

Assignment 2

Machine Learning 1, Fall 2016

Dana Kianfar
University of Amsterdam

January 6, 2018

1 MAP solution for Linear Regression

Question 1

1. Given that our data is i.i.d we can write the likelihood in form (a) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathcal{D} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \prod_{n=1}^N p(t_n \mid \phi_n, \mathbf{w}, \beta) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{N}(t_n \mid \mathbf{w}^T \phi_n, \beta^{-1}) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\beta^{-1}}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \phi_n)^2}{2\beta^{-1}}\right) \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}}_{A_1} \exp\left(\underbrace{\frac{-\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \phi_n)^2}_{A_2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

We can then rewrite A_2 as follows.

$$A_2 = \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \phi_n) \beta (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \phi_n)$$

Where $A_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times 1}$, $\phi_n \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times 1}$, $t_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

We can achieve the same scalar result for A_2 by using dot products as follows.

$$A_2 = \frac{-1}{2} (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w})$$

Where:

$\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} = \beta^{-1} \mathbb{I}_N$: is the co-variance matrix

$\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$: is the design matrix composed of $(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)^T$

$\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$: is the target vector composed of $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)^T$

$\mathbb{I}_N \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$: is the identity matrix.

The term A_1 does not change as $|\Sigma| = \beta^{-N}$. Therefore we can rewrite $p(\mathcal{D} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$ in form (b) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathcal{D} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \left(\frac{1}{|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right) \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2} (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w}) \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2} (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w}) \right) \\ &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{t} \mid \Phi \mathbf{w}, \Sigma) \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{w} \mid \alpha) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} \mid \vec{0}, \alpha^{-1} \mathbb{I}) \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{M}{2}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \vec{0})^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \vec{0}) \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{M}{2}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{w} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Where:

$\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M} = \alpha^{-1} \mathbb{I}_M$: is the co-variance matrix
 $\mathbb{I}_M \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$: is the identity matrix.

We compute its log as follows.

It holds that $S^{-1} = (\alpha^{-1} \mathbb{I}_M)^{-1} = \alpha \mathbb{I}_M$ and $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbb{I}_M \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \log(p(\mathbf{w} \mid \alpha)) &= \frac{M}{2} (\log \alpha - \log(2\pi)) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{w} \\ &= \frac{M}{2} (\log \alpha - \log(2\pi)) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

3. The posterior is calculated as follows.

$$posterior = \frac{likelihood \times prior}{evidence}$$

We replace each term by its mathematical notation as follows.

$$p(\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{t}, \Phi, \beta, \alpha) = \frac{p(\mathbf{t} \mid \Phi, \mathbf{w}, \beta) \cdot p(\mathbf{w} \mid \alpha)}{p(\mathbf{t})}$$

Where:

$p(\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{t}, \Phi, \beta, \alpha)$: is the posterior
 $p(\mathbf{t} \mid \Phi, \mathbf{w}, \beta)$: is the likelihood
 $p(\mathbf{w} \mid \alpha)$: is the prior
 $p(\mathbf{t})$: is the evidence

We expand further.

$$p(\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{t}, \Phi, \beta, \alpha) = \frac{p(\mathbf{t} \mid \Phi, \mathbf{w}, \beta) \cdot p(\mathbf{w} \mid \alpha)}{\int_{\Theta} p(\mathbf{t} \mid \Phi, \mathbf{w}', \beta) \cdot p(\mathbf{w}' \mid \alpha) d\mathbf{w}'}$$

Where Θ is the domain of the p.d.f of \mathbf{w} . This is a consequence of a Bayesian setting, where \mathbf{w} is a random variable and all it's possible values are considered simultaneously.

4. Form (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}, \Phi, \beta, \alpha) &= \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \phi_n)^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + \frac{N}{2} (\log \beta - \log(2\pi)) \\
&\quad + \frac{M}{2} (\log \alpha - \log(2\pi)) - \log(p(\mathbf{t})) \\
&= \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \phi_n)^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{C}
\end{aligned}$$

Form (b)

$$\begin{aligned}
\log p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}, \Phi, \beta, \alpha) &= \frac{-1}{2} (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w}) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + \frac{N}{2} (\log \beta - \log(2\pi)) \\
&\quad + \frac{M}{2} (\log \alpha - \log(2\pi)) - \log(p(\mathbf{t})) \\
&= \frac{-1}{2} (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w}) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{C}
\end{aligned}$$

With an optimization goal over \mathbf{w} , we can simply ignore the denominator of the posterior as it does not depend on \mathbf{w} . Therefore by maximizing the numerator over \mathbf{w} , we are in fact maximizing the entire posterior distribution. Estimating the MAP is easier than working with the full posterior distribution as the MAP estimator ignores the denominator of the posterior $p(\mathbf{t})$ which complex and computationally intractable.

5. Form (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}, \Phi, \beta, \alpha)}{\partial \mathbf{w}} &= \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (-2) (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \phi_n) \phi_n - \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \mathbf{w} \\
&= -\beta \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n \phi_n - \mathbf{w} \phi_n^T \phi_n) - \alpha \mathbf{w} \\
&= -\beta \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \phi_n - \beta \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{w} \phi_n^T \phi_n - \alpha \mathbf{w} \\
\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}, \Phi, \beta, \alpha)}{\partial \mathbf{w}} &= 0 \\
-\beta \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \phi_n + \beta \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{w} \phi_n^T \phi_n - \alpha \mathbf{w} &= 0 \\
\left(\beta \sum_{n=1}^N \phi_n^T \phi_n - \alpha \right) \mathbf{w} &= \beta \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \phi_n \\
\mathbf{w} &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N t_n \phi_n}{\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \phi_n^T \phi_n - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)}
\end{aligned}$$

Form (b)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}, \Phi, \beta, \alpha)}{\partial \mathbf{w}} &= \frac{\partial \left(\frac{-1}{2} \left((\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{t} - \Phi \mathbf{w}) + \alpha \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} \right) + \mathbf{C} \right)}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \\
&= \frac{\partial \left(\frac{-1}{2} (\mathbf{t}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}^T \Sigma^{-1} \Phi \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^T \Phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{t} + \mathbf{w}^T \Phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \Phi \mathbf{w} + \alpha \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}) \right)}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \\
&= \frac{-1}{2} (-\Phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{t} - \Phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{t} + 2\Phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \Phi \mathbf{w} + 2\alpha \mathbf{w}) \\
&= \frac{-1}{2} (-2\Phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{t} + 2\Phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \Phi \mathbf{w} + 2\alpha \mathbf{w}) \\
&= \Phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{t} - \Phi^T \Sigma^{-1} \Phi \mathbf{w} - \alpha \mathbf{w} \\
&= \beta \Phi^T \mathbf{t} - (\beta \Phi^T \Phi - \alpha \mathbb{I}_M) \mathbf{w} \\
\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{t}, \Phi, \beta, \alpha)}{\partial \mathbf{w}} &= 0 \\
\beta \Phi^T \mathbf{t} - (\beta \Phi^T \Phi - \alpha \mathbb{I}_M) \mathbf{w} &= 0 \\
(\beta \Phi^T \Phi - \alpha \mathbb{I}_M) \mathbf{w} &= \beta \Phi^T \mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{w} &= (\beta \Phi^T \Phi - \alpha \mathbb{I}_M)^{-1} \beta \Phi^T \mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{w} &= \left(\Phi^T \Phi - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathbb{I}_M \right)^{-1} \Phi^T \mathbf{t}
\end{aligned}$$

6. The first term of the coefficient vector \mathbf{w}_0 accounts for the distance of our predictions from the origin. The assignment of $\phi_0 = 1$ allows the model to fit the intercept along the y-axis. This is the so called bias term of the model. It is simply a shift along the y-axis. Penalizing this term would make the optimization depend on the origin of \mathbf{t} , and as a result the fitted model would try to fit the data points while passing through the origin. The variance is not the same in the w_0 coefficient as the rest of the vector. In some applications, data is normalized and re-scaled in which case all data points are centered on the origin. We may however give w_0 its own regularization parameter by separating it from the joint distribution of \mathbf{w} in our prior $p(\mathbf{w}|\alpha)$ as follows.

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\alpha, \alpha') = p(\mathbf{w}'|\alpha) \cdot p(w_0|\alpha')$$

Where $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^M$ and $\mathbf{w}' = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{M-1})^T$.

2 Probability distributions, likelihoods and estimators

Question 2.1

1. The normalizing constant for the Bernoulli distribution is 1.

$$\sum_{x=0}^1 \theta^{[x=1]} (1 - \theta)^{[x=0]} = \theta + 1 - \theta = 1$$

The normalizing constant for the Beta distribution is $\frac{\Gamma(\theta_1 + \theta_0)}{\Gamma(\theta_0)\Gamma(\theta_1)}$.

$$\int_{x \in [0,1]} \frac{\Gamma(\theta_1 + \theta_0)}{\Gamma(\theta_0)\Gamma(\theta_1)} x^{\theta_1-1} (1-x)^{\theta_0-1} dx = \frac{\Gamma(\theta_1 + \theta_0)}{\Gamma(\theta_0)\Gamma(\theta_1)} \int_{x \in [0,1]} x^{\theta_1-1} (1-x)^{\theta_0-1} dx = 1$$

The normalizing constant for the Poisson distribution is $e^{-\theta}$.

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^x}{x!} e^{-\theta} = e^{-\theta} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^x}{x!} = 1$$

The normalizing constant for the Gamma distribution is $\frac{\theta_1^{\theta_0}}{\Gamma(\theta_0)}$.

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \frac{\theta_1^{\theta_0}}{\Gamma(\theta_0)} \cdot x^{\theta_0-1} e^{-\theta_1 x} dx = \frac{\theta_1^{\theta_0}}{\Gamma(\theta_0)} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} x^{\theta_0-1} e^{-\theta_1 x} dx = 1$$

The normalizing constant for the Gaussian distribution is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta_1}}$.

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta_1}} \cdot e^{-\frac{(x-\theta_0)^2}{2\theta_1}} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta_1}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{(x-\theta_0)^2}{2\theta_1}} dx = 1$$

Question 2.2

1. Single observation

$$p(r_t|\rho) = \text{Bernoulli}(r_t|\rho) = \rho^{[r_t=1]}(1-\rho)^{[r_t=0]}$$

Entire set of observations. Dataset is iid.

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{r}|\rho) &= \prod_{n=1}^{365} \text{Bernoulli}(r_t|\rho) = \prod_{n=1}^{365} \rho^{[r_t=1]}(1-\rho)^{[r_t=0]} \\ &= \rho^{217}(1-\rho)^{365-217} \\ &= \rho^{217}(1-\rho)^{148} \end{aligned}$$

- 2.

$$\log(p(\mathbf{r}|\rho)) = \log(\rho^{217}(1-\rho)^{148}) = 217 \log \rho + 148 \log(1-\rho)$$

- 3.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log(p(\mathbf{r}|\rho))}{\partial \rho} &= \frac{\partial (n_1 \log \rho + n_0 \log(1-\rho))}{\partial \rho} \\ &= \frac{n_1}{\rho} - \frac{n_0}{1-\rho} \\ \frac{\partial \log(p(\mathbf{r}|\rho))}{\partial \rho} &= 0 \\ \frac{n_1(1-\rho) - n_0\rho}{\rho(1-\rho)} &= 0 \\ n_1(1-\rho) - n_0\rho &= 0 \\ -(n_1 + n_0)\rho &= -n_1 \\ \rho_{ML} &= \frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_0} \end{aligned}$$

For the problem at hand, we plug in the given numbers as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{ML} &= \frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_0} \\ &= \frac{217}{365} \end{aligned}$$

4.

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\rho|\mathbf{r}, a, b) &= \frac{p(\mathbf{r}|\rho) \cdot p(\rho|a, b)}{p(\mathbf{r})} \\
\log p(\rho|\mathbf{r}, a, b) &= \log p(\mathbf{r}|\rho) + \log p(\rho|a, b) - \log p(\mathbf{r}) \\
&= \log(\rho^{n_1}(1-\rho)^{n_0}) + \log\left(\frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \cdot \rho^{a-1}(1-\rho)^{b-1}\right) - \log p(\mathbf{r}) \\
&= n_1 \log \rho + n_0 \log(1-\rho) + \log\left(\frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}\right) + (a-1) \log \rho + (b-1) \log(1-\rho) \\
&\quad - \log p(\mathbf{r}) \\
&= \log \rho (n_1 + a - 1) + \log(1-\rho) (n_0 + b - 1) + C
\end{aligned}$$

Where constant C is the sum of terms that don't depend on ρ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \log p(\rho|\mathbf{r}, a, b)}{\partial \rho} &= \frac{n_1 + a - 1}{\rho} - \frac{n_0 + b - 1}{1 - \rho} \\
\frac{\partial \log p(\rho|\mathbf{r}, a, b)}{\partial \rho} &= 0 \\
\frac{n_1 + a - 1}{\rho} - \frac{n_0 + b - 1}{1 - \rho} &= 0 \\
\frac{n_1 + a - 1}{\rho} &= \frac{n_0 + b - 1}{1 - \rho} \\
\frac{1 - \rho}{\rho} &= \frac{n_0 + b - 1}{n_1 + a - 1} \\
\frac{1}{\rho} - 1 &= \frac{n_0 + b - 1}{n_1 + a - 1} \\
\frac{1}{\rho} &= \frac{n_0 + b - 1 + n_1 + a - 1}{n_1 + a - 1} \\
\rho_{MAP} &= \frac{n_1 + a - 1}{n_0 + b - 1 + n_1 + a - 1} \\
&= \frac{n_1 + a - 1}{n_0 + n_1 + b + a - 2}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the information provided in this problem, we have the following.

$$\rho_{MAP} = \frac{216 + a}{363 + b + a}$$

5.

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\rho|\mathbf{r}, a, b) &= \frac{p(\mathbf{r}|\rho) \cdot p(\rho|a, b)}{\int_0^1 p(\mathbf{r}|\rho') \cdot p(\rho') \cdot d\rho'} \\
&= \frac{\rho^{n_1}(1-\rho)^{n_0} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \cdot \rho^{a-1}(1-\rho)^{b-1}}{\int_0^1 \rho'^{n_1}(1-\rho')^{n_0} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \cdot \rho'^{a-1}(1-\rho')^{b-1} \cdot d\rho'} \\
&= \frac{\rho^{n_1+a-1}(1-\rho)^{n_0+b-1}}{\int_0^1 \rho'^{n_1+a-1}(1-\rho')^{n_0+b-1} \cdot d\rho'}
\end{aligned}$$

6.

$$\int_0^1 p(\rho|\mathbf{r}, a, b) \cdot d\rho = \int_0^1 \frac{\rho^{n_1+a-1}(1-\rho)^{n_0+b-1}}{\underbrace{\int_0^1 \rho'^{n_1+a-1}(1-\rho')^{n_0+b-1} \cdot d\rho'}_C} \cdot d\rho = 1$$

C is a constant w.r.t to ρ . The RHS has taken the form of a Beta distribution. Therefore, we have the following.

$$\int_0^1 \rho'^{n_1+a-1}(1-\rho')^{n_0+b-1} \cdot d\rho' = \int_0^1 \rho^{n_1+a-1}(1-\rho)^{n_0+b-1} \cdot d\rho$$

Furthermore, we can express the posterior by reformulating the denominator C as the normalizing constant of a Beta distribution. We have expressed the posterior as a new Beta distribution with parameters $\theta_1 = a + n_1$ and $\theta_0 = b + n_0$.

$$\int_0^1 p(\rho|\mathbf{r}, a, b) \cdot d\rho = \frac{\Gamma(n_1 + a)\Gamma(n_0 + b)}{\Gamma(n_0 + n_1 + a + b)} \rho^{n_1+a-1}(1-\rho)^{n_0+b-1}$$

Question 2.3

1. Estimate for a single observation

$$p(d_t|\lambda) \sim \text{Poisson}(d_t|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{d_t}}{d_t!} e^{-\lambda}$$

For the entire set of observations, we have the following. T is the total number of observation points, which in this problem is 14. We also define $\mathbf{d} = \{d_t\}_1^T$.

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{d}|\lambda) \sim \text{Poisson}(\mathbf{d}|\lambda) &= \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{\lambda^{d_t}}{d_t!} e^{-\lambda} \\ &= \frac{e^{-T\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{(\sum_{t=1}^T d_t)}}{\prod_{t=1}^T d_t!} \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} \log p(\mathbf{d}|\lambda) &= -T\lambda + \log \lambda \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T d_t - \sum_{t=1}^T \log d_t! \\ &= -T\lambda + \log \lambda \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T d_t - \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^{d_t} i \\ &= -T\lambda + \log \lambda \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T d_t - \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{d_t(d_t+1)}{2} \\ &= -T\lambda + \log \lambda \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T d_t - \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{d_t^2 + d_t}{2} \end{aligned}$$

3. General Case. We define $t_1 = \sum_{t=1}^T d_t$.

$$\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{d}|\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = -T + \frac{t_1}{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{d}|\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = 0$$

$$-T + \frac{t_1}{\lambda} = 0$$

$$\frac{t_1}{\lambda} = T$$

$$\lambda_{ML} = \frac{t_1}{T}$$

Specific Case. We have $t_1 = \sum_{t=1}^T d_t = 43$ and $T = 14$.

$$\lambda_{ML} = \frac{t_1}{T} = \frac{43}{14}$$

4. We apply Bayes theorem to find the posterior distribution given the prior for λ .

$$p(\lambda|\mathbf{d}, a, b) = \frac{p(\mathbf{d}|\lambda) p(\lambda|a, b)}{\underbrace{\int_{\lambda' \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} p(\mathbf{d}|\lambda') p(\lambda'|a, b) d\lambda'}_C}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{e^{-T\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{t_1}}{\prod_{t=1}^T d_t!} \cdot \frac{b^a \lambda^{a-1} e^{-b\lambda}}{\Gamma(a)}}{C}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{e^{-(T+b)\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{(a-1+t_1)} \cdot b^a}{\prod_{t=1}^T d_t! \Gamma(a)}}{C}$$

$$\log p(\lambda|\mathbf{d}, a, b) = \log p(\mathbf{d}|\lambda) + \log p(\lambda|a, b) - \log C$$

$$= -(T+b)\lambda + \log \lambda \cdot (a-1+t_1) - \sum_{t=1}^T \log d_t! - a \log b - \log(\Gamma(a)) + \log C$$

$$\frac{\partial \log p(\lambda|\mathbf{d}, a, b)}{\partial \lambda} = -(T+b) + \frac{a-1+t_1}{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log p(\lambda|\mathbf{d}, a, b)}{\partial \lambda} = 0$$

$$-(T+b) + \frac{a-1+t_1}{\lambda} = 0$$

$$\frac{a-1+t_1}{\lambda} = T+b$$

$$\lambda_{MAP} = \frac{a-1+t_1}{T+b}$$

5.

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\lambda|\mathbf{d}, a, b) &= \frac{p(\mathbf{d}|\lambda) p(\lambda|a, b)}{\int_{\lambda' \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} p(\mathbf{d}|\lambda') p(\lambda'|a, b) d\lambda'} \\
&= \frac{\frac{e^{-(T+b)\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{(a-1+t_1)} \cdot b^a}{\prod_{t=1}^T d_t! \Gamma(a)}}{\int_{\lambda' \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \frac{e^{-(T+b)\lambda'} \cdot \lambda'^{(a-1+t_1)} \cdot b^a}{\prod_{t=1}^T d_t! \Gamma(a)} d\lambda'} \\
&= \frac{e^{-(T+b)\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{(a-1+t_1)}}{\underbrace{\int_{\lambda' \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} e^{-(T+b)\lambda'} \cdot \lambda'^{(a-1+t_1)} d\lambda'}_C}
\end{aligned}$$

C is a constant w.r.t to λ . The RHS has taken the form of a Gamma distribution. Given that the integral of the posterior over λ is equal to one (as its a valid p.d.f), we can conclude that the denominator C is the normalizing constant and reformulate the posterior as follows. The result is a Gamma distribution with parameters $\theta_0 = t_1 + a$ and $\theta_1 = T + b$.

$$p(\lambda|\mathbf{d}, a, b) = \frac{(T+b)^{t_1+a} \cdot \lambda^{t_1+a-1} \cdot e^{-(T+b)\lambda}}{\Gamma(a+t_1)}$$

Question 2.4

1.

$$p(\mathbf{l}|\mu_0, \sigma_0, \mu_1, \sigma_1) = \prod_{n=1}^N \left(\pi_0 \cdot \mathcal{N}(l_n|\mu_0, \sigma_0)^{[n \in \mathcal{D}_0]} \right) \cdot \left(\pi_1 \cdot \mathcal{N}(l_n|\mu_1, \sigma_1)^{[n \in \mathcal{D}_1]} \right)$$

2.

$$p(\mathbf{l}|\mu_0, \sigma_0, \mu_1, \sigma_1) = \prod_{n \in \mathcal{D}_0} (\pi_0 \cdot \mathcal{N}(l_n|\mu_0, \sigma_0)) \cdot \prod_{n \in \mathcal{D}_1} (\pi_1 \cdot \mathcal{N}(l_n|\mu_1, \sigma_1))$$

3.

$$\begin{aligned}
\log p(\mathbf{l}|\mu_0, \sigma_0, \mu_1, \sigma_1) &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_0} \log(\pi_0 \cdot \mathcal{N}(l_n|\mu_0, \sigma_0)) + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_1} \log(\pi_1 \cdot \mathcal{N}(l_n|\mu_1, \sigma_1)) \\
&= -\frac{|\mathcal{D}_0|}{2} (-2 \log \pi_0 + \log 2\pi + \log \sigma_0^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_0} \frac{(l_n - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2} \\
&\quad + -\frac{|\mathcal{D}_1|}{2} (-2 \log \pi_1 + \log 2\pi + \log \sigma_1^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_1} \frac{(l_n - \mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2}
\end{aligned}$$

4. We solve for μ_0 .

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{l}|\mu_0, \sigma_0, \mu_1, \sigma_1)}{\partial \mu_0} &= 0 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_0} (-1)(2) \frac{l_n - \mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_0} \frac{l_n - \mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} \\
\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{l}|\mu_0, \sigma_0, \mu_1, \sigma_1)}{\partial \mu_0} &= 0 \\
\sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_0} l_n - \mu_0 &= 0 \\
|\mathcal{D}_0| \mu_0 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_0} l_n \\
\mu_0 &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}_0|} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_0} l_n
\end{aligned}$$

And now for σ_0 .

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{l}|\mu_0, \sigma_0, \mu_1, \sigma_1)}{\partial \sigma_0} &= \frac{-|\mathcal{D}_0|}{\sigma_0} + \sigma_0^{-3} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_0} (l_n - \mu_0)^2 \\
\frac{-|\mathcal{D}_0|}{\sigma} &= -\sigma_0^{-3} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_0} (l_n - \mu_0)^2 \\
|\mathcal{D}_0| \sigma_0^2 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_0} (l_n - \mu_0)^2 \\
\sigma_0^2 &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}_0|} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_0} (l_n - \mu_0)^2
\end{aligned}$$

5. See below

6.

$$\begin{aligned}
p(d=1|l_*, \mu_0, \sigma_0, \mu_1, \sigma_1) &= \frac{\mathcal{N}(l_*|\mu_1, \sigma_1) \cdot \pi_1}{\sum_{i=0}^1 \mathcal{N}(l_*|\mu_i, \sigma_i) \cdot \pi_i} \\
&= \frac{1}{1 + \underbrace{\frac{\mathcal{N}(l_*|\mu_0, \sigma_0) \cdot \pi_0}{\mathcal{N}(l_*|\mu_1, \sigma_1) \cdot \pi_1}}_Z} \\
Z &= \frac{\frac{\pi_0}{\sigma_0}}{\frac{\pi_1}{\sigma_1}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2} \left(\frac{(l_* - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{(l_* - \mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \right) \\
&= \exp \left(\log \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \mu_0}{\sigma_0 \mu_1} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(l_* - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{(l_* - \mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \right) \\
&= \exp(-a(l))
\end{aligned}$$

Where $a(l) = -\log \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \mu_0}{\sigma_0 \mu_1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(l_* - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{(l_* - \mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right)$.

$$p(d=1|l_*, \mu_0, \sigma_0, \mu_1, \sigma_1) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a(l))}$$