# **Technical Appendix**

# **Data Exploration**

## **Data Set Overview**

The table below lists each of the files available for analysis with a short description of what is found in each one.

File Name	Description	Fields	
adelicks.csv	A line is added to this file when a player clicks on an advertisem ent in the Flamingo app.	timestamp: when the click occurre d.  txId: a unique id (within adclicks.log) for the click userSessionid: the id of the user ses sion for the user who made the clic k teamid: the current team id of the u ser who made the click userid: the user id of the user who made the click adId: the id of the ad clicked on adCategory: the category/type of a d clicked on	
buyclicks.csv	A line is added to this file when a player makes an inapp purchase in the Flamingo ap p.	timestamp: when the purchase was made. txId: a unique id (within buyclicks.log) for the purchase userSessionId: the id of the user se ssion for the user who made the purchase team: the current team id of the user who made the purchase userId: the user id of the user who made the purchase userId: the id of the item purchase buyId: the id of the item purchased price: the price of the item purchased	
users.csv	This file contains a line for each user playing the game.	timestamp: when user first played the game. userId: the user id assigned to the user. nick: the nickname chosen by the user. twitter: the twitter handle of the user.	


		dob: the date of birth of the user. country: the two- letter country code where the user l ives	
team.csv	This file contains a line for each team terminated in the game.	teamId: the id of the team name: the name of the team teamCreationTime: the timestamp when the team was created teamEndTime: the timestamp whe n the last member left the team strength: a measure of team strengt h, roughly corresponding to the suc cess of a team currentLevel: the current level of t he team	
team-assignments.csv	A line is added to this file each ti me a user joins a team. A user ca n be in at most a single team at a time.	1 3	
level-events.csv	A line is added to this file each ti me a team starts or finishes a lev el in the game	timestamp: when the event occurre d. eventId: a unique id for the event teamId: the id of the team teamLevel: the level started or com pleted eventType: the type of event, either start or end	
user-session.csv	Each line in this file describes a user session, which denotes whe n a user starts and stops playing the game. Additionally, when a t eam goes to the next level in the game, the session is ended for each user in the team and a new one started.	timestamp: a timestamp denoting when the event occurred. userSessionId: a unique id for the s ession. userId: the current user's ID. teamId: the current user's team. assignmentId: the team assignment id for the user to the team. sessionType: whether the event is t he start or end of a session. teamLevel: the level of the team du ring this session. platformType: the type of platform of the user during this session.	

isHit: denotes if the click was on a flamingo (value is 1) or missed the flamingo (value is 0)		A line is added to this file each ti me a user performs a click in the game.	flamingo (value is 1) or missed the flamingo (value is 0) teamId: the id of the team of the us er teamLevel: the current level of the
--	--	--	---


# Aggregation

Amount spent buying items	21407
Number of unique items available to be purchased	6

A histogram showing how many times each item is purchased:




A histogram showing how much money was made from each item:



# **Filtering**

A histogram showing total amount of money spent by the top ten users (ranked by how much money they spent).



The following table shows the user id, platform, and hit-ratio percentage for the top three buying users:

Rank	User Id	Platform	Hit-Ratio (%)
1	2229	iphone	11.6
2	2	iphone	13.1
3	471	iphone	14.5

## **Data Preparation**

Analysis of combined\_data.csv


## Sample Selection

Item	Amount		
# of Samples	4619		

# of Samples with Purchases	1411

#### **Attribute Creation**

A new categorical attribute was created to enable analysis of players as broken into 2 categories (HighRollers and PennyPinchers). A screenshot of the attribute follows:



The column class is the new categorical attribute. The value is either PennyPincher or HighRoller. Its value depends on avg\_price.

The creation of this new categorical attribute was necessary because the decision tree classifier needs a class label for each data record.

#### **Attribute Selection**

The following attributes were filtered from the dataset for the following reasons:

Attribute	Rationale for Filtering	
-----------	-------------------------	--

userId	UserId doesn't represent any actual properties about the user. It is just an identifier.
userSessionId	Similar to userId. It is just an identifier.
avg_price	We have already converted avg_price into the class label. So we do not need it any more.

### **Data Partitioning and Modeling**

The data was partitioned into train and test datasets.

The training data set was used to create the decision tree model.

The trained model was then applied to the testing dataset.

This is important because we need both training and testing dataset. And they normally


When partitioning the data using sampling, it is important to set the random seed because this will let us get the same partitioning result every time, and hence get the reproducible result.

A screenshot of the resulting decision tree can be seen below:



#### **Analysis Conclusions**

The final KNIME workflow is shown below:



What makes a HighRoller vs. a PennyPincher?

Based on the structure of the decision tree, the users using iPhone are more likely to be HighRoller, while the users on the other platforms tend to be PennyPincher.

#### **Specific Recommendations to Increase Revenue**

- 1. Compare the differences between the iOS app and the interface on the other platforms, and try to find the possible reasons (i.e. there might be some features only available on iPhone) why iOS users are more likely to spend more.
- 2. After finding the possible reasons mentioned above, launch A/B testing for some new features accordingly on all the platforms except for iOS, and analyse which features could help to increase the revenue.

#### **Evaluation**

A screenshot of the confusion matrix can be seen below:

class \ Pre	PennyPinc	HighRoller
PennyPinc	308	27
HighRoller	38	192

Correct classified: 500 Wrong classified: 65

Accuracy: 88.496 % Error: 11.504 %

Cohen's kappa (κ) 0.76

As seen in the screenshot above, the overall accuracy of the model is 88.496%

The value 308 at top-left cell means that 308 PennyPinchers were correctly classified. The value 27 at top-right cell means that 27 PennyPinchers were incorrectly classified as HighRoller.

The value 38 at bottom-left cell means that 38 HighRollers were incorrectly classified as PennyPinchers.

The value 192 at bottom-right cell means that 192 HighRollers were correctly classified.

## **Training Data Set Creation**

The training data set used for this analysis is shown below (first 5 lines):

In [153]:	users.toPandas().head(5)					
ut[153]:		userld	sum(price)	sum(count_gameclicks)	count(adId)	
	0	231	63.0	262	19	
	1	2032	20.0	638	39	
	2	233	28.0	250	37	
	3	433	0.0	34	11	
	4	34	95.0	665	34	

Dimensions of the training data set (rows x columns): 529\*3

# of clusters created: 4

## **Attribute Selection**

Attribute	Rationale for Selection		
Sum of total spending	This is an important indicator of how much the users spent in the game.		
Total count of game clicks	This attribute indicates how often the users play the game.		
Total count of ad clicks	This attributes indicates how often the users click on the ad.		

#### **Cluster Centers**

Cluster #	Cluster Center
1	[-0.0967, 0.02876, 0.8414]]
2	[2.322, 0.0707, 0.8612]
3	[-0.0656, 2.5974, 0.1903]
4	[-0.4427, -0.5243, -0.9249]

These clusters can be differentiated from each other as follows:

Cluster 1 is different from the others in that the users click on the ads very often but seldom pay for the items.

Cluster 2 is different from the others in that the users click on the ads a lot and also like to buy the items.

Cluster 3 is different from the others in that the users play the game very often.

Cluster 4 is different from the others in that the users is not active in playing the games, buying items and clicking the ads.

#### **Recommended Actions**

Action Recommended	Rationale for the action	
Launch some promotions targeting at users in cluster 1.	Cluster 1 is formed by those who like clicking on the ads but seldom spend money in the game. We can launch some promotions to see whether it can encourage them to buy.	
Perform A/B testing on users in cluster 4 when needed.	When new features are built, we usually perform A/B testing to avoid launching the features that most of the people do not like. Cluster 4 is formed by the inactive users. So if they decide to quit the game forever when they see the features they do not like, the loss is relatively small.	

# **Graph Analytics**

### **Modeling Chat Data using a Graph Data Model**

There are 4 types of nodes in total: user, team session, team, chat item. And different edge types represent different actions between the nodes:

#### **Creation of the Graph Database for Chats**

Describe the steps you took for creating the graph database. As part of these steps

- i) Write the schema of the 6 CSV files
  - File: chat\_create\_team\_chat.csv
     Schema: userid, teamid, TeamChatSessionID, timestamp
  - File: chat\_join\_team\_chat.csvSchema: userid, TeamChatSessionID, teamstamp
  - 3. File: chat leave team chat.csv

<sup>&</sup>quot;CreatesSession" edge represents that a user creates a team chat session.

<sup>&</sup>quot;OwnedBy" edge represents that a team chat session is owned by a team.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Joins" edge represents that a user joins a team chat session.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Leaves" edge represents that a user leaves a team chat session.

<sup>&</sup>quot;CreateChat" edge represents that a user creates chat item.

<sup>&</sup>quot;PartOf" edge represents that a chat item is part of a chat session.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Mentioned" edge represents that a user is mentioned in a chat item.

<sup>&</sup>quot;ResponseTo" edge represents that a chat item is a response to another chat item.

Schema: userid, teamchatsessionid, timestamp

4. File: chat\_item\_team\_chat.csv
Schema: userid, teamchatsessionid, chatitemid, timestamp

5. File: chat\_mention\_team\_chat.csv
Schema: userid, teamchatsessionid, chatitemid, timestamp

6. File: chat\_respond\_team\_chat.csv Schema: chatitemid1, chatitemid2, timestamp

ii) Explain the loading process and include a sample LOAD command Step 1:

Create the constraints for uniqueness of IDs:

CREATE CONSTRAINT ON (u:User) ASSERT u.id IS UNIQUE; CREATE CONSTRAINT ON (t:Team) ASSERT t.id IS UNIQUE; CREATE CONSTRAINT ON (c:TeamChatSession) ASSERT c.id IS UNIQUE; CREATE CONSTRAINT ON (i:ChatItem) ASSERT i.id IS UNIQUE;

#### Step 2:


Load the csv files into the database according to the schema. For example, when loading chat\_join\_team\_chat.csv, the following command was used:

LOAD CSV FROM "file:///chat\_join\_team\_chat.csv" AS row

MERGE (u:User {id: toInt(row[0])})

MERGE (c:TeamChatSession {id: toInt(row[1])})
MERGE (u)-[:Joins{timeStamp: row[2]}]->(c)

iii) Present a screenshot of some part of the graph you have generated. The graphs must include clearly visible examples of most node and edge types.




### Finding the longest conversation chain and its participants

To find the longest conversation chain, I used the command: match p=(c1:ChatItem)-[:ResponseTo\*]->(c2:ChatItem) return length(p) as I, p order by I desc limit 1

The result is shown below. We can see that the length of the longest path is 9.


\$ match p=(c1:ChatItem)-[:ResponseTo\*]->(c2:ChatItem) return length(p) as 1, p order by 1 desc limit 1



To get the number of distinct users involved, the following command is used: MATCH p=(c1:ChatItem)-[:ResponseTo\*]->(c2:ChatItem) WHERE LENGTH(p)=9 WITH EXTRACT(node IN NODES(p) | node.id) AS ids

MATCH (u:User)-[r:CreatesChat]-(c:ChatItem) WHERE c.id in ids RETURN u,r,c;

\$ MATCH p=(c1:ChatItem)-[:ResponseTo\*]->(c2:ChatItem) WHERE LENGTH(p)=9 with EXTRACT(node IN NODES(p) | node.id)...



# Analyzing the relationship between top 10 chattiest users and top 10 chattiest teams

To find the chattiest users, the following command is used:

MATCH (u:User)-[r:CreatesChat]->() RETURN u.id, COUNT(u) ORDER BY COUNT(u) DESC

LIMIT 10;

#### **Chattiest Users**

Users	Number of Chats
394	115
2067	111
209	109

To find the chattiest teams, the following command is used:

MATCH (ci:ChatItem)-[p:PartOf]->(tc:TeamChatSession)-[o:OwnedBy]->(t:Team) RETURN
t.id, COUNT(t) ORDER BY COUNT(t) DESC LIMIT 10;

#### **Chattiest Teams**

Teams	Number of Chats
82	1324
185	1036
112	957

To determine whether the chattiest users belong to the chattiest team:

MATCH (u:User)-[cc:CreatesChat]->(ci:ChatItem)-[p:PartOf]->(tc:TeamChatSession)[o:OwnedBy]->(t:Team) RETURN u.id, t.id, COUNT(t) ORDER BY COUNT(t) DESC LIMIT 10;

We can see that user 999 is one of the chattiest users, and it belongs to one of the chattiest team, team 52.

\$ MATCH (u:User)-[cc:CreatesChat]->(ci:ChatItem)-[p:PartOf]->(tc:TeamChatSession)-[o:OwnedBy]->(t:Team) RETURN u...



#### **How Active Are Groups of Users?**

Describe your steps for performing this analysis. Be as clear, concise, and as brief as possible. Finally, report the top 3 most active users in the table below.

Step 1:

Create the "InteractsWith" relation:

MATCH (u1:User)-[r:CreatesChat]->(ci1:ChatItem)-[m:Mentioned]->(u2:User) CREATE (u1)-[:InteractsWith]->(u2)

MATCH (u1:User)-[:CreatesChat]->(ci1:ChatItem)-[r:ResponseTo]->(ci2:ChatItem)<[:CreatesChat]-(u2:User) CREATE (u1)-[:InteractsWith]->(u2)

Match (u1)-[r:InteractsWith]->(u1) delete r

Step 2: Calculate the coefficients:

MATCH (u1:User)-[:InteractsWith]->(u2:User) WHERE u1.id IN [394, 2067, 209, 1087, 554, 516, 1627, 999, 668, 461] WITH u1, collect(distinct u2.id) AS neighbors MATCH (u3:User), (u4:User) WHERE u3.id IN neighbors AND u4.id IN neighbors AND u3<>u4 WITH u1, length(neighbors) AS k, sum(case when (u3)-[:InteractsWith]->(u4) then 1 else 0 end) as numEdge

RETURN u1.id, 1.0\*numEdge/(k\*(k-1)) as coefficient

\$ MATCH (u1:User)-[:InteractsWith]->(u2:User) WHERE u1.id...

Table
A
Text



u1.id	coefficient
668	1
554	0.8
2067	0.933333333333333
999	0.9464285714285714
394	0.75
1087	0.7333333333333333
461	0.8333333333333334
516	0.9333333333333333
1627	0.933333333333333
209	1

#### Most Active Users (based on Cluster Coefficients)

most Active osers (based on claster coefficients)		
User ID	Coefficient	
209	1	
668	1	
2067	0.946	