

EXPONENTIAL INTEGRALS

VERONICA FANTINI

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Fractional derivatives and Borel transform	1
2.1. Borel transform	2
3. The geometry of the Laplace transform	6
3.1. Introduction	6
3.2. Translation surfaces, briefly	6
3.3. The Laplace transform	8
4. Borel–Laplace summability and Resurgence	9
4.1. Resurgence	9
5. Exponential integrals	10
5.1. Borel	10
6. Airy exponential integral: Stokes constants using fractional derivative formula and Borel transform computation	15
6.1. Comparison with other Airy examples	24
7. Bessel 0	26
8. Useful identities for Gauss hypergeomtric functions	32
9. Resurgence for degree 3 polynomials	32

1. INTRODUCTION

2. FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVES AND BOREL TRANSFORM

For $\nu \in (-\infty, 1)$, the fractional integral $\partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{\nu-1}$ is defined by

$$\partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{\nu-1} f(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\nu)} \int_0^x (x-x')^{-\nu} f(x') dx'.$$

It obeys the expected semigroup law [**Lazarević, §1.3**]

$$\partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{\lambda} \partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{\mu} = \partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{\lambda+\mu} \quad \lambda, \mu \in (-\infty, 0),$$

and agrees with ordinary repeated integration when ν is an integer [Lazarević, equation 35].

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and integers $n \geq 0$, fractional derivatives $\partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{n+\alpha}$ are defined by composing $\partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{\alpha-1}$ with powers of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. However, $\partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{\alpha-1}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ don't commute: their commutator is an initial value operator [check, clarify]. Various ordering conventions give various definitions of $\partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{n+\alpha} f(x)$, which differ by operators that act on the germ of f at zero [Lazarević, §1.3—original source Podlubny]. We'll use the *Riemann-Liouville* convention.

Definition 2.1. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and integers $n \geq 0$, the *Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative* $\partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{n+\alpha}$ is defined by

$$\partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{n+\alpha} := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{n+1} \partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{\alpha-1}.$$

The symbol $\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } 0}^{\mu}$ is now defined for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. It denotes a fractional integral when μ is negative, and a fractional derivative when μ is a positive non-integer.

The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative is a left inverse of the fractional integral, in the sense that $\partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{\lambda} \partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{-\lambda} = \text{Id}$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$. This extends the semigroup law:

$$\partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{\lambda} \partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{\mu} = \partial_{x \text{ from } 0}^{\lambda+\mu} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \quad \mu \in (-\infty, 0).$$

Each convention for the fractional derivative brings its own annoyances to interactions with the Borel transform.¹ The Riemann-Liouville derivative will be the least annoying for our purposes. Here's what's nice about it.

2.1. Borel transform. We briefly recall some basic facts on Borel transform and its properties (more details can be founded in [?], [?], [], []).

The Borel transform \mathcal{B} is a linear map from formal power seires in the time domain \mathbb{C}_z to the space domain \mathbb{C}_{ζ} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(z^{-n-1}) &:= \frac{\zeta^n}{n!} \quad , \text{ if } n \geq 0 \\ \mathcal{B}(1) &:= \delta \end{aligned}$$

where δ is the element $(1, 0) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}[[\zeta]]$ ², and \mathcal{B} extends formally by linearity to

¹See Remark ?? for examples.

²Sometimes, in physics it is common to adopt a differnt convention, i.e. $\mathcal{B}(z^{-n}) := \frac{\zeta^n}{n!}$ (see[?][?], for example). However, we find natural for our pourposes the mathematical convention, as the Laplace transform is the inverse of \mathcal{B} under suitable growth conditions.

$$\mathcal{B}: \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\delta \oplus \mathbb{C}[[\zeta]]$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^{-n} \rightarrow a_0 \delta + \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n+1} \frac{\zeta^n}{n!}$$

Notice that we are at the level of formal series, so there are no convergence assumptions. However, there is a special class of formal series that behaves well under Borel transform, meaning that its Borel transform gives a germ of holomorphic functions at the origin in \mathbb{C}_ζ . These formal series are the so called Gevrey-1 series: $\tilde{\Phi}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^{-n}$ is Gevrey-1 if there exists $A > 0$ such that $|a_n| \leq A^n n!$ for all $n \geq 0$.³

Notation. We want to distinguish between formal series and holomorphic functions, as well as between the Borel plane (spatial domain) and the z -plane (time domain). Therefore we adopt the following notation:

- $\Phi(z)$: upper-case letters are holomorphic functions in the z -plane;
- $\tilde{\Phi}(z)$: *tilde* stands for formal series, so an upper-case letter with *tilde* is a formal series in the z -plane;
- $\phi(\zeta)$: lower-case letter are holomorphic functions in the Borel plane (time domain). We follow the convention for Laplace transform of holomorphic functions, namely $\mathcal{L}(\phi(\zeta))(z) = \Phi(z)$.
- $\tilde{\phi}(\zeta)$: lower-case letter with *tilde* are formal series in the Borel plane. As we will see, the Borel transform of $\tilde{\Phi}(z)$ is $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\Phi})(\zeta) =: \tilde{\phi}(\zeta)$;
- $\hat{\phi}(\zeta)$: lower-case letters with *hat* are the sum of the formal series $\tilde{\phi}(\zeta)$, when it exists.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\tilde{\Phi}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^{-n}$, it is Gevrey-1 if and only if

$$\hat{\phi}(\zeta) = \tilde{\phi}(\zeta) := \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\Phi}) \in \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}.$$

In particular, we deduce from the lemma that the Borel transform is an isomorphism between Gevrey-1 series and $\mathbb{C}\delta \oplus \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$.

For what follows, it is convenient to extend the definition of \mathcal{B} to fractional power of z , replacing the factorial with the Gamma function:

$$\mathcal{B}(z^{-\alpha}) := \frac{\zeta^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \quad \text{if } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$$

However, if $\tilde{\Phi}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^{-n}$ is a Gevrey-1 series, and α is a rational number with denominator q , then $\mathcal{B}(z^{-\alpha} \tilde{\Phi})(\zeta)$ is a germ of meromorphic function at the origin of

³In asymptotic analysis Gevrey- k series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^{-n}$ have coefficients that grow as $(n!)^k$, i.e. there exists $A > 0$ such that $|a_n| \leq A^n (n!)^k$ for every $n \geq 0$. The Borel transform can be generalized in order to obtain germs of holomorphic function for higher Gevrey series.

the Riemann surface of $\zeta^{1/q}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}(z^{-\alpha}\tilde{\Phi})(\zeta) &= \frac{\zeta^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} * \left(a_0\delta + \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n+1} \frac{\zeta^n}{n!} \right) \\ &= a_0 \frac{\zeta^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n+1} \frac{\zeta^{n+\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+n+\alpha)}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha > 1$, then $\mathcal{B}(z^{-\alpha}\tilde{\Phi})(\zeta)$ is actually a germ of holomorphic functions on the Riemann surface of $\zeta^{1/q}$. We're interested in the case where $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, so the Borel transform is meromorphic on the Riemann surface of $\zeta^{1/2}$, and has a pole at $\zeta = 0$ unless $a_0 = 0$.

2.1.1. Properties of the Borel transform. We now recall some properties of the Borel transform: let $\tilde{\Phi}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^{-n}$ and denote by $\tilde{\phi}(\zeta) := \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\Phi}(z))$

- (i) [fractional derivative] if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathcal{B}(z^\alpha \tilde{\Phi}(z)) = \partial_\zeta^\alpha \tilde{\phi}(\zeta)$
- (ii) [fractional integral] if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{< 0} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathcal{B}(z^\alpha \tilde{\Phi}(z)) = \partial_\zeta^\alpha \tilde{\phi}(\zeta)$
- (iii) if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z^n f(z) \in z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, then $\mathcal{B}(z^n \tilde{\Phi}(z)) = \partial_\zeta^n \tilde{\phi}(\zeta)$
- (iv) $\mathcal{B}(\partial_z^n \tilde{\Phi}(z)) = (-\zeta)^n \tilde{\phi}(\zeta)$, for every $n \geq 0$
- (v) $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\Phi}(z-c)) = e^{-c\zeta} \tilde{\phi}(\zeta)$
- (vi) if $\tilde{\Psi}(z) \in z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, then $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\Phi}(z)\tilde{\Psi}(z)) = \int_0^\zeta d\zeta' \tilde{\phi}(\zeta - \zeta') \tilde{\psi}(\zeta') =: \tilde{\phi} * \tilde{\psi}$, where $\tilde{\psi}(\zeta) = \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\Psi}(z))$.

Lemma 2.3. For any non-integer $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ and any integer $k \geq 0$,

$$\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } 0}^\mu [\mathcal{B}(z^{-(k+1)})(\zeta)] = \mathcal{B}(z^\mu z^{-(k+1)})(\zeta).$$

Proof. We'll show that for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and any integer $n \geq 0$, the claim holds with $\mu = n + \alpha$. First, evaluate

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } 0}^{\alpha-1} [\mathcal{B}(z^{-(k+1)})(\zeta)] &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \zeta')^{-\alpha} \frac{\zeta'^k}{\Gamma(k+1)} d\zeta' \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)} \int_0^1 (\zeta - \zeta t)^{-\alpha} (\zeta t)^k \zeta dt \\ &= \frac{\zeta^{k-(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{-\alpha} t^k dt \\ &= \frac{\zeta^{k-(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma(k-(\alpha-1)+1)}\end{aligned}$$

by reducing the integral to Euler's beta function [DLMF 5.12.1]. This establishes that

$$(2.1) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right)^{n+1} \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } 0}^{\alpha-1} [\mathcal{B}(z^{-(k+1)})(\zeta)] = \frac{\zeta^{k-(n+\alpha)}}{\Gamma(k-(n+\alpha)+1)}$$

for $n = -1$. If (2.1) holds for $n = m$, it also holds for $n = m + 1$, because

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right)^{m+1} \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } 0}^{\alpha-1} [\mathcal{B}(z^{-(k+1)})(\zeta)] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left(\frac{\zeta^{k-(m+\alpha)}}{(k-(m+\alpha))\Gamma(k-(m+\alpha))} \right) \\ &= \frac{\zeta^{k-(m+1+\alpha)}}{\Gamma(k-(m+\alpha))} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (2.1) holds for all $n \geq -1$, and the desired result quickly follows. The condition $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ saves us from the trouble we'd run into if $k - (m + \alpha)$ were in $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. This is how we avoid the initial value corrections that appear in ordinary derivatives of Borel transforms. \square

Proof. We are going to prove properties (i)–(vi).

(i) follows from Lemma 2.3.

(ii) Notice that for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the fractional integral $\partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} \zeta^k = \zeta^{k-\alpha} \frac{k!}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)}$, hence

$$\mathcal{B}(z^{\alpha} \tilde{\Phi}(z)) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k \frac{\zeta^{k-\alpha}}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)} = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k \frac{\zeta^{k-\alpha}}{k!} \frac{k!}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)} = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k \frac{1}{k!} \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} \zeta^k = \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} \tilde{\Phi}(\zeta).$$

(iii) $z^n \tilde{\Phi}(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k z^{-(k-n)-1}$ and by assumption $k - n \geq 0$, hence by definition

$$\mathcal{B}(z^n \tilde{\Phi}(z)) = \sum_{k \geq n} a_k \frac{\zeta^{k-n}}{(k-n)!} = \sum_{k \geq n} a_k \frac{1}{k!} \frac{k! \zeta^{k-n}}{(k-n)!} = \sum_{k \geq n} a_k \frac{1}{k!} \partial_{\zeta}^n \zeta^k = \partial_{\zeta}^n \tilde{\Phi}(\zeta).$$

(vi) $\partial_z^n \tilde{\Phi}(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k (-1)^n z^{-k-n-1} \frac{\Gamma(k+n+1)}{k!}$, hence

$$\mathcal{B}(z^{\alpha} \tilde{\Phi}(z)) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k (-1)^n \frac{\zeta^{k+n}}{\Gamma(k+n+1)} \frac{\Gamma(k+n+1)}{k!} = (-\zeta)^n \tilde{\Phi}(\zeta).$$

(v) see Lemma 5.10 [?].

(vi) see Definition 5.12 and Lemma 5.14 [?]. \square

Remark 2.4. We notice that properties (i) and (ii) are special cases of property (vi), indeed we can use the convolution product

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(z^\alpha \tilde{\Phi}(z)) &= \mathcal{B}(z^\alpha) * \tilde{\phi}(\zeta) \\
&= \frac{\zeta^{-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} * \tilde{\phi}(\zeta) \\
&= \int_0^\zeta \frac{(\zeta')^{-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k \frac{(\zeta - \zeta')^k}{k!} d\zeta' \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{a_k}{k!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_0^1 (t\zeta)^{-\alpha-1} (\zeta - t\zeta)^k \zeta dt \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{a_k}{k!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \zeta^{k-\alpha} \int_0^1 t^{-\alpha-1} (1-t)^k dt \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{a_k}{k!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \zeta^{k-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)} \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{a_k}{k!} \partial_\zeta^\alpha \zeta^k \\
&= \partial_\zeta^\alpha \tilde{\phi}(\zeta)
\end{aligned}$$

3. THE GEOMETRY OF THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM

3.1. Introduction. Classically, the Laplace transform turns functions on the position domain into functions on the frequency domain. In the study of Borel summation and resurgence, it's useful to see the position domain as a *translation surface* B , and the frequency domain as one of its cotangent spaces. Roughly speaking, the Laplace transform lifts holomorphic functions on B to holomorphic functions on T^*B .

3.2. Translation surfaces, briefly.

3.2.1. Definition. A translation surface is a Riemann surface B carrying a holomorphic 1-form λ [Zorich, “Flat Surfaces”?]. A translation chart is a local coordinate ζ with $d\zeta = \lambda$. The standard metric on \mathbb{C} pulls back along translation charts to a flat metric on B , with a conical singularity of angle $2\pi n$ wherever λ has a zero of order $n - 1 > 0$. We'll require B to be finite-type and λ to have a pole at each puncture. This kind of translation surface has a “cylindrical end” (figure) at each puncture where λ has order -1 , and a “ $|2n|$ -planar end” (figure) at each puncture where λ has order $n - 1 < -1$ [Gupta, “Meromorphic quadratic differentials with half-plane structures,” §2.5] (or cite Aaron's article, which will hopefully present the same background in the translation surface context).

3.2.2. *Direction.* The translation structure gives B a notion of direction as well as distance. Away from the zeros of λ , which we'll call *branch points*, we can talk about moving upward, rightward, or at any angle, just as we would on \mathbb{C} . At a branch point of cone angle $2\pi n$, we can also talk about moving upward, rightward, or at any angle in $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, but here there are n directions that fit each description. To make this more concrete, note that around any point $b \in B$, there's a unique holomorphic function ζ_b that vanishes at b and has $d\zeta_b = \lambda$. [If we define “translation parameter” earlier, we can say:] there's a unique translation parameter ζ_b that vanishes at b . This function is a translation chart when b is an ordinary point, and an n -fold branched covering when b is a branch point of cone angle $2\pi n$. In either case, $\zeta_b \in e^{i\theta}[0, \infty)$ is a ray or a set of rays leaving b at angle $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$.

Near each branch point b , let's fix a coordinate ω_b with $\zeta_b = \frac{1}{n}\omega_b^n$, where $2\pi n$ is the cone angle at b . This lets us label each direction at b with an “extended angle” in $\mathbb{R}/2\pi n\mathbb{Z}$. Of course, there are n different choices for ω_b .

3.2.3. *Frequency.* The translation structure also gives us an isomorphism $z: T^*B_b \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ when $b \in B$ is an ordinary point, and an isomorphism $z: T^*B_b^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ when b is a branch point of cone angle $2\pi n$. At an ordinary point, we can define z simply as the map

$$\begin{aligned} z: T^*B_b &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \lambda|_b &\mapsto 1. \end{aligned}$$

To get a definition that generalizes to branch points, though, it's worth taking a fancier point of view. Recall that $T^*B_b = \mathfrak{m}_b/\mathfrak{m}_b^2$, where \mathfrak{m}_b is the ideal of holomorphic functions that vanish at b . Observing that $(f + \mathfrak{m}_b)^n$ lies within $f^n + \mathfrak{m}_b^{n+1}$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{m}_b$, we can identify $T^*B_b^{\otimes n}$ with $\mathfrak{m}_b^n/\mathfrak{m}_b^{n+1}$ for $n \geq 1$. When b is an ordinary point, the function ζ_b defined in Section 3.2.2 represents a nonzero element of $\mathfrak{m}_b/\mathfrak{m}_b^2$: the cotangent vector $\lambda|_b$. In general, ζ_b represents a nonzero element of $\mathfrak{m}_b^n/\mathfrak{m}_b^{n+1}$, where $2\pi n$ is the cone angle at b . We define z as the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} z: \mathfrak{m}_b^n/\mathfrak{m}_b^{n+1} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \zeta_b + \mathfrak{m}_b^{n+1} &\mapsto 1. \end{aligned}$$

When b is a branch point, the coordinate ω_b we chose in Section 3.2.2 gives us an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} w_b: T^*B_b &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \omega_b + \mathfrak{m}_b^2 &\mapsto 1 \end{aligned}$$

that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*B_b^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{z} & \mathbb{C} \\ \uparrow \scriptstyle \square^n & & \uparrow \scriptstyle \square^n \\ T^*B_b & \xrightarrow{w} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

commute.

3.2.4. *Boundary.* **Discuss the visual boundary, citing Lemma 3.1 of Dankwart's thesis *On the large-scale geometry of flat surfaces* for the description of geodesics.**

3.3. The Laplace transform.

3.3.1. *Over an ordinary point.* Pick a local holomorphic function ζ on B with $d\zeta = \lambda$, and an extended angle $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. [If we define “translation parameter” earlier, we can say:] Pick a translation parameter ζ . The Laplace transform \mathcal{L}_ζ^θ turns a local holomorphic function f on B into a local holomorphic function on T^*B . When $b \in B$ is an ordinary point, $\mathcal{L}_\zeta^\theta f$ is defined on T^*B_b by the formula

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_\zeta^\theta f|_b = \int_{\Gamma_b^\theta} e^{-z\zeta} f d\zeta,$$

where z is the frequency function defined in Section ?? and Γ_b^θ is the ray that leaves b at angle θ .

To make sense of this formula, we ask for the following conditions.

- The base point b is in the domain of ζ . Once we have this, we can continue ζ along the whole ray Γ_b^θ .
- The ray Γ_b^θ avoids the branch points after leaving b .
- The integral converges. We guarantee this by asking for a pair of simpler conditions.
 - With respect to the flat metric, f grows subexponentially along Γ_b^θ [**define**], and is locally integrable throughout.
 - The value of z is in the half-plane $H_{-\theta}$ centered around the ray $e^{-i\theta}[0, \infty)$.

3.3.2. *Over a branch point.* When b is a branch point, we can still use formula 3.1 to define $\mathcal{L}_\zeta^\theta f$ on T^*B_b , as long as we take care of a few subtleties. Thanks to the labeling choices we made at the end of Section 3.2.2, the extended angle $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ still picks out a ray Γ_b^θ . The function z is defined on $T^*B_b^{\otimes n}$, where $2\pi n$ is cone angle at b , so we pull it back to T^*B_b along the n th-power map. This amounts to substituting w_b^n for z in formula 3.1. The half-plane $z \in H_{-\theta}$ in $T^*B_b^{\otimes n}$ pulls back to n sectors of angle π/n in T^*B_b . We only define $\mathcal{L}_\zeta^\theta f$ on one of them: the one centered around the ray $w_b \in e^{-i\theta/n}[0, \infty)$.

3.3.3. *Differential equation.* If ζ is a translation chart for B , the functions ζ, z are Darboux coordinates on the part of T^*B that lies over the domain of ζ . In these coordinates, we can write down the partial differential operator

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{D}_\zeta = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \zeta \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}.$$

The multiplication by ζ is the only part of \mathcal{D}_ζ that depends on which ζ we choose.

Now we can pose a boundary value problem for each angle $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$.

Problem 3.1. Find a local holomorphic function F on T^*B that satisfies the equation $\mathcal{D}_\zeta F = 0$ and approaches zero when continued at fixed z along rays of angle θ .

The Laplace transform \mathcal{L}_ζ^θ turns local holomorphic functions on B into solutions of this problem. In fact, it produces all the solutions [**check argument**].

4. BOREL–LAPLACE SUMMABILITY AND RESURGENCE

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} \oplus z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]_1 & \xrightarrow{B} & \mathbb{C}\delta \oplus \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\} \cap \mathcal{N} \\ & \swarrow \text{Gevrey asymptotic} & \searrow \mathcal{L} \\ & s & \end{array}$$

4.1. **Resurgence.** Alternative to Borel–Laplace summability, J. Ecalle introduced the theory of resurgence: divergent power series that are Gevrey-1 become germs of holomorphic functions at the origin in the Borel plane. Being divergent, they have singularities in Borel plane and the aim of resurgence theory is to investigate the type of singularities and the analytic continuation of the germ. Indeed a formal series is resurgent if it admits an *endless* analytic continuation.

Definition 4.1. A germ of analytic functions \hat{f} at the origin is *endlessly continuable* on \mathbb{C} if for all $L > 0$, there exists a finite set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ of singularities, such that \hat{f} can be analytically continued along all paths whose length is less than L , avoiding the singularities Ω .

$$\mathbb{C} \oplus z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]_1 \xrightarrow{B} \mathbb{C}\delta \oplus \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\} \xrightarrow{\text{analytic cont.}} \mathcal{R}_\Omega \xrightarrow{\pi} \widetilde{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega}$$

Among resurgent series, the class of simple resurgent series is characterized by having a special type of singularities:

Definition 4.2. A function f defined in an open disk $D \subset \mathbb{C}_\zeta$ has a simple singularity at ω , adherent to D , if there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and a germ of analytic functions at the origin $\hat{\phi}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$, such that

$$(4.1) \quad f(\zeta) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi i(\zeta - \omega)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Log}(\zeta - \omega) \hat{\phi}(\zeta - \omega) + \text{hol. fct.}$$

for all $\zeta \in D$ close enough to ω . The constant α is called the residuum and $\hat{\phi}$ the minor. $\text{Log}(\zeta)$ is the principal branch of $\log(\zeta)$ in D ; different branches of $\log(\zeta)$ differs by a multiple of $\hat{\phi}(\zeta)$, hence they define the same singularity $\hat{\phi}$.

The holomorphic function $\hat{\phi}$ associated with the logarithmic singularity can be obtained by considering the analytic continuation of \hat{f} across the logarithmic branch cut

$$(4.2) \quad \hat{\phi}(\zeta) = f(\zeta + \omega) - f(\zeta e^{-2\pi i} + \omega)$$

where with $\hat{f}(\zeta e^{-2\pi i} + \omega)$ is the analytic continuation of \hat{f} along the circular path $\omega + \zeta e^{-2\pi i t}$ with $t \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 4.3 ([?] Definition 7). A simple resurgent function is a resurgent function $c\delta + \hat{f}(\zeta)$ such that, for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and for each path γ which starts from the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}_\zeta$, lies in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ and has its extremity in the disc of radius π centred at ω , the branch $\text{cont}_\gamma \hat{f}$ has a simple singularity at ω .

5. EXPONENTIAL INTEGRALS

5.1. Borel. Let X be a N -dim manifold, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic Morse function with only simple critical points, and $\nu \in \Gamma(X, \Omega^N)$, and set

$$(5.1) \quad I(z) := \int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{-zf} \nu$$

where \mathcal{C} is a suitable contour such that the integral is well defined. For any Morse critical points x_α of f , the saddle point approximation gives the following formal series

$$(5.2) \quad I_\alpha(z) := \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha} e^{-zf} \nu \sim \tilde{I}_\alpha := e^{-zf(x_\alpha)} (2\pi)^{N/2} z^{-N/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\alpha,n} z^{-n} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty$$

where \mathcal{C}_α is a steepest descent path through the critical point x_α . Notice that $f \circ \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ lies in the ray $\zeta_\alpha + [0, \infty)$, where $\zeta_\alpha := f(x_\alpha)$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $N = 1$. Let $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha(z) := e^{-zf(x_\alpha)} (2\pi)^{1/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\alpha,n} z^{-n}$ and assume $f''(x_\alpha) \neq 0$ for every critical point x_α . Then:

- (1) The series $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha$ is Gevrey-1.
- (2) The series $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta) := \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\varphi})$ converges near $\zeta = \zeta_\alpha$.
- (3) If you continue the sum of $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha$ along the ray going rightward from ζ_α , and take its Laplace transform along that ray, you'll recover $z^{1/2} I_\alpha$.

(4) For any ζ on the ray going rightward from ζ_α , we have

$$(5.3) \quad \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta) = \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{3/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu \right) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{\zeta_\alpha}^{\zeta} (\zeta - \zeta')^{-1/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta')} \nu \right) d\zeta',$$

where $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)$ is the part of \mathcal{C}_α that goes through $f^{-1}([\zeta_\alpha, \zeta])$. Notice that $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)$ starts and ends in $f^{-1}(\zeta)$. **[Be careful about the orientation of \mathcal{C}_α .]**

Proof. Part (1): Let's write \approx when two functions are asymptotic (at all orders around the base point **[is this the right condition?]**), and \sim when a function is asymptotic to a formal power series (at the truncation order of each partial sum).

Since f is Morse, we can find a holomorphic chart τ around x_α with $\frac{1}{2}\tau^2 = f - \zeta_\alpha$. Let \mathcal{C}_α^- and \mathcal{C}_α^+ be the parts of \mathcal{C}_α that go from the past to x_α and from x_α to the future, respectively. We can arrange for τ to be valued in $(-\infty, 0]$ and $[0, \infty)$ on \mathcal{C}_α^- and \mathcal{C}_α^+ , respectively. **[We should explicitly spell out and check the conditions that make this possible. I think we're implicitly orienting \mathcal{C}_α so that τ in the upper half-plane.]** Since ν is holomorphic, we can express it as a Taylor series

$$\nu = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha \tau^n d\tau$$

that converges in some disk $|\tau| < \varepsilon$.

By the steepest descent method,

$$e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} I_\alpha(z) \approx \int_{\tau \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]} e^{-z\tau^2/2} \nu$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$. **[I need to learn how this works! Do we get asymptoticity at all orders?]**

—Aaron] Plugging in the Taylor series above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} I_\alpha(z) &\approx \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} e^{-z\tau^2/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha \tau^n d\tau \\ &= \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} e^{-z\tau^2/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{2n}^\alpha \tau^{2n} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By the dominated convergence theorem,⁴

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} I_\alpha(z) &\approx \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{2n}^\alpha \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} e^{-z\tau^2/2} \tau^{2n} d\tau \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (2n-1)!! b_{2n}^\alpha \left[\sqrt{2\pi} z^{-(n+1/2)} \operatorname{erf}(\varepsilon \sqrt{z/2}) - 2e^{-z\varepsilon^2/2} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \\ k \leq n}} \frac{\varepsilon^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!!} z^{n-k+1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

⁴Notice that the sum over k is empty when $n = 0$. Following convention, we extend the double factorial to all odd integers by its recurrence relation, giving $(-1)!! = 1$.

The annoying $e^{-z\varepsilon^2/2}$ correction terms are dwarfed by their $z^{-(n+1/2)}$ counterparts when z is large. These terms are crucial, however, for the convergence of the sum. To see why, consider their absolute sum C_{exp} . When $z \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\text{exp}} &= 2e^{-\text{Re}(z)\varepsilon^2/2} \sum_{n \geq 1} (2n-1)!! \left| b_{2n}^\alpha \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \\ k \leq n}} \frac{\varepsilon^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!!} z^{n-k+1} \right| \\ &= 2e^{-z\varepsilon^2/2} \sum_{n \geq 1} (2n-1)!! |b_{2n}^\alpha| \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \\ k \leq n}} \frac{\varepsilon^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!!} z^{n-k+1} \\ &\geq -2\varepsilon e^{-z\varepsilon^2/2} \sum_{n \geq 1} (2n-1)!! |b_{2n}^\alpha| z^n, \end{aligned}$$

which diverges for typical f and ν . **[Does it? Veronica points out that we expect b_{2n} to shrink at least as fast as $(n!)^{-1}$.]**

This argument suggests that no matter how tiny the correction terms get, we can't expect to swat them all aside. We can, however, set aside any finite set of them. **[Use Miller's proof of Watson's lemma in place of the following argument, which has a few soft spots. See also Loday-Richaud, §5.1.5, Theorem 5.1.3]** For each cutoff N , the tail

$$\sum_{n \geq N} b_{2n}^\alpha \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} e^{-z\tau^2/2} \tau^{2n} d\tau$$

For each cutoff N , the tail error **[check]**

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n \geq N} b_{2n}^\alpha \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} e^{-z\tau^2/2} \tau^{2n} d\tau \right| &\leq \sum_{n \geq N} |b_{2n}^\alpha| \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} e^{-|z|\tau^2/2} \tau^{2n} d\tau \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq N} |b_{2n}^\alpha| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|z|\tau^2/2} \tau^{2n} d\tau \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq N} (2n-1)!! |b_{2n}^\alpha| |z|^{-(n+1/2)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n \geq N} (2n-1)!! \varepsilon^{-2n} |z|^{-(n+1/2)} \\ &= \varepsilon \sum_{n \geq N} (2n-1)!! (\varepsilon^{-1})^{2n+1} (|z|^{-1/2})^{2n+1} \\ &= \varepsilon \sum_{n \geq N} (2n-1)!! (\varepsilon^{-1} |z|^{-1/2})^{2n+1} \\ &= \text{uh-oh!} \end{aligned}$$

is in $o_{z \rightarrow \infty}(z^{-N})$ **[check]**, and the absolute sum

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\text{exp}}^N &= 2e^{-\text{Re}(z)\varepsilon^2/2} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (2n-1)!! \left| b_{2n}^\alpha \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \\ k \leq n}} \frac{\varepsilon^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!!} z^{n-k+1} \right| \\ &\leq 2e^{-\text{Re}(z)\varepsilon^2/2} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (2n-1)!! |b_{2n}^\alpha| \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \\ k \leq n}} \frac{\varepsilon^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!!} |z|^{n-k+1} \\ &\geq -2\varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon^2/2} \sum_{n \geq 1} (2n-1)!! |b_{2n}^\alpha| z^n, \end{aligned}$$

is in $o_{z \rightarrow \infty}(z^{-m})$ for every m **[check]**. Hence,

$$e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} I_\alpha(z) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq 0} (2n-1)!! b_{2n}^\alpha z^{-(n+1/2)} \text{erf}(\varepsilon \sqrt{z/2}).$$

The differences $1 - \text{erf}(\varepsilon \sqrt{z/2})$ shrink exponentially as z grows, allowing the simpler estimate

$$e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} I_\alpha(z) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq 0} (2n-1)!! b_{2n}^\alpha z^{-(n+1/2)}.$$

Call the right-hand side \tilde{I}_α . We now see that $a_{\alpha,n} = (2n-1)!! b_{2n}^\alpha$ in the statement of the theorem. **[Resolve discrepancy with previous calculation.]** Note that **[explain formally what it means to center at ζ_α]**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha &= \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(n + \tfrac{1}{2}) b_{2n}^\alpha \frac{(\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)^{n-1/2}}{\Gamma(n + \tfrac{1}{2})} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{n+1/2} b_{2n}^\alpha (\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)^{n-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We know from the definition of ε that $|b_n^\alpha| \varepsilon^n \lesssim 1$. Recalling that $(2n-1)!! \approx (\pi n)^{-1/2} 2^n n!$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that $|a_{\alpha,n}| \lesssim \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)^n n!$, showing that $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha$ is Gevrey-1.

Part (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta) &= \mathcal{B} \left(e^{-zf(x_\alpha)} (2\pi)^{1/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\alpha,n} z^{-n} \right) (\zeta) = T_{f(x_\alpha)} (2\pi)^{1/2} \left(\delta a_0 + \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n+1} \frac{\zeta^n}{n!} \right) \\ &\quad (2\pi)^{1/2} \left(\delta(f_{x_\alpha}) a_0 + \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n+1} \frac{(\zeta - f(x_\alpha))^n}{n!} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_n \leq C A^n n!$, the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n+1} \frac{(\zeta - f(x_\alpha))^n}{n!}$ has a finite radius of convergence.

Part (3): Let's recast the integral I_α into the f plane. As ζ goes rightward from ζ_α , the start and end points of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)$ sweep backward along $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^-(\zeta)$ and forward along

$\mathcal{C}_\alpha^+(\zeta)$, respectively. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(z) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha} e^{-zf} \nu \\ &= \int_{\zeta_\alpha}^{\infty} e^{-z\zeta} \left[\frac{\nu}{df} \right]_{\text{start } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)}^{\text{end } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that the right-hand side is a Laplace transform, we learn that

$$(5.4) \quad \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \left[\frac{\nu}{df} \right]_{\text{start } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)}^{\text{end } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)}.$$

In Ecalle's formalism, $\frac{\nu}{df}$ and \hat{I}_α are a major and a minor of the singularity $\overset{\nabla}{I}_\alpha$. [Can we say: $\frac{\nu}{df}$ is a major representing the singularity $\overset{\nabla}{I}_\alpha$? Or something even simpler?]

We can rewrite our Taylor series for ν as

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha [2(f - \zeta_\alpha)]^{n/2} \frac{df}{[2(f - \zeta_\alpha)]^{1/2}} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha [2(f - \zeta_\alpha)]^{(n-1)/2} df, \end{aligned}$$

taking the positive branch of the square root on \mathcal{C}_α^+ and the negative branch on \mathcal{C}_α^- . Plugging this into our expression for \hat{I}_α , we learn that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) &= \left[\sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha [2(f - \zeta_\alpha)]^{(n-1)/2} \right]_{\text{start } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)}^{\text{end } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha \left([2(\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)]^{(n-1)/2} - (-1)^{n-1} [2(\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)]^{(n-1)/2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2b_{2n}^\alpha [2(\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)]^{n-1/2} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{n+1/2} b_{2n}^\alpha (\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)^{n-1/2} \\ &= \mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

We already knew, from the general theory of the Borel transform, that the sum of $\mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha$ would be asymptotic to \hat{I}_α . We've now shown that the sum of $\mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha$ is actually equal to \hat{I}_α .

Theorem ?? tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha &:= \mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} z^{-1/2} \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \\ &= \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1/2} \mathcal{B} \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \\ &= \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

It follows, from our conclusion above, that

$$(5.5) \quad \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha.$$

Taking the Laplace transform of both sides and applying **the inverse of Theorem ?? that works for shifted analytic functions**, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(z) &= \mathcal{L}_{\zeta, \zeta_\alpha} \left[\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha \right] \\ &= z^{-1/2} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta, \zeta_\alpha} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

as we claimed.

Part (4): Since fractional integrals form a semigroup, equation (5.5) implies that

$$\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1} \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-3/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha.$$

Rewriting equation (5.4) as

$$\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \partial_\zeta \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu \right),$$

we can see that

$$\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1} \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu - \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(0)} \nu.$$

The initial value term vanishes, because the path $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(0)$ is a point. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu = \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-3/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta).$$

Recalling that the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative is a left inverse of the fractional integral, we conclude that

$$\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{3/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_p(\zeta)} \nu \right) = \hat{\varphi}_p(\zeta).$$

□

6. AIRY EXPONENTIAL INTEGRAL: STOKES CONSTANTS USING FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE FORMULA AND BOREL TRANSFORM COMPUTATION

We study the resurgent properties of the Airy function $\text{Ai}(x)$. As in section 3.2 airy-resurgence, it is convenient to change coordinates and turn $\text{Ai}(x)$ in exponential integral like (5.1): with the substitution $t = -2ux^{1/2}$, we can rewrite the Airy integral as

$$\text{Ai}(x) = x^{1/2} \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{x^{-1/2}\mathcal{C}_+} \exp\left[-\frac{2}{3}x^{3/2}(4u^3 - 3u)\right] du,$$

where \mathcal{C}_+ is the path $\theta \mapsto \cosh(\theta - \frac{2}{3}\pi i)$. When $x \in [0, \infty)$, this leads to the expression

$$I_+(z) := \int_{\mathcal{C}_+} \exp\left[-\frac{2}{3}z(4u^3 - 3u)\right] du.$$

In our general picture of exponential integrals, $f = \frac{2}{3}(4u^3 - 3u)$ and $v = du$.

Since $\text{Ai}(x)$ is a solution of Airy equation, $I(z)$ is a solution of

$$(6.1) \quad I''(z) - \frac{4}{9}I(z) + \frac{I'(z)}{z} - \frac{1}{9} \frac{I(z)}{z^2} = 0.$$

From the general theory of linear ODE, (6.8) has a two-parameter formal integral solution $\tilde{I}(z) \in z^{-1/2}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$

$$(6.2) \quad \tilde{I}(z) = U_1 e^{-2/3z} z^{-1/2} \tilde{W}_+(z) + U_2 e^{2/3z} z^{-1/2} \tilde{W}_-(z)$$

where $\tilde{W}_+(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{+,n} z^{-n}$ and $\tilde{W}_-(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-,n} z^{-n}$ are the unique formal solutions of

$$(6.3) \quad \tilde{W}_+'' - \frac{4}{3} \tilde{W}_+' + \frac{5}{36} \frac{\tilde{W}_+}{z^2} = 0$$

$$(6.4) \quad \tilde{W}_-'' + \frac{4}{3} \tilde{W}_-'' + \frac{5}{36} \frac{\tilde{W}_-}{z^2} = 0$$

Notice that $I_+(z)$ is asymptotic to $U_1 e^{-2/3z} z^{-1/2} \tilde{W}_+(z)$ as $\Re z \rightarrow +\infty$. Recursively, we determine $a_{\pm,n}$: set $a_{\pm,0} = 1$, then

$$a_{\pm,n+1} = \mp \frac{3}{4} \left(n + \frac{1}{6}\right) \left(n + \frac{5}{6}\right) \frac{1}{n+1} a_{\pm,n} \quad n \geq 0$$

hence $a_{\pm,n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\mp 1)^n \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{6}) \Gamma(n + \frac{5}{6}) \frac{1}{n!}$ for $n \geq 1$ and they are factorially divergent. Thus, $\tilde{W}_{\pm}(z)$ are Gevrey-1 series.

We now compute the Borel transform $\tilde{w}_{\pm}(\zeta) = \mathcal{B}\tilde{W}_{\pm}$: it can be done in different ways, on one hand we can compute the Borel transform of \tilde{W}_{\pm} via their explicit formal expansion, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_{\pm}(\zeta) &= \delta + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_{\pm,n} \frac{\zeta^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \delta + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\mp \frac{3}{4}\right)^{n+1} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{7}{6}) \Gamma(n + \frac{11}{6})}{(n+1)!} \frac{\zeta^n}{n!} \\ &= \delta \mp \frac{5}{48} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; \mp \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can look at the Borel transform of the ODEs (6.11)(6.12) and solve them. Thanks to properties of \mathcal{B} , $\tilde{w}_{\pm}(\zeta)$ are solution of

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^2 \tilde{w}_\pm(\zeta) \pm \frac{4}{3} \zeta \tilde{w}_\pm + \frac{5}{36} \zeta * \tilde{w}_\pm &= 0 \\ \zeta^2 \tilde{w}_\pm(\zeta) \pm \frac{4}{3} \zeta \tilde{w}_\pm + \frac{5}{36} \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \zeta') \tilde{w}_\pm(\zeta') d\zeta' &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating twice, we get the following equations

$$(6.5) \quad \zeta \left(\pm \frac{4}{3} + \zeta \right) \tilde{w}_\pm'' + \left(\pm \frac{8}{3} + 4\zeta \right) \tilde{w}_\pm' + \frac{77}{36} \tilde{w}_\pm = 0$$

which after the change of coordinates $u = \mp \frac{3}{4} \zeta$ they are hypergeometric equations with parameters $(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2)$. However, being a solution of (6.5) is not equivalent to being a solution of the integral equation; it holds only up to constants. In our example, the constant is given by δ , hence we conclude

$$(6.6) \quad \hat{w}_+(\zeta) = \delta + c_1 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}, 2, -\frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)$$

$$(6.7) \quad \hat{w}_-(\zeta) = \delta + c_2 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}, 2, \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)$$

for some constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

In Theorem (5.1) we prove that the Borel transform of $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha$ can be computed by the fractional derivative formula (4). This method allows to uniquely determined the constants U_1, U_2 that appear in the formal integral solution $\tilde{I}(z)$. Indeed, \tilde{I} is a solution of an homogeneous linear ODE and $\tilde{I}_+(z) = U_1 e^{-2/3z} z^{-1/2} \tilde{W}_+(z)$ for a particular choice of U_1 .

Lemma 6.1. The following formula holds true

$$\partial_\zeta^{3/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}_+(\zeta)} v = -i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \hat{w}_+(\zeta - 2/3),$$

for any $\zeta \in [2/3, +\infty)$.

Proof. In our general picture of exponential integrals, for $I_+(z)$ the thimble \mathcal{C}_+ is parametrized as the path $\theta \mapsto \cosh(\theta - \frac{2}{3}\pi i)$, $f = \frac{2}{3}(4u^3 - 3u)$ and $v = du$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{C}_+(\zeta)} v &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_+(\zeta)} du \\ &= u \Big|_{\text{start } \mathcal{C}_+(\zeta)}^{\text{end } \mathcal{C}_+(\zeta)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $4u^3 - 3u$ is the third Chebyshev polynomial, and \cosh is 2π -periodic in the imaginary direction, the start and end points of $\mathcal{C}_+(\zeta)$ are characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \cosh(\mp\theta - \tfrac{2}{3}\pi i) \\ \zeta &= \tfrac{2}{3} \cosh(3\theta), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{C}_+(\zeta)} \nu &= \cosh(\theta - \tfrac{2}{3}\pi i) - \cosh(-\theta - \tfrac{2}{3}\pi i) \\ &= [\cosh(\theta) \cosh(-\tfrac{2}{3}\pi i) + \sinh(\theta) \sinh(-\tfrac{2}{3}\pi i)] \\ &\quad - [\cosh(-\theta) \cosh(-\tfrac{2}{3}\pi i) + \sinh(-\theta) \sinh(-\tfrac{2}{3}\pi i)] \\ &= 2 \sinh(\theta) \sinh(-\tfrac{2}{3}\pi i) \\ &= -i\sqrt{3} \sinh(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

with $\tfrac{3}{2}\zeta = \cosh(3\theta)$. Let $\xi = \tfrac{1}{2}(1 - \tfrac{3}{2}\zeta)$, and notice that $\xi = -\sinh(\tfrac{3}{2}\theta)^2$ at the start and end points. The identity **[DLMF 15.4.16]**

$$\sinh(\theta) = \tfrac{2}{3} \sinh(\tfrac{3}{2}\theta) {}_2F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{6}, \tfrac{5}{6}; \tfrac{3}{2}; -\sinh(\tfrac{3}{2}\theta)^2\right)$$

then shows us that

$$\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_+(\zeta)} \nu = \tfrac{2}{3} (-\xi)^{1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{6}, \tfrac{5}{6}; \tfrac{3}{2}; \xi\right).$$

Now we can evaluate the half-integral of $\int_{\mathcal{C}_+} \nu$ using Bateman's fractional integral formula for hypergeometric functions **[Koornwinder, §4.1]**.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\zeta}^{-1/2} \Big|_{\text{from } 2/3} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_+(\zeta)} \nu \right) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{2/3}^{\zeta} (\zeta - \zeta')^{-1/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_+(\zeta')} \nu \right) d\zeta' \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\xi} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (\xi' - \xi)^{-1/2} \left[-i\sqrt{3} \tfrac{2}{3} (-\xi)^{1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{6}, \tfrac{5}{6}; \tfrac{3}{2}; \xi\right) \right] \left(-\tfrac{4}{3} d\xi' \right) \\ &= -i \frac{4}{3} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(2)} (-\xi) {}_2F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{6}, \tfrac{5}{6}; 2; \xi\right) \\ &= i \tfrac{2}{3} \sqrt{\pi} \xi {}_2F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{6}, \tfrac{5}{6}; 2; \xi\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we differentiate twice using [DLMF 15.5.4] and [DLMF 15.5.1].

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\zeta}^{3/2} \left(\int_{C_+(\zeta)} \nu \right) &= \left(-\frac{3}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \right)^2 \left[i \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\pi} \zeta {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; 2; \zeta\right) \right] \\
&= i \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{8} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \right)^2 \left[\zeta {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; 2; \zeta\right) \right] \\
&= i \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{8} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; 1; \zeta\right) \right] \\
&= i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8} \frac{5}{12} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; \zeta\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Analogously, we can compute the correct constants for $\hat{w}_-(\zeta)$:

Lemma 6.2. For any $\zeta \in (-\infty, -2/3]$

$$\partial_{\zeta}^{3/2} \left(\int_{C_-(\zeta)} \nu \right) = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \hat{w}_-(\zeta + 2/3).$$

Proof. Let C_- is the path $\theta \mapsto -\cosh(\theta - \frac{2}{3}\pi i)$, when $x \in [0, \infty)$

$$L_-(z) = \int_{C_-} \exp\left[-\frac{2}{3}z(4u^3 - 3u)\right] du.$$

In our general picture of exponential integrals, $f = \frac{2}{3}(4u^3 - 3u)$ and $\nu = du$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{C_-(\zeta)} \nu &= \int_{C_-(\zeta)} du \\
&= u \Big|_{\text{start } C_-(\zeta)}^{\text{end } C_-(\zeta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The start and end points of $C_-(\zeta)$ are characterized by

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= -\cosh(\mp\theta - \frac{2}{3}\pi i) \\
\zeta &= -\frac{2}{3} \cosh(3\theta),
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{C_-(\zeta)} \nu &= -\cosh(\theta - \frac{2}{3}\pi i) + \cosh(-\theta - \frac{2}{3}\pi i) \\
&= -\left[\cosh(\theta) \cosh(-\frac{2}{3}\pi i) + \sinh(\theta) \sinh(-\frac{2}{3}\pi i) \right] \\
&\quad + \left[\cosh(-\theta) \cosh(-\frac{2}{3}\pi i) + \sinh(-\theta) \sinh(-\frac{2}{3}\pi i) \right] \\
&= 2 \sinh(\theta) \sinh(\frac{2}{3}\pi i) \\
&= i\sqrt{3} \sinh(\theta)
\end{aligned}$$

with $\frac{3}{2}\zeta = -\cosh(3\theta)$. Let $\xi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{3}{2}\zeta)$, and notice that $\xi = -\sinh(\frac{3}{2}\theta)^2$ at the start and end points. The identity [DLMF 15.4.16]

$$\sinh(\theta) = \frac{2}{3} \sinh(\frac{3}{2}\theta) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{3}{2}; -\sinh(\frac{3}{2}\theta)^2\right)$$

then shows us that

$$-\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \int_{C_-(\zeta)} \nu = \frac{2}{3} (-\xi)^{1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{3}{2}; \xi\right).$$

Now we can evaluate the half-integral of $\int_{C_-} \nu$ using Bateman's fractional integral formula for hypergeometric functions [Koornwinder, §4.1].

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\zeta}^{-1/2} \left(\int_{C_-(\zeta)} \nu \right) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{-2/3}^{\zeta} (\zeta - \zeta')^{-1/2} \left(\int_{C_-(\zeta')} \nu \right) d\zeta' \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\xi} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (\xi - \xi')^{-1/2} \left[i \sqrt{3} \frac{2}{3} (-\xi')^{1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{3}{2}; \xi'\right) \right] \left(\frac{4}{3} d\xi' \right) \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(2)} (-\xi) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; 2; \xi\right) \\ &= -\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\pi} \xi {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; 2; \xi\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we differentiate twice using [DLMF 15.5.4] and [DLMF 15.5.1].

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\zeta}^{3/2} \left(\int_{C_+(\zeta)} \nu \right) &= \left(\frac{3}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right)^2 \left[-\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\pi} \xi {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; 2; \xi\right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{8} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right)^2 \left[\xi {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; 2; \xi\right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{8} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; 1; \xi\right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8} \frac{5}{12} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; \xi\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

We can now compute the Stokes factors from the analytic continuation of $\hat{w}_{\pm}(\zeta)$ at the branch cut: it is a well-known fact that Gauss hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; x)$ have branch cut singularity at $x = 1$ and their analytic continuation is explicitly computed as in [?] 15.2.3. In our example, $\hat{w}_{\pm}(\zeta)$ have singularities respectively at $\zeta = \mp \frac{2}{3}$, and their analytic continuation is respectively: for $\zeta < -\frac{4}{3}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(-i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\right) [\hat{w}_+(\zeta + i0) - \hat{w}_+(\zeta - i0)] &= i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{5}{48} \left(-\frac{36}{5} i \left(-\frac{3}{4}\zeta - 1\right)^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(5/6)_n (1/6)_n}{\Gamma(n)n!} \left(1 + \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^n \right) \\
&= i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{5}{48} \left(\frac{36}{5} i \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(5/6)_n (1/6)_n}{\Gamma(n)n!} \left(1 + \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^{n-1} \right) \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{5}{48} \frac{36}{5} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(5/6)_{n+1} (1/6)_{n+1}}{\Gamma(n+1)(n+1)!} \left(1 + \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^n \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{5}{48} \frac{36}{5} \frac{\Gamma(11/6)\Gamma(7/6)}{\Gamma(1/6)\Gamma(5/6)} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(11/6)_n (7/6)_n}{n! \Gamma(n+2)} \left(1 + \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^n \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{5}{48} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(11/6)_n (7/6)_n}{\Gamma(n+2)n!} \left(1 + \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^n \\
&= +\mathbf{1} \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \right) \hat{w}_-\left(\zeta + \frac{4}{3}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, the analytic continuation of $\hat{w}_-(\zeta)$ as $\zeta \in [\frac{4}{3}, +\infty)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\right) [\hat{w}_-(\zeta + i0) - \hat{w}_-(\zeta - i0)] &= -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{5}{48} \left(-\frac{36}{5} i \left(\frac{3}{4}\zeta - 1\right)^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(5/6)_n (1/6)_n}{\Gamma(n)n!} \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^n \right) \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{5}{48} \left(\frac{36}{5} i \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(5/6)_n (1/6)_n}{\Gamma(n)n!} \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^{n-1} \right) \\
&= -i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{5}{48} \frac{36}{5} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(5/6)_{n+1} (1/6)_{n+1}}{\Gamma(n+1)(n+1)!} \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^n \\
&= -i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{5}{48} \frac{36}{5} \frac{\Gamma(11/6)\Gamma(7/6)}{\Gamma(1/6)\Gamma(5/6)} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(11/6)_n (7/6)_n}{n! \Gamma(n+2)} \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^n \\
&= -i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{5}{48} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(11/6)_n (7/6)_n}{\Gamma(n+2)n!} \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^n \\
&= -\mathbf{1} \left(-i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \right) \hat{w}_+\left(\zeta - \frac{4}{3}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

These relations manifest the resurgence property of \tilde{I}_\pm : **Add figure**

Proposition 6.3. The functions $\hat{w}_\pm(\zeta)$ are simple resurgent functions with Stokes constants respectively ± 1 .

Proof. □

Remark 6.4. The Stokes constants computed form the explicit expression of the Borel transform \hat{w}_\pm agree with the prediction of singularity theory. Indeed the Airy equation has an irregular singularity at ∞ , and it corresponds to a *simple singularity* $f: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. **Trova corrispondenza singolarità e equazione differenziale.**

By definition,

$$\text{Ai}(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty e^{-i\frac{\pi}{3}}}^{\infty e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}} e^{t^3/3 - xt} dt.$$

Define $I(z)$ by the change of coordinates $z = x^{3/2}$, $I(z) = -2\pi i z^{-1/3} \text{Ai}(x)$. This new function satisfies the ODE⁵

$$(6.8) \quad I''(z) - \frac{4}{9} I(z) + \frac{I'(z)}{z} - \frac{1}{9} \frac{I(z)}{z^2} = 0.$$

A formal solution of (6.8) can be computed by making the following ansatz

$$(6.9) \quad \tilde{I}(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^2} U^k e^{-\lambda \cdot k z} z^{-\tau \cdot k} w_k(z)$$

with $U^{(k_1, k_2)} = U_1^{k_1} U_2^{k_2}$ and $U_1, U_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ are constant parameter, $\lambda = (\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{2}{3})$, $\tau = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, and $\tilde{w}_k(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$. In addition, we can check that the only non zero $\tilde{w}_k(z)$ occurs at $k = (1, 0)$ and $k = (0, 1)$, therefore

$$(6.10) \quad \tilde{I}(z) = U_1 e^{-2/3 z} z^{-1/2} \tilde{w}_+(z) + U_2 e^{2/3 z} z^{-1/2} \tilde{w}_-(z)$$

where from now on we denote $\tilde{w}_+(z) = \tilde{w}_{(1,0)}(z)$ and $\tilde{w}_-(z) = \tilde{w}_{(0,1)}(z)$. In particular, $\tilde{w}_+(z)$ and $\tilde{w}_-(z)$ are formal solutions of

$$(6.11) \quad \tilde{w}_+'' - \frac{4}{3} \tilde{w}_+' + \frac{5}{36} \frac{\tilde{w}_+}{z^2} = 0$$

$$(6.12) \quad \tilde{w}_-'' + \frac{4}{3} \tilde{w}_-'' + \frac{5}{36} \frac{\tilde{w}_-}{z^2} = 0$$

Taking the Borel transform of (6.11), (6.12) we get

$$\zeta^2 \hat{w}_+(\zeta) + \frac{4}{3} \zeta \hat{w}_+ + \frac{5}{36} \zeta * \hat{w}_+ = 0$$

$$\zeta^2 \hat{w}_+(\zeta) + \frac{4}{3} \zeta \hat{w}_+ + \frac{5}{36} \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \zeta') \hat{w}_+(\zeta') d\zeta' = 0$$

$$\zeta^2 \hat{w}_-(\zeta) - \frac{4}{3} \zeta \hat{w}_- + \frac{5}{36} \zeta * \hat{w}_- = 0$$

$$\zeta^2 \hat{w}_-(\zeta) - \frac{4}{3} \zeta \hat{w}_- + \frac{5}{36} \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \zeta') \hat{w}_-(\zeta') d\zeta' = 0$$

⁵ $\text{Ai}(x)$ solves the Airy equation $y'' = x y$.

and taking derivatives we get

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta\left(\frac{4}{3}+\zeta\right)\hat{w}_+''+\left(\frac{8}{3}+4\zeta\right)\hat{w}_+'+\frac{77}{36}\hat{w}_+&=0 \\ \frac{4}{3}\zeta\left(1+\frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)\hat{w}_+''+\left(\frac{8}{3}+4\zeta\right)\hat{w}_+'+\frac{77}{36}\hat{w}_+&=0 \\ u(1-u)\hat{w}_+''(u)+(2-4u)\hat{w}_+'(u)-\frac{77}{36}\hat{w}_+(u)&=0 \quad u=-\frac{3}{4}\zeta\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta\left(-\frac{4}{3}+\zeta\right)\hat{w}_-''+\left(-\frac{8}{3}+4\zeta\right)\hat{w}_-'+\frac{77}{36}\hat{w}_-&=0 \\ \frac{4}{3}\zeta\left(-1+\frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)\hat{w}_-''+\left(-\frac{8}{3}+4\zeta\right)\hat{w}_-'+\frac{77}{36}\hat{w}_-&=0 \\ u(1-u)\hat{w}_-''(u)+(2-4u)\hat{w}_-'(u)-\frac{77}{36}\hat{w}_-(u)&=0 \quad u=\frac{3}{4}\zeta\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the latter equations are hypergeometric, hence a solution is given by

$$(6.13) \quad \hat{w}_+(\zeta) = c_1 {}_1F_2\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}, 2, -\frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)$$

$$(6.14) \quad \hat{w}_-(\zeta) = c_2 {}_1F_2\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}, 2, \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)$$

for some constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ (see DLMF 15.10.2). In addition $\hat{w}_\pm(\zeta)$ have a log singularity respectively at $\zeta = \mp \frac{4}{3}$, therefore they are $\{\mp \frac{4}{3}\}$ -resurgent functions.⁶

6.0.1. Computing the Borel transform of \tilde{I}_\pm using Ecalle's formalism of singularities. We prove that the Borel transform of $\tilde{I}_\pm(z)$ can be computed in terms of $1/f'(f^{-1}(\zeta))$. This is a consequence of Ecalle's formalism of singularities, as well as of properties of hypergeometric functions.

As in the proof of Theorem 5.1 (iii), via the change of coordinates $\zeta = f(t)$, we turn the thimble integrals $I_\pm(z)$ into an integral on an Hankel contour through the critical values $\pm \frac{2}{3}$

$$(6.15) \quad I_\pm(z) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_\pm} e^{-zf} v = \int_{\mathcal{H}_\pm} e^{-\zeta z} \frac{v}{df} \Big|_{f^{-1}(\zeta)} d\zeta$$

where \mathcal{H}_\pm is represented in figure **add figure for Hankel contours**. In Ecalle's formalism of singularities (see **add references**), the right hand side of (6.15) is a generalized Laplace transform for *majors*, namely

$$(6.16) \quad \frac{v}{df} \Big|_{f^{-1}(\zeta)} =: \check{\phi}(\zeta)$$

⁶The solution we find are equal to the ones in DLMF §9.7. They also agree with slide 10 of Maxim's talk for ERC and with the series (6.121) in Sauzin's book. However they do not agree with (2.16) in Mariño's Diablerets.

and it is the same major for both $\overset{\nabla}{\phi}_+(\zeta)$ and $\overset{\nabla}{\phi}_-(\zeta)$ even if $\overset{\nabla}{\phi}_\pm(\zeta)$ are distinct.

Lemma 6.5. The following identity holds true

$$(6.17) \quad \overset{\nabla}{\phi}(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{9}{4}\zeta^2\right)$$

Proof. Recall $f(t) = \frac{2}{3}(4u^3 - 3u)$ and $v = dt$, thus

$$\overset{\nabla}{\phi}(\zeta) = \frac{v}{df} \Big|_{f^{-1}(\zeta)} = \frac{dt}{f'(t)dt} \Big|_{f^{-1}(\zeta)} = \frac{1}{f'(t)} \Big|_{f^{-1}(\zeta)}$$

From the special case of hypergeometric function (see 15.4.14 DLMF) we have the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{9}{4}\zeta^2\right) &= \frac{\cos(y)}{\cos(3y)} & 3y &= \arcsin\left(\frac{3}{2}\zeta\right) \\ &= \frac{\cos(y)}{\cos(2y)\cos(y) - \sin(2y)\sin(y)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\cos(2y) - 2\sin^2(y)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - 4\sin^2(y)} & \zeta &= \frac{2}{3}(3\sin(y) - 4\sin^3(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $u = -\sin(y)$, we have $\zeta = \frac{2}{3}(4u^3 - 3u) = f(u)$ and

$${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{9}{4}\zeta^2\right) = \frac{1}{1 - 4u^2} = -\frac{2}{f'(u)} = -2\overset{\nabla}{\phi}(\zeta)$$

□

We compute the singularities $\overset{\nabla}{\phi}_\pm(\zeta)$: notice that $\overset{\nabla}{\phi}(\zeta)$ can be splitted in two hypergeometric functions

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\nabla}{\phi}(\zeta) &= -\frac{1}{2} {}_2F_2\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{9}{4}\zeta^2\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(5/6)\Gamma(7/6)}{2\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(3/2)} \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) + {}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{6} \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) + {}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and the two hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)$ are respectively singular at $\mp \frac{2}{3}$ and holomorphic at $\pm \frac{2}{3}$. Therefore, we can compute the minors of $\overset{\nabla}{\phi}_\pm$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\phi}_+(\zeta) &:= \check{\phi}\left(\zeta - \frac{2}{3}\right) - \check{\phi}\left(e^{-2\pi i}\left(\zeta - \frac{2}{3}\right)\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{6} \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; 1 - \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) + {}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) \right] + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6} \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; (1 - \frac{3}{4}\zeta)e^{-2\pi i}\right) + {}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{3}{4}\zeta e^{-2\pi i}\right) \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{6} \frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(2/3)\Gamma(4/3)} \left(-\frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i \left(-\frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) \\
&= -\zeta^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\phi}_-(\zeta) &:= \check{\phi}\left(\zeta + \frac{2}{3}\right) - \check{\phi}\left(e^{-2\pi i}\left(\zeta + \frac{2}{3}\right)\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{6} \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) + {}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; 1 + \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) \right] + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6} \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{3}{4}\zeta e^{-2\pi i}\right) + {}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; (1 + \frac{3}{4}\zeta)e^{-2\pi i}\right) \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{6} \frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(2/3)\Gamma(4/3)} \left(\frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i \left(\frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) \\
&= -i \zeta^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{3}{4}\zeta\right)
\end{aligned}$$

These results agree with the one computed by the contour argument in airy-resurgence.

6.1. Comparison with other Airy examples.

6.1.1. *Different Borel transform convention.* In physics, sometimes it happens that authors find more convenient a different definition of the Borel transform which does not involve the *delta* element for the unit: they define $\mathcal{B}_{phys}: \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\}$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{phys}(z^{-n}) := \frac{\zeta^n}{n!}$. It is also commun to consider formal power series in small parameters, like $\tilde{\Phi}(\hbar) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \hbar^n$ where $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. Then the Borel transform of $\tilde{\Phi}$ is defined as $\tilde{\phi}(\zeta) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{\zeta^n}{n!}$. In [?], the author studies resurgent properties of the Airy functions: his starting point are the formal solutions of Airy differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Phi}_{Ai}(x) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} x^{-1/4} e^{-\frac{2}{3}x^{3/2}} \tilde{W}_1(x^{-3/2}) \\
\tilde{\Phi}_{Bi}(x) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} x^{-1/4} e^{\frac{2}{3}x^{3/2}} \tilde{W}_2(x^{-3/2})
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{W}_{1,2}(\hbar) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\mp \frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{5}{6})\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{6})}{n!} \hbar^n$$

Notice that $\tilde{W}_{1,2}(\hbar)$ are proportional to $\tilde{W}_{\pm}(z)$ with $z = \hbar^{-1}$. However, their Borel transforms are two different hypergeometric functions:

$$\begin{aligned} w_{1,2}(\zeta) &:= \mathcal{B}_{phys}(\tilde{W}_{1,2})(\zeta) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\mp \frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{5}{6})\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{6})}{n!} \frac{\zeta^n}{n!} \\ &= {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; 1; \mp \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) \\ \mathcal{B}(\tilde{W}_{1,2})(\zeta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\delta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\mp \frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{5}{6})\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{6})}{n!} \frac{\zeta^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\delta + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\mp \frac{3}{4} \right)^{n+1} \frac{\Gamma(n+1 + \frac{5}{6})\Gamma(n+1 + \frac{1}{6})}{(n+1)!} \frac{\zeta^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\delta \mp \frac{3}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\mp \frac{3}{4} \right)^n \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{11}{6})\Gamma(n + \frac{7}{6})}{\Gamma(n+2)} \frac{\zeta^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\delta \mp \frac{5}{48} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; \mp \frac{3}{4}\zeta\right) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{W}_{1,2})(\zeta)$ with \hat{w}_{\pm} in (6.13)(6.14), they differ only by a constant which multiplies the δ .

6.1.2. Integral formula for hypergeometric functions. In [?] the author studies summability and resurgent properties of solutions of the Airy equation. He defines the formal series $\tilde{\Phi}_{\pm}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\mp \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{5}{6})\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{6})}{n!} z^{-n}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{Ai}(y) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} y^{-1/4} e^{-\frac{2}{3}y^{3/2}} \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{B}\tilde{\Phi}_{+}\left(\frac{2}{3}y^{3/2}\right) \\ \Phi_{Bi}(y) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} y^{-1/4} e^{\frac{2}{3}y^{3/2}} \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{B}\tilde{\Phi}_{-}\left(\frac{2}{3}y^{3/2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\tilde{W}_{1,2}(\frac{2}{3}\hbar) = \tilde{\Phi}_{\pm}(z)$ for $\hbar = z^{-1}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_{+}(\zeta) &= \mathcal{B}\tilde{W}_1\left(\frac{2}{3}\zeta\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\delta - \frac{5}{48} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; -\frac{\zeta}{2}\right) \\ \tilde{\phi}_{-}(\zeta) &= \mathcal{B}\tilde{W}_2\left(\frac{2}{3}\zeta\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\delta + \frac{5}{48} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; \frac{\zeta}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

which up to a constant factor for δ , they agree with our results.

However, the author adopts a different approach to compute $\tilde{\phi}_{\pm}(\zeta)$: he argues that $\Phi_{\text{Ai}}, \Phi_{\text{Bi}}$ are solutions of Airy equation if and only if

$$\tilde{\phi}_+(\zeta) = \delta + \frac{d}{d\zeta} \tilde{\chi}(\zeta) \quad \tilde{\phi}_-(\zeta) = \delta - \frac{d}{d\zeta} \tilde{\chi}(-\zeta)$$

where $\chi(\zeta) = \frac{2^{1/6}}{\Gamma(1/6)\Gamma(5/6)}(2\zeta + \zeta^2)^{-1/6} * \zeta^{-5/6}$. Function $\chi(\zeta)$ is an hypergeometric function:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\zeta) &= \frac{2^{1/6}}{\Gamma(1/6)\Gamma(5/6)}(2\zeta + \zeta^2)^{-1/6} * \zeta^{-5/6} \\ &= \frac{2^{1/6}}{\Gamma(1/6)\Gamma(5/6)} \int_0^\zeta (2\zeta' + \zeta'^2)^{-1/6} (\zeta - \zeta')^{-5/6} d\zeta' \\ &= \frac{2^{1/6}}{\Gamma(1/6)\Gamma(5/6)} \int_0^1 (\zeta t)^{-1/6} (2 + \zeta t)^{-1/6} (\zeta - \zeta t)^{-5/6} \zeta dt \\ &= \frac{2^{1/6}}{\Gamma(1/6)\Gamma(5/6)} \int_0^1 t^{-1/6} 2^{-1/6} (1 + \zeta t)^{-1/6} (1 - t)^{-5/6} d\zeta' \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/6)\Gamma(5/6)} \int_0^1 t^{-1/6} (1 + \zeta t)^{-1/6} (1 - t)^{-5/6} d\zeta' \\ &= {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; 1; -\frac{\zeta}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we use the Euler formula for hypergeometric functions (see (8.4)). Finally, we take the derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_+(\zeta) &= \delta - \frac{1}{2} \frac{5}{36} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; -\frac{\zeta}{2}\right) = \delta - \frac{2}{3} \frac{5}{48} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; -\frac{\zeta}{2}\right) \\ \tilde{\phi}_-(\zeta) &= \delta + \frac{1}{2} \frac{5}{36} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; \frac{\zeta}{2}\right) = \delta + \frac{2}{3} \frac{5}{48} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; \frac{\zeta}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The main advantage of writing Gauss hypergeometric functions as a convolution product relies on Ecalle's singularity theory. Indeed $(2\zeta + \zeta^2)^{-1/6}$ extends analytically to the universal cover of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -2\}$ and the convolution with $\zeta^{-5/6}$ does not change the set of singularities (see **Sauzin notes**). Therefore, from the expression of $\chi(\zeta)$ as a convolution product, the resurgent properties of $\tilde{\phi}_{\pm}(\zeta)$ are evident.

7. BESSEL 0

Let $X = \mathbb{C}^*$, $f(x) = x + \frac{1}{x}$ and $\nu = \frac{dx}{x}$, then the critical points of f are $x = \pm 1$ and

$$(7.1) \quad I(z) := \int_0^\infty e^{-zf(x)} \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Let $\pi: \tilde{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be the universal cover of \mathbb{C}^* , where $\pi(u) = e^u$, then on $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$, $I(z)$ turns into

$$I(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2z \cosh(u)} du = 2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2z \cosh(u)} du = 2K_0(2z) \quad |\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{2}$$

where $K_0(z)$ is the modified Bessel function (see definition 10.32.10 DLMF). In particular, since $K_0(z)$ solves

$$(7.2) \quad \frac{d^2}{dz^2} w(z) + \frac{1}{z} \frac{d}{dz} w(z) - w(z) = 0$$

and $K_0(z) \sim \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} e^{-z} z^{-1/2} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(1/2)_k (1/2)_k}{(-2)^k k!} z^{-k}$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ (see DLMF 10.40.2), then $I(z)$ is a solution of

$$(7.3) \quad \frac{d^2}{dz^2} I(z) + \frac{1}{z} \frac{d}{dz} I(z) - 4I(z) = 0.$$

The formal integral of (7.3) is given by a two parameter formal solution $\tilde{I}(z)$

$$(7.4) \quad \tilde{I}(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^2} U^{\mathbf{k}} e^{-\mathbf{k} \cdot \lambda z} z^{-\tau \cdot \mathbf{k}} \tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}(z)$$

where $\lambda = (2, -2)$, $\tau = (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$, $U^{\mathbf{k}} := U_1^{k_1} U_2^{k_2}$ with $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2)$ and $U_1, U_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ is a formal solution of

$$(7.5) \quad \tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}''(z) - 4(k_1 - k_2) \tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}'(z) + 4(1 - (k_1 - k_2)^2) \tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) + \frac{(k_1 + k_2 - 1)}{z} \tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}'(z) + \\ - 2(k_1 - k_2) \frac{(k_1 + k_2 - 1)}{z} \tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) + \frac{(k_1 + k_2)^2}{4z^2} \tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) = 0$$

The only non zero $\tilde{w}_{\mathbf{k}}(z)$ occurs for $\mathbf{k} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathbf{k} = (0, 1)$, hence

$$(7.6) \quad \tilde{I}(z) = U_1 e^{-2z} z^{-1/2} \tilde{w}_{(1,0)}(z) + U_2 e^{2z} z^{-1/2} \tilde{w}_{(0,1)}(z)$$

and we define

$$(7.7) \quad \tilde{I}_1(z) := e^{-2z} z^{-1/2} \tilde{w}_{(1,0)}(z)$$

$$(7.8) \quad \tilde{I}_{-1}(z) := e^{2z} z^{-1/2} \tilde{w}_{(0,1)}(z).$$

We set $\tilde{w}_{(1,0)} = \tilde{w}_+$ and $\tilde{w}_{(0,1)} = \tilde{w}_-$, then their Borel transforms are solutions respectively of the following equations

$$(7.9) \quad \zeta^2 \hat{w}_+(\zeta) + 4\zeta \hat{w}_+(\zeta) + \frac{1}{4} \zeta * \hat{w}_+(\zeta) = 0$$

$$(7.10) \quad \zeta^2 \hat{w}_-(\zeta) - 4\zeta \hat{w}_-(\zeta) + \frac{1}{4} \zeta * \hat{w}_-(\zeta) = 0$$

FIGURE 1. Integration path in the u -plane and in the Borel plane $\zeta = 2 \cosh(u)$.

taking twice derivative in ζ we get respectively for $\hat{w}_+(\zeta)$ and $\hat{w}_-(\zeta)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\zeta^2 + 4\zeta) \frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} \hat{w}_+ + 4(\zeta - 1) \frac{d}{d\zeta} \hat{w}_+ + \frac{9}{4} \hat{w}_+ = 0 \\
 (+) \quad & \xi(1 - \xi) \frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} \hat{w}_+ + (1 - 4\xi) \frac{d}{d\xi} \hat{w}_+ - \frac{9}{4} \hat{w}_+ = 0 \quad \xi = -\frac{\zeta}{4} \\
 & (\zeta^2 - 4\zeta) \frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} \hat{w}_- + 4(\zeta + 1) \frac{d}{d\zeta} \hat{w}_- + \frac{9}{4} \hat{w}_- = 0 \\
 (-) \quad & \xi(1 - \xi) \frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} \hat{w}_- + (1 - 4\xi) \frac{d}{d\xi} \hat{w}_- - \frac{9}{4} \hat{w}_- = 0 \quad \xi = \frac{\zeta}{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since equation (+), (−) are hypergeometric, the fundamental solutions are respectively (see DLMF 15.10.2)

$$(7.11) \quad \hat{w}_+(\zeta) = c_1 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; -\frac{\zeta}{4}\right)$$

$$(7.12) \quad \hat{w}_-(\zeta) = c_2 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; \frac{\zeta}{4}\right)$$

Now we show that the fractional derivative formula holds in this example: first we write a parametrization of the integration path in the Borel plane. Let $\mathcal{C}_p = \mathbb{R}$, $p \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$, be the contour of integration in $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ which is parametrized as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{C}_p : \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}} \\
 \theta &\rightarrow \theta + ip
 \end{aligned}$$

Then in the Borel plane \mathbb{C}_ζ , where $\zeta = 2 \cosh(u)$, the path \mathcal{C}_0 is parametrized as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{C}_0(\zeta) : \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\zeta \\
 \theta &\rightarrow 2 \cosh(\theta)
 \end{aligned}$$

Check Aaron notation

$$(7.13) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}_0(\zeta)} \pi^*(\nu) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_0(\zeta)} du = \left[u \right]_{\text{start}\mathcal{C}_0(\zeta)}^{\text{end}\mathcal{C}_0(\zeta)} = 2 \operatorname{arc} \cosh\left(\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)$$

We can now write $\int_{\mathcal{C}_0(\zeta)} \pi^*(\nu)$ as an hypergeometric function thanks to identity 14.4.4 [?]: set $\xi = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} (\cosh(\theta) - 1) = -\sinh^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sinh\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \sinh^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right) &= i \frac{\theta}{2} \\
(-\xi)^{1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; -\xi\right) &= i \frac{\theta}{2} \\
&= \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{arcosh}\left(\frac{\zeta}{2}\right) \\
&= \frac{i}{4} \int_{\mathcal{C}_0(\zeta)} \pi^*(\nu)
\end{aligned}$$

The 3/2-derivative of $\int_{\mathcal{C}_0(\zeta)} \pi^*(\nu)$ can be computed as follows: we compute the $-1/2$ -derivative and then we differentiate twice

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\zeta^{-1/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_0(\zeta)} \pi^*(\nu) \right) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_2^\zeta (\zeta - \zeta')^{-1/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_0(\zeta')} \pi^*(\nu) \right) d\zeta' \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\xi \frac{1}{2} (\xi - \xi')^{-1/2} (-4i) (-\xi')^{1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; -\xi'\right) 4d\xi' \\
&= -8i(i) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(2)} \xi {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, -\xi\right) \\
&= 4\sqrt{\pi} \xi {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, -\xi\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\zeta^{3/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_0(\zeta)} \pi^*(\nu) \right) &= \partial_\zeta^2 \left(4\sqrt{\pi} \xi {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, -\xi\right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{16} \partial_\xi^2 \left(4\sqrt{\pi} \xi {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, -\xi\right) \right) & \partial_\xi = 4\partial_\zeta \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \partial_\xi \left({}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1, -\xi\right) \right) & \text{DLMF15.5.4} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{16} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2, -\xi\right) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{16} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\zeta}{4}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, it can be verified for $\hat{w}_-(\zeta + 2)$ for $\zeta \in (-\infty, -2)$. The path \mathcal{C}_π is parametrized as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_\pi: \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\zeta \\
\theta &\rightarrow 2 \cosh(\theta - i\pi) = -2 \cosh(\theta)
\end{aligned}$$

$$(7.14) \quad \int_{C_\pi(\zeta)} \pi^*(\nu) = \int_{C_\pi(\zeta)} du = \left[u \right]_{\text{start } C_\pi(\zeta)}^{\text{end } C_\pi(\zeta)} = 2 \operatorname{arcosh} \left(-\frac{\zeta}{2} \right)$$

We can now write $\int_{C_\pi(\zeta)} \pi^*(\nu)$ as an hypergeometric function thanks to identity 15.4.4 [?]: set $\xi = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta + 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} (-\cosh(\theta) + 1) = \sinh^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \sinh^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right) &= i \frac{\theta}{2} \\ (\xi)^{1/2} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \xi \right) &= i \frac{\theta}{2} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{arcosh} \left(-\frac{\zeta}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{i}{4} \int_{C_\pi(\zeta)} \pi^*(\nu) \end{aligned}$$

The $3/2$ -derivative of $\int_{C_\pi(\zeta)} \pi^*(\nu)$ is computed in two steps: first we compute the $-1/2$ -derivative and then we differentiate twice

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\zeta^{-1/2} \left(\int_{C_\pi(\zeta)} \pi^*(\nu) \right) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{-2}^{\zeta} (\zeta - \zeta')^{-1/2} \left(\int_{C_\pi(\zeta')} \pi^*(\nu) \right) d\zeta' \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\xi} \frac{1}{2} (\xi - \xi')^{-1/2} (-4i) \xi'^{1/2} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \xi' \right) 4d\xi' \\ &= -8i \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(2)} \xi {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, \xi \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\zeta^{3/2} \left(\int_{C_0(\zeta)} \pi^*(\nu) \right) &= \partial_\zeta^2 \left(-8i \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(2)} \xi {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, \xi \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{16} \partial_\xi^2 \left(-8i \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(2)} \xi {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2, \xi \right) \right) & \partial_\xi = 4\partial_\zeta \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \Gamma \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) \partial_\xi \left({}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1, \xi \right) \right) & \text{DLMF15.5.4} \\ &= -\frac{i}{8} \Gamma \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2, \xi \right) \\ &= -\frac{i\sqrt{\pi}}{16} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\zeta}{4} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Once we have determined the right constants c_1, c_2 we can compute the Stokes constants. **First, we notice that taking the series expansion of \hat{w}_+ and \hat{w}_- at the**

critical point we get numerically that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{w}_+(\zeta-4) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \log(z) \hat{w}_-(z) + \phi_{\text{reg}} \\ \hat{w}_-(\zeta+4) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \log(z) \hat{w}_+(z) + \psi_{\text{reg}}\end{aligned}$$

and analytically (thanks to 15.2.3 DLMF)

Let us redefine $\hat{w}_+(\zeta) := -i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{16} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\zeta}{4}\right)$ and $\hat{w}_-(\zeta) := \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{16} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; \frac{\zeta}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
From equation 15.2.3 in [?]

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{w}_+(\zeta+i0) - \hat{w}_+(\zeta-i0) &= -\frac{i\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \left({}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; -\frac{\zeta}{4} + i0\right) - {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; -\frac{\zeta}{4} - i0\right) \right) \quad \zeta < -4 \\ &= -8i \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \right) \left(-\frac{\zeta}{4} - 1 \right)^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(1/2)_n (1/2)_n}{n! \Gamma(n)} \left(\frac{\zeta}{4} + 1 \right)^n \\ &= 8i \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \right) \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(1/2)_n (1/2)_n}{n! \Gamma(n)} \left(\frac{\zeta}{4} + 1 \right)^{n-1} \\ &= 8i \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \right) \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(1/2)_{n+1} (1/2)_{n+1}}{(n+1)! \Gamma(n+1)} \left(\frac{\zeta}{4} + 1 \right)^n \\ &= 2i \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \right) \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(3/2)_n (3/2)_n}{(n)! \Gamma(n+2)} \left(\frac{\zeta}{4} + 1 \right)^n \\ &= -2 \left(-\frac{i\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \right) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; \frac{\zeta}{4} + 1\right) \\ &= -2 \hat{w}_-(\zeta+2)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{w}_-(\zeta+i0) - \hat{w}_-(\zeta-i0) &= \left(-\frac{i\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \right) \left[{}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; \frac{\zeta}{4} + i0\right) - {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; \frac{\zeta}{4} - i0\right) \right] \quad \zeta > 4 \\ &= -8i \left(-\frac{i\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \right) \left(\frac{\zeta}{4} - 1 \right)^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(1/2)_n (1/2)_n}{n! \Gamma(n)} \left(\frac{\zeta}{4} - 1 \right)^n \\ &= 8i \left(-\frac{i\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \right) \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(1/2)_n (1/2)_n}{n! \Gamma(n)} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{4} \right)^{n-1} \\ &= 8i \left(-\frac{i\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \right) \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \frac{(1/2)_{n+1} (1/2)_{n+1}}{(n+1)! \Gamma(n+1)} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{4} \right)^n \\ &= 2i \left(-\frac{i\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \right) \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \frac{(3/2)_n (3/2)_n}{(n)! \Gamma(n+2)} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{4} \right)^n \\ &= +2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{16} \right) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; 1 - \frac{\zeta}{4}\right) \\ &= +2 \hat{w}_+(\zeta-2)\end{aligned}$$

These are evidence of the resurgent properties of $\tilde{I}_{\pm 1}(z)$. **With the correct normalization, the latter identities show that the Stokes constants can be computed via Alien calculus and they are equal to ± 2 .**

8. USEFUL IDENTITIES FOR GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

$$(8.1) \quad {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = e^{b\pi i} \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a-c+1)}{\Gamma(a+b-c+1)\Gamma(c-b)} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; 1-z) + \\ - e^{(a+b-c)\pi i} \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a-c+1)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(a-b+1)} |z|^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(a, a-c+1; a-b+1; \frac{1}{z}\right)$$

$$(8.2) \quad \int_0^x |y|^{a-\mu-1} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; y) |x-y|^{\mu-1} dy = \frac{\Gamma(\mu)\Gamma(a-\mu)}{\Gamma(a)} |x|^{a-1} {}_2F_1(a-\mu, b; c; x) \\ x \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, 1), \Re a > \Re \mu > 0$$

which can be rewritten as (arXiv:1504.08144, **formula 4.8**)

$$(8.3) \quad \int_{y>x} |y|^{-a} |x-y|^{\mu-1} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; y^{-1}) dy = \frac{\Gamma(\mu)\Gamma(a-\mu)}{\Gamma(a)} |x|^{-a+\mu} {}_2F_1(a-\mu, b; c; x^{-1}) \\ x \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty), \Re a > \Re \mu > 0$$

$$(8.4) \quad {}_2F_1(a, b; c; x) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-xt)^{-a} dt$$

9. RESURGENCE FOR DEGREE 3 POLYNOMIALS

Let f be a degree 3 polynomial, and t_1, t_2 its critical points (not necessarily distinguished):

(1) if $t_1 \neq t_2$, then

$$I(z) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_j} e^{-zf} dt$$

is a solution of

$$(9.1) \quad I'' + aI' + bI + c \frac{I'}{z} + \frac{d}{z} I + \frac{e}{z^2} I = 0$$

where a, b, c, d, e are determined in terms of f .

(2) if $t_1 = t_2$, then

$$I(z) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} e^{-zf} dt$$

is a solution of a first order ODE

$$(9.2) \quad I' + \left(a_4 - \frac{a_2^3}{27a_1^2} + \frac{1}{3z} \right) I = 0$$

Proof. Let $f(t) = a_1 t^3 + a_2 t^2 + a_3 t + a_4$ with $a_1 \neq 0$,

$$\int_{C_j} e^{-fz} dt = \int_{C_j + \frac{a_2}{3a_1}} e^{-(a_1 t^3 + p t + q)z} dt \quad t \rightarrow t - \frac{a_2}{3a_1}$$

where $p = a_3 - \frac{a_2^2}{3a_1}$ and $q = a_4 - \frac{a_2 a_3}{3a_1} + \frac{2a_2^3}{27a_1^2}$.

Case (1): if $p \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} I(z) &= \int t(3a_1 t^2 + p)z e^{-fz} = \int (3a_1 t^3 + p t)z e^{-fz} = \\ &= \int 2a_1 t^3 z e^{-fz} + \int (a_1 t^3 + p t + q)z e^{-fz} - qz I \\ &= 2z \int a_1 t^3 e^{-fz} - z I' - qz I \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2z \int a_1 t^3 e^{-fz} &= 2z^2 \int \frac{t^4}{4} a_1 (3a_1 t^2 + p) e^{-fz} = \frac{z^2}{2} \int (3a_1^2 t^6 + p a_1 t^4) e^{-fz} = \\ &= \frac{z^2}{2} \int (3a_1^2 t^6 + 6p a_1 t^4 + 3q^2 + 3p^2 t^2 + 6p q t + 6a_1 q t^3) e^{-fz} + \\ &+ \frac{z^2}{2} \int (p a_1 t^4 - 6p a_1 t^4) e^{-fz} - \frac{z^2}{2} \int (3q^2 + 3p^2 t^2 + 6p q t + 6a_1 q t^3) e^{-fz} \\ &= \frac{3z^2}{2} I'' + \frac{3z^2}{2} q^2 I + 3q z^2 I' - \frac{z^2}{2} p \int (3a_1 t^4 + p t^2) e^{-fz} - z^2 p \int (a_1 t^4 + p t^2) e^{-fz} \\ &= \frac{3z^2}{2} I'' + \frac{3z^2}{2} q^2 I + 3q z^2 I' - \frac{5}{3} z p \int t e^{-fz} - \frac{2}{3} z^2 p^2 \int t^2 e^{-fz} \end{aligned}$$

hence

(9.3)

$$I = -z I' - qz I + \frac{3z^2}{2} I'' + \frac{3z^2}{2} q^2 I + 3q z^2 I' - \frac{5}{3} z p \int t e^{-fz} - \frac{2}{3} z^2 p^2 \int t^2 e^{-fz}$$

(9.4)

$$\frac{3z^2}{2} \left(I'' + q^2 I + 2q I' - \frac{2}{3z} I' - \frac{2q}{3z} I - \frac{2}{3z^2} I - \frac{10}{9z} p \int t e^{-fz} dt - \frac{4}{9} p^2 \int t^2 e^{-fz} \right) = 0$$

Notice that

$$\frac{4}{9} p^2 \int t^2 e^{-fz} = \frac{4}{27a_1} p^2 \int (3a_1 t^2 + p) e^{-fz} - \frac{4}{27a_1} p^3 I = -\frac{4}{27a_1} p^3 I$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{10}{9z}p \int t e^{-fz} dt &= \frac{5}{9z} \int p t e^{-fz} - \frac{5}{3z} \int (p t + q) e^{-fz} + \frac{5}{3z} q I = \\
\frac{5}{9z} \int p t e^{-fz} - \frac{5}{3z} \int (p t + q + a_1 t^3) e^{-fz} + \frac{5}{3z} \int a_1 t^3 e^{-fz} + \frac{5}{3z} q I &= \\
\frac{5}{9z} \int t(3a_1 t^2 + p) e^{-fz} + \frac{5}{3z} I' + \frac{5}{3z} q I &= \\
= \frac{5}{9z^2} I + \frac{5}{3z} I' + \frac{5}{3z} q I
\end{aligned}$$

therefore, collecting all the contributions together we find

$$\begin{aligned}
I'' + q^2 I + 2q I' - \frac{2}{3z} I' - \frac{2q}{3z} I - \frac{2}{3z^2} I + \frac{5}{9z^2} I + \frac{5}{3z} I' + \frac{5}{3z} q I + \frac{4}{27a_1} p^3 I &= 0 \\
I'' + 2q I' + \left(\frac{4p^3}{27a_1} + q^2 \right) I + \frac{1}{z} I' + \frac{q}{z} I - \frac{1}{9z^2} I &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Case (2): if $p = 0$, then integrating by part we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I(z) &= \int_{C_1 + \frac{a_2}{3a_1}} e^{-(a_1 t^3 + q)z} dt \\
&= \left[t e^{-(a_1 t^3 + q)z} \right]_{C_1 + \frac{a_2}{3a_1}} + \int_{C_1 + \frac{a_2}{3a_1}} 3a_1 t^3 z e^{-(a_1 t^3 + q)z} dt \\
&= 3z \int_{C_1 + \frac{a_2}{3a_1}} (a_1 t^3 + q) e^{-(a_1 t^3 + q)z} dt - 3qz \int_{C_1 + \frac{a_2}{3a_1}} e^{-(a_1 t^3 + q)z} dt \\
&= -3z I'(z) - 3qz I(z)
\end{aligned}$$

□

We would like to verify that for every cubic function f , the Borel transform of the exponential integral can be expressed by an hypergeometric function and hence deduce its resurgent properties in full generality. If $p \neq 0$, $I(z)$ is a solution of

$$(9.5) \quad I'' + 2q I' + \left(\frac{4p^3}{27a_1} + q^2 \right) I + \frac{1}{z} I' + \frac{q}{z} I - \frac{1}{9z^2} I = 0$$

hence a formal solution as $z \rightarrow \infty$ is given (up to constants $U_1, U_2 \in \mathbb{C}$) by

$$(9.6) \quad \tilde{I}_+(z) := U_1 e^{-(q + \sqrt{\frac{4p^3}{27a_1}})z} z^{1/2} \tilde{w}_+(z)$$

$$(9.7) \quad \tilde{I}_-(z) := U_2 e^{-(q - \sqrt{\frac{4p^3}{27a_1}})z} z^{1/2} \tilde{w}_-(z)$$

where $\tilde{w}_{\pm}(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ is the formal solution of

$$(9.8) \quad \tilde{w}_{\pm}'' \mp 2\sqrt{\frac{4p^3}{27a_1}} \tilde{w}_{\pm}' + \frac{5}{36} \frac{\tilde{w}_{\pm}}{z^2} = 0$$

with $\tilde{w}_{\pm}(z) = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{\pm, k} z^{-k}$.

We can now compute the Borel transform of (9.8): for $\tilde{w}_{+}(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^2 \hat{w} - 2\sqrt{\frac{4p^3}{27a_1}} \zeta \hat{w} + \frac{5}{36} \int_0^{\zeta} (\zeta - \zeta') \hat{w}(\zeta') d\zeta' &= 0 \\ \zeta^2 \hat{w}' + 2\zeta \hat{w} + 2\sqrt{\frac{4p^3}{27a_1}} \hat{w} + 2\sqrt{\frac{4p^3}{27a_1}} \zeta \hat{w}' + \frac{5}{36} \int_0^{\zeta} \hat{w}(\zeta') &= 0 \\ \left(\zeta^2 + 2\sqrt{\frac{4p^3}{27a_1}} \zeta \right) \hat{w}'' + 4 \left(\zeta + \sqrt{\frac{4p^3}{27a_1}} \right) \hat{w}' + \frac{77}{36} \hat{w} &= 0 \\ t(1-t) \hat{w}'' + (2-4t) \hat{w}' - \frac{77}{36} \hat{w} &= 0 \quad \zeta = -2t \sqrt{\frac{4p^3}{27a_1}} \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\hat{w}_{+}(\zeta) = c_1 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; -\frac{3}{4p} \sqrt{\frac{3a_1}{p}} \zeta\right)$$

and analogously,

$$\hat{w}_{-}(\zeta) = c_2 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; \frac{3}{4p} \sqrt{\frac{3a_1}{p}} \zeta\right).$$

Notice that $\hat{w}_{\pm}(\zeta)$ has a branch cut singularity respectively at $\zeta = \zeta_{\pm} := \pm \sqrt{\frac{16p^3}{27a_1}}$, and thanks to the well known formulas for the analytic continuation of hypergeometric functions (see 15.2.3 DLMF), if we assume the branch cut is from ζ_{\pm} to $+\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{w}_{-}(\zeta + i0) - \hat{w}_{-}(\zeta - i0) &= c_2 \frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(7/6)\Gamma(11/6)} \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{+}} - 1\right)^{-1} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(5/6)_k (1/6)_k}{\Gamma(k)k!} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{+}}\right)^k \quad \zeta \in (\zeta_{+}, +\infty) \\ &= -c_2 \frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(7/6)\Gamma(11/6)} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(5/6)_k (1/6)_k}{\Gamma(k)k!} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{+}}\right)^{k-1} \\ &= -i c_2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(7/6)\Gamma(11/6)} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{7}{6} + k) \Gamma(\frac{11}{6} + k)}{\Gamma(2+k)\Gamma(k+1)} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{+}}\right)^k \\ &= -i c_2 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; 1 - \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{+}}\right) \\ &= -i \frac{c_2}{c_1} \hat{w}_{+}(\zeta - \zeta_{+}) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{w}_+(\zeta + i0) - \hat{w}_+(\zeta - i0) &= c_1 \frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(7/6)\Gamma(11/6)} \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_-} - 1 \right)^{-1} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(5/6)_k (1/6)_k}{\Gamma(k)k!} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_-} \right)^k \quad \zeta \in (-\infty, \zeta_-) \\
&= -c_1 \frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(7/6)\Gamma(11/6)} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(5/6)_k (1/6)_k}{\Gamma(k)k!} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_-} \right)^{k-1} \\
&= -i c_1 \frac{1}{\Gamma(7/6)\Gamma(11/6)} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{7}{6} + k) \Gamma(\frac{11}{6} + k)}{\Gamma(2 + k) \Gamma(k + 1)} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_-} \right)^k \\
&= -i c_1 {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}; 2; 1 - \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_-} \right) \\
&= -i \frac{c_1}{c_2} \hat{w}_-(\zeta - \zeta_-)
\end{aligned}$$

therefore we see that the Stokes factors are given by $\pm i$ (as for Airy).

I think it will be nice to add the geometric interpretation of Maxim in term of Lefschetz thimbles

The situation is quite different if we consider the degenerate case, where we have only a singular point: indeed there is a one parameter family of solutions of

$$(9.9) \quad I'(z) + \left(\frac{1}{3z} + q \right) I(z) = 0$$

namely for $U \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(9.10) \quad \tilde{I}(z) = U e^{-qz} z^{1/3} \tilde{w}(z) \quad \text{where } \tilde{w}(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$$

The Borel transform of \tilde{w} is a solution of

$$(9.11) \quad \zeta \hat{w}' + \frac{\hat{w}}{3} = 0$$

hence, up to rescaling by a constant,

$$\hat{w}(\zeta) \propto \zeta^{-1/3} = {}_2F_1 \left(a, \frac{1}{3}; a; 1 - \zeta \right)$$

for every $a \in \mathbb{C}$. In the degenerate case we get an hypergeometric function as well, but the resurgent structure is trivial, i.e. $\hat{w}(\zeta)$ is holomorphic on the Riemann surface of $\zeta^{1/3}$.

9.0.1. Alternative computation of the Borel transform of I . Let us first compute the Borel transform of (9.1) (indeed as in the proof of Theorem 5.1 we know that (9.1) admits a formal solution which is Gevrey-1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta^2 \hat{I} - a\zeta \hat{I} + b\hat{I} - \int_0^\zeta \zeta' \hat{I}(\zeta') + d \int_0^\zeta \hat{I}(\zeta') - \frac{1}{9} \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \zeta') \hat{I}(\zeta') &= 0 \\
2\zeta \hat{I} + \zeta^2 \hat{I}' - a\hat{I} - a\zeta \hat{I}' + b\hat{I}' - \zeta \hat{I} + d\hat{I} - \frac{1}{9} \int \hat{I}(\zeta') &= 0 \\
(\zeta^2 - a\zeta + b)\hat{I}'' + (3\zeta - 2a + d)\hat{I}' + \frac{8}{9}\hat{I} &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Now we denote by λ_1, λ_2 the distinguished (we assume that $p \neq 0$) roots of $\zeta^2 - a\zeta + b$, then

$$(9.12) \quad (\zeta - \lambda_1)(\zeta - \lambda_2)\hat{I}'' + (3\zeta - 2a + d)\hat{I}' + \frac{8}{9}\hat{I} = 0$$

$$(9.13) \quad (t + \lambda_2 - \lambda_1)t\hat{I}'' + (3t + 3\lambda_2 - 2a + d)\hat{I}' + \frac{8}{9} = 0 \quad t = \zeta - \lambda_2$$

$$(9.14) \quad s(1-s)\hat{I}'' - \left(3s + \frac{3\lambda_2 - 2a + d}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}\right)\hat{I}' - \frac{8}{9}\hat{I} = 0 \quad t = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)s$$

where (9.14) is an hypergeometric equation⁷ and a solution is given by

$$(9.15) \quad \hat{I}_{\lambda_1}(\zeta; U_1, U_2) = U_1 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{\zeta - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}\right) + U_2 \left(\frac{\zeta - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}\right)^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\zeta - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}\right)$$

which has a branch cut at $\zeta = \lambda_1$, where U_1, U_2 are constants. Of course, reversing the role of λ_1 and λ_2 we find

$$(9.16) \quad \hat{I}_{\lambda_2}(\zeta; U_1, U_2) = U_1 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{\zeta - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}\right) + U_2 \left(\frac{\zeta - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}\right)^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\zeta - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}\right)$$

is the Borel transform of $\tilde{I}_{\lambda_2}(z)$ and it has a branch cut singularity at $\zeta = \lambda_2$. It is remarkable that the dependence on the function f is only on the location of the singularities, but it is always an hypergeometric function with the same parameters. In addition, we can compute the Stokes constants thanks to the well known formula for analytic continuation of hypergeometric (see 15.2.3 in DLMF)

⁷Notice that $\lambda_{1,2} = q \pm \frac{2i}{3}p\sqrt{\frac{p}{3a_1}}$, $a = 2q$ and $d = q$. Hence

$$\frac{2a - d - 3\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} = \frac{4q - q - 3q - 2ip\sqrt{\frac{p}{3a_1}}}{-\frac{4i}{3}p\sqrt{\frac{p}{3a_1}}} = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}_{\lambda_1}(\zeta + i0; U_1, 0) - \hat{I}_{\lambda_1}(\zeta - i0; U_1, 0) &= -U_1 \frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \zeta}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \right)^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\zeta - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}\right) \\
&= -\mathbf{i}U_1 \frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} \left(\frac{\zeta - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \right)^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\zeta - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}\right) \\
&= -\mathbf{i}\hat{I}_{\lambda_2}\left(\zeta; 0, \frac{U_1}{\Gamma(2/3)\Gamma(4/3)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$