

Group 6 Feedback	Review 1	Review 2
Overall rating of technical aspects of the presentation	5	4
Overall rating of the delivery of the presentation	5	5
Feedback on Data Science Process (i.e. EDA, Hypotheses, Problem): how well did they articulate and communicate the problem and visualise the data?	EDA was well done and visualisations were good e.g heat map for correlation and bell curves.	Very well, really enjoyed the EDA process and how you articulated it. Communicated well and took something that no one thought about and made it significant. Well done!
Feedback on machine learning: was the appropriate technique used for the specific dataset or problem and how well was it executed?	Good use of different algorithms e.g random forests (and feature importances!) and clustering. I particularly enjoyed the analysis of corruption.	Used very well. Execution was done well and presented very well! Really enjoyed the use of clustering here as no other group did it, and the fact that you used a variable that seemed (off the bat) as one that you need to discard, really impressed me. Well done!
Feedback on Presentation (slides + presentation skills): was it pleasing to look at, interesting to listen to, and easy and enjoyable to follow?	Presentation was quite good and the slides were easy to follow. All team members participated and presented well.	Presentation was done very well, not too many comments. Really enjoyed it. The layout looked nice and the use of language was 100%. Well done!
Did the group reflect on any learnings?	Yes	Yes
Did the group provide future directions or recommendations for this type of project?	No	Yes
Time management: did they keep to 15min?	Yes	Yes
How well did they handle the questions?	5	4
Any shout-outs/feedback for specific people?	Varun explained the hidden importance of the corruption feature really well! Well done!	All presented very well. Well done team!