Resurgence of modified Bessel functions of second kind

Veronica Fantini

June 1, 2022

1 Generalized Airy

In [?] [?] the authors introduce generalized Airy functions $A_k(z)$, $B_0(z)$, $B_k(z)$, k = 1, 2, 3 as approximate solutions of the Orr–Sommerfield fluid equation. They are defined as countour integral ([?] §9.13(ii))

$$A_{k}(z,p) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{k}} e^{zt - \frac{t^{3}}{3}} \frac{dt}{t^{p}} \qquad k = 1, 2, 3 \ p \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$B_{0}(z,p) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{0}} e^{zt - \frac{t^{3}}{3}} \frac{dt}{t^{p}} \qquad p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$B_{k}(z,p) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{d}} e^{zt - \frac{t^{3}}{3}} \frac{dt}{t^{p}} \qquad k = 1, 2, 3 \ p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where the countours $\Gamma_k, \Gamma_0, \gamma_k$ are represented in Figure ??

Each generalize Airy functions is a solution of

$$\left[\partial_z^3 - z\partial_z + (p-1)\right]f(z,p) = 0\tag{1}$$

and if p = 0 they reduced to the classical Airy functions: $Ai(z) = A_1(z, 0)$ and $Bi(z) = B_1(z, 0)$.

We define the following exponential integral: let $p \ge 0$, $f(t) = \frac{t^3}{3} - t$ and $\nu_p = \frac{dt}{t^p}$. The critical points of f are $\pm \frac{2}{3}$ (as for the classical Airy case), however the volume form ν_p is meromorphic

$$I_{\alpha}(z,p) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{\alpha}} e^{-z(\frac{t^3}{3} - t)} \frac{dt}{t^p}$$
 (2)

where C_{α} is the path through the point α , starting and ending at infinity (as in Figure ??). In particular, $I_{+}(z,p)=z^{\frac{p-1}{3}} A_{1}(z^{2/3},p)$:

$$\begin{split} I_{+}(z,p) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{+}} e^{-z(\frac{t^{3}}{3}-t)} \frac{dt}{t^{p}} \\ &= \frac{z^{(p-1)/2}}{2\pi i} \int_{z^{-1/3}\mathcal{C}_{+}} e^{-z(z^{-1}\frac{s^{3}}{3}-z^{-1/3}s)} \frac{ds}{s^{p}} \\ &= \frac{z^{(p-1)/3}}{2\pi i} \int_{z^{-1/3}\mathcal{C}_{+}} e^{-\left(\frac{s^{3}}{3}-z^{2/3}s\right)} \frac{ds}{s^{p}} \\ &= z^{(p-1)/3} \mathcal{A}_{1}(z^{2/3},p) \end{split}$$

It follows that $I_{+}(z)$ is a solution of

$$\left[\partial_z^3 - \frac{4}{9}\partial_z + \frac{2-p}{z}\partial_z^2 - \frac{4(1-p)}{9z} - \frac{1+3p-3p^2}{9}\frac{\partial_z}{z^2} + \frac{3+p-3p^2-p^3}{27z^3}\right]I_+(z,p) = 0 \quad (3)$$

From the general theory of linear ODE, the formal integral solution of (3) is a linear combinations of three generators

$$\tilde{I}_{+}(z,p) = U_{1}z^{p-1}\tilde{W}_{1}(z) + U_{2}e^{-\frac{2}{3}z}z^{-1/2}\tilde{W}_{2}(z) + U_{3}e^{\frac{2}{3}z}z^{-1/2}\tilde{W}_{3}(z)$$
(4)

where \tilde{W}_1, \tilde{W}_2 and \tilde{W}_3 are formal power seirs $\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) = \sum_{j\geq 0} a_{\mathbf{k},j} z^{-j}$, which are the unique (we fix $a_{k,0} = 1$ for k = 1, 2, 3) solution of

$$\left[\partial_z^3 - \frac{4}{9}\partial_z - \frac{1 - 2p}{z}\partial_z^2 + \frac{17 - 30p + 12p^2}{9z^2}\partial_z + \frac{8}{27}\frac{p^3 - 6p^2 + 11p - 6}{z^3}\right]\tilde{W}_1(z) = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{split} \left[\partial_z^3 - 2\partial_z^2 + \frac{8}{9}\partial_z + \frac{1 - 2p}{2z}\partial_z^2 - \frac{2 - 4p}{3z}\partial_z + \frac{5 + 24p + 12p^2}{36z^2}\partial_z + \right. \\ \left. - \frac{5 + 24p + 12p^2}{54z^2} - \frac{1}{z^3}\left(\frac{5}{24} + \frac{59}{108}p + \frac{5}{18}p^2 + \frac{1}{27}p^3\right)\right]\tilde{W}_2(z) &= 0 \quad (6) \end{split}$$

$$\label{eq:controller} \begin{split} \left[\partial_z^3 + 2\partial_z^2 + \frac{8}{9}\partial_z + \frac{1-2p}{2z}\partial_z^2 + \frac{2-4p}{3z}\partial_z + \frac{5+24p+12p^2}{36z^2}\partial_z + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{5+24p+12p^2}{54z^2} - \frac{1}{z^3}\left(\frac{5}{24} + \frac{59}{108}p + \frac{5}{18}p^2 + \frac{1}{27}p^3\right)\right] \tilde{W}_3(z) = 0 \quad (7) \end{split}$$

Let us first study equation (5): its Borel transform is

$$-\zeta^{3}\tilde{w}_{1} + \frac{4}{9}\zeta\tilde{w}_{1} - (1 - 2p)\int_{0}^{\zeta}\tilde{w}_{1}(t)t^{2}dt + \frac{(17 - 30p + 12p^{2})}{9}\int_{0}^{\zeta}(-t\tilde{w}_{1}(t))(\zeta - t)dt + \frac{8}{27}(p^{3} - 6p^{2} + 11p - 6)\int_{0}^{\zeta}\tilde{w}_{1}(t)\frac{(\zeta - t)^{2}}{2}dt = 0$$

We differentiate three times, getting

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{4}{9}\zeta - \zeta^3\right)\tilde{w}_1^{(3)}(\zeta) + \left(\frac{4}{3} - 2(5-p)\zeta^2\right)\tilde{w}_1''(\zeta) - \left(\frac{215}{9} - \frac{34}{3}p + \frac{4}{3}p^2\right)\tilde{w}_1'(\zeta) + \\ + \frac{8}{27}\left(p - \frac{9}{2}\right)\left(p - \frac{7}{2}\right)\left(p - \frac{5}{2}\right)\tilde{w}_1(\zeta) = 0 \end{split}$$

we set $y = \frac{9}{4}\zeta^2$

$$y^{2}(1-y)\tilde{w}_{1}^{(3)}(y) + y\left(\left(p - \frac{13}{2}\right)y + 3\right)\tilde{w}_{1}''(y) - \left(y\left(\frac{305}{36} - \frac{1}{3}(10-p)p\right) - \frac{3}{4}\right)\tilde{w}_{1}'(y) + \frac{1}{27}\left(p - \frac{5}{2}\right)\tilde{w}_{1}(y) = 0 \quad (8)$$

which is a generalized hypergeometric equation (16.8.5 [?]) with parameters

$$\mathbf{a}_0 = \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{p}{3}; \frac{7}{6} - \frac{p}{3}; \frac{5}{6} - \frac{p}{3}\right)$$
 $\mathbf{b}_0 = \left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}\right)$

therefore, $\tilde{w}_1(y) = c_1 \, _3F_2(\mathbf{a}_0; \mathbf{b}_0; y) + R(\zeta)$ for some function $R(\zeta)$ which can be determined from the integral equation.

Then we look at equation (6): its Borel transform is

$$-\zeta^{3}\tilde{w}_{2}(\zeta) - 2\zeta^{2}\tilde{w}_{2}(\zeta) - \frac{8}{9}\zeta\tilde{w}_{2}(\zeta) + \frac{1-2p}{2}\int_{0}^{\zeta}t^{2}\tilde{w}_{2}(t)dt - \frac{2-4p}{3}\int_{0}^{\zeta}(-t\tilde{w}_{2}(t))dt + \frac{5+24p+12p^{2}}{36}\int_{0}^{\zeta}(\zeta-t)(-t\tilde{w}_{2}(t))dt - \frac{5+24p+12p^{2}}{54}\int_{0}^{\zeta}(\zeta-t)\tilde{w}_{2}(t)dt + -\left(\frac{5}{54} + \frac{59}{108}p + \frac{5}{18}p^{2} + \frac{1}{27}p^{3}\right)\int_{0}^{\zeta}\frac{(\zeta-t)^{2}}{2}\tilde{w}_{2}(t)dt = 0 \quad (9)$$

and taking three derivatives it simplifies to

$$\left[\left(\zeta^3 + 2\zeta^2 + \frac{8}{9}\zeta \right) \partial_{\zeta}^3 + \frac{8}{3}\partial_{\zeta}^2 + \left(\frac{17}{2} + p \right) \zeta \left(\zeta + \frac{4}{3} \right) \partial_{\zeta}^2 + \left(\frac{p^2}{3} + \frac{14}{3}p + \frac{581}{36} \right) \left(\zeta + \frac{2}{3} \right) \partial_{\zeta} + \frac{1}{27} \left(p + \frac{7}{2} \right) \left(p + \frac{11}{2} \right) \left(p + \frac{15}{2} \right) \right] \tilde{w}_2(\zeta) = 0 \quad (10)$$
define $y = \zeta \left(\zeta + \frac{4}{3} \right)$

$$\left[y\left(y+\frac{4}{9}\right)^{2}\partial_{y}^{3}+2\left(\frac{3}{2}y+\frac{4}{3}+\frac{y}{2}\left(\frac{17}{2}+p\right)\right)\left(y+\frac{4}{9}\right)\partial_{y}^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{3}+\frac{y}{4}\left(\frac{17}{2}+p\right)\right)\partial_{y}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{p^{3}}{3}+\frac{14}{3}p+\frac{581}{36}\right)\left(y+\frac{4}{9}\right)\partial_{y}+\frac{1}{8\cdot27}\left(p+\frac{7}{2}\right)\left(p+\frac{11}{2}\right)\left(p+\frac{15}{2}\right)\right]\tilde{w}_{2}(y)=0$$
(11)

which now looks like a generalized hypergeometric equation. Indeed setting $t = \frac{9}{4}y + 1$, (11) reads

$$\left[t^{2}(1-t)\partial_{t}^{3} - t\left(\left(\frac{23}{4} + \frac{p}{2}\right)t - \frac{p}{2} - \frac{11}{4}\right)\partial_{t}^{2} - \left(-\frac{5}{8} - \frac{p}{4} + t\left(\frac{887}{144} + \frac{17}{12}p + \frac{p^{2}}{12}\right)\right)\partial_{t} + \frac{1}{8 \cdot 27}\left(p + \frac{7}{2}\right)\left(p + \frac{11}{2}\right)\left(p + \frac{15}{2}\right)\right]\tilde{w}_{2}(t) = 0 \quad (12)$$

hence a solution is given by the generalized hypergeometric function $_3F_2\left(\mathbf{a};\mathbf{b};y\right)$ with parameters

$$\mathbf{a} = \left(\frac{5}{4} + \frac{p}{6}; \frac{7}{12} + \frac{p}{6}; \frac{11}{12} + \frac{p}{6}\right) \qquad \mathbf{b} = \left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{5}{4} + \frac{p}{2}\right)$$

and we denote $\hat{w}_2(\zeta) = c_2 \, _3F_2\left(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; \left(\frac{3}{2}\zeta + 1\right)^2\right)$. The equation (7) differes from (6) for few signs: we find that its Borel transform is

$$-\zeta^{3}\tilde{w}_{2}(\zeta) + 2\zeta^{2}\tilde{w}_{2}(\zeta) - \frac{8}{9}\zeta\tilde{w}_{2}(\zeta) + \frac{1-2p}{2}\int_{0}^{\zeta}t^{2}\tilde{w}_{2}(t)dt + \frac{2-4p}{3}\int_{0}^{\zeta}(-t\tilde{w}_{2}(t))dt + \frac{5+24p+12p^{2}}{36}\int_{0}^{\zeta}(\zeta-t)(-t\tilde{w}_{2}(t))dt + \frac{5+24p+12p^{2}}{54}\int_{0}^{\zeta}(\zeta-t)\tilde{w}_{2}(t)dt + -\left(\frac{5}{54} + \frac{59}{108}p + \frac{5}{18}p^{2} + \frac{1}{27}p^{3}\right)\int_{0}^{\zeta}\frac{(\zeta-t)^{2}}{2}\tilde{w}_{2}(t)dt = 0 \quad (13)$$

and differentiating three times we find a generalized hypergeometric equation with paramters \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} in the variable $y = \left(\frac{3}{2}\zeta - 1\right)^2$, i.e. $\hat{w}_3(\zeta) = c_3 \,_3F_2\left(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; \left(\frac{3}{2}\zeta - 1\right)^2\right)$. In particular,

$$\hat{w}_3(\zeta) = C_{23}\hat{w}_2(\zeta - \frac{4}{3}) \tag{14}$$

1.1 Analytic continuation

In [D.B. Karp and E.G. Prilepkina formula 3.1 (see also https://arxiv.org/pdf/2110.12219.pdf equation 27)] the authors compute the analytic continuation of generalized hypergeometric

functions across the branch cut

$$_{q}F_{q-1}\left(\mathbf{a};\mathbf{b};x+i0\right) - {}_{q}F_{q-1}\left(\mathbf{a};\mathbf{b};x-i0\right) = 2\pi i \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})}G_{q,q}^{q,0}\left(1,\mathbf{b};\mathbf{a};\frac{1}{x}\right).$$
 (15)

We first consider $\hat{w}_1(\zeta) = c_1 \,_3F_2\left(\mathbf{a}_0; \mathbf{b}_0; \frac{9}{4}\zeta^2\right)$, it has a branch cut at $\zeta \in \left[\frac{2}{3}, +\infty\right)$ and another for $\zeta \in (-\infty, -\frac{2}{3}]$. The jumps can be computed as follows

$${}_{3}F_{2}(\mathbf{a}_{0}; \mathbf{b}_{0}; y + i0) - {}_{3}F_{2}(\mathbf{a}_{0}; \mathbf{b}_{0}; y - i0) = 2\pi i \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b}_{0})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_{0})} G_{3,3}^{3,0} \left(1, \mathbf{b}_{0}; \mathbf{a}_{0}; \frac{1}{y}\right)$$

$$= 2\pi i \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b}_{0})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_{0})} G_{3,3}^{0,3} \left(1 - \mathbf{a}_{0}; 0, 1 - \mathbf{b}_{0}; y\right)$$

$$= 2\pi i \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b}_{0})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_{0})} G_{3,3}^{0,3} \left(\mathbf{a}'_{0}; 0, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; y\right)$$

$$= 2\pi i \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b}_{0})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_{0})} G_{3,3}^{0,3} \left(\mathbf{a}'_{0}; \mathbf{b}'_{0}; y\right)$$

Notice that equation (8) is also the differential equation for Meijer G-funtion $G_{3,3}^{3,0}(\mathbf{a}_0';\mathbf{b}_0';y)$ where

$$\mathbf{a}_0' = \left(\frac{p}{3} - \frac{1}{2}; \frac{p}{3} - \frac{1}{6}; \frac{p}{3} + \frac{1}{6}\right) \qquad \qquad \mathbf{b}_0' = \left(0; \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

1.1.1 $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{2}(\zeta)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{3}(\zeta)$

We consider $\hat{w}_2(\zeta)$, it has a branch cut at $\zeta \in [0, +\infty)$ and $\zeta \in (-\infty, -\frac{4}{3}]$,

We first study the equation (5): its unique formal solution $\tilde{w}_1(z)$ has the following coefficients (we compute them with Mathematica)

$$a_{1,2j} = \frac{\Gamma(1+3j-p)}{3^{j}\Gamma(1+j)\Gamma(1-p)} \qquad j \ge 0$$
(16)

Notice that $a_{1,j}$ are well defined only for $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$. However, for p = 1, 2, 3 the solution is well defined and constant $\tilde{w}_1(z) = 1$. Therefore the Borel transform of $\tilde{w}_1(z)$ is

$$\hat{w}_{1}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \delta & \text{if } p = 1, 2, 3\\ \delta + \zeta \frac{\Gamma(4-p)}{3\Gamma(1-p)} {}_{3}F_{2}\left(\frac{4-p}{3}, \frac{5-p}{3}, 2 - \frac{p}{3}; \frac{3}{2}, 2; \frac{9}{4}\zeta^{2}\right) & \text{if } p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, 2, 3, ...\} \end{cases}$$
(17)

In particular, the generalized hypergeometric series has a branch cut singularity at $\zeta = \pm \frac{3}{2}$. We expect that $\tilde{w}_1(z)$ is a simple resurgent function such that

$$\hat{w}_1(\zeta + \frac{2}{3}) = \delta + \frac{C}{2\pi i \zeta} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\zeta) \hat{w}_2(\zeta) + \text{hol. fct.}$$

$$\hat{w}_1(\zeta - \frac{2}{3}) = \delta + \frac{C}{2\pi i \zeta} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\zeta) \hat{w}_3(\zeta) + \text{hol. fct.}$$

In [D.B. Karp and E.G. Prilepkina formula 3.1 (see also https://arxiv.org/pdf/2110.12219.pdf equation 27)] the authors compute the analytic continuation of generalized hypergeometric functions across the branch cut

$$_{q}F_{q-1}\left(\mathbf{a};\mathbf{b};x+i0\right) - {}_{q}F_{q-1}\left(\mathbf{a};\mathbf{b};x-i0\right) = 2\pi i \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b}-d+1)}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}-d+1)} x^{d-1} G_{q,q}^{q,0}\left(d,\mathbf{b};\mathbf{a};\frac{1}{x}\right)$$
 (18)

which in our case becomes

$$\begin{split} &\frac{3}{2}\zeta\left({}_{3}F_{2}\left(\frac{4-p}{3},\frac{5-p}{3},2-\frac{p}{3};\frac{3}{2},2;\frac{9}{4}\zeta^{2}+i0\right)-{}_{3}F_{2}\left(\frac{4-p}{3},\frac{5-p}{3},2-\frac{p}{3};\frac{3}{2},2;\frac{9}{4}\zeta^{2}-i0\right)\right)=\\ &=2\pi i\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b}+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}+\frac{1}{2})}G_{3,3}^{3,0}\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},2;\frac{4-p}{3},\frac{5-p}{3},2-\frac{p}{3};\frac{4}{9}\zeta^{-2}\right)\\ &=2\pi i\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b}+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}+\frac{1}{2})}G_{3,3}^{0,3}\left(\frac{p-1}{3},\frac{p-2}{3},\frac{p}{3}-1;\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-1;\frac{9}{4}\zeta^{2}\right) \end{split}$$

When p = 1, 2, 3 the solution is a trivial resurgent function, it is constant.

Let us now consider the formal solution $\tilde{w}_2(z)$ and $\tilde{w}_3(z)$. The recursive relation for $a_{2,j}, a_{3,j}$ have not a simple expressions as it is for $a_{1,j}$. However, we compute numerically their first coefficients:

$$j = 1$$

$$a_{2,j}$$

$$a_{3,j}$$

$$45$$

Then we look at the Borel transform of \tilde{W}_2 , \tilde{W}_3 : their equations have some symmetries in the coefficients, namely they differ by a sing in the even degree coefficients.