DATA 363: Checkpoint FIFZ

P & Sum at least 5 | first die 23 = $\frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$

This is because out of the 4 outcomes in which the first die is 2, only (2,3) & (2,4) give a Sum > 5

$$= \left(\frac{g-1}{b+g-2}\right)\left(\frac{b}{b+g-1}\right)\left(\frac{g}{b+g}\right)$$

$$= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} b & g-1 \\ \hline b+g-2 & b+g-1 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} g \\ b+g \end{array}\right)$$

Plexactydgreen

P(exactly 2 out of 3 green)= P(BN9N9)+ B(4NBN9)+
P(4NGNB)

PERCHABIT P(4040B)= P(4140B)* P(41B)* P(B) $= \frac{g-1}{b+g-2} \left(\frac{g}{b+g-1} \right) \left(\frac{b}{b+g} \right)$

 $P(\text{exactly 2/3 Green}) = \frac{3(b)(g)(g-1)}{(b+g)(b+g-1)(b+g-2)}$

Note: We could add them since they're mutually exclusive outcomes

P (exactly $\frac{2}{\mu}$ Green) = Ways of choosing $\frac{24828}{\mu}$ Ways of choosing 4 bans = $\frac{C(b,2) \times C(g,2)}{\mu}$

C(b+g,4)= b(b)(b-1)(g)(g-1)(b+g)(b+g-1)(b+g-2)(b+g-3)

Note: We could also <u>C(b,1)</u> xC(g,2) write P(2/3 green)as ⇒ <u>C(b+g,3)</u> This would give us the same answer. Probability tree for: Percent with digue = 10th 0.5%. False positive = 1%. True positive = 90% 5000 have disease 5000 tested -ve 1,000,000 1995000 don't / 9950 tested tre have disease 985050 tested -ve P(CIA) = P(CNA) = PE people w/disease & tested +ve3 P(A) P & people tested +ve } = 4500/1000000 = 4500 = 0.3114(9950+4500)/1000000 14450 Probability tree for: Percent with disease = 0.5%. False positive = 5%. True positive = 95%. 5000 have disease / 4750 tested tre 250 tested -ve 1 995000 don't 49750 tested the have disease 945250 tested - ve P(CIA) = P(CNA) = 4750/1000000 = 4750 = 0.0871 PLA) (4750+49750)/1000000 54500 A change in the false positive rate has a bigger impact.

This makes sense intuitively since the false tre rate acts on a significantly LARGER number, meaning even a small change in the percentage would lead to a large change in the actual number of people.

The true tre rate on the other hand affects a Smaller part of the population. Therefore even a drastic change would not warrant for a large inc Dr dec in the actual number of people.