Independent Project Model Specification

Wynne Moss

October 31, 2018

The data

SUMMARY OF DATASET: I quantified parasite communities across 10 different pond sites in the East Bay of California; each site was visited 4-6 times within the 2017 summer. At each visit I collected 10-12 individuals from 2 amphibian species. Individuals were measured and parasite infection was quantified.

GROUPING VARIABLES: * Site (10 ponds) - this is a random variable. * Visit (6 visits) - I want to treat this as a fixed effect, even though visits are nested (?) within sites (so should it go in as a predictor?) * Species (2 species) - Again, I want to treat this as a fixed effect, although species are nested(?) within site visit

PREDICTOR VARIABLES: * Species (2 species) - I think this is a better designation for species * Body size (snout-vent-length) - A continuous variable (fixed effect) at the individual level * Developmental stage - A continuous variable at the individual level... problematic since development is measured differently in newts vs. frogs * Sex - A factor variable at the individual level

RESPONSE VARIABLE: * The number of parasites found within an individual. For this analysis, just count number of Echinostoma parasites. Can be modeled as Poisson distributed with a negative binomial to account for aggregation. Infection status (1 or 0) could also be modeled as a binary response variable using logistic regression.

Questions

- 1) Does the impact of species and body size change over the course of the summer (interact with visit)?
- 2) How much of the variation in overall parasite load is explained by visit-level, species-level, site-level, or individual-level variation?

Display the structure of the data

```
dis <- read.csv("diss.data.2017.csv")</pre>
# colnames(dis)
str(dis)
  'data.frame':
                    1049 obs. of 35 variables:
##
    $ X
                                  : int 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...
##
   $ HostCode
                                  : Factor w/ 1049 levels "PRNTH1_20170328_PSRE_001",..: 113 872 340 755
##
   $ Date
                                         20170513 20170328 20170607 20170327 20170328 20170513 20170513
                                  : Factor w/ 10 levels "PRNTH1", "PRNTH4", ...: 2 9 4 8 1 3 3 9 9 4 ....
##
    $ SiteCode
    $ SpeciesCode
                                  : Factor w/ 2 levels "PSRE", "TATO": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
##
                                  : Factor w/ 53 levels "PRNTH1 20170328",...: 7 45 18 39 1 12 12 45 45 1
   $ CollectionCode
##
   $ Lifestage
                                  : Factor w/ 2 levels "Larva", "Metamorph": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
                                  : Factor w/ 5 levels "AO", "CM", "DC", ...: 2 3 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 ....
    $ Dissector
##
    $ DissectionCondition
                                  : Factor w/ 3 levels "Dead on Arrival",..: 3 3 3 1 3 3 3 3 2 ...
##
   $ GosnerStage
                                         40 26 26 26 26 29 26 26 26 26 ...
                                  : Factor w/ 6 levels "","2T","3T","4T",..: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
    $ TarichaLarvaeStage
```

: num 4.58 5.13 5.29 5.41 5.42 5.45 5.6 5.74 5.94 5.95 ...

```
$ TailLength
                                     27.44 6.44 5.76 6.78 7.15 ...
##
                               : num 32 11.6 11.1 12.2 12.6 ...
##
  $ TotalLength
##
  $ Malformed
                               : Factor w/ 2 levels "N", "Y": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
                               : Factor w/ 3 levels "Female", "Male", ...: 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
## $ Sex
                               : Factor w/ 13 levels "2017-03-27", "2017-03-28", ...: 3 2 7 1 2 3 3 2 2
## $ collDate
## $ visit
                                    2 1 3 1 1 2 2 1 1 3 ...
                               : logi NA NA NA NA NA NA ...
##
  $ SecYr
                                     2 1 2 0 0 4 0 1 1 2 ...
## $ tot.para
                               : int
##
   $ BDinf
                                     1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 ...
## $ aveZE
                               : num
                                     2.75 0 0 0 0 ...
## $ Alaria
                               : int
                                     0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
## $ Cephalogonimus
                                     0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
                               : int
                               : int
## $ Echinostoma
                                     0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 1 ...
                               : int 0000000000...
## $ Gorgoderid_Metacercaria
## $ Gyrinicola_batrachiensis : int 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 ...
## $ Manodistomum_syntomentera : int
                                     0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
## $ Megalobatrachonema_moraveci: int 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
## $ Nematode
                               : int
                                     0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
## $ Oxyurid
                               : int 0000000000...
## $ Ribeiroia_ondatrae
                               : int
                                     0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
## $ Nyctotherus
                               : int 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 ...
## $ Opalina
                               : int 1010010100...
## $ Tritrichomonas
                               : int 001000001...
```

Model formulation

For now, I'll focus on question 1 with the response variable being Echinostoma (number of Echinostoma parasites found within that individual)

```
y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu_i)

\log(\mu_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{SpeciesCode} + \beta_2 \text{visit} + \beta_{1,2} (\text{SpeciesCode x Visit}) + \beta_3 \text{SVL} + \beta_{2,3} (\text{SVL x Visit}) + (1|\text{SiteCode})

OR

\log(\mu_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{SpeciesCode} + \beta_2 \text{visit} + \beta_{1,2} (\text{SpeciesCode x Visit}) + \beta_3 \text{SVL} + \beta_{2,3} (\text{SVL x Visit}) + (\text{visit}|\text{SiteCode})

OR
```

For negative binomial:

 $y_i \sim NB(r, p)$? I think sub r in for μ_i but I'm not sure how p is modeled...

Stan formulation

In stan glm, the model could be written as: