Youva

VIRTUAL FUNCTIONS ~ C++ * Virtual functions are declared using the "virtual" keyhord. If a function is declared virtual in a base class, it automatically becomes virtual in the derived classes. However sometimes, virtual is explicitely written even in derived classes. * class M Employee } public: void print() } cout << 6 Employee"; virtual void raise Salary () { class Manager: public Horker { public: void print () { cast << "Managex"; void raiseSalary () { 1 some code

J; class Worker: public Employee { public: void print() {

cout << "worker",

you'd raise Salary () {

// some code

· Objects of Employee / Reference of Employee holding * Now, for :-

Employee / Pointer of Employee holding Employee address: calling print() will print "Employee" and calling

raise salary will call Employee: roise Salary () · Objects of Manager/ Reference of Manager holding

Manager/Pointer of Manager holding Manager address: Calling print() will print "Manager" and calling raise salary will call Manager :: raise Salary (). It should be noted that this is ape method overriding and has nothing to with virtual

· An reference pointer of Employee holding a

Manager object:

Frame Employee* emptr;

empto = new Manager();

emptroprint();

emptr > raise Salary (),

The print() method is statically bound i.e. it gets chosen during compile time and will follow the type of pointer i.e. point "Employee".

The raise Salary() method is dynamically bound i.e. it gets chosen during runtime depending upon the type of object being pointed to . So it will call Marger : raite solon

* The advantages of dynamic binding a.k.a. nun-time polymorphism are that of during writing the program, the coder doesn't have to remember / may not know which pointer points to what type of Object. for example:

int main(){

Employee* emplish [4]:

Il code which depending on user input initializes Il each emplish pointer to either a Manager Il or a Worker.
Il now the codex wishes to rouse everyone's salaries. Il one way to do it is to check if each element. If of emplish[] points to worker or Manager. Il and then call appropriate functions. Il But this is tedious. Il Instead we make use of virtual:
for Cint i=0; 1<4; 1++) }
emplist[i] -> raiseSalary();
y
}
How C++ implements this :-
The compiler adds to each class a table called vtable
that stores pointes to each of its functions. So
stable Employee
d-raise Salary()
& pont()
Lubralia Manager
Vtable Manager
b.raiseSalary()
& print()
Also, the compiler adds to each object of each class,
a pointer called uptor that points to this table.
So, Vetr Vtable Employee
Employee emp; vetr , vtable Employee
Mosser man; upor s [vable Manager]

Bata

It should be noted that normal function calls donot make use of the uptr and utable. That is, when static binding is present, the compiler has already chosen the base class function to be executed. However, when the base class function is virtual and dynamic binding occurs, the uptr of the object (and not of the pointer, pointer doesn't have uptr its just a hexadecimal address) is used as so utable of derived class is accessed. So:

Employee* empty;
empty = new Manager();
empty > print(); // line 1
empty > raiseSalary(); // line 2

line I has static binding since print() isn't virtual.

So it is statically / fixedly bound to Employee: print()
with no use of uptr.

However line 2 has dynamic binding as raisesalary() is virtual. So it uses the uptr of the object and hence uses the utable of F Manager, hence calling Employee: Manager () Manager ()