

## Chpts Q2R1

Q2-9:

As  $\mu_1 - \mu_0$  increases, it becomes much easier to distinguish between the 2 curves, meaning the power is much higher

Increasing the variance in the data means that the standard deviation would also increase. Now since the z value is inversely proportional to the standard deviation, this means that the z score would become much lower. Therefore, it would make it much easier to reject the null hypothesis since the probability to getting a value higher than z is higher. Therefore, since we can reject the null hypothesis easier, this means that the power of the distribution decreases.

The power increases as a function of n (sample size) because as we increase the size of the sample, the distribution of the data around the mean decreases, that is, the data is less spread out. Now as a result of this, the value of z increases because it is directly proportional to the square root of the sample size. Therefore, since we have a higher z score, we will be less likely to reject the null hypothesis, meaning our power will increase.

R1-10:

Critical value  $k_\alpha = \mu_0 + z_\alpha(\sigma_0/\sqrt{n})$ , where  $z_\alpha$  value of p when  $z = 0.05$

$k_\alpha = 10 - 1.64(\text{using table for } z = 0.05) * 3/4 = 8.77 \text{ cm.}$

```
mu0 <- 10; sigma0 <- 3; n <- 16; mu <- seq(0, 17, 0.01)
zalpha <- qnorm(0.05)
pi <- 1 - pnorm(zalpha - (mu - mu0)/(sigma0/(n^(1/2))))
plot(mu, pi, type = "l", col = "red")
```

