

## bcp-accuracy

August 29, 2024

```
[4]: import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import sklearn.datasets
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
```

```
[2]: #Data Collection And Processing
```

```
[5]: breast_cancer_dataset=sklearn.datasets.load_breast_cancer()
```

```
[6]: print(breast_cancer_dataset)
```

```
{'data': array([[1.799e+01, 1.038e+01, 1.228e+02, ..., 2.654e-01, 4.601e-01,
    1.189e-01],
 [2.057e+01, 1.777e+01, 1.329e+02, ..., 1.860e-01, 2.750e-01,
    8.902e-02],
 [1.969e+01, 2.125e+01, 1.300e+02, ..., 2.430e-01, 3.613e-01,
    8.758e-02],
 ...,
 [1.660e+01, 2.808e+01, 1.083e+02, ..., 1.418e-01, 2.218e-01,
    7.820e-02],
 [2.060e+01, 2.933e+01, 1.401e+02, ..., 2.650e-01, 4.087e-01,
    1.240e-01],
 [7.760e+00, 2.454e+01, 4.792e+01, ..., 0.000e+00, 2.871e-01,
    7.039e-02]]), 'target': array([0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1,
0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0,
1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0,
1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0,
0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1,
1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0,
0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0,
1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1,
1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
```

```

0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0,
0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0,
0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]], 'frame': None,
'target_names': array(['malignant', 'benign'], dtype='<U9'), 'DESCR': '..
_breast_cancer_dataset:\n\nBreast cancer wisconsin (diagnostic)
dataset\n-----\n\n**Data Set
Characteristics:**\n\nNumber of Instances: 569\n\nNumber of Attributes: 30
numeric, predictive attributes and the class\n\nAttribute Information:\n    -
radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter)\n    - texture
(standard deviation of gray-scale values)\n    - perimeter\n    - area\n    -
smoothness (local variation in radius lengths)\n    - compactness (perimeter^2 /
area - 1.0)\n    - concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour)\n
- concave points (number of concave portions of the contour)\n    - symmetry\n
- fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" - 1)\n\n    The mean, standard
error, and "worst" or largest (mean of the three\n    worst/largest values) of
these features were computed for each image,\n    resulting in 30 features. For
instance, field 0 is Mean Radius, field\n    10 is Radius SE, field 20 is Worst
Radius.\n\n    - class:\n                - WDBC-Malignant\n                - WDBC-
Benign\n\nSummary Statistics:\n\n=====
=====
=====
Min
Max\n=====
radius (mean):
6.981 28.11\ntexture (mean):
9.71 39.28\nperimeter
(mean):
43.79 188.5\narea (mean):
143.5 2501.0\nsmoothness (mean):
0.053 0.163\ncompactness
(mean):
0.019 0.345\nconcavity (mean):
0.0 0.427\nconcave points (mean):
0.0 0.201\nsymmetry
(mean):
0.106 0.304\nfractal dimension (mean):
0.05 0.097\nradius (standard error):
0.112 2.873\ntexture
(standard error):
0.36 4.885\nperimeter (standard error):
0.757 21.98\narea (standard error):
6.802 542.2\nsmoothness
(standard error):
0.002 0.031\ncompactness (standard error):
0.002 0.135\nconcavity (standard error):
0.0 0.396\nconcave points
(standard error):
0.0 0.053\nsymmetry (standard error):
0.008
0.079\nfractal dimension (standard error):
0.001 0.03\nradius (worst):
7.93 36.04\ntexture (worst):
12.02 49.54\nperimeter
(worst):
50.41 251.2\narea (worst):
185.2 4254.0\nsmoothness (worst):
0.071 0.223\ncompactness

```

```

(worst):                                0.027  1.058\nconncavity (worst):
0.0    1.252\nconcave points (worst):      0.0    0.291\nsymmetry
(worst):                                0.156  0.664\nfractal dimension (worst):
0.055  0.208\n===== \n\n:Missing
Attribute Values: None\n\n:Class Distribution: 212 - Malignant, 357 -
Benign\n\n:Creator: Dr. William H. Wolberg, W. Nick Street, Olvi L.
Mangasarian\n\n:Donor: Nick Street\n\n:Date: November, 1995\n\nThis is a copy of
UCI ML Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic)
datasets.\nhttps://goo.gl/U2Uwz2\n\nFeatures are computed from a digitized image
of a fine needle\naspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They
describe\ncharacteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.\n\nSeparating
plane described above was obtained using\nMultisurface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K.
P. Bennett, "Decision Tree\nConstruction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of
the 4th\nMidwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society,\npp.
97-101, 1992], a classification method which uses linear\nprogramming to
construct a decision tree. Relevant features\nwere selected using an exhaustive
search in the space of 1-4\nfeatures and 1-3 separating planes.\n\nThe actual
linear program used to obtain the separating plane\nin the 3-dimensional space
is that described in:\n[K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust
Linear\nProgramming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable
Sets",\nOptimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34].\n\nThis database is
also available through the UW CS ftp server:\n\nftp ftp.cs.wisc.edu\ncd math-
prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/\n\n|details-
start|\n**References**\n|details-split|\n\n- W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L.
Mangasarian. Nuclear feature extraction\n for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE
1993 International Symposium on\n Electronic Imaging: Science and Technology,
volume 1905, pages 861-870,\n San Jose, CA, 1993.\n- O.L. Mangasarian, W.N.
Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnosis and\n prognosis via linear
programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-577,\n July-August 1995.\n-
W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machine learning techniques\n
to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cancer Letters 77 (1994)\n
163-171.\n\n|details-end|\n', 'feature_names': array(['mean radius', 'mean
texture', 'mean perimeter', 'mean area',
    'mean smoothness', 'mean compactness', 'mean concavity',
    'mean concave points', 'mean symmetry', 'mean fractal dimension',
    'radius error', 'texture error', 'perimeter error', 'area error',
    'smoothness error', 'compactness error', 'concavity error',
    'concave points error', 'symmetry error',
    'fractal dimension error', 'worst radius', 'worst texture',
    'worst perimeter', 'worst area', 'worst smoothness',
    'worst compactness', 'worst concavity', 'worst concave points',
    'worst symmetry', 'worst fractal dimension'], dtype='<U23'), 'filename':
'breast_cancer.csv', 'data_module': 'sklearn.datasets.data'}

```

```
[5]: #loading the data into data frame
```

```
[7]: df=pd.DataFrame(breast_cancer_dataset.data,columns=breast_cancer_dataset.
      ↪feature_names)
```

```
[8]: df.head()
```

```
[8]:
```

	mean radius	mean texture	mean perimeter	mean area	mean smoothness	\
0	17.99	10.38	122.80	1001.0	0.11840	
1	20.57	17.77	132.90	1326.0	0.08474	
2	19.69	21.25	130.00	1203.0	0.10960	
3	11.42	20.38	77.58	386.1	0.14250	
4	20.29	14.34	135.10	1297.0	0.10030	

  

	mean compactness	mean concavity	mean concave points	mean symmetry	\
0	0.27760	0.3001	0.14710	0.2419	
1	0.07864	0.0869	0.07017	0.1812	
2	0.15990	0.1974	0.12790	0.2069	
3	0.28390	0.2414	0.10520	0.2597	
4	0.13280	0.1980	0.10430	0.1809	

  

	mean fractal dimension	...	worst radius	worst texture	worst perimeter	\
0	0.07871	...	25.38	17.33	184.60	
1	0.05667	...	24.99	23.41	158.80	
2	0.05999	...	23.57	25.53	152.50	
3	0.09744	...	14.91	26.50	98.87	
4	0.05883	...	22.54	16.67	152.20	

  

	worst area	worst smoothness	worst compactness	worst concavity	\
0	2019.0	0.1622	0.6656	0.7119	
1	1956.0	0.1238	0.1866	0.2416	
2	1709.0	0.1444	0.4245	0.4504	
3	567.7	0.2098	0.8663	0.6869	
4	1575.0	0.1374	0.2050	0.4000	

  

	worst concave points	worst symmetry	worst fractal dimension
0	0.2654	0.4601	0.11890
1	0.1860	0.2750	0.08902
2	0.2430	0.3613	0.08758
3	0.2575	0.6638	0.17300
4	0.1625	0.2364	0.07678

[5 rows x 30 columns]

```
[8]: #adding the target column
```

```
[9]: df['label']=breast_cancer_dataset.target
```

```
[10]: #Print last 5 rows
```

```
[11]: df.tail()
```

```
[11]:      mean radius  mean texture  mean perimeter  mean area  mean smoothness  \
564         21.56         22.39         142.00      1479.0         0.11100
565         20.13         28.25         131.20      1261.0         0.09780
566         16.60         28.08         108.30       858.1         0.08455
567         20.60         29.33         140.10      1265.0         0.11780
568          7.76         24.54          47.92       181.0         0.05263

      mean compactness  mean concavity  mean concave points  mean symmetry  \
564          0.11590          0.24390          0.13890          0.1726
565          0.10340          0.14400          0.09791          0.1752
566          0.10230          0.09251          0.05302          0.1590
567          0.27700          0.35140          0.15200          0.2397
568          0.04362          0.00000          0.00000          0.1587

      mean fractal dimension  ...  worst texture  worst perimeter  worst area  \
564          0.05623  ...          26.40          166.10          2027.0
565          0.05533  ...          38.25          155.00          1731.0
566          0.05648  ...          34.12          126.70          1124.0
567          0.07016  ...          39.42          184.60          1821.0
568          0.05884  ...          30.37           59.16           268.6

      worst smoothness  worst compactness  worst concavity  \
564          0.14100          0.21130          0.4107
565          0.11660          0.19220          0.3215
566          0.11390          0.30940          0.3403
567          0.16500          0.86810          0.9387
568          0.08996          0.06444          0.0000

      worst concave points  worst symmetry  worst fractal dimension  label
564          0.2216          0.2060          0.07115          0
565          0.1628          0.2572          0.06637          0
566          0.1418          0.2218          0.07820          0
567          0.2650          0.4087          0.12400          0
568          0.0000          0.2871          0.07039          1
```

```
[5 rows x 31 columns]
```

```
[12]: #No of cols and rows in the dataset
```

```
[13]: df.shape
```

```
[13]: (569, 31)
```

```
[14]: #Getting info about the data
```

```
[15]: df.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 569 entries, 0 to 568
Data columns (total 31 columns):
#   Column                                Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
0   mean radius                          569 non-null    float64
1   mean texture                         569 non-null    float64
2   mean perimeter                      569 non-null    float64
3   mean area                          569 non-null    float64
4   mean smoothness                    569 non-null    float64
5   mean compactness                   569 non-null    float64
6   mean concavity                     569 non-null    float64
7   mean concave points                569 non-null    float64
8   mean symmetry                      569 non-null    float64
9   mean fractal dimension              569 non-null    float64
10  radius error                        569 non-null    float64
11  texture error                      569 non-null    float64
12  perimeter error                    569 non-null    float64
13  area error                        569 non-null    float64
14  smoothness error                   569 non-null    float64
15  compactness error                  569 non-null    float64
16  concavity error                    569 non-null    float64
17  concave points error               569 non-null    float64
18  symmetry error                     569 non-null    float64
19  fractal dimension error            569 non-null    float64
20  worst radius                       569 non-null    float64
21  worst texture                      569 non-null    float64
22  worst perimeter                    569 non-null    float64
23  worst area                        569 non-null    float64
24  worst smoothness                   569 non-null    float64
25  worst compactness                  569 non-null    float64
26  worst concavity                    569 non-null    float64
27  worst concave points               569 non-null    float64
28  worst symmetry                     569 non-null    float64
29  worst fractal dimension             569 non-null    float64
30  label                             569 non-null    int32
dtypes: float64(30), int32(1)
memory usage: 135.7 KB
```

```
[16]: #Checking for missing values
df.isnull().sum()
```

```
[16]: mean radius          0
      mean texture       0
      mean perimeter     0
```

```

mean area          0
mean smoothness    0
mean compactness   0
mean concavity     0
mean concave points 0
mean symmetry      0
mean fractal dimension 0
radius error       0
texture error      0
perimeter error    0
area error         0
smoothness error   0
compactness error  0
concavity error    0
concave points error 0
symmetry error     0
fractal dimension error 0
worst radius       0
worst texture      0
worst perimeter    0
worst area         0
worst smoothness   0
worst compactness  0
worst concavity    0
worst concave points 0
worst symmetry     0
worst fractal dimension 0
label             0
dtype: int64

```

```
[17]: #Statistical measures about the data
```

```
[18]: df.describe()
```

```
[18]:
```

	mean radius	mean texture	mean perimeter	mean area \
count	569.000000	569.000000	569.000000	569.000000
mean	14.127292	19.289649	91.969033	654.889104
std	3.524049	4.301036	24.298981	351.914129
min	6.981000	9.710000	43.790000	143.500000
25%	11.700000	16.170000	75.170000	420.300000
50%	13.370000	18.840000	86.240000	551.100000
75%	15.780000	21.800000	104.100000	782.700000
max	28.110000	39.280000	188.500000	2501.000000

  

	mean smoothness	mean compactness	mean concavity	mean concave points \
count	569.000000	569.000000	569.000000	569.000000
mean	0.096360	0.104341	0.088799	0.048919

std	0.014064	0.052813	0.079720	0.038803
min	0.052630	0.019380	0.000000	0.000000
25%	0.086370	0.064920	0.029560	0.020310
50%	0.095870	0.092630	0.061540	0.033500
75%	0.105300	0.130400	0.130700	0.074000
max	0.163400	0.345400	0.426800	0.201200

	mean symmetry	mean fractal dimension	...	worst texture \
count	569.000000	569.000000	...	569.000000
mean	0.181162	0.062798	...	25.677223
std	0.027414	0.007060	...	6.146258
min	0.106000	0.049960	...	12.020000
25%	0.161900	0.057700	...	21.080000
50%	0.179200	0.061540	...	25.410000
75%	0.195700	0.066120	...	29.720000
max	0.304000	0.097440	...	49.540000

	worst perimeter	worst area	worst smoothness	worst compactness \
count	569.000000	569.000000	569.000000	569.000000
mean	107.261213	880.583128	0.132369	0.254265
std	33.602542	569.356993	0.022832	0.157336
min	50.410000	185.200000	0.071170	0.027290
25%	84.110000	515.300000	0.116600	0.147200
50%	97.660000	686.500000	0.131300	0.211900
75%	125.400000	1084.000000	0.146000	0.339100
max	251.200000	4254.000000	0.222600	1.058000

	worst concavity	worst concave points	worst symmetry \
count	569.000000	569.000000	569.000000
mean	0.272188	0.114606	0.290076
std	0.208624	0.065732	0.061867
min	0.000000	0.000000	0.156500
25%	0.114500	0.064930	0.250400
50%	0.226700	0.099930	0.282200
75%	0.382900	0.161400	0.317900
max	1.252000	0.291000	0.663800

	worst fractal dimension	label
count	569.000000	569.000000
mean	0.083946	0.627417
std	0.018061	0.483918
min	0.055040	0.000000
25%	0.071460	0.000000
50%	0.080040	1.000000
75%	0.092080	1.000000
max	0.207500	1.000000



[8 rows x 31 columns]

```
[19]: #Checking the distribution of target Variable
```

```
[20]: df['label'].value_counts()
```

```
[20]: label
1      357
0      212
Name: count, dtype: int64
```

```
[21]: #1-->Benign
      #0-->Malignant
```

```
[22]: df.groupby('label').mean()
```

```
[22]:      mean radius  mean texture  mean perimeter  mean area  mean smoothness \
label
0      17.462830    21.604906    115.365377    978.376415      0.102898
1      12.146524    17.914762     78.075406    462.790196      0.092478
```

```
      mean compactness  mean concavity  mean concave points  mean symmetry \
label
0      0.145188      0.160775      0.087990      0.192909
1      0.080085      0.046058      0.025717      0.174186
```

```
      mean fractal dimension  ...  worst radius  worst texture \
label
0      0.062680  ...      21.134811      29.318208
1      0.062867  ...      13.379801      23.515070
```

```
      worst perimeter  worst area  worst smoothness  worst compactness \
label
0      141.370330    1422.286321      0.144845      0.374824
1      87.005938     558.899440      0.124959      0.182673
```

```
      worst concavity  worst concave points  worst symmetry \
label
0      0.450606      0.182237      0.323468
1      0.166238      0.074444      0.270246
```

```
      worst fractal dimension
label
0      0.091530
1      0.079442
```

[2 rows x 30 columns]

```
[23]: #Seperating the features and target variable
```

```
[24]: X=df.drop(columns='label',axis=1)
      Y=df['label']
```

```
[25]: print(X)
```

	mean radius	mean texture	mean perimeter	mean area	mean smoothness	\
0	17.99	10.38	122.80	1001.0	0.11840	
1	20.57	17.77	132.90	1326.0	0.08474	
2	19.69	21.25	130.00	1203.0	0.10960	
3	11.42	20.38	77.58	386.1	0.14250	
4	20.29	14.34	135.10	1297.0	0.10030	
..	...	...	...	...	...	
564	21.56	22.39	142.00	1479.0	0.11100	
565	20.13	28.25	131.20	1261.0	0.09780	
566	16.60	28.08	108.30	858.1	0.08455	
567	20.60	29.33	140.10	1265.0	0.11780	
568	7.76	24.54	47.92	181.0	0.05263	

	mean compactness	mean concavity	mean concave points	mean symmetry	\
0	0.27760	0.30010	0.14710	0.2419	
1	0.07864	0.08690	0.07017	0.1812	
2	0.15990	0.19740	0.12790	0.2069	
3	0.28390	0.24140	0.10520	0.2597	
4	0.13280	0.19800	0.10430	0.1809	
..	...	...	...	...	
564	0.11590	0.24390	0.13890	0.1726	
565	0.10340	0.14400	0.09791	0.1752	
566	0.10230	0.09251	0.05302	0.1590	
567	0.27700	0.35140	0.15200	0.2397	
568	0.04362	0.00000	0.00000	0.1587	

	mean fractal dimension	...	worst radius	worst texture	\
0	0.07871	...	25.380	17.33	
1	0.05667	...	24.990	23.41	
2	0.05999	...	23.570	25.53	
3	0.09744	...	14.910	26.50	
4	0.05883	...	22.540	16.67	
..	...	...	...	...	
564	0.05623	...	25.450	26.40	
565	0.05533	...	23.690	38.25	
566	0.05648	...	18.980	34.12	
567	0.07016	...	25.740	39.42	
568	0.05884	...	9.456	30.37	

worst perimeter	worst area	worst smoothness	worst compactness	\
-----------------	------------	------------------	-------------------	---

0	184.60	2019.0	0.16220	0.66560
1	158.80	1956.0	0.12380	0.18660
2	152.50	1709.0	0.14440	0.42450
3	98.87	567.7	0.20980	0.86630
4	152.20	1575.0	0.13740	0.20500
..	...	...	...	...
564	166.10	2027.0	0.14100	0.21130
565	155.00	1731.0	0.11660	0.19220
566	126.70	1124.0	0.11390	0.30940
567	184.60	1821.0	0.16500	0.86810
568	59.16	268.6	0.08996	0.06444

	worst concavity	worst concave points	worst symmetry \
0	0.7119	0.2654	0.4601
1	0.2416	0.1860	0.2750
2	0.4504	0.2430	0.3613
3	0.6869	0.2575	0.6638
4	0.4000	0.1625	0.2364
..	...	...	...
564	0.4107	0.2216	0.2060
565	0.3215	0.1628	0.2572
566	0.3403	0.1418	0.2218
567	0.9387	0.2650	0.4087
568	0.0000	0.0000	0.2871

	worst fractal dimension
0	0.11890
1	0.08902
2	0.08758
3	0.17300
4	0.07678
..	...
564	0.07115
565	0.06637
566	0.07820
567	0.12400
568	0.07039

[569 rows x 30 columns]

[26]: `print(Y)`

0	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
..	

```
564    0
565    0
566    0
567    0
568    1
Name: label, Length: 569, dtype: int32
```

```
[27]: #Splitting the data into training and testing data
```

```
[41]: X_train,X_test,Y_train,Y_test=train_test_split(X,Y,test_size=0.2,random_state=0)
```

```
[29]: #feature scaling
```

```
[42]: from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
X_train=StandardScaler().fit_transform(X_train)
X_test=StandardScaler().fit_transform(X_test)
```

```
[48]: def models(X_train,Y_train):
    #Loggistic Regression
    from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
    log=LogisticRegression(random_state=0)
    log.fit(X_train,Y_train)

    #Decision Tree
    from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
    tree=DecisionTreeClassifier(random_state=0,criterion="entropy")
    tree.fit(X_train,Y_train)

    #Random Forest
    from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
    forest=RandomForestClassifier(random_state=0,criterion="entropy")
    forest.fit(X_train,Y_train)
    print('[0]Logistic Regression Accuracy:', log.score(X_train,Y_train))
    print('[1]Decision tree Accuracy:', tree.score(X_train,Y_train))
    print('[2]Random Forest Accuracy:', forest.score(X_train,Y_train))
    return log,tree,forest
```

```
[49]: model=models(X_train,Y_train)
```

```
[0]Logistic Regression Accuracy: 0.989010989010989
[1]Decision tree Accuracy: 1.0
[2]Random Forest Accuracy: 1.0
```

```
[50]: #Testing the models/Results
```

```
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report
```

```

for i in range(len(model)):
    print("Model",i)
    print(classification_report(Y_test,model[i].predict(X_test)))
    print("Accuracy:",accuracy_score(Y_test,model[i].predict(X_test)))

```

Model 0

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.96	0.94	0.95	47
1	0.96	0.97	0.96	67
accuracy			0.96	114
macro avg	0.96	0.95	0.95	114
weighted avg	0.96	0.96	0.96	114

Accuracy: 0.956140350877193

Model 1

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.93	0.91	0.92	47
1	0.94	0.96	0.95	67
accuracy			0.94	114
macro avg	0.94	0.94	0.94	114
weighted avg	0.94	0.94	0.94	114

Accuracy: 0.9385964912280702

Model 2

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.98	0.94	0.96	47
1	0.96	0.99	0.97	67
accuracy			0.96	114
macro avg	0.97	0.96	0.96	114
weighted avg	0.97	0.96	0.96	114

Accuracy: 0.9649122807017544