#### GOALS

- Maipalawanag ang mga konseptong pangkasaysayan
- Masuri ang mga suliranin sa proseso ng pagbubuo ng naratibo sa kasaysayan
- Mapahalagahan ang pag-aaral ng kasaysayan
- Charles Victory Langlois and Charles Seignobos
  - o metodolohiyang tradisyunal
  - method was already used in Europe before
  - Book: Introduction to the Study of History first contained full elaboration of the methodology
- Characteristics of Traditional Method

Napapaloob sa tradisyunal na metodolohiya ang apat na pamahalagang katangian:

- nsysays1) ang paniniwalang ang kasaysayan ay binubuo lamang ng sang pangyayaring nakalipas na; sa wakatant pasitas pasitas pangyayaring nakalipas na;
- prang mga kahalagahan ng mga dokumento upang muling mabuo prang mga kaganapang pangkasaysayan; asakganan administration nasa pruk nasakatat prayalam prangkasayan administration nasakatat prayalam prangkasayan salikanang

asignaturang historiograpiyag

- postine3) and paggamit ng mga kritika sa mga dokumento upang pomatiyak ang katunayan ng mismong dokumento at ng nilalahad nonito; at pas sigissm paipsa is novalgo spm pa nadilsasapsa
- hinggil sa metodolohiya at pilosopiya ng Kasaysayan sa gma agma nagnahani pa nagnahani pa nagnahani pa nagnahani sa lipunan bilang sentro o pinagmulan ng magnahani sa lipunan bilang sentro o hagen sa hapen ay binue ng tatlong lektnegeneges at may-akda ng lokal na kasaysayan. Si Dr. o
- history only consists of past events
- o importance of documents in order to piece out what happened in history
- o criticism of authenticity of the document and its claims
- places importance on rulers of society
- Property How can the historian verify stuff he did not see and experience by himself?
  - direct witnessing
  - indirect witnessing
    - analyzing remnants and remains of the events
    - forensic science
- Ang Tradisyunal na Batis: Ang Dokumentong Nakasulat
  - o documents play an important role
    - no document = no history
  - #verify heuristic technique
  - ==problem: we are lacking in documents==
    - especially documents from sinaunang lipunang Pilipinos
    - kronika ng ibang bansa na nakipagkalakal sa atin
    - wala sa atin mismo
  - what's written in documents is not really the event itself, rather palatandaan ng sikolohiya at pag-iisip ng author

- hindi pa tumpak na pagsasaksi
- ==historians don't easily believe what they discover==
  - instead they question a lot of stuff
  - kritikang panlabas o kritika ng kapantasan
  - kritikang panloob o kritika ng kapaniwalaan

# • Ang Kritikang Panlabas

### • 1. Restitusyon

- checking of document to return to its original state
- error checking against main idea
  - some are just "inserts" by other people
- need to know the author, his works, and his style
- cross check with other copies of documents
- also need to know the language of the document
  - problem: foreign language (spanish and english)

## o 2. Pagtakda ng pinanggalingan

- date
  - often newer documents have dates
  - older documents don't
    - need to analyze handwriting, behavior of people, dress code, events, and word usage
- place
  - where did the document come from?
  - determines social and political direction of the document
- author
  - don't easily believe the author stated in the documents (he may not be the writer)

#### 3. Pag-uuri ng mga batis

- how did the author know of the events he wrote of?
- if direct witnessing, we can use
- if indirect witnessing, find the original source
  - if can't be found, use second batis

### o just because document is authentic doesn't mean contents is true and right

Nagbabala sina Langlois at Seignobos na dahil daw sa tuwa ng isang historyador na makakalap ng dokumentong tunay, lalo na kung luma o di-karaniwan, ay agad nang tatanggapin ang sinasabi ng dokumento bilang katotohanan. May isa pang mahalagang isasagawa bago mapaniwalaan na nga ang sinasabing dokumento, at ito ay ang kritikang panloob.

#### Ang Kritikang Panloob

- checking the content
- checking the conditions to which led to the creation of this document
- Pagsuri ng nilalaman ng dokumento
  - interpretative criticism
  - \*\*STEP 1: \*\*Pagsusuri ng nilalaman ng dokumento
    - however, literal meanings chance

==literal interpretations need to be reinforced with historial interpretations==

### 4 Principles

- meaning of words evolve
  - know the meaning of word in that context and situation
- language has different importance/meaning in different places
- different authors have different styles
- expressions also change, need to read it with the main idea in mind
- to know the true meaning
- #verify hermeneutic technique
- \*\*STEP 2: \*\*Pagtakda ng katiyakan ng pag-uulat
  - analyze the environment
  - need to know the author's beliefs
  - need to know if author truly witnessed the event
  - shows the authenticity and katumpkan ng saksi

Bawat pagkukubli ng katotohanan, maliit man o malaki, ay dala ng pagnanais ng isang may-akda na makakuha ng isang partikular na reaksyon mula sa kanyang mambabasa. Ang mga

## common reasons to not be faithful to writing

- Reason 1
- author wants to benefit from their writing
- coerce the reader to do or not do something
- almost all official documents
- reasons to lie:
  - personal interest
  - collective interest
  - ==need to know where the author stands==
- Reason 2
- put into situations where author has to lie
- often when documents must adhere to the normal or the mandated rules
- Reason 3
- author has sympathy or anti-sympathy towards a group of people, institution or ideology
- Reason 4
- forced to lie for self-glorification
- needs to be doubted or questioned
- Reason 5
- either give happiness to public or avoid panic and shock
- depends on the morality of the public
- Reason 6
- flowery words
- will add vivid and colorful details
- will insert dakilang gawain, adhikain, o pananalita ang mga taong isusulat niya

### not at all times can we determine the author

- can the historian use a document with an unnamed author?
  - compare to similar writings or groups

- how will you analyze this?
- only fraction of events, how does this fit the bigger picture?
- Ang Pamamaraan ng Pagbubuo ng Kasaysayan
  - 4 WAYS
  - 1. Paghahambing sa Kasalukuyan
    - compare to present events
    - notice the similarities and differences
    - historian must be knowledgeable about current events
  - 2. Pag-uuri ng Kaganapan
    - 4 URI
    - ayon sa panlabas na kalagayan
      - isang panahon
      - isang bansa
      - isang tao
    - ayon sa panloob na kalagayan
      - sining
      - relihiyon
      - panitikan
    - ayon sa isang grupong taglay ang iisang katangian
      - know the group and its beliefs
      - where do they differ and where do they agree
    - ayon sa panahon
      - will be able to determine start and end of panahon if we know what chnaged the behaviors of the people
  - need to study about events in that civilization
    - institution
    - behavior
    - idea
    - politics
  - o most events come from people in power
    - two ways to know if the person was influential enough
      - a) kung ang mga ginawa niya o ang buhay niya ay nagsilbing halimbawa sa isang grupo ng tao at nag-umpisa ng isang tradisyon (halimbawa sa sining, agham, relihiyon,o propesyong teknikal)
      - b) kung siya ay may kapangyarihang mag-utos at magpakilos sa mga tao o grupo ng tao (mga pinuno ng bansa, kawal o simbahan)
  - o 3. Paggamit ng Pangangatwiran
    - since not all documents and not all events are recorded, reason is necessary
    - Ang Negatibong pangangatwiran
      - reason from lack of evidence
      - if event was not mentioned in other documents, it didn't happen
      - ==absence of evidence isn't evidence for absence==

- secret stuff of government
- events concerning maltreatment of poor people

# two usapin

- if an event is not mentioned, there is an interested to gather all data first
- an event must be very influential that it can't be hidden to the public

# Ang Positibong Pangangatwiran

- starts with an event proved by an authentic method
- find truths that that document did not mention

# 4. Ang Paglalahad ng Pangkalahatang Pormula

- form a formula and attach all events
- Three Formulas
  - destiny / meant to be / willed by God
    - everything starts ane ends with GOd
  - rational and everything fits a whole plan
    - k) Ang teorya ng patuloy at paunlad na takbo ng sangkatauhan. Ito ay isang metapisikal na pagpapalagay.

#### Panumbalik

- history is about analyzing the documents written
- documents must not be lost or broken
- documents are needed to show the real events
- o history explains how our present came to be
- history enriches thinking
- o doing criticism is good for the brain and makes us sharp
- we'll be able to differentiate the civilization and people
- o shows change; we should not be afraid of change