

# GENERAL THIMBLES INTEGRALS

VERONICA FANTINI

## 1. PROOF OF BOREL REGULARITY

We are going to prove Theorem 5.1 draft 2. Let  $X$  be a  $N$ -dim manifold,  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a holomorphic Morse function with simple critical points, and  $\nu \in \Gamma(X, \Omega^N)$ , and set

$$(1.1) \quad I(z) := \int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{-zf} \nu$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a suitable contour such that the integral is well defined. Indeed,  $I(z)$  represents a pairing between a relative homology class  $\mathcal{C} \in H_N^B(X, zf)$  and a cohomology class  $\nu \in H_{dR}^N(X, zf)$  (see Section 1.3.1 Thimble integrals in the introduction). Let us restrict to one dimensional  $X$ . For any Morse critical points  $x_\alpha$ <sup>1</sup> of  $f$ , the saddle point approximation allows to compute the asymptotic expansion of  $I_\alpha(z)$

$$(1.2) \quad I_\alpha(z) := \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha} e^{-zf} \nu \sim \tilde{I}_\alpha := e^{-zf(x_\alpha)} \sqrt{2\pi} z^{-1/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\alpha,n} z^{-n} \quad \text{as } \operatorname{Re}(ze^{i\theta}) \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$  is a steepest descent path through the critical point  $x_\alpha$  and  $\theta$  is chosen such that  $f(x_\beta) \notin f(x_\alpha) + [0, e^{i\theta} \infty)$  for  $\beta \neq \alpha$ <sup>2</sup>. Notice that  $f \circ \mathcal{C}_\alpha$  lies in the ray  $\zeta_\alpha + [0, e^{i\theta} \infty)$ , where  $\zeta_\alpha := f(x_\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $N = 1$ . Let  $I_\alpha(z)$  defined as in (1.2) for every critical point  $x_\alpha$ . Then  $\tilde{I}_\alpha$  is Borel regular for  $\operatorname{Re}(ze^{i\theta}) > 0$ :

- (1) The series  $\tilde{I}_\alpha(z) = e^{-zf(x_\alpha)} \sqrt{2\pi} z^{-1/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\alpha,n} z^{-n}$  is Gevrey-1.
- (2) The series  $\tilde{I}_\alpha(\zeta) := \mathcal{B}(\tilde{I}_\alpha)$  converges near  $\zeta = \zeta_\alpha$ .
- (3) If you continue the sum of  $\tilde{I}_\alpha$  along the ray going rightward from  $\zeta_\alpha$  in the direction  $\theta$ , and take its Laplace transform along that ray, you'll recover  $I_\alpha$ .

**Remark 1.2.** (1) We may drop the assumption of non degenerate critical points for  $f$ , however the asymptotic expansion of  $I_\alpha(z)$  will depend on the order  $m$  such that  $f^{(m)}(x_\alpha) \neq 0$  and  $f^{(j)}(x_\alpha) = 0$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$  (see [Zorich] Theorem 1 Section 19.2.5).

<sup>1</sup>By Morse critical points we mean non-degenerate isolated critical points.

<sup>2</sup>Such a  $\theta$  exists because  $f$  has a finite number of critical points.

(2) in [Malgrange74] (see also Chapter 5 of [Mistergard Phd thesis] for a general review), the author computes the asymptotic expansion of exponential integrals for  $N > 1$  which get logarithmic terms like

$$\tilde{I}(z) = \sum_{j \in A} \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} a_{n,q,j} z^{-n-j} (\log z)^q,$$

for  $A \subset \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  finite. Due to the presence of logarithmic terms, the definition of Borel transform has to be further extended (see [Mistergard phd] Definition pag 5) and the study of Borel regularity becomes more involved.

*Proof.* Part (1): Since  $f$  is Morse, we can find a holomorphic chart  $\tau$  around  $x_\alpha$  with  $\frac{1}{2}\tau^2 = f - \zeta_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^-$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^+$  be the parts of  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$  that go from the past to  $x_\alpha$  and from  $x_\alpha$  to the future, respectively. We can arrange for  $\tau$  to be valued in  $(-\infty e^{i\theta}, 0]$  and  $[0, e^{i\theta} \infty)$  on  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^-$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^+$ , respectively. **[We should explicitly spell out and check the conditions that make this possible. I think we're implicitly orienting  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$  so that  $\tau$  in the upper half-plane.]** Since  $v$  is holomorphic, we can express it as a Taylor series

$$v = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha \tau^n d\tau$$

that converges in some disk  $|\tau| < \varepsilon$ .

In coordinates  $\tau$  the integral  $I_\alpha(z)$  can be approximated as

$$I_\alpha(z) \sim e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \int_{\tau \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]} e^{-z\tau^2/2} v$$

as  $\operatorname{Re}(ze^{i\theta}) \rightarrow \infty$  (see Lemma 1 in Section 19.2.2 Zorich). **[I need to learn how this works! Do we get asymptoticity at all orders? —Aaron]** Plugging in the Taylor series above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(z) &\sim e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} e^{-z\tau^2/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha \tau^n d\tau \\ &= e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} e^{-z\tau^2/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{2n}^\alpha \tau^{2n} d\tau \\ &= 2e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \int_0^{\varepsilon} e^{-z\tau^2/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{2n}^\alpha \tau^{2n} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By Watson's Lemma (see Lemma 4 Section 19.2.2 Zorich)

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(z) &\sim e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{2n}^\alpha \Gamma(n + \tfrac{1}{2}) 2^{n+1/2} z^{-n-1/2} \\ &= e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{2n}^\alpha (2n-1)!! z^{-n-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

---

FIGURE 1. The contour  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ , its image under  $f$  which is the Hankel contour  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = f(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)$  and the ray  $[\zeta_\alpha, +\infty]$ .

Call the right-hand side  $\tilde{I}_\alpha$ . We now see that  $a_{\alpha,n} = (2n-1)!! b_{2n}^\alpha$  in the statement of the theorem. We know from the definition of  $\varepsilon$  that  $|b_n^\alpha| \varepsilon^n \lesssim 1$ . Recalling that  $(2n-1)!! \sim (\pi n)^{-1/2} 4^n n!$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce that  $|a_{\alpha,n}| \lesssim \left(\frac{4}{\varepsilon^2}\right)^n n!$ , showing that  $\tilde{I}_\alpha$  is Gevrey-1.

Part (2): note that **[explain formally what it means to center at  $\zeta_\alpha$ ]**

$$\tilde{I}_\alpha := \mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha = \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq 0} (2n-1)!! b_{2n}^\alpha \frac{(\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)^{n-1/2}}{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}$$

Since  $(2n-1)!! = \pi^{-1/2} 2^n \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})$  and  $|b_n^\alpha| \varepsilon^n \lesssim 1$ , then  $\tilde{I}_\alpha(\zeta)$  has a finite radius of convergence.

Part (3): Let's recast the integral  $I_\alpha$  into the  $f$  plane. As  $\zeta$  goes rightward from  $\zeta_\alpha$ , the start and end points of  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)$  sweep backward along  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^-(\zeta)$  and forward along  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^+(\zeta)$ , respectively. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(z) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha} e^{-zf} \nu \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha} e^{-z\zeta} \left( \int_{f^{-1}(\zeta)} \frac{\nu}{df} \right) d\zeta \\ &= \int_{\zeta_\alpha}^{e^{i\theta}\infty} e^{-z\zeta} \left[ \frac{\nu}{df} \right]_{\text{start } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)}^{\text{end } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  is the Hanckel contour through the point  $\zeta_\alpha$  (see Figure [?]) with ends in the  $\theta$  direction. Noticing that the last integral is a Laplace transform for the initial choice of  $\theta$ , we learn that

$$(1.3) \quad \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \left[ \frac{\nu}{df} \right]_{\text{start } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)}^{\text{end } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)}.$$

In Ecalle's formalism,  $\overset{\nabla}{I}_\alpha := \int_{f^{-1}(\zeta)} \frac{\nu}{df}$  and  $\hat{I}_\alpha$  are respectively a major and a minor of the singularity and they differ by an holomorphic function (we will see this in the examples Section Airy, Bessel).

We can rewrite our Taylor series for  $\nu$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha [2(f - \zeta_\alpha)]^{n/2} \frac{df}{[2(f - \zeta_\alpha)]^{1/2}} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha [2(f - \zeta_\alpha)]^{(n-1)/2} df, \end{aligned}$$

taking the positive branch of the square root on  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^+$  and the negative branch on  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^-$ . Plugging this into our expression for  $\hat{I}_\alpha$ , we learn that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) &= \left[ \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha [2(f - \zeta_\alpha)]^{(n-1)/2} \right]_{\text{start } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)}^{\text{end } \mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^\alpha \left( [2(\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)]^{(n-1)/2} - (-1)^{n-1} [2(\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)]^{(n-1)/2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2b_{2n}^\alpha [2(\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)]^{n-1/2} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{n+1/2} b_{2n}^\alpha (\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)^{n-1/2} \\ &= \mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

We have now shown that the sum of  $\mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha$  is actually equal to  $\hat{I}_\alpha$  as  $\zeta \in \zeta_\alpha + [0, e^{i\theta} \infty)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.3.** Different choices of admissible  $\theta$  correspond to different choices of thimbles  $[\mathcal{C}_\alpha] \in H_N^B(X, zf)$ , but the Borel transform of  $\tilde{I}_\alpha$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . However, if  $\theta_* := \arg(\zeta_\alpha - \zeta_\beta)$  and  $\theta_\pm := \theta_* \pm \delta$  for small  $\delta$ , then  $I_\alpha(z)$  jumps on the intersection between  $\text{Re}(e^{i\theta_+} z) > 0$  and  $\text{Re}(e^{i\theta_-} z) > 0$ . This is known as the Stokes phenomenon (see Section resurgence thimbles integrals).

## 2. 3/2 DERIVATIVE FORMULA

In Theorem 1.1 we have seen that the asymptotic behaviour of  $I_\alpha(z)$  has a fractional power contribution namely  $\tilde{I}_\alpha(z) = e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} z^{-1/2} \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\alpha,n} z^{-n}$ , hence we have used the extended notion of Borel transform to deal with fractional powers. Now we will focus on the formal series  $\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha(z) := e^{-z\zeta_\alpha} \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\alpha,n} z^{-n} = z^{1/2} \tilde{I}_\alpha(z)$  which does not contain any fractional power and we prove a fractional derivative formula which relates the Borel transforms  $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$  and  $\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta)$ . Moreover we show that the  $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$  depends on  $\nu$  and  $df$  as well as  $\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta)$  does.

**Corollary 2.1.** Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.1, for any  $\zeta$  on the ray going rightward from  $\zeta_\alpha$  in the direction of  $\theta$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta) = \partial_{\zeta}^{3/2} \Big|_{\text{from } \zeta_\alpha} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu \right) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{\zeta_\alpha}^{\zeta} (\zeta - \zeta')^{-1/2} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta')} \nu \right) d\zeta',$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)$  is the part of  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$  that goes through  $e^{-i\theta} f^{-1}([\zeta_\alpha, \zeta])$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)$  starts and ends in  $e^{-i\theta} f^{-1}(\zeta)$ . **[Be careful about the orientation of  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ .]**

---

*Proof.* Theorem ?? tells us that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} \tilde{I}_\alpha &= \mathcal{B}_{\zeta_\alpha} z^{-1/2} \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \\ &= \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1/2} \mathcal{B} \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \\ &= \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

It follows, from the proof of part 3 of Theorem 1.1, that

$$(2.2) \quad \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha.$$

Since fractional integrals form a semigroup, equation (2.2) implies that

$$\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1} \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-3/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha.$$

Rewriting equation (1.3) as

$$\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \partial_\zeta \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu \right),$$

we can see that

$$\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-1} \hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu - \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(0)} \nu.$$

The initial value term vanishes, because the path  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(0)$  is a point. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu = \partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{-3/2} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta).$$

Recalling that the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative is a left inverse of the fractional integral, we conclude that

$$\partial_{\zeta \text{ from } \zeta_\alpha}^{3/2} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\zeta)} \nu \right) = \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta).$$

□

**2.1. Singularities.** From equation (2.2) we see that singularities of  $\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta)$  in the Borel plane comes from either poles of  $\nu$  or zeros of  $df$ . Instead, the fractional derivatives formula tells that singularities of  $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha$  are given by convolutions of  $\zeta^{-1/2}/\Gamma(1/2)$  with  $\hat{I}_\alpha$ . Since  $\zeta^{-1/2}/\Gamma(1/2)$  is singular at  $\zeta = 0$  the set of singularities of  $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$  is exactly the same as the one of  $\hat{I}_\alpha(\zeta)$ . However, the type of singularities will change and we expect  $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$  to have only simple singularities.

In the examples we noticed that  $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$  is always an hypergeometric function. In particular when there are only two critical values (see Airy, Bessel) the  $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$  is a Gaussian hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1\left(a, b; c; \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_\alpha}\right)$  with  $c = 2$  and  $a + b = c + 1$ . Whereas, in the generalized Airy example (see Section ??) we get generalized hypergeometric functions  ${}_3F_2\left(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_\alpha} - 1\right)^2\right)$  and  ${}_3F_2\left(\mathbf{a}_0; \mathbf{b}_0; \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_\alpha}\right)^2\right)$  with  $|\mathbf{a}| = |\mathbf{b}| + 1$ . This behaviour

---

reflects the resurgence properties of  $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha$  (as well as the one of  $\hat{l}_\alpha$ ), indeed the analytic continuation of  $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta)$  at  $\zeta_\alpha$  is given in terms of  $\hat{\varphi}_\beta(\zeta)$ ,  $\zeta_\beta \neq \zeta_\alpha$  when  $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta), \hat{\varphi}_\beta(\zeta)$  are hypergeometric functions of the previous type.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let us assume  $f$  has only two critical values  $\zeta_\alpha = -\zeta_\beta$  and let  $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta) = {}_2F_1(a, b; 2; \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_\alpha})$  with  $a + b = c + 1$ , then across the branch cut

$$(2.3) \quad \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta + i0) - \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(\zeta - i0) = C {}_2F_1\left(a, b; 2; 1 + \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_\beta}\right)$$

$$(2.4) \quad \hat{\varphi}_\beta(\zeta + i0) - \hat{\varphi}_\beta(\zeta - i0) = -C {}_2F_1\left(a, b; 2; 1 + \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_\alpha}\right)$$

*Proof.* It follows from DLMF eq. 15.2.2. □

### 3. CONTOUR ARGUMENT

As noticed in proof of Theorem 1.1, the integral  $I_\alpha(z)$  can be written as

(i) the Laplace transform of  $\hat{l}_\alpha(\zeta)$

(ii) the Hankel contour integral of the major  $\hat{l}_\alpha^\nabla(\zeta)$

and  $\hat{l}_\alpha^\nabla(\zeta) = \hat{l}_\alpha(\zeta) + \text{hol.fct.}$ . In the applications we have evidence that  $\hat{l}_\alpha^\nabla(\zeta)$  is an algebraic hypergeometric function and when there are only two critical values, it decomposes as a sum of two germs of holomorphic functions at each critical values respectively (see airy-resurgence Section 6.1, 6.3).