

GENERAL THIMBLES INTEGRALS

VERONICA FANTINI, AARON FENYES

1. PROOF OF BOREL REGULARITY

We are going to prove Theorem 5.1 draft 2. Let X be a N -dim manifold, $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic Morse function with simple critical points, and $\nu \in \Gamma(X, \Omega^N)$, and set

$$(1.1) \quad I(z) := \int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{-zf} \nu$$

where \mathcal{C} is a suitable contour such that the integral is well defined. Indeed, $I(z)$ represents a pairing between a relative homology class $\mathcal{C} \in H_N^B(X, zf)$ and a cohomology class $\nu \in H_{dR}^N(X, zf)$ (see Section 1.3.1 Thimble integrals in the introduction). Let us restrict to one dimensional X . For any Morse critical points x_α ¹ of f , the saddle point approximation allows to compute the asymptotic expansion of $I_\alpha(z)$

$$(1.2) \quad I_\alpha(z) := \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha} e^{-zf} \nu \sim \tilde{I}_\alpha := e^{-zf(x_\alpha)} \sqrt{2\pi} z^{-1/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\alpha,n} z^{-n} \quad \text{as } \operatorname{Re}(ze^{i\theta}) \rightarrow \infty$$

where \mathcal{C}_α is a steepest descent path through the critical point x_α and θ is chosen such that $f(x_\beta) \notin f(x_\alpha) + [0, e^{i\theta} \infty)$ for $\beta \neq \alpha$ ². Notice that $f \circ \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ lies in the ray $\zeta_\alpha + [0, e^{i\theta} \infty)$, where $\zeta_\alpha := f(x_\alpha)$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $N = 1$. Let $I_\alpha(z)$ defined as in (1.2) for every critical point x_α . Then \tilde{I}_α is Borel regular for $\operatorname{Re}(ze^{i\theta}) > 0$:

- (1) The series $\tilde{I}_\alpha(z) = e^{-zf(x_\alpha)} \sqrt{2\pi} z^{-1/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\alpha,n} z^{-n}$ is Gevrey-1.
- (2) The series $\tilde{I}_\alpha(\zeta) := \mathcal{B}(\tilde{I}_\alpha)$ converges near $\zeta = \zeta_\alpha$.
- (3) If you continue the sum of \tilde{I}_α along the ray going rightward from ζ_α in the direction θ , and take its Laplace transform along that ray, you'll recover I_α .

Remark 1.2. (1) We may drop the assumption of non degenerate critical points for f , however the asymptotic expansion of $I_\alpha(z)$ will depend on the order m such that $f^{(m)}(x_\alpha) \neq 0$ and $f^{(j)}(x_\alpha) = 0$ for every $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ [1, Theorem 1 Section 19.2.5].

¹By Morse critical points we mean non-degenerate isolated critical points.

²Such a θ exists because f has a finite number of critical points.

(2) in [Malgrange74] (see also Chapter 5 of [Mistergard Phd thesis] for a general review), the author computes the asymptotic expansion of exponential integrals for $N > 1$ which get logarithmic terms like

$$\tilde{I}(z) = \sum_{j \in A} \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} a_{n,q,j} z^{-n-j} (\log z)^q,$$

for $A \subset \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ finite. Due to the presence of logarithmic terms, the definition of Borel transform has to be further extended (see [Mistergard phd] Definition pag 5) and the study of Borel regularity becomes more involved.

Proof. Part (1): Since f is Morse, we can find a holomorphic chart τ around x_α with $\frac{1}{2}\tau^2 = f - \zeta_\alpha$. Let \mathcal{C}_α^- and \mathcal{C}_α^+ be the parts of \mathcal{C}_α that go from the past to x_α and from x_α to the future, respectively. We can arrange for τ to be valued in $(-\infty e^{i\theta}, 0]$ and $[0, e^{i\theta} \infty)$ on \mathcal{C}_α^- and \mathcal{C}_α^+ , respectively. **[We should explicitly spell out and check the conditions that make this possible. I think we're implicitly orienting \mathcal{C}_α so that τ in the upper half-plane.]** Since v is holomorphic, we can express it as a Taylor series

$$v = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha \tau^n d\tau$$

that converges in some disk $|\tau| < \varepsilon$.

In coordinates τ the integral $I_\alpha(z)$ can be approximated as

$$I_\alpha(z) \sim e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \int_{\tau \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]} e^{-z\tau^2/2} v$$

as $\operatorname{Re}(ze^{i\theta}) \rightarrow \infty$ [1, Lemma 1 in Section 19.2.2]). Plugging in the Taylor series above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(z) &\sim e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} e^{-z\tau^2/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha \tau^n d\tau \\ &= e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} e^{-z\tau^2/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{2n}^\alpha \tau^{2n} d\tau \\ &= 2e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \int_0^{\varepsilon} e^{-z\tau^2/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{2n}^\alpha \tau^{2n} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By Watson's Lemma [1, Lemma 4, §19.2.2]

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(z) &\sim e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{2n}^\alpha \Gamma(n + \tfrac{1}{2}) 2^{n+1/2} z^{-n-1/2} \\ &= e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{2n}^\alpha (2n-1)!! z^{-n-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 1. The contour \mathcal{C}_α , its image under f which is the Hankel contour $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = f(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)$ and the ray $[\zeta_\alpha, +\infty]$.

Call the right-hand side \tilde{I}_α . We now see that $a_{\alpha,n} = (2n-1)!! b_{2n}^\alpha$ in the statement of the theorem. We know from the definition of ε that $|b_n^\alpha| \varepsilon^n \lesssim 1$. Recalling that $(2n-1)!! \sim (\pi n)^{-1/2} 4^n n!$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that $|a_{\alpha,n}| \lesssim \left(\frac{4}{\varepsilon^2}\right)^n n!$, showing that \tilde{I}_α is Gevrey-1.

Part (2): note that **[explain formally what it means to center at ζ_α]**

$$\tilde{I}_\alpha := \mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha = \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq 0} (2n-1)!! b_{2n}^\alpha \frac{(\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)^{n-1/2}}{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}$$

Since $(2n-1)!! = \pi^{-1/2} 2^n \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})$ and $|b_n^\alpha| \varepsilon^n \lesssim 1$, then $\tilde{I}_\alpha(\zeta)$ has a finite radius of convergence.

Part (3): Let's recast the integral I_α into the f plane. As ζ goes rightward from ζ_α , the start and end points of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)$ sweep backward along $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^-(\zeta)$ and forward along $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^+(\zeta)$, respectively. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(z) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha} e^{-zf} \nu \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha} e^{-z\zeta} \frac{\nu}{df} \Big|_{f^{-1}(\zeta)} d\zeta \\ &= \int_{\zeta_\alpha}^{e^{i\theta}\infty} e^{-z\zeta} \left[\frac{\nu}{df} \right]_{\text{start } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)}^{\text{end } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{H}_α is the Hankel contour through the point ζ_α (see Figure [?]) with ends in the θ direction. Noticing that the last integral is a Laplace transform for the initial choice of θ , we learn that

$$(1.3) \quad \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \left[\frac{\nu}{df} \right]_{\text{start } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)}^{\text{end } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)}.$$

In Ecalle's formalism, $\hat{I}_\alpha := \int_{f^{-1}(\zeta)} \frac{\nu}{df}$ and \hat{I}_α are respectively a major and a minor of the singularity and they differ by an holomorphic function (we will see this in the examples Section Airy, Bessel).

We can rewrite our Taylor series for ν as

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha [2(f - \zeta_\alpha)]^{n/2} \frac{df}{[2(f - \zeta_\alpha)]^{1/2}} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha [2(f - \zeta_\alpha)]^{(n-1)/2} df, \end{aligned}$$

taking the positive branch of the square root on \mathcal{C}_α^+ and the negative branch on \mathcal{C}_α^- . Plugging this into our expression for \hat{I}_α , we learn that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) &= \left[\sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha [2(f - \zeta_\alpha)]^{(n-1)/2} \right]_{\text{start } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)}^{\text{end } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha \left([2(\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)]^{(n-1)/2} - (-1)^{n-1} [2(\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)]^{(n-1)/2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2b_{2n}^\alpha [2(\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)]^{n-1/2} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{n+1/2} b_{2n}^\alpha (\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)^{n-1/2} \\ &= \mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

We have now shown that the sum of $\mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha$ is actually equal to \hat{I}_α as $\zeta \in \zeta_\alpha + [0, e^{i\theta} \infty)$. \square

Remark 1.3. Different choices of admissible θ correspond to different choices of thimbles $[\mathcal{C}_\alpha] \in H_N^B(X, zf)$, but the Borel transform of \tilde{I}_α does not depend on θ . However, if $\theta_* := \arg(\zeta_\alpha - \zeta_\beta)$ and $\theta_\pm := \theta_* \pm \delta$ for small δ , then $I_\alpha(z)$ jumps on the intersection between $\text{Re}(e^{i\theta_+} z) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(e^{i\theta_-} z) > 0$. This is known as the Stokes phenomenon (see Section resurgence thimbles integrals).

2. 3/2 DERIVATIVE FORMULA

In Theorem 1.1 we have seen that the asymptotic behaviour of $I_\alpha(z)$ has a fractional power contribution namely $\tilde{I}_\alpha(z) = e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} z^{-1/2} \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\alpha,n} z^{-n}$, hence we have used the extended notion of Borel transform to deal with fractional powers. Now we will focus on the formal series $\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha(z) := e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\alpha,n} z^{-n} = z^{1/2} \tilde{I}_\alpha(z)$ which does not contain any fractional power and we prove a fractional derivative formula which relates the Borel transforms $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$ and $\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta)$. Moreover we show that the $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$ depends on ν and df as well as $\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta)$ does.

Corollary 2.1. Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, for any ζ on the ray going rightward from ζ_α in the direction of θ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta) = \partial_{\zeta}^{3/2} \Big|_{\text{from } \zeta_\alpha} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu \right) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{\zeta_\alpha}^{\zeta} (\zeta - \zeta')^{-1/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta')} \nu \right) d\zeta',$$

where $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)$ is the part of \mathcal{C}_α that goes through $e^{-i\theta} f^{-1}([\zeta_\alpha, \zeta])$. Notice that $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)$ starts and ends in $e^{-i\theta} f^{-1}(\zeta)$. **[Be careful about the orientation of \mathcal{C}_α .]**

Proof. Theorem ?? tells us that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha &= \mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} z^{-1/2} \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \\ &= \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1/2} \mathcal{B} \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \\ &= \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

It follows, from the proof of part 3 of Theorem 1.1, that

$$(2.2) \quad \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha.$$

Since fractional integrals form a semigroup, equation (2.2) implies that

$$\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1} \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-3/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha.$$

Rewriting equation (1.3) as

$$\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \partial_\zeta \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu \right),$$

we can see that

$$\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1} \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu - \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(0)} \nu.$$

The initial value term vanishes, because the path $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(0)$ is a point. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu = \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-3/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta).$$

Recalling that the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative is a left inverse of the fractional integral, we conclude that

$$\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{3/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu \right) = \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta).$$

□

2.1. Singularities. From equation (2.2) we see that singularities of $\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta)$ in the Borel plane comes from either poles of ν or zeros of df . Instead, the fractional derivatives formula tells that singularities of $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha$ are given by convolutions of $\zeta^{-1/2}/\Gamma(1/2)$ with \hat{I}_α . Since $\zeta^{-1/2}/\Gamma(1/2)$ is singular at $\zeta = 0$ the set of singularities of $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$ is exactly the same as the one of $\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta)$. However, the type of singularities will change and we expect $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$ to have only simple singularities.

In the examples we noticed that $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$ is always an hypergeometric function. In particular when there are only two critical values (see Airy, Bessel) the $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$ is a Gaussian hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1\left(a, b; c; \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_\alpha}\right)$ with $c = 2$ and $a + b = c + 1$. Whereas, in the generalized Airy example (see Section ??) we get generalized hypergeometric functions ${}_3F_2\left(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_\alpha} - 1\right)^2\right)$ and ${}_3F_2\left(\mathbf{a}_0; \mathbf{b}_0; \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_\alpha}\right)^2\right)$ with $|\mathbf{a}| = |\mathbf{b}| + 1$. This behaviour

reflects the resurgence properties of $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha$ (as well as the one of \hat{l}_α), indeed the analytic continuation of $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$ at ζ_α is given in terms of $\hat{\varphi}_\beta(\zeta)$, $\zeta_\beta \neq \zeta_\alpha$ when $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$, $\hat{\varphi}_\beta(\zeta)$ are hypergeometric functions of the previous type.

Lemma 2.2. Let us assume f has only two critical values $\zeta_\alpha = -\zeta_\beta$ and let $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta) = {}_2F_1(a, b; 2; \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_\alpha})$ with $a + b = c + 1$, then across the branch cut

$$(2.3) \quad \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta + i0) - \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta - i0) = C {}_2F_1\left(a, b; 2; 1 + \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_\beta}\right)$$

$$(2.4) \quad \hat{\varphi}_\beta(\zeta + i0) - \hat{\varphi}_\beta(\zeta - i0) = -C {}_2F_1\left(a, b; 2; 1 + \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_\alpha}\right)$$

Proof. It follows from DLMF eq. 15.2.2. □

3. CONTOUR ARGUMENT

As noticed in proof of Theorem 1.1, the integral $I_\alpha(z)$ can be written as

(i) the Laplace transform of $\hat{l}_\alpha(\zeta)$

(ii) the Hankel contour integral of the major $\hat{l}_\alpha^\nabla(\zeta)$

and $\hat{l}_\alpha^\nabla(\zeta) = \hat{l}_\alpha(\zeta) + \text{hol.fct.}$. In the applications we have evidence that $\hat{l}_\alpha^\nabla(\zeta)$ is an algebraic hypergeometric function and when there are only two critical values, it decomposes as a sum of two germs of holomorphic functions at each critical values respectively (see airy-resurgence Section 6.1, 6.3).

REFERENCES

1. Vladimir A. Zorich, *Mathematical analysis. II*, second ed., Universitext, Springer, Heidelberg, 2016.
MR 3445604