requirement	05
	4.4. Software Requirement	05
5.	SYSTEM DESIGN	06
	5.1. System Architecture	06
6.	IMPLEMENTATION	07
	6.1. Pseudo-code / Algorithm	07
	6.2. Configuration	09
	6.3. Code	10
7.	RESULT ANALYSIS	15
	7.1. Testing	15
	7.2. Results and Snapshots	18
8.	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	21
	8.1. Conclusion	21
	8.2. Limitations and Future work	21
	REFERENCES	22

List of Figures

Figure No	Description	Page No
Figure 5.1	Final System Architecture	06
Figure 7.1	Dataset loaded	16
Figure 7.2	Splitting the dataset into the ratio 3:1	17
Figure 7.3	Comparing the accuracy between SVD and SVD++	18
Figure 7.4	Graph depicting the most accepted payment mode	19
Figure 7.5	Graph depicting the top cuisines	19
Figure 7.6	Graph depicting the top favorite cuisines for customers	20
Figure 7.7	Graph depicting user's personal information based on birth year	20

List of Tables

Table No	Description	Page No
Table 7.1	Unit test case for Dataset Loading	15
Table 7.2	Unit test to check for null/NA value	16
Table 7.3	Integration test to check for train-test-split	17
Table 7.4	System test to compare the accuracy of SVD and SVD++	18