Resurgence of modified Bessel functions of second kind

Veronica Fantini

March 17, 2022

1 Generalized Airy

Let $p \ge 0$,

$$I(z) := \int_{e^{-i\frac{\pi}{3}\infty}}^{e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}\infty}} e^{-z(\frac{t^3}{3}-t)} \frac{dt}{t^p}$$
 (1)

Since $I(z)=z^{(p-1)/3}{\rm A}_1(z,p)$ which is a solution of

$$\left[\partial_z^3 - z\partial_z + (p-1)\right] A_1(z,p) = 0 \tag{2}$$

it follows that I(z) is a solution of

$$\partial_z^3 I - \frac{4}{9} \partial_z I + \frac{2-p}{z} \partial_z^2 I - \frac{4(1-p)}{9} \frac{I}{z} - \frac{1+3p-3p^2}{9} \frac{\partial_z I}{z^2} + \frac{3+p-3p^2-p^3}{27} \frac{I}{z^3} = 0 \quad (3)$$

In particular, the formal integral solution of (3) is a three parameter family

$$\tilde{I}(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^3} U^{\mathbf{k}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(k_2 - k_3)z} z^{-\frac{1}{2}(k_2 + k_3) - (1 - p)k_1} \tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}(z)$$
(4)

where $\tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) \in \mathbb{C}[\![z^{-1}]\!]$ is a formal solution of

$$\left[P_3(\partial, \mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{t} P_2(\partial, \mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{t^2} P_1(\partial, \mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{t^3} P_0(\partial, \mathbf{k})\right] \tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) = 0$$
 (5)

$$\begin{split} P_0(\partial,\mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9}k_1 - k_1^2 - k_1^3 + \frac{1}{18}k_2 - k_1k_2 - \frac{3}{2}k_1^2k_2 - \frac{1}{4}k_2^2 - \frac{3}{4}k_1k_2^2 - \frac{1}{8}k_2^3 + \frac{p^3}{3}k_1 + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{18}k_3 - k_1k_3 - \frac{3}{2}k_1^2k_3 - \frac{1}{2}k_2k_3 - \frac{3}{2}k_1k_2k_3 - \frac{3}{8}k_2^2k_3 - \frac{1}{4}k_3^2 - k_1^2p^3 + k_1^3p^3 + \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{4}k_1k_3^2 - \frac{3}{8}k_2k_3^2 - \frac{1}{8}k_3^3 + \frac{p}{27} - \frac{7}{9}k_1p + k_1^2p + 3k_1^3p - \frac{p}{3}k_2 + 3k_1^2k_2p - \frac{p}{4}k_2^2 + \\ &\quad \frac{3}{4}k_1k_2^2p - \frac{p}{3}k_3 + 3k_1^2k_3p - \frac{p}{2}k_2k_3 + \frac{3}{2}k_1k_2k_3p - \frac{p}{4}k_3^2 + \frac{3}{4}k_1k_3p^2 - \frac{p}{9} + \frac{p^3}{3}k_1 + \\ &\quad + k_1^2p^2 - 3k_1^3p^2 - \frac{p^2}{6}k_2 + k_1k_2p^2 - \frac{3}{2}k_1^2k_2p^2 - \frac{p^2}{6}k_3 + k_1k_3p^2 - \frac{3}{2}k_1^2k_3p^2 - \frac{p^3}{27} \\ P_1(\partial,\mathbf{k}) &= (-\frac{1}{9} - k_1 + 3k_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}k_2 + 3k_1k_2 + \frac{3}{4}k_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}k_3 + 3k_1k_3 + \frac{3}{2}k_2k_3 + \frac{3}{4}k_3^2 - \frac{p}{3} + \\ &\quad + 3k_1p - 6k_1^2p + k_2p - 3k_1k_2p + k_3p - 3k_1k_3p + \frac{p^2}{3} - 2k_1p^2 + 3k_1^2p^2)\partial_z + \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{27}k_2 + \frac{2}{3}k_1k_2 - 2k_1^2k_2 + \frac{1}{3}k_2^2 - 2k_1k_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}k_2^3 - \frac{2}{27}k_3 - \frac{2}{3}k_1k_3 + \\ &\quad + 2k_1^2k_3 - \frac{1}{2}k_2^2k_3 - \frac{1}{3}k_3^2 + 2k_1k_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_2k_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_3^3 + \frac{2}{9}k_2p - 2k_1k_2p \\ &\quad + 4k_1^2k_2p - \frac{2}{3}k_2^2p + 2k_1k_2^2p - \frac{2}{9}k_3p + 2k_1k_3p - 4k_1^2k_3p + \frac{2}{3}k_3^2p - 2k_1k_3^2p - \frac{2}{9}k_2p^2 + \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{3}k_1k_2p^2 - 2k_1^2k_2p^2 + \frac{2}{9}k_3p^2 - \frac{4}{3}k_1k_3p^2 + 2k_1^2k_3p^2 \\ P_2(\partial,\mathbf{k}) &= (2 - 3k_1 - \frac{3}{2}(k_2 + k_3) - p + 3k_1p)\partial_z^2 + \\ &\quad (-\frac{8}{3}k_2 + 4k_1k_2 + 2k_2^2 + \frac{8}{3}k_3 - 4k_1k_3 - 2k_3^2 + \frac{4}{3}k_2p - 4k_1k_2p - \frac{4}{3}k_3p + 4k_1k_3p)\partial_z + \\ &\quad - \frac{4}{9} + \frac{4}{9}k_1 + \frac{2}{9}k^2 + \frac{8}{9}k_2^2 - \frac{4}{3}k_1k_2^2 - \frac{2}{3}k_2^3 + \frac{2}{9}k_3p - \frac{16}{9}k_2k_3 + \frac{8}{3}k_1k_2k_3 + \frac{2}{3}k_2^2 + \frac{8}{9}k_3^2 - \frac{4}{3}k_1k_3^2 + \\ &\quad - \frac{4}{9}k_2^2 + \frac{4}{9}k_1 + \frac{2}{9}k_2^2 + \frac{4}{3}k_1k_2^2 - \frac{2}{3}k_2^3 + \frac{2}{9}k_2p - \frac{4}{3}k_1k_2p - \frac{4}{9}k_2p + \frac{4}{3}k_1k_2p - \frac{4}{9}k_2p + \frac{4}{3}k_1k_2p - \frac{4}{9}k_2p + \frac{4}{3}k_1k_2p - \frac{4}{9}k_2p + \frac{4}{3}k_1k$$

Since $\tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) = \sum_{j\geq 0} a_{\mathbf{k},j} z^{-j}$ there only few values of $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^3$ such that $\tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}} \neq 0$, hence the formal integral solution of (3) reduces to

$$\tilde{I}(z) = U_1 z^{p-1} \tilde{w}_1(z) + U_2 e^{-\frac{2}{3}z} z^{-1/2} \tilde{w}_2(z) + U_3 e^{\frac{2}{3}z} \tilde{w}_3(z)$$
(6)

where \tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2 and \tilde{w}_3 are the unique (we fix $a_{k,0} = 1$ for k = 1, 2, 3) solutions of

$$\left[\partial_z^3 - \frac{4}{9}\partial_z - \frac{1 - 2p}{z}\partial_z^2 + \frac{17 - 30p + 12p^2}{9z^2}\partial_z + \frac{8}{27}\frac{p^3 - 6p^2 + 11p - 6}{z^3}\right]\tilde{w}_1(z) = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\label{eq:controller} \begin{split} \left[\partial_z^3 - 2\partial_z^2 + \frac{8}{9}\partial_z + \frac{1-2p}{2z}\partial_z^2 - \frac{2-4p}{3z}\partial_z + \frac{5+24p+12p^2}{36z^2}\partial_z + \right. \\ \left. - \frac{5+24p+12p^2}{54z^2} - \frac{1}{z^3}\left(\frac{5}{24} + \frac{59}{108}p + \frac{5}{18}p^2 + \frac{1}{27}p^3\right)\right]\tilde{w}_2(z) = 0 \quad (8) \end{split}$$

$$\left[\partial_z^3 + 2\partial_z^2 + \frac{8}{9}\partial_z + \frac{1 - 2p}{2z}\partial_z^2 + \frac{2 - 4p}{3z}\partial_z + \frac{5 + 24p + 12p^2}{36z^2}\partial_z + \frac{5 + 24p + 12p^2}{54z^2} - \frac{1}{z^3}\left(\frac{5}{24} + \frac{59}{108}p + \frac{5}{18}p^2 + \frac{1}{27}p^3\right)\right]\tilde{w}_3(z) = 0 \quad (9)$$

We first study the equation (7): its unique formal solution $\tilde{w}_1(z)$ has the following coefficients (we compute them with Mathematica)

$$a_{1,2j} = \frac{\Gamma(1+3j-p)}{3^{j}\Gamma(1+j)\Gamma(1-p)} \qquad j \ge 0$$
(10)

Notice that $a_{1,j}$ are well defined only for $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$. However, for p = 1, 2, 3 the solution is well defined and constant $\tilde{w}_1(z) = 1$. Therefore the Borel transform of $\tilde{w}_1(z)$ is

$$\hat{w}_1(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \delta & \text{if } p = 1, 2, 3\\ \delta + \zeta \frac{\Gamma(4-p)}{3\Gamma(1-p)} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{4-p}{3}, \frac{5-p}{3}, 2 - \frac{p}{3}; \frac{3}{2}, 2; \frac{9}{4}\zeta^2\right) & \text{if } p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\} \end{cases}$$
(11)

In particular, the generalized hypergeometric series has a branch cut singularity at $\zeta = \pm \frac{3}{2}$. We expect that $\tilde{w}_1(z)$ is a simple resurgent function such that

$$\hat{w}_1(\zeta + \frac{2}{3}) = \delta + \frac{C}{2\pi i \zeta} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\zeta) \hat{w}_2(\zeta) + \text{hol. fct.}$$

$$\hat{w}_1(\zeta - \frac{2}{3}) = \delta + \frac{C}{2\pi i \zeta} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\zeta) \hat{w}_3(\zeta) + \text{hol. fct.}$$

Indeed, in [**D.B. Karp and E.G. Prilepkina** formula 3.1 (see also https://arxiv.org/pdf/2110.12219.pdf equation 27)] the authors compute the analytic continuation of generalized hypergeomtric functions across the branch cut

$$_{q}F_{q-1}(\mathbf{a};\mathbf{b};x+i0) - {}_{q}F_{q-1}(\mathbf{a};\mathbf{b};x-i0) = 2\pi i \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b}-d+1)}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}-d+1)} x^{d-1} G_{q,q}^{q,0}\left(d,\mathbf{b};\mathbf{a};\frac{1}{x}\right)$$
 (12)

which specifies to

$$\begin{split} &\frac{3}{2}\zeta\left({}_{3}F_{2}\left(\frac{4-p}{3},\frac{5-p}{3},2-\frac{p}{3};\frac{3}{2},2;\frac{9}{4}\zeta^{2}+i0\right)-{}_{3}F_{2}\left(\frac{4-p}{3},\frac{5-p}{3},2-\frac{p}{3};\frac{3}{2},2;\frac{9}{4}\zeta^{2}-i0\right)\right)=\\ &=2\pi i\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b}+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}+\frac{1}{2})}G_{3,3}^{3,0}\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},2;\frac{4-p}{3},\frac{5-p}{3},2-\frac{p}{3};\frac{4}{9}\zeta^{-2}\right)\\ &=2\pi i\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b}+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}+\frac{1}{2})}G_{3,3}^{0,3}\left(\frac{p-1}{3},\frac{p-2}{3},\frac{p}{3}-1;\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-1;\frac{9}{4}\zeta^{2}\right) \end{split}$$

When p = 1, 2, 3 the solution is a trivial resurgent function, it is constant.

Let us now consider the formal solution $\tilde{w}_2(z)$ and $\tilde{w}_3(z)$. The recursive relation for $a_{2,j}, a_{3,j}$ have not a simple expressions as it is for $a_{1,j}$. However, we compute numerically their first coefficients: