Airy function: Kawai+Takei vs. Mariño

Aaron Fenyes, Veronica Fantini

December 4, 2022

Kawai and Takei want to solve

$$\left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 - \eta^2 x \right] \psi(x, \eta) = 0.$$

They define $\psi_B(x,y)$ as the inverse Laplace transform of $\psi(x,\eta)$ with respect to η . With $w = x\eta^{2/3}$, the equation above is equivalent to

$$\left[\left(\frac{d}{dw} \right)^2 - w \right] \psi(w\eta^{-2/3}, \eta) = 0.$$

Proof: substitute back to get

$$\left[\eta^{-4/3} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 - \eta^{2/3}x\right] \psi(x,\eta) = 0$$

$$\left[\eta^{-4/3} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 - \eta^{-4/3}\eta^2x\right] \psi(x,\eta) = 0$$

$$\eta^{-4/3} \left[\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 - \eta^2x\right] \psi(x,\eta) = 0.$$

Hence, $\psi(w\eta^{-2/3},\eta)=k(\eta)\mathrm{Ai}(w)$ is a solution for any holomorphic function k.

1 Veronica's change of coordinates

Kawai and Takei study the WKB analysis of the equation

$$\left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 - \eta^2 x \right] \psi(x, \eta) = 0 \tag{1}$$

as $\eta \to \infty$. They define $\psi_B(x,y)$ as the inverse Laplace transform of $\psi(x,\eta)$ with respect to η . In the coordinates $t=yx^{-3/2}$ they find an explicit formula for $\psi_B(x,y)$ in terms of

Gauss hypergeometric functions:

$$\psi_{+,B}(x,y) = \frac{1}{x}\phi_{+}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{x} s^{-1/2} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; s\right)$$

$$\psi_{-,B}(x,y) = \frac{1}{x}\phi_{-}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{x} (1-s)^{-1/2} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; 1-s\right)$$

where s = 3t/4 + 1/2. The same hypergeometric functions have been computed in Section ?? as the Borel transform of the formal solutions of the Airy equation

$$\left[\left(\frac{d}{dw} \right)^2 - w \right] f(w) = 0. \tag{2}$$

Although the two equations look closely related (they are equivalent by the change of coordinates $w=x\eta^{2/3}$), the Borel transform of ψ is computed with respect to $\eta x^{3/2}$ (which is the conjugate variable of t) while the Borel transform of f(w) is computed with respect to w. So we need to find a different change of coordinates to explain why the Borel transforms of $\psi(x,\eta)$ and f(w) are given by the same hypergeometric function.

First of all notice that if η and y are conjugate variables under Borel transform, meaning

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n \eta^{-n-1} \stackrel{\mathcal{B}}{\longrightarrow} \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{a_n}{n!} y^n$$

then $t = yx^{-3/2}$ is the conjugate variable of $q = \eta x^{3/2}$ up to correction by a factor of $x^{-3/2}$

$$\sum_{n>0} a_n q^{-n-1} = \sum_{n>0} a_n x^{-3/2(n+1)} \eta^{-n-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}} \sum_{n>0} \frac{a_n x^{-3/2(n+1)}}{n!} y^n = x^{-3/2} \sum_{n>0} \frac{a_n}{n!} t^n.$$

In addition, $\psi_{B,\pm}(x,y) = \frac{1}{x}\phi_{\pm}(t)$, therefore we expect that $\psi(x,\eta) = x^{1/2}\Phi(q)$. Assume that $\psi(x,y)$ is a solution of (1), then $\Phi(q)$ solves

$$\left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 + x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{1}{4} x^{-2} - \eta^2 x \right] \Phi(q) = 0$$
 (3)

Proof.

$$\begin{split} & \left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 - \eta^2 x \right] \psi(x, \eta) = 0 \\ & \left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 - \eta^2 x \right] x^{1/2} \Phi(q) = 0 \\ & \frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{1}{2} x^{-1/2} \Phi + x^{1/2} \frac{d}{dx} \Phi \right] - \eta^2 x^{3/2} \Phi = 0 \\ & - \frac{1}{4} x^{-3/2} \Phi + \frac{1}{2} x^{-1/2} \frac{d}{dx} \Phi + \frac{1}{2} x^{-1/2} \frac{d}{dx} \Phi + x^{1/2} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 \Phi - \eta^2 x^{3/2} \Phi = 0 \\ & \left[x^{1/2} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 + x^{-1/2} \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{1}{4} x^{-3/2} - \eta^2 x^{3/2} \right] \Phi = 0 \\ & \left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 + x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{1}{4} x^{-2} - \eta^2 x \right] \Phi = 0 \end{split}$$

Now rewrite (3) in the coordinates $q = \eta x^{3/2}$:

$$\[\left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 + x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{1}{4} x^{-2} - \eta^2 x \right] \Phi = 0 \]$$

$$\[\left[\frac{9}{4} \eta^2 x \left(\frac{d}{dq} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{4} \eta x^{-1/2} \frac{d}{dq} + x^{-1} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \eta x^{1/2} \frac{d}{dq} - \frac{1}{4} x^{-2} - \eta^2 x \right] \Phi = 0 \]$$

$$\[\left[\eta^2 x \left(\frac{d}{dq} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{3} \eta x^{-1/2} \frac{d}{dq} + \frac{2}{3} \eta x^{-1/2} \frac{d}{dq} - \frac{1}{9} x^{-2} - \frac{4}{9} \eta^2 x \right] \Phi = 0 \]$$

$$\[\left[\eta^2 \left(\frac{d}{dq} \right)^2 + \eta x^{-3/2} \frac{d}{dq} - \frac{1}{9} x^{-3} - \frac{4}{9} \eta^2 \right] \Phi = 0 \]$$

$$\[\left[\left(\frac{d}{dq} \right)^2 + \eta^{-1} x^{-3/2} \frac{d}{dq} - \frac{1}{9} \eta^{-2} x^{-3} - \frac{4}{9} \right] \Phi = 0 \]$$

$$\[\left[\left(\frac{d}{dq} \right)^2 + q^{-1} \frac{d}{dq} - \frac{1}{9} q^{-2} - \frac{4}{9} \right] \Phi = 0 \]$$

therefore $\Phi(q)$ is a solution of the transform Airy equation (see draft2).

2 Weber equation: WKB vs modifield Bessel ODE

In [Takei] the author studied the Borel summation of WKB solutions of the harmonic oscillator

3

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \eta^2 x^2 - \lambda \eta\right] \psi = 0 \tag{4}$$

with a parameter λ . He proved that the WKB solutions

$$\psi_{\pm}(x,\eta) = e^{\pm \eta x^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_{\pm,n}}{x^{2n+(1\pm\lambda)/2}} \eta^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}\pm\frac{\lambda}{4}+n\right)}$$
 (5)

where $\psi_{\pm,n}$ are constants independent of x and η have Borel transform (in the variable y conjugate to η)

$$\psi_{+,B}(x,y) = \frac{x^{-3/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4})} s^{-1/2 + \lambda/4} F\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\lambda}{4}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\lambda}{4}; \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4}; s\right)$$
(6)

$$\psi_{-,B}(x,y) = \frac{x^{-3/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4})} (s-1)^{-1/2 + \lambda/4} F\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\lambda}{4}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\lambda}{4}; \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4}; 1 - s\right)$$
(7)

where $s = y/x^2 + 1/2$.

Lemma 1. Set $\lambda = 0$. Let $\eta = 2zx^{-2}$ then $\psi_{-}(x,\eta) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}x^{1/2}\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)$ is a solution of (4) if and only if $\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)$ is a formal solution of the modified Bessel equation

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dz^2} - 1 + \frac{1}{z}\frac{d}{dz} - \frac{1}{16z^2}\right]\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) = 0 \tag{8}$$

Proof. We start with the Weber equation (4)

$$\label{eq:continuous} \begin{split} \left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \eta^2 x^2\right] \psi_- &= 0 \\ \left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \eta^2 x^2\right] x^{1/2} \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) &= 0 \\ \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{2} x^{-1/2} \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) + x^{1/2} \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)\right) - \eta^2 x^{5/2} \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) &= 0 \\ - \frac{1}{4} x^{-3/2} \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) + x^{-1/2} \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) + x^{1/2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) - \eta^2 x^{5/2} \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) &= 0 \\ - \frac{1}{4} x^{-2} \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) + x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) + \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) - \eta^2 x^2 \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) &= 0 \end{split}$$

Under the change of coordinates $\eta = 2zx^{-2}$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} = \eta x \frac{d}{dz} \qquad \frac{d^2}{dx^2} = \eta \frac{d}{dz} + \eta^2 x^2 \frac{d^2}{dz^2}$$

therefore

$$\begin{split} &-\frac{1}{4}x^{-2}\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)+x^{-1}\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)+\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)-\eta^2x^2\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)=0\\ &-\frac{1}{4}x^{-2}\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)+\eta\frac{d}{dz}K_{1/4}(z)+\left(\eta\frac{d}{dz}+\eta^2x^2\frac{d^2}{dz^2}\right)\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)-\eta^2x^2\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)=0\\ &-\frac{1}{4}\frac{\eta}{2z}\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)+\eta\frac{d}{dz}\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)+\eta\frac{d}{dz}\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)+2z\eta\frac{d^2}{dz^2}\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)-2z\eta\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)=0\\ &-\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4z^2}K_{1/4}(z)+\frac{1}{z}\frac{d}{dz}\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)+\frac{d^2}{dz^2}K_{1/4}(z)-\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)=0\\ &\left[\frac{d^2}{dz^2}-1+\frac{1}{z}\frac{d}{dz}-\frac{1}{16z^2}\right]\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)=0 \end{split}$$

Lemma 2. Set $\lambda = 0$. Let $\eta = 2zx^{-2}$ then

$$\psi_{-,B}(x,y) = x^{-3/2} \hat{\kappa}_{1/4}(\zeta)$$

where $y = \frac{\zeta}{2}x^2$ and $\hat{\kappa}_{1/4}(\zeta) = \mathcal{B}(\tilde{K}_{1/4})(\zeta)$.

Proof. We move to the Borel plane: let ζ be the variable conjugate to z and let y the conjugate to η , i.e.

$$\mathcal{B} \colon \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n z^{-n-1} \to \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n \frac{\zeta^n}{n!}$$
$$\mathcal{B} \colon \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n \eta^{-n-1} \to \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n \frac{y^n}{n!}$$

if $\eta = 2zx^{-2}$ then $y = \frac{\zeta}{2}x^2$, indeed

In particular, $\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z)$ is known as the asymptotics of the modified Bessel function $K_{1/4}(z)$ which is equal to

$$\tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) = \sqrt{\pi}e^{-z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_n}{n!} (2z)^{-n-1/2}$$

Then we can compute its Borel transform:

$$\hat{\kappa}_{1/4} = \sqrt{\pi} \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{(-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_n}{\Gamma(n+1/2)n!} \left(\frac{\zeta - 1}{2}\right)^{n-1/2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{\zeta - 1}{2}\right)^{-1/2} \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_n}{\Gamma(n+1/2)n!} \left(\frac{1-\zeta}{2}\right)^n$$

$$= \sqrt{2}(\zeta - 1)^{-1/2} F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1-\zeta}{2}\right)$$

hence

$$\psi_{-}(x,\eta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}} \psi_{-,B}(x,y) = \frac{x^{-3/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} (s-1)^{-1/2+\lambda/4} F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; \frac{1}{2}+; 1-s\right)$$

$$\parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \cdot \frac{x^2}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} x^{1/2} \tilde{K}_{1/4}(z) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} x^{1/2} \sqrt{2} (\zeta-1)^{-1/2} F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1-\zeta}{2}\right)$$

Furthermore, the modified Bessel equation (8) has another holomorphic solution $I_{1/4}(z)$ (which together with $K_{1/4}$ forms a basis) whose asymptotic behaviour is

$$\tilde{I}_{1/4}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_n}{n!} (2z)^{-n-1/2} \tag{9}$$

3 Physical picture

Here are some vague physical ideas about why the change of coordinates described above works. They're pretty incoherent, and they should all be taken with a grain of salt.

Consider an equation of the form

$$\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \eta^2 x^{n-2} (1+f) - \lambda \eta \right] \psi = 0, \tag{10}$$

where $n \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, ...\}$ and the function f goes to zero as $x \to \infty$. It's reasonable to look for trans-series solutions with the exponential factor $e^{\pm z}$, where $z = \frac{2}{n} \eta x^{n/2}$, because (I don't think the following calculation works)

$$\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \eta^2 x^{n+2} \right] e^{\pm z} = -\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) \eta x^{n/2 - 2} e^{\pm z}$$

$$= -\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) \eta \left(\frac{n}{2} \eta^{-1} z \right)^{1 - 4/n} e^{\pm z}$$

$$= -\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{n}{2} \right)^{1 - 4/n} \eta^{4/n} z^{1 - 4/n} e^{\pm z}$$

$$= \dots$$

The corresponding holomorphic solutions should have the same exponential decay. The e^z and e^{-z} solutions are usually linearly independent, but they can become linearly dependent

when $\lambda \eta$ is in the spectrum of the rest of the operator acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In this case, we should get a solution in $O_{z\to-\infty}(e^z)\cap O_{z\to\infty}(e^{-z})$, which decays exponentially in both directions. You can see this explicitly for the n=2 case: each eigenvector of the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian is $e^{-\eta x^2/2}$ times a polynomial in x. The exponential decay of the eigenvectors sets a natural length scale, and z measures distance with respect to it.

When f=0 and $\lambda=0$, rescalings of x and η that keep z fixed have no effect on the equation. Thus, any rescaling of x has the same effect on the equation as a corresponding rescaling of η . This scale-invariance is why taking the Borel transforms with respect to $\frac{2}{n}x^{n/2}$ and η give the same result.

The $|x| \to \infty$ limit, f is very small by assumption, so we're approximately in the scale-invariant situation described above. In the $\eta \to \infty$ limit, the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian get very narrow, so it's similar to making |x| large whie keeping η fixed. This should mean once again that we can neglect f. The upshot is that both the $|x| \to \infty$ limit and the $\eta \to \infty$ limit take us toward the scale-invariant situation where the two Borel transforms give the same result.