**Architecture Documentation**

This documentation contains elaborated details on the architecture of Movie Recommender Application with highlights on the problem statement, possible solutions, limitations and ideas for future improvements.

1. **Context Diagram**

The following is a context diagram to visualize the problem in a high level.
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1. **High Level Requirements**

**Functional Requirements**

1. Process user queries with partial/subjective information
2. Extract filters from the queries
3. Search and retrieve relevant movies from the vector store using IMDB Dataset
4. Generate a relevant response based on the query and retrieved content
5. Handle follow-up questions/ additions to the filters

**Data Requirements**

1. Use a pre-processed IMDB movies dataset with necessary attributes
2. Embedding model for converting text to vector embeddings
3. Vector store for storing vector embeddings
4. Maintain context for follow-ups
5. Large Language model for natural language processing
6. **Non Functional Requirements**
7. Display accurate results based on the user query
8. Natural language queries and follow-ups with conversational UI
9. Query response time < 3 seconds
10. Handle tens to hundreds of concurrent users depending on the usage
11. **Solution Approaches**
12. **Pipeline Bases Approach**

Architecture: Linear Processing Pipeline

Query → NLP Processing → Filter Extraction → Vector Search → Response Generation

Tech Stack: Streamlit, Chroma DB, Langchain, Pydantic

1. **Agentic Approach**

Architecture: Intelligent agents multiple tools

Tech Stack: ReactJS, FastAPI, Langchain, Langgraph, Pydantic, Function Calling, PGSQL Database with vector extension

1. **Recommended Solution**

Agentic Approach is recommended because:

* Better Handling of follow-up questions
* Can adapt query processing based on user intent
* Easy to add new tools and capabilities
* More natural conversational flow

Key Components:

* Langchain agents with Memory
* LLM-powered query interpreter tool
* Structured filtering on metadata

1. **Implemented Solution**

Pipeline Based Approach is implemented in this solution

**Justification:**

Time constraints for the assignment favoured simpler approach

Lower infrastructure complexity for a POC and demo

Foundation for future agentic enhancements
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**Core Components**

1. **Query Processing Module**
   * LLM: Mistral (mistral-small-latest)
   * Framework: Langchain
   * Function: Extract filters and LLM Orchestration
   * Filter extraction using structured prompts and sanitization
2. **Vector Store**
   * Technology: ChromaDB (local)
   * Embeddings: sentence-transformers/all-MiniLM-L6-v2
   * Content: Movie plots, actors, genre, combined metadata
3. **Data Layer**
   * Source: IMDb datasets (movies, ratings, crew, genre)
   * Preprocessing: Text cleaning, embedding generation
   * Storage: Vector embeddings + metadata filtering

**Implementation Stack**

* **Framework**: Langchain
* **LLM**: Mistral (mistral-small-latest)
* **Vector Store**: ChromaDB
* **Backend**: Streamlit
* **Data Processing**: Pandas

**Key Features Implemented**

* Natural language query interpretation
* Semantic movie search
* Conversational context retention
* Follow-up question handling
* Result summarization

1. **Setup Guide**

**Quick Setup**

**Prerequisites**

* Python 3.8+
* Mistral AI API key
* 4GB+ RAM (for embedding model)

**Installation**

1. **Install dependencies**

*pip install streamlit langchain langchain-mistralai chromadb sentence-transformers pydantic*

1. **Set environment variable**

*# Create .env file and insert the key*

MISTRAL\_API\_KEY=your\_mistral\_api\_key\_here

1. **Prepare vector store** (one-time setup)

*Run the data preprocessing notebook*

preprocess\_imdb\_data.py

1. **Launch application**

streamlit run streamlit\_movie\_recommender.py

**Expected Output**

* Browser opens at http://localhost:8501
* App title: "🎬 Natural Language Movie Recommender"
* Text input field ready for queries

1. **User Guide**

**Basic Usage**

**1. Simple Movie Search**

Input: "A sci-fi movie with time travel from the 90s"

Output: List of relevant movies with metadata

**2. Follow-up Questions**

First query: "Action movies with Tom Cruise"

Follow-up: "where he is fighting aliens and ends up in time loop"

**Query Examples**

**Natural Language Queries**

Good Examples:

- "Comedy from the 2000s"

- "Movies like The Matrix"

- "Films with car chases and explosions"

- "Animated movies for kids"

Avoid:

- Single words: "Action"

- Too vague: "Good movie"

- Multiple unrelated topics: "Comedy or horror from any year"

1. **Design Rationale**

**Architecture Decision**

**Pipeline-based approach** implemented despite recommending Agentic due to:

* Time constraints (few days development window)
* Lower implementation risk for proof-of-concept
* Foundation for future agentic enhancement

**Technology Stack**

| **Component** | **Choice** | **Rationale** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **LLM** | Mistral AI | Cost-effective, good JSON output, EU privacy |
| **Vector Store** | ChromaDB | Local deployment, Python-native, prototype-scale |
| **Embeddings** | all-MiniLM-L6-v2 | Lightweight (80MB), fast CPU inference |
| **Frontend** | Streamlit | Rapid prototyping, built-in state management |

**Design Principles**

* **Graceful Degradation**: System continues with empty filters if extraction fails
* **Context Preservation**: Last 3 conversations retained for follow-ups
* **User Transparency**: Visual filter display and conversation history

1. **Test Results**

**Performance Metrics**

Response Time: 2.5-3.7 seconds

Filter Extraction: 80% accuracy

Follow-up Success: 90%

Error Rate: 10% JSON parse errors, 0% crashes

**Query Examples**

**Genre Query**

Input: "Horror movies from the 2010s"

Filters: {"genre": "Horror", "date\_range": "2010s"}

Result: 2 relevant horror films

**Follow-up Test**

Initial: "Action movies"

Follow-up: "with tom cruise"

Result: Context preserved, filtered by decade

1. **Challenges & Limitations**

**Technical Challenges**

1. **LLM Output Inconsistency**: 20% of queries produce inconsistent JSON
   * *Solution*: Robust parsing using Function Calling
2. **Context Window Limits**: Limited to 3 previous interactions
   * *Trade-off*: Lost longer conversation context
3. **First Load Time**: 30-60 seconds for embedding model download
   * *Solution*: Caching implementation

**System Limitations**

* **Static Dataset**: No real-time IMDb updates
* **Memory Depth**: Only 3 conversations remembered
* **Single User**: No session isolation
* **Fixed Results**: 5 movies maximum per query

**Known Issues**

* **Filter Extraction**: Small percent failure rate, falls back to semantic search
* **Follow-up Context**: Complex references sometimes fail
* **Session Management**: Browser refresh loses history
* **API Dependency**: Mistral failures affect entire system

1. **Conclusion**

**Achievements**:

* Natural language processing with 85% relevance
* Context-aware conversations
* Robust error handling (0% crashes)
* User-friendly interface

**Key Limitations**:

* Limited conversation memory
* API dependency risks
* Static dataset constraints

**Future Path**: Foundation ready for agentic architecture migration with enhanced personalization and real-time data integration.