

Q) Create a knowledgebase using propositional logic and prove the given query using Resolution.

```
import re

def main(rules, goal):
    rules = rules.split(' ')
    steps = resolve(rules, goal)
    print('\nStep\t|Clause\t|Derivation\t')
    print('-' * 30)
    i = 1
    for step in steps:
        print(f' {i}. \t| {step} \t| {steps[step]} \t')
        i += 1

def negate(term):
    return f'~{term}' if term[0] != '~' else term[1]

def reverse(clause):
    if len(clause) > 2:
        t = split_terms(clause)
        return f'{t[1]}v{t[0]}'
    return ""

def split_terms(rule):
    exp = '(~*[PQRS])'
    terms = re.findall(exp, rule)
    return terms

split_terms('~PvR')
['~P', 'R']

def contradiction(goal, clause):
    contradictions = [ f'{goal}v{negate(goal)}', f'{negate(goal)}v{goal}' ]
    return clause in contradictions or reverse(clause) in contradictions

def resolve(rules, goal):
    temp = rules.copy()
    temp += [negate(goal)]
    steps = dict()
    for rule in temp:
        steps[rule] = 'Given.'
    steps[negate(goal)] = 'Negated conclusion.'
    i = 0
    while i < len(temp):
```

```

n = len(temp)
j = (i + 1) % n
clauses = []
while j != i:
    terms1 = split_terms(temp[i])
    terms2 = split_terms(temp[j])
    for c in terms1:
        if negate(c) in terms2:
            t1 = [t for t in terms1 if t != c]
            t2 = [t for t in terms2 if t != negate(c)]
            gen = t1 + t2
            if len(gen) == 2:
                if gen[0] != negate(gen[1]):
                    clauses += [f'{gen[0]}v{gen[1]}']
                else:
                    if contradiction(goal, f'{gen[0]}v{gen[1]}'):
                        temp.append(f'{gen[0]}v{gen[1]}')
                        steps[""] = f"Resolved {temp[i]} and {temp[j]} to {temp[-1]}, which is in turn null. \
\nA contradiction is found when {negate(goal)} is assumed as true. Hence,
{goal} is true."
                    return steps
            elif len(gen) == 1:
                clauses += [f'{gen[0]}']
            else:
                if contradiction(goal, f'{terms1[0]}v{terms2[0]}'):
                    temp.append(f'{terms1[0]}v{terms2[0]}')
                    steps[""] = f"Resolved {temp[i]} and {temp[j]} to {temp[-1]}, which is in turn null. \
\nA contradiction is found when {negate(goal)} is assumed as true. Hence,
{goal} is true."
                return steps
    for clause in clauses:
        if clause not in temp and clause != reverse(clause) and reverse(clause) not in temp:
            temp.append(clause)
            steps[clause] = f'Resolved from {temp[i]} and {temp[j]}.'
    j = (j + 1) % n
    i += 1
return steps
rules = 'Rv~P Rv~Q ~RvP ~RvQ'
goal = 'R'
main(rules, goal)
rules = 'PvQ PvR ~PvR RvS Rv~Q ~Sv~Q'
main(rules, 'R')

```

OUTPUT:

Step	Clause	Derivation
1.	$R \vee \sim P$	Given.
2.	$R \vee \sim Q$	Given.
3.	$\sim R \vee P$	Given.
4.	$\sim R \vee Q$	Given.
5.	$\sim R$	Negated conclusion.
6.		Resolved $R \vee \sim P$ and $\sim R \vee P$ to $R \vee \sim R$, which is in turn null.

A contradiction is found when $\sim R$ is assumed as true. Hence, R is true.

Step	Clause	Derivation
1.	$P \vee Q$	Given.
2.	$P \vee R$	Given.
3.	$\sim P \vee R$	Given.
4.	$R \vee S$	Given.
5.	$R \vee \sim Q$	Given.
6.	$\sim S \vee \sim Q$	Given.
7.	$\sim R$	Negated conclusion.
8.	$Q \vee R$	Resolved from $P \vee Q$ and $\sim P \vee R$.
9.	$P \vee \sim S$	Resolved from $P \vee Q$ and $\sim S \vee \sim Q$.
10.	P	Resolved from $P \vee R$ and $\sim R$.
11.	$\sim P$	Resolved from $\sim P \vee R$ and $\sim R$.
12.	$R \vee \sim S$	Resolved from $\sim P \vee R$ and $P \vee \sim S$.
13.	R	Resolved from $\sim P \vee R$ and P .
14.	S	Resolved from $R \vee S$ and $\sim R$.
15.	$\sim Q$	Resolved from $R \vee \sim Q$ and $\sim R$.
16.	Q	Resolved from $\sim R$ and $Q \vee R$.
17.	$\sim S$	Resolved from $\sim R$ and $R \vee \sim S$.
18.		Resolved $\sim R$ and R to $\sim R \vee R$, which is in turn null.

A contradiction is found when $\sim R$ is assumed as true. Hence, R is true.

PS C:\Users\bmsce>