# 18-786

# Homework 1

January 24, 2024

# 1 Question 1

- Filter all samples representing digits '0' or '1' from the MNIST datasets.
- Randomly split the training data into a training set (80% training samples) of a validation set (20% training samples).
- Define an MLP with 1 hidden layer and train the MLP to classify the digits '0' vs '1'. Report your MLP design and training details (which optimizer, number of epochs, learning rate, etc.)
- Keep other hyper-parameters the same, and train the model with different batch sizes: 2, 16, 128, 1024. Report the time cost, training, validation and test set accuracy of your mode

#### **Solution:**

#### 1.1 Model for binary classification

```
print(model)

SimpleMLP(
    (fc1): Linear(in_features=784, out_features=5, bias=True)
    (activation): Sigmoid()
    (fc2): Linear(in_features=5, out_features=2, bias=True)
)
```

### Effect of batch performance on the model performance and train time

	Training Time (s)	Train Acc	Val Acc	Test Acc
2	16.724894	99.911173	99.684169	99.669031
16	5.800792	99.960521	99.842084	99.952719
128	4.362510	99.891433	99.881563	99.905437
1024	3.937332	99.595341	99.526253	99.574468

Table 1: Comparing the performance of SimpleMLP model for different batch sizes 2, 16, 128, 1024

# 2 Question 2

- Implement the training loop and evaluation section. Report the hyper-parameters you choose
- Experiment with different numbers of neurons in the hidden layer and note any changes in performance.
- Write a brief analysis of the modelâs performance, including any challenges faced and how they were addressed

#### Solution:

### 2.1 Model for multi-class (10 digits) classification

```
print (model)
hidden_dim = int(np.sqrt(28*28*10)) # changed in hyperopt

MulticlassMLP(
    (fc1): Linear(in_features=784, out_features=88, bias=True)
    (activation): Sigmoid()
    (fc2): Linear(in_features=88, out_features=10, bias=True)
)

# criterion = nn.CrossEntropyLoss()
```

### 2.2 Hyper parameter optimization for Multi-Class Optimization

I first experimented with device to see the most optimal device for training between cpu and the gpu on my Mac-M1 Pro. The results are given in the appendix for the model with sigmoid. For each of them we found that **device** = 'cpu' was faster than **device** = 'mps' (mac gpu in M1 Pro).

#### 2.2.1 Results:

Two models were optimized for this task, one with sigmoid activiation and the other with ReLU activation.

#### 1. Sigmoid Activation Layer

```
print(model)
hidden_dim = int(np.sqrt(28*28*10))
# hidden_dim changed in hyperopt later
MulticlassMLP(
    (fc1): Linear(in_features=784, out_features=88,
    bias=True)
    (activation): Sigmoid()
    (fc2): Linear(in_features=88, out_features=10,
    bias=True)
)
# criterion = nn.CrossEntropyLoss()
```

The hyper-parameters tested are as follows

```
batch_sizes = [64, 128, 1024]
optimizers = ['adam', 'sgd']
# learning_rates = [1e-4, 1e-3, 1e-2, 1e-1]
hidden_dims = [4, 32, 64, 128]
```

Opt#	Batch	Opt	LR	HidDim	Training Time	Train Acc	Val Acc	Test Acc
0	64	adam	0.001	4	20.822481	81.116667	80.50	81.34
1	64	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	32	21.138930	95.725	94.583333	94.83
2	64	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	64	22.073092	97.208333	95.566667	96.09
3	64	adam	0.001	128	22.682774	98.10	96.591667	96.87
4	64	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	4	19.016016	69.941667	70.083333	70.49
5	64	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	32	19.240644	89.883333	89.666667	90.33
6	64	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	64	19.526299	90.029167	89.866667	90.57
7	64	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	128	20.448700	90.037500	89.85	90.69
8	128	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	4	18.587870	74.941667	73.933333	75.06
9	128	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	32	18.807421	95.029167	94.341667	94.47
10	128	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	64	19.121340	96.412500	95.416667	95.75
11	128	adam	0.001	128	20.281624	97.404167	96.433333	96.45
12	128	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	4	17.898255	63.181250	63.475	64.90
13	128	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	32	17.976289	87.287500	87.291667	88.10
14	128	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	64	18.428340	87.922917	87.775	88.92
15	128	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	128	18.753883	87.927083	87.90	88.73
16	1024	adam	0.001	4	17.380155	52.65	52.416667	53.09
17	1024	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	32	17.465012	90.939583	90.625	91.21
18	1024	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	64	17.708344	92.270833	91.866667	92.49
19	1024	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	128	18.143819	93.297917	92.766667	93.21
20	1024	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	4	17.723570	20.575	22.00	23.66
21	1024	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	32	17.807459	62.589583	63.075	65.17
22	1024	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	64	18.086973	67.327083	66.508333	68.73
23	1024	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	128	18.751791	70.679167	70.291667	71.88

Table 2: Hyperopt results for different optmizers, learning rate, batch size, and hidden dimension of the MulticlassMLP Network with sigmoid activation layer

#### 2. ReLU Activation Layer

```
class MulticlassMLP(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, in_dim, hidden_dim, out_dim):
        super(MulticlassMLP, self).__init__()
        self.fc1 = nn.Linear(in_dim, hidden_dim)
        self.activation = nn.ReLU()
        self.fc2 = nn.Linear(hidden_dim, out_dim)

def forward(self, x):
    # Your code goes here
    x = self.fc1(x)
    x = self.activation(x)
```

```
x = self.fc2(x)

return x

# criterion = nn.CrossEntropyLoss()
```

Opt#	Batch	Opt	LR	HidDim	Training Time	Train Acc	Val Acc	Test Acc
0	64	adam	0.001	4	22.202067	33.362500	33.991667	34.13
1	64	adam	0.001	32	22.753937	95.647917	94.566667	95.12
2	64	adam	0.001	64	23.678958	97.191667	96.525	96.80
3	64	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	128	24.853068	98.108333	96.425	96.53
4	64	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	4	21.199240	82.979167	82.091667	82.65
5	64	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	32	23.314560	92.931250	92.458333	92.94
6	64	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	64	24.677200	93.55	93.05	93.49
7	64	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	128	23.671364	93.885417	93.608333	94.10
8	128	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	4	20.069331	65.714583	65.108333	66.38
9	128	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	32	21.104044	93.787500	92.925	93.34
10	128	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	64	22.600291	96.437500	95.45	95.97
11	128	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	128	24.154394	97.735417	96.30	96.72
12	128	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	4	20.192016	81.056250	80.933333	81.43
13	128	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	32	19.052993	91.445833	91.433333	92.05
14	128	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	64	19.173184	91.566667	91.241667	91.92
15	128	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	128	20.031956	91.764583	91.458333	92.31
16	1024	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	4	17.754258	40.585417	41.825	41.90
17	1024	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	32	17.710765	91.395833	91.466667	91.97
18	1024	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	64	18.001581	93.037500	92.708333	93.05
19	1024	$\operatorname{adam}$	0.001	128	18.118424	94.625	94.158333	94.60
20	1024	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	4	17.477235	53.318750	53.15	54.65
21	1024	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	32	17.538370	85.191667	84.941667	85.86
22	1024	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	64	17.841422	86.214583	86.075	87.12
23	1024	$\operatorname{sgd}$	0.01	128	18.008393	86.645833	86.491667	87.38

Table 3: Hyperopt results for different optmizers, learning rate, batch size, and hidden dimension of the MulticlassMLP Network with ReLU activation layer

#### 2.2.2 Conclusion

From ?? and ??, we see that the highlighted rows.

The **yellow** rows highlight the highest performing hyper-parameters for 'adam', highest performing hyper-parameters for 'sgd' are highligted in **orange**, and **red** highligts the worse performances across the two optimizers.

• Sigmoid Activation

for batch size, optimizer, learning rate, hidden dimension, 64 adam  $0.001\ 128\ 22.682774\ 98.10\ 96.591667\ 96.87$ 

We get a train accuracy of 97.19%, validation accuracy of 96.52%, and the test accuracy of 96.80%.

# • Sigmoid Activation

3 64 adam  $0.001\ 128\ 22.682774\ 98.10\ 96.591667\ 96.87$  We get a train accuracy of 98.10%, validation accuracy of 96.59%, and the test accuracy of 96.87%.

### • Sigmoid Activation

 $7 \ 64 \ \mathrm{sgd} \ 0.01 \ 128 \ 23.671364 \ 93.885417 \ 93.608333 \ 94.10$ 

We get a train accuracy of 93.88%, validation accuracy of 993.60%, and the test accuracy of 94.10%.